may be disclosed under section 552 of title 5, United States Code. Upon request of the chairperson of the Commission, the head of such agency shall furnish such information to the Commission.

"(8) SUPPORT SERVICES.—Upon the request of the Commission, the Administrator of General Services shall provide to the Commission on a reimbursable basis such administrative support services as the Commission may request.

"(9) PRINTING.—For purposes of costs relating to printing and binding, including the cost of personnel detailed from the Government Printing Office, the Commission shall be deemed to be a committee of Congress.

"(g) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.— There is authorized to be appropriated such sums as may be necessary to carry out this section. The amount appropriated under this subsection shall not result in a reduction in any other appropriation for health care or health services for Native Hawaiians.

"SEC. 16. RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.

"Nothing in this Act shall be construed to restrict the authority of the State of Hawaii to license health practitioners.

"SEC. 17. COMPLIANCE WITH BUDGET ACT.

"Any new spending authority (described in subparagraph (A) of (B) of section 401(c)(2) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 (2 U.S.C. 651(c)(2) (A) or (B))) which is provided under this Act shall be effective for any fiscal year only to such extent or in such amounts as are provided for in appropriation Acts.

"SEC. 18. SEVERABILITY.

"If any provision of this Act, or the application of any such provision to any person or circumstances is held to be invalid, the remainder of this Act, and the application of such provision or amendment to persons or circumstances other than those to which it is held invalid, shall not be affected thereby."

Mr. GORTON. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent the committee substitute be agreed to, the bill be read a third time and passed, the motion to reconsider be laid upon the table, and any statements relating to the bill be printed in the RECORD.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The committee amendment in the nature of a substitute was agreed to.

The bill (S. 1929), as amended, was read the third time and passed.

STRENGTHENING ABUSE AND NEGLECT COURTS ACT OF 2000

Mr. GORTON. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Senate now proceed to the consideration of Calendar No. 737, S. 2272.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report the bill by title.

The legislative clerk read as follows: A bill (S. 2272) to improve the administrative efficiency and effectiveness of the nation's abuse and neglect courts and for other purposes consistent with the Adoption and Safe Families Act of 1997.

There being no objection, the Senate proceeded to consider the bill.

THE STRENGTHENING ABUSE AND NEGLECT COURTS ACT (SANCA)

Mr. LEAHY. Mr. President, I am pleased that the Senate today is passing S. 2272, the Strengthening Abuse and Neglect Courts Act, SANCA. I

strongly support this legislation, which will provide much needed dollars to the Nation's overburdened abuse and neglect courts. We added to their burdens in 1997, by passing the Adoption and Safe Families Act, ASFA, without providing adequate funding to assure effective implementation. Courts nationwide are struggling to meet the accelerated timelines and other requirements of that legislation, which was intended to expedite the process of securing safe, permanent, and loving homes for abused and neglected children.

SANCA will help ease the pressure, by making available to State and local courts some Federal funding to assure timely court hearings and reduce the case backlogs created by the ASFA. Both the Conference of Chief Justices and the Conference of State Court Administrators have adopted resolutions in support of SANCA. It is without doubt a good idea.

This legislation authorizes \$10 million over five years to assist state and local courts to develop and implement automated case tracking systems for abuse and neglect proceeding. It authorizes another \$10 million to reduce existing backlogs of abuse and neglect cases, plus \$5 million to expand the Court-Appointed Special Advocate, CASA, program in underserved areas. That is a total of \$25 million that would help address a very real problem that we in Congress helped to create.

In my own State of Vermont, the courts are committed to implementing the ASFA and reducing the amount of time spent by children in foster care settings. But they are having trouble meeting the Federal law's tight deadlines and procedural requirements.

