rightly rejected an offer to play in a Bowl game without their African-American team-

Whereas this exceptionally gifted team, for the most objectionable of reasons, was deprived of the opportunity to prove itself before a national audience;

Whereas ten members of this team were drafted into the National Football League, five played in the Pro Bowl and three were inducted into the Hall of Fame;

Whereas our Nation has made great strides in overcoming the barriers of oppression, intolerance, and discrimination in order to ensure fair and equal treatment for every American by every American; and

Whereas it is appropriate and fitting to now offer these athletes the attention and accolades they earned but were denied:

Now, therefore, be it Resolved, That the Senate-

(1) applauds the undefeated and untied 1951 University of San Francisco Dons football team for its determination, commitment and integrity both on and off the playing field; and

(2) acknowledges that the treatment endured by this team was wrong and that recognition for its accomplishments is long overdue.

VITIATION OF SENATE ACTION—S. 2247 AND H.R. 940

Mr. SMITH of Oregon, Mr. President. I ask unanimous consent the previous Senate action on the following bills be vitiated: S. 2247 and H.R. 940.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered. They will be vitiated.

UNANIMOUS CONSENT AGREE-MENT FOR EXTENSION FOR CON-SIDERATION OF NOMINATIONS

Mr. SMITH of Oregon. As in executive session. I ask unanimous consent a request which is at the desk for an extension for the consideration of nominations by the Governmental Affairs Committee be agreed to.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The request follows:

REQUEST FOR UNANIMOUS CONSENT

The Committee on Governmental Affairs requests that its deadlines for making determinations on the nominations of Everett Mosley for Inspector General of the Agency for International Development, Glen Fine for Inspector General of the Department of Justice, and Gordon Heddell for Inspector General of the Department of Labor be extended to September 7, 2000 at which time those nominations shall be discharged from the Committee.

The Committee on Governmental Affairs further requests that at such times as it receives the nomination for Donald Mancuso for Inspector General of the Department of Defense that its deadline for making a determination on the nomination be extended to September 7, 2000 at which time that nomination shall be discharged from the Committee.

UNANIMOUS CONSENT AGREEMENT—NOMINATIONS

Mr. SMITH of Oregon. As in executive session, I ask unanimous consent that all nominations received by the

Senate during the 106th Congress remain in status quo notwithstanding the July 27, 2000, adjournment of the Senate and the provisions of rule XXXI, paragraph 6, of the Standing Rules of the Senate.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

EXECUTIVE SESSION

EXECUTIVE CALENDAR

Mr. SMITH of Oregon. Mr. President. I ask unanimous consent that the Senate proceed to executive session to consider the following nominations reported by the Armed Services Committee: Nos. 660, 661, 662, 664 through 670, and all nominations on the Secretary's desk.

I further ask unanimous consent that the nominations be confirmed, the motions to reconsider be laid upon the table, any statements relating to the nominations be printed in the RECORD. and the President be immediately notified of the Senate's action.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The nominations were considered and confirmed, as follows:

IN THE AIR FORCE

The following named officer for appointment in the United States Air Force to the grade indicated while assigned to a position of importance and responsibility under title 10. U.S.C., section 601:

To be lieutenant general

Maj. Gen. Raymond P. Huot, 0000

The following named officer for appointment in the United States Air Force to the grade indicated while assigned to a position of importance and responsibility under title 10, U.S.C., section 601:

To be lieutenant general

Lt. Gen. Thomas R. Case, 0000

IN THE ARMY

The following Army National Guard of the United States officers for appointment in the Reserve of the Army to the grade indicated under title 10, U.S.C., Section 12203:

To be major general

Brig. Gen. Alexander H. Burgin, 0000

To be brigadier general

Col. Jonathan P. Small, 0000

The following named officer for appointment in the United States Army to the grade indicated while assigned to a position of importance and responsibility under title, 10 U.S.C., section 601:

To be lieutenant general

Maj. Gen. Freddy E. McFarren, 0000

The following named officer for appointment in the United States Army to the grade indicated while assigned to a position of importance and responsibility under title 10. U.S.C., section 601:

To be lieutenant general

Lt. Gen. Michael L. Dodson, 0000

IN THE NAVY

The following named officers for appointment in the United States Naval Reserve to the grade indicated under title 10, U.S.C., section 12203:

To be rear admiral

Rear Adm. (lh) William J. Lynch, 0000

Rear Adm. (lh) John C. Weed, Jr., 0000

The following named officer for appointment in the United States Navy to the grade indicated under title 10, U.S.C., section 624:

To be rear admiral

Rear Adm. (lh) Daniel H. Stone, 0000

The following named officer for appointment in the United States Navy to the grade indicated while assigned to a position of importance and responsibility under title 10, U.S.C., section 601:

To be vice admiral

Rear Adm. (lh) Michael D. Haskins, 0000

The following named officers for appointment in the United States Navy to the grade indicated under title 10, U.S.C., section 624:

To be rear admiral (lower half)

Capt. Clinton E. Adams, 0000

Capt. Steven E. Hart, 0000

Capt. Louis V. Iasiello, 0000 Capt. Steven W. Maas, 0000

Capt. William J. Maguire, 0000

Capt. John M. Mateczun, 0000

Capt. Robert L. Phillips, 0000

Capt. David D. Pruett, 0000 Capt. Dennis D. Woofter, 0000

The following named officer for appointment in the United States Navy to the grade indicated while assigned to a position of importance and responsibility under title 10,

To be Vice Admiral

Vice Adm. Scott A. Fry, 0000

U.S.C., section 601:

NOMINATIONS PLACED ON THE SECRETARY'S DESK

IN THE AIR FORCE

Air Force nomination of Michael R. Marohn, which was received by the Senate and appeared in the Congressional Record of July 20, 2000.

IN THE ARMY

Army nominations beginning *Robert S. Adams, Jr., and ending *Sharon A. West, which nominations were received by the Senate and appeared in the Congressional Record of June 6, 2000.

Army nominations beginning Kelly L. Abbrescia, and ending Timothy J. Zeien, II, which nominations were received by the Senate and appeared in the Congressional Record of June 6, 2000.

IN THE COAST GUARD

Coast Guard nomination of Elizabeth A. Ashburn, which was received by the Senate and appeared in the Congressional Record of July 18, 2000.

IN THE MARINE CORPS

Marine Corps nomination of Thomas J. Connally, which was received by the Senate and appeared in the Congressional Record of July 18, 2000.

Marine Corps nominations beginning Aaron D. Abdullah, and ending Daniel M. Zonavetch, which nominations were received by the Senate and appeared in the Congressional Record of July 18, 2000.

IN THE NAVY

Navy nominations beginning Roy I. Apseloff, and ending John D. Zimmerman, which nominations were received by the Senate and appeared in the Congressional Record of April 4, 2000.

Navy nominations beginning Thomas A. Allingham, and ending John W. Zink, which nominations were received by the Senate and appeared in the Congressional Record of April 4, 2000.

Navy nominations beginning Donald M. Abrashoff, and ending Charles Zingler, which nominations were received by the Senate and appeared in the Congressional Record of April 11, 2000.

TREATY INTER-AMERICAN CONVENTION AGAINST CORRUP-TION—TREATY DOCUMENT NO. 105-39

Mr. SMITH of Oregon. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Senate proceed to consider the following treaty on today's Executive Calendar: No. 16. I further ask unanimous consent that the treaty be considered as having passed through its various parliamentary stages, up to and including the presentation of the resolution of ratification; that all committee provisos, reservations, understandings, and declarations be considered agreed to; that any statements be printed in the Congressional Record as if read; further, when the resolution of ratification is voted upon, the motion to reconsider be laid upon the table, and the President be notified of the Senate's action.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. SMITH of Oregon. Mr. President, I ask for a division vote on the resolution of ratification.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. A division has been requested.

Senators in favor of the resolution of ratification will rise and stand until counted. (After a pause.) Those opposed will rise and stand until counted.

On a division, two-thirds of the Senators present having voted in the affirmative, the resolution of ratification is agreed to.

The resolution of ratification agreed to is as follows:

Resolved (two-thirds of the Senators present concurring therein).