My only concern with S. 2272 is the competitive grant method that it adopts for allocating grant money. By contrast, the model for S. 2272—the Court Improvement Project, or CIPallocates money by formula. Congress created the CIP grant program in 1993, to assist State courts in improving their handling of child abuse and neglect cases. On an annual basis, each State is awarded \$85,000, and the remainder of the funds are distributed by formula based on the proportionate population of children in the States. This has been a highly successful program. States have combined CIP funds with State and local dollars to make sweeping changes in the way they handle child abuse and neglect cases.

Under SANCA, State and local courts would compete against each other for a relatively small number of grants, and many will get no help at all, even if their needs are great. I understand that there is companion legislation, the "Training and Knowledge Ensure Children a Risk-Free Environment, TAKE CARE, Act," S. 2271, which would authorize increased assistance for every State to help improve the quality and availability of training for judges, attorneys, and volunteers working in the Nation's abuse and neglect courts.

That bill was referred to the Committee on Finance, which has yet to consider it. It is my hope that the Senate will take up and pass S. 2271 before the end of this legislative session.

Many other important bills remain pending before this body as we head into the final weeks of the 106th Congress. I want to highlight one bill, which I introduced with Senators DEWINE and ROBB this summer, and which the Judiciary Committee reported by unanimous consent last week. The Computer Crime Enforcement Act. S. 1314, would authorize a \$25 million Department of Justice grant program to help states prevent and prosecute computer crime. Grants under our bipartisan bill may be used to provide education, training, and enforcement programs for local law enforcement officers and prosecutors in the rapidly growing field of computer criminal justice. Our legislation has been endorsed by the Information Technology Association of America and Fraternal Order of Police. I hope all Senators can join us in our bipartisan effort to provide our state and local partners in crime fighting with the resources they need in the battle against computer crime.

I commend Senator DEWINE and Senator ROCKEFELLER for their leadership on the SANCA legislation and urge its speedy passage into law.

AMENDMENT NO. 4209

Mr. GORTON. Senator DEWINE has an amendment at the desk. I ask for its immediate consideration.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report.

The legislative clerk read as follows: The Senator from Washington [Mr. Gor-TON], for Mr. DEWINE, proposes an amendment numbered 4209.

The amendment is as follows:

(Purpose: To extend the authorization of appropriations for an additional year)

On page 23, line 4, strike "fiscal year 2001" and insert "the period of fiscal years 2001 and 2002"

On page 24, line 13, strike "fiscal year 2001" and insert "the period of fiscal years 2001 and 2002".

Mr. GORTON. I ask unanimous consent the amendment be agreed to, the bill be read a third time and passed, the motion to reconsider be laid upon the table, and any statements relating to the bill be printed in the RECORD.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The amendment (No. 4209) was agreed

The bill (S. 2272), as amended, was read the third time and passed, as follows:

S. 2272

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled.

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "Strengthening Abuse and Neglect Courts Act of 2000". SEC. 2. FINDINGS.

Congress finds the following:

(1) Under both Federal and State law, the courts play a crucial and essential role in

the Nation's child welfare system and in ensuring safety, stability, and permanence for abused and neglected children under the supervision of that system.

(2) The Adoption and Safe Families Act of 1997 (Public Law 105-89; 111 Stat. 2115) establishes explicitly for the first time in Federal law that a child's health and safety must be the paramount consideration when any decision is made regarding a child in the Nation's child welfare system.

(3) The Adoption and Safe Families Act of 1997 promotes stability and permanence for abused and neglected children by requiring timely decision-making in proceedings to determine whether children can safely return to their families or whether they should be moved into safe and stable adoptive homes or other permanent family arrangements outside the foster care system.

(4) To avoid unnecessary and lengthy stays in the foster care system, the Adoption and Safe Families Act of 1997 specifically requires, among other things, that States move to terminate the parental rights of the parents of those children who have been in foster care for 15 of the last 22 months.

(5) While essential to protect children and to carry out the general purposes of the Adoption and Safe Families Act of 1997, the accelerated timelines for the termination of parental rights and the other requirements imposed under that Act increase the pressure on the Nation's already overburdened abuse

and neglect courts.

(6) The administrative efficiency and effectiveness of the Nation's abuse and neglect courts would be substantially improved by the acquisition and implementation of computerized case-tracking systems to identify and eliminate existing backlogs, to move abuse and neglect caseloads forward in a timely manner, and to move children into safe and stable families. Such systems could also be used to evaluate the effectiveness of such courts in meeting the purposes of the amendments made by, and provisions of, the Adoption and Safe Families Act of 1997

(7) The administrative efficiency and effectiveness of the Nation's abuse and neglect courts would also be improved by the identification and implementation of projects designed to eliminate the backlog of abuse and neglect cases, including the temporary hiring of additional judges, extension of court hours, and other projects designed to reduce

existing caseloads.

(8) The administrative efficiency and effectiveness of the Nation's abuse and neglect courts would be further strengthened by improving the quality and availability of training for judges, court personnel, agency attorneys, guardians ad litem, volunteers who participate in court-appointed special advocate (CASA) programs, and attorneys who represent the children and the parents of children in abuse and neglect proceedings.

(9) While recognizing that abuse and neglect courts in this country are already committed to the quality administration of justice, the performance of such courts would be even further enhanced by the development of models and educational opportunities that reinforce court projects that have already been developed, including models for caseflow procedures, case management, representation of children, automated interagency interfaces, and "best practices" standards.

(10) Judges, magistrates, commissioners, and other judicial officers play a central and vital role in ensuring that proceedings in our Nation's abuse and neglect courts are run efficiently and effectively. The performance of those individuals in such courts can only be further enhanced by training, seminars, and an ongoing opportunity to exchange ideas with their peers.

(11) Volunteers who participate in courtappointed special advocate (CASA) programs play a vital role as the eyes and ears of abuse and neglect courts in proceedings conducted by, or under the supervision of, such courts and also bring increased public scrutiny of the abuse and neglect court system. The Nation's abuse and neglect courts would benefit from an expansion of this program to currently underserved communities.

(12) Improved computerized case-tracking systems, comprehensive training, and development of, and education on, model abuse and neglect court systems, particularly with respect to underserved areas, would significantly further the purposes of the Adoption and Safe Families Act of 1997 by reducing the average length of an abused and neglected child's stay in foster care, improving the quality of decision-making and court servces provided to children and families, and increasing the number of adoptions.

SEC. 3. DEFINITIONS.

In this Act:

(a) Abuse and Neglect Courts.—The term 'abuse and neglect courts'' means the State and local courts that carry out State or local laws requiring proceedings (conducted by or under the supervision of the courts)-

(1) that implement part B and part E of title IV of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 620 et seq.; 670 et seq.) (including preliminary disposition of such proceedings);

(2) that determine whether a child was abused or neglected:

(3) that determine the advisability or appropriateness of placement in a family foster home, group home, or a special residential care facility: or

(4) that determine any other legal disposition of a child in the abuse and neglect court system.

(b) AGENCY ATTORNEY.—The term "agency attorney" means an attorney or other individual, including any government attorney, district attorney, attorney general, State attorney, county attorney, city solicitor or attorney, corporation counsel, or privately retained special prosecutor, who represents the State or local agency administrating the programs under parts B and E of title IV of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 620 et seq.; 670 et seq.) in a proceeding conducted by, or under the supervision of, an abuse and neglect court, including a proceeding for termination of parental rights.

SEC. 4. GRANTS TO STATE COURTS AND LOCAL COURTS TO AUTOMATE THE DATA
COLLECTION AND TRACKING OF
PROCEEDINGS IN ABUSE AND NE-GLECT COURTS.