That the Senate advise and consent to the ratification of the Inter-American Convention Against Corruption, adopted and opened for signature at the Specialized Conference of the Organization of American States (OAS) at Caracas, Venezuela, on March 29, 1996, (Treaty Doc. 105-39); referred to in this resolution of ratification as "The Convention", subject to the understandings of subsection (a), the declaration of subsection (b), and the provisos of subsection (c).

(a) Understandings.—The advice and consent of the Senate is subject to the following understandings, which shall be included in the instrument of ratification of the Convention and shall be binding on the President:

(1) APPLICATION OF ARTICLE I.—The United States of America understands that the phrase "at any level of its hierarchy" in the first and second paragraphs of Article I of the Convention refers, in the case of the United States, to all levels of the hierarchy of the Federal Government of the United States, and that the Convention does not impose obligations with respect to the conduct of officials other than Federal officials.

(2) ARTICLE VII ("Domestic Law").

(A) Article VII of the Convention sets forth an obligation to adopt legislative measures to establish as criminal offenses the acts of corruption described in Article VI(1). There is an extensive network of laws already in place in the United States that criminalize a wide range of corrupt acts. Although United States laws may not in all cases be defined in terms or elements identical to those used in the Convention, it is the understanding of the United States, with the caveat set forth in subparagraph (B), that the kinds of official corruption which are intended under the

Convention to be criminalized would in fact be criminal offenses under U.S. law. Accordingly, the United States does not intend to enact new legislation to implement Article VII of the Convention.

(B) There is no general "attempt" statute in U.S. federal criminal law. Nevertheless. federal statutes make "attempts" criminal in connection with specific crimes. This is of particular relevance with respect to Article VI(1)(c) of the Convention, which by its literal terms would embrace a single pre-paratory act done with the requisite "purpose" of profiting illicitly at some future time, even though the course of conduct is neither pursued, nor in any sense consummated. The United States will not criminalize such conduct per se, although significant acts of corruption in this regard would be generally subject to prosecution in the context of one or more other crimes.

TRANSNATIONAL BRIBERY.—Current United States law provides criminal sanctions for transnational bribery. Therefore, it is the understanding of the United States of America that no additional legislation is needed for the United States to comply with the obligation imposed in Article VIII of the Convention.

(4) Illicit enrichment.—The United States of America intends to assist and cooperate with other States Parties pursuant to paragraph 3 of Article IX of the Convention to the extent permitted by its domestic law. The United States recognizes the importance of combating improper financial gains by public officials, and has criminal statutes to deter or punish such conduct. These statutes obligate senior-level officials in the federal government to file truthful financial disclosure statements, subject to criminal penalties. They also permit prosecution of federal public officials who evade taxes on wealth that is acquired illicitly. The offense of illicit enrichment as set forth in Article IX of the Convention, however, places the burden of proof on the defendant, which is inconsistent with the United States Constitution and fundamental principles of the United States legal system. Therefore, the United States understands that it is not obligated to establish a new criminal offense of illicit enrichment under Article IX of the Convention.

(5) EXTRADITION.—The United States of America shall not consider this Convention as the legal basis for extradition to any country with which the United States has no bilateral extradition treaty in force. In such cases where the United States does not have a bilateral extradition treaty in force, that bilateral extradition treaty shall serve as the legal basis for extradition for offenses that are extraditable in accordance with this

Convention.

(6) Prohibition assistance to the inter-NATIONAL CRIMINAL COURT.—The United States of America shall exercise its rights to limit the use of assistance it provides under the Convention so that any assistance provided by the Government of the United States shall not be transferred to or otherwise used to assist the International Criminal Court agreed to in Rome, Italy, on July 17. 1998, unless the treaty establishing the Court has entered into force for the United States by and with the advice and consent of the Senate, as required by Article II. section 2 of the United States Constitution.

(b) DECLARATION.—The advice and consent of the Senate is subject to the following declaration:

TREATY INTERPRETATION.—The Senate affirms the applicability to all treaties of the constitutionally based principles of treaty interpretation set forth in Condition (1) of the resolution of ratification of the INF Treaty