(a) AUTHORITY TO AWARD GRANTS.-

(1) IN GENERAL.—Subject to paragraph (2), the Attorney General, acting through the Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention of the Office of Justice Programs, shall award grants in accordance with this section to State courts and local courts for the purposes of-

(A) enabling such courts to develop and implement automated data collection and casetracking systems for proceedings conducted by, or under the supervision of, an abuse and neglect court;

(B) encouraging the replication of such systems in abuse and neglect courts in other jurisdictions: and

(C) requiring the use of such systems to evaluate a court's performance in implementing the requirements of parts B and E of title IV of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 620 et seq.; 670 et seq.).

(2) LIMITATIONS.

(A) NUMBER OF GRANTS.—Not less than 20 nor more than 50 grants may be awarded under this section.

(B) PER STATE LIMITATION.—Not more than 2 grants authorized under this section may be awarded per State.

(C) USE OF GRANTS.—Funds provided under a grant made under this section may only be used for the purpose of developing, implementing, or enhancing automated data collection and case-tracking systems for proceedings conducted by, or under the supervision of, an abuse and neglect court.

(b) APPLICATION.

(1) IN GENERAL.—A State court or local court may submit an application for a grant authorized under this section at such time and in such manner as the Attorney General may determine.

(2) Information required.—An application for a grant authorized under this section

shall contain the following:

(A) A description of a proposed plan for the development, implementation, and maintenance of an automated data collection and case-tracking system for proceedings conducted by, or under the supervision of, an abuse and neglect court, including a proposed budget for the plan and a request for a specific funding amount.

(B) A description of the extent to which such plan and system are able to be replicated in abuse and neglect courts of other jurisdictions that specifies the common casetracking data elements of the proposed system, including, at a minimum-

(i) identification of relevant judges, court, and agency personnel;

(ii) records of all court proceedings with regard to the abuse and neglect case, including all court findings and orders (oral and written); and

(iii) relevant information about the subject child, including family information and the reason for court supervision.

(C) In the case of an application submitted by a local court, a description of how the plan to implement the proposed system was developed in consultation with related State courts, particularly with regard to a State court improvement plan funded under section 13712 of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1993 (42 U.S.C. 670 note) if there is such a plan in the State.

(D) In the case of an application that is submitted by a State court, a description of how the proposed system will integrate with a State court improvement plan funded under section 13712 of such Act if there is such a plan in the State.

(E) After consultation with the State agency responsible for the administration of parts B and E of title IV of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 620 et seq.; 670 et seq.)-

- (i) a description of the coordination of the proposed system with other child welfare data collection systems, including the Statewide automated child welfare information system (SACWIS) and the adoption and foster care analysis and reporting system (AFCARS) established pursuant to section 479 of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 679); and
- (ii) an assurance that such coordination will be implemented and maintained.
- (F) Identification of an independent third party that will conduct ongoing evaluations of the feasibility and implementation of the plan and system and a description of the plan for conducting such evaluations.

(G) A description or identification of a proposed funding source for completion of the plan (if applicable) and maintenance of the system after the conclusion of the period for

which the grant is to be awarded.

(H) An assurance that any contract entered into between the State court or local court and any other entity that is to provide services for the development, implementation, or maintenance of the system under the proposed plan will require the entity to agree to allow for replication of the services provided, the plan, and the system, and to

refrain from asserting any proprietary interest in such services for purposes of allowing the plan and system to be replicated in another jurisdiction.

- (I) An assurance that the system established under the plan will provide data that allows for evaluation (at least on an annual basis) of the following information:
- (i) The total number of cases that are filed in the abuse and neglect court.
- (ii) The number of cases assigned to each judge who presides over the abuse and neglect court.
- (iii) The average length of stay of children in foster care
- (iv) With respect to each child under the jurisdiction of the court—
- (I) the number of episodes of placement in foster care:
- (II) the number of days placed in foster care and the type of placement (foster family home, group home, or special residential care facility);
- (III) the number of days of in-home supervision; and
- $\left(IV\right)$ the number of separate foster care placements.
- (v) The number of adoptions, guardianships, or other permanent dispositions finalized.
- (vi) The number of terminations of parental rights.
- (vii) The number of child abuse and neglect proceedings closed that had been pending for 2 or more years.
- (viii) With respect to each proceeding conducted by, or under the supervision of, an abuse and neglect court—
- (I) the timeliness of each stage of the proceeding from initial filing through legal finalization of a permanency plan (for both contested and uncontested hearings):
- (II) the number of adjournments, delays, and continuances occurring during the proceeding, including identification of the party requesting each adjournment, delay, or continuance and the reasons given for the request;
- (III) the number of courts that conduct or supervise the proceeding for the duration of the abuse and neglect case;
- (IV) the number of judges assigned to the proceeding for the duration of the abuse and neglect case; and
- (V) the number of agency attorneys, children's attorneys, parent's attorneys, guardians ad litem, and volunteers participating in a court-appointed special advocate (CASA) program assigned to the proceeding during the duration of the abuse and neglect case.
- (J) A description of how the proposed system will reduce the need for paper files and ensure prompt action so that cases are appropriately listed with national and regional adoption exchanges, and public and private adoption services.
- (K) An assurance that the data collected in accordance with subparagraph (I) will be made available to relevant Federal, State, and local government agencies and to the public.
- (L) An assurance that the proposed system is consistent with other civil and criminal information requirements of the Federal government.
- (M) An assurance that the proposed system will provide notice of timeframes required under the Adoption and Safe Families Act of 1997 (Public Law 105–89; 111 Stat. 2115) for individual cases to ensure prompt attention and compliance with such requirements.
- (c) Conditions for Approval of Applica-
- (1) MATCHING REQUIREMENT.—
- (A) IN GENERAL.—A State court or local court awarded a grant under this section shall expend \$1 for every \$3 awarded under

- the grant to carry out the development, implementation, and maintenance of the automated data collection and case-tracking system under the proposed plan.
- (B) WAIVER FOR HARDSHIP.—The Attorney General may waive or modify the matching requirement described in subparagraph (A) in the case of any State court or local court that the Attorney General determines would suffer undue hardship as a result of being subject to the requirement.
 - (C) Non-federal expenditures.—
- (i) CASH OR IN KIND.—State court or local court expenditures required under subparagraph (A) may be in cash or in kind, fairly evaluated, including plant, equipment, or services.
- (ii) NO CREDIT FOR PRE-AWARD EXPENDITURES.—Only State court or local court expenditures made after a grant has been awarded under this section may be counted for purposes of determining whether the State court or local court has satisfied the matching expenditure requirement under subparagraph (A).
- (2) Notification to state or appropriate CHILD Welfare agency.—No application for a grant authorized under this section may be approved unless the State court or local court submitting the application demonstrates to the satisfaction of the Attorney General that the court has provided the State, in the case of a State court, or the appropriate child welfare agency, in the case of a local court, with notice of the contents and submission of the application.
- (3) CONSIDERATIONS.—In evaluating an application for a grant under this section the Attorney General shall consider the following:
- (A) The extent to which the system proposed in the application may be replicated in other jurisdictions.
- (B) The extent to which the proposed system is consistent with the provisions of, and amendments made by, the Adoption and Safe Families Act of 1997 (Public Law 105-89; 111 Stat. 2115), and parts B and E of title IV of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 620 et seq.; 670 et seq.).
- (C) The extent to which the proposed system is feasible and likely to achieve the purposes described in subsection (a)(1).
- (4) DIVERSITY OF AWARDS.—The Attorney General shall award grants under this section in a manner that results in a reasonable balance among grants awarded to State courts and grants awarded to local courts, grants awarded to courts located in urban areas and courts located in rural areas, and grants awarded in diverse geographical locations.
- (d) LENGTH OF AWARDS.—No grant may be awarded under this section for a period of more than 5 years.

 (e) AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS.—Funds pro-
- (e) AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS.—Funds provided to a State court or local court under a grant awarded under this section shall remain available until expended without fiscal year limitation.
- (f) Reports.-
- (1) ANNUAL REPORT FROM GRANTEES.—Each State court or local court that is awarded a grant under this section shall submit an annual report to the Attorney General that contains—
- (A) a description of the ongoing results of the independent evaluation of the plan for, and implementation of, the automated data collection and case-tracking system funded under the grant; and
- (B) the information described in subsection (b)(2)(I).
- (2) Interim and final reports from attorney general.—
- (A) INTERIM REPORTS.—Beginning 2 years after the date of enactment of this Act, and biannually thereafter until a final report is

- submitted in accordance with subparagraph (B), the Attorney General shall submit to Congress interim reports on the grants made under this section.
- (B) FINAL REPORT.—Not later than 90 days after the termination of all grants awarded under this section, the Attorney General shall submit to Congress a final report evaluating the automated data collection and case-tracking systems funded under such grants and identifying successful models of such systems that are suitable for replication in other jurisdictions. The Attorney General shall ensure that a copy of such final report is transmitted to the highest State court in each State.
- (g) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.— There is authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section, \$10,000,000 for the period of fiscal years 2001 through 2005.
- SEC. 5. GRANTS TO REDUCE PENDING BACKLOGS
 OF ABUSE AND NEGLECT CASES TO
 PROMOTE PERMANENCY FOR
 ABUSED AND NEGLECTED CHILDREN.
- (a) AUTHORITY TO AWARD GRANTS.—The Attorney General, acting through the Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention of the Office of Justice Programs and in collaboration with the Secretary of Health and Human Services, shall award grants in accordance with this section to State courts and local courts for the purposes of—
- (1) promoting the permanency goals established in the Adoption and Safe Families Act of 1997 (Public Law 105-89; 111 Stat. 2115); and
- (2) enabling such courts to reduce existing backlogs of cases pending in abuse and neglect courts, especially with respect to cases to terminate parental rights and cases in which parental rights to a child have been terminated but an adoption of the child has not yet been finalized.
- (b) APPLICATION.—A State court or local court shall submit an application for a grant under this section, in such form and manner as the Attorney General shall require, that contains a description of the following:
- (1) The barriers to achieving the permanency goals established in the Adoption and Safe Families Act of 1997 that have been identified.
- (2) The size and nature of the backlogs of children awaiting termination of parental rights or finalization of adoption.
- (3) The strategies the State court or local court proposes to use to reduce such backlogs and the plan and timetable for doing so.
- (4) How the grant funds requested will be used to assist the implementation of the strategies described in paragraph (3).
- (c) USE OF FUNDS.—Funds provided under a grant awarded under this section may be used for any purpose that the Attorney General determines is likely to successfully achieve the purposes described in subsection (a), including temporarily—
- (1) establishing night court sessions for abuse and neglect courts;
- (2) hiring additional judges, magistrates, commissioners, hearing officers, referees, special masters, and other judicial personnel for such courts;
- (3) hiring personnel such as clerks, administrative support staff, case managers, mediators, and attorneys for such courts; or
- (4) extending the operating hours of such courts.
- (d) NUMBER OF GRANTS.—Not less than 15 nor more than 20 grants shall be awarded under this section.
- (e) AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS.—Funds awarded under a grant made under this section shall remain available for expenditure by a grantee for a period not to exceed 3 years from the date of the grant award
- from the date of the grant award.

 (f) REPORT ON USE OF FUNDS.—Not later than the date that is halfway through the period for which a grant is awarded under this

section, and 90 days after the end of such period, a State court or local court awarded a grant under this section shall submit a report to the Attorney General that includes the following:

(1) The barriers to the permanency goals established in the Adoption and Safe Families Act of 1997 that are or have been addressed with grant funds.

(2) The nature of the backlogs of children that were pursued with grant funds.

(3) The specific strategies used to reduce such backlogs.

(4) The progress that has been made in reducing such backlogs, including the number of children in such backlogs—

(A) whose parental rights have been terminated; and

(B) whose adoptions have been finalized.

- (5) Any additional information that the Attorney General determines would assist jurisdictions in achieving the permanency goals established in the Adoption and Safe Families Act of 1997.
- (g) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATION.— There are authorized to be appropriated for the period of fiscal years 2001 and 2002 \$10,000,000 for the purpose of making grants under this section.

SEC. 6. GRANTS TO EXPAND THE COURT-AP-POINTED SPECIAL ADVOCATE PRO-GRAM IN UNDERSERVED AREAS.

- (a) Grants To Expand CASA Programs in Underserved Areas.—The Administrator of the Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention of the Department of Justice shall make a grant to the National Court-Appointed Special Advocate Association for the purposes of—
- (1) expanding the recruitment of, and building the capacity of, court-appointed special advocate programs located in the 15 largest urban areas;
- (2) developing regional, multijurisdictional court-appointed special advocate programs serving rural areas; and
- (3) providing training and supervision of volunteers in court-appointed special advocate programs.
- (b) LIMITATION ON ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENDITURES.—Not more than 5 percent of the grant made under this subsection may be used for administrative expenditures.
- (c) DETERMINATION OF URBAN AND RURAL AREAS.—For purposes of administering the grant authorized under this subsection, the Administrator of the Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention of the Department of Justice shall determine whether an area is one of the 15 largest urban areas or a rural area in accordance with the practices of, and statistical information compiled by, the Bureau of the Census.
- (d) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.— There is authorized to be appropriated to make the grant authorized under this section, \$5,000,000 for the period of fiscal years 2001 and 2002.

AMERICA'S LAW ENFORCEMENT AND MENTAL HEALTH PROJECT

Mr. GORTON. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent the Senate now proceed to the immediate consideration of Calendar No. 734, S. 1865.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report the bill by title.

The legislative clerk read as follows: A bill (S. 1865) to provide grants to establish demonstration mental health courts.

There being no objection, the Senate proceeded to consider the bill which had been reported from the Committee on the Judiciary, with an amendment to strike all after the enacting clause and insert the part printed in italic. **SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.**

This Act may be cited as the "America's Law Enforcement and Mental Health Project".

SEC. 2. FINDINGS.

Congress finds that-

(1) fully 16 percent of all inmates in State prisons and local jails suffer from mental illness, according to a July, 1999 report, conducted by the Bureau of Justice Statistics;

(2) between 600,000 and 700,000 mentally ill persons are annually booked in jail alone, according to the American Jail Association;

- (3) estimates say 25 to 40 percent of America's mentally ill will come into contact with the criminal justice system, according to National Alliance for the Mentally Ill;
- (4) 75 percent of mentally ill inmates have been sentenced to time in prison or jail or probation at least once prior to their current sentence, according to the Bureau of Justice Statistics in July, 1999; and
- (5) Broward County, Florida and King County, Washington, have created separate Mental Health Courts to place nonviolent mentally ill offenders into judicially monitored in-patient and out-patient mental health treatment programs, where appropriate, with positive results. SEC. 3. MENTAL HEALTH COURTS.
- (a) AMENDMENT.—Title I of the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968 is amended by inserting after part U (42 U.S.C. 3796hh et seq.) the following:

"PART V—MENTAL HEALTH COURTS

"SEC. 2201. GRANT AUTHORITY.

"The Attorney General shall make grants to States, State courts, local courts, units of local government, and Indian tribal governments, acting directly or through agreements with other public or nonprofit entities, for not more than 100 programs that involve—

"(1) continuing judicial supervision, including periodic review, over preliminarily qualified offenders with mental illness, mental retardation, or co-occurring mental illness and substance abuse disorders, who are charged with misdemeanors or nonviolent offenses; and

"(2) the coordinated delivery of services, which includes—

"(A) specialized training of law enforcement and judicial personnel to identify and address the unique needs of a mentally ill or mentally retarded offender;

"(B) voluntary outpatient or inpatient mental health treatment, in the least restrictive manner appropriate, as determined by the court, that carries with it the possibility of dismissal of charges or reduced sentencing upon successful completion of treatment;

"(C) centralized case management involving the consolidation of all of a mentally ill or mentally retarded defendant's cases, including violations of probation, and the coordination of all mental health treatment plans and social services, including life skills training, such as housing placement, vocational training, education, job placement, health care, and relapse prevention for each participant who requires such services; and

"(D) continuing supervision of treatment plan compliance for a term not to exceed the maximum allowable sentence or probation for the charged or relevant offense and, to the extent practicable, continuity of psychiatric care at the end of the supervised period.

"SEC. 2202. DEFINITIONS.

"In this part-

"(1) the term 'mental illness' means a diagnosable mental, behavioral, or emotional disorder—

"(A) of sufficient duration to meet diagnostic criteria within the most recent edition of the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders published by the American Psychiatric Association; and "(B) that has resulted in functional impairment that substantially interferes with or limits 1 or more major life activities; and

"(2) the term 'preliminarily qualified offender with mental illness, mental retardation, or cooccurring mental and substance abuse disorders' means a person who—

"(A)(i) previously or currently has been diagnosed by a qualified mental health professional as having a mental illness, mental retardation, or co-occurring mental illness and substance abuse disorders; or

"(ii) manifests obvious signs of mental illness, mental retardation, or co-occurring mental illness and substance abuse disorders during arrest or confinement or before any court; and

"(B) is deemed eligible by designated judges. SEC. 2203. ADMINISTRATION.

"(a) CONSULTATION.—The Attorney General shall consult with the Secretary of Health and Human Services and any other appropriate officials in carrying out this part.

"(b) USE OF COMPONENTS.—The Attorney General may utilize any component or components of the Department of Justice in carrying out this part.

"(c) Regulatory Authority.—The Attorney General shall issue regulations and guidelines necessary to carry out this part which include, but are not limited to, the methodologies and outcome measures proposed for evaluating each applicant program.

"(d) APPLICATIONS.—In addition to any other requirements that may be specified by the Attorney General, an application for a grant under this part shall—

"(1) include a long-term strategy and detailed implementation plan;

"(2) explain the applicant's inability to fund the program adequately without Federal assistance:

"(3) certify that the Federal support provided will be used to supplement, and not supplant, State, Indian tribal, and local sources of funding that would otherwise be available;

"(4) identify related governmental or community initiatives which complement or will be coordinated with the proposal;

"(5) certify that there has been appropriate consultation with all affected agencies and that there will be appropriate coordination with all affected agencies in the implementation of the program, including the State mental health authority;

"(6) certify that participating offenders will be supervised by one or more designated judges with responsibility for the mental health court program;

"(7) specify plans for obtaining necessary support and continuing the proposed program following the conclusion of Federal support;

"(8) describe the methodology and outcome measures that will be used in evaluating the program; and

"(9) certify that participating first time offenders without a history of a mental illness will receive a mental health evaluation.

"SEC. 2204. APPLICATIONS.

"To request funds under this part, the chief executive or the chief justice of a State or the chief executive or chief judge of a unit of local government or Indian tribal government shall submit to the Attorney General an application in such form and containing such information as the Attorney General may reasonably require.

"SEC. 2205. FEDERAL SHARE.

"The Federal share of a grant made under this part may not exceed 75 percent of the total costs of the program described in the application submitted under section 2204 for the fiscal year for which the program receives assistance under this part, unless the Attorney General waives, wholly or in part, the requirement of a matching contribution under this section. The use of the Federal share of a grant made under this part shall be limited to new expenses necessitated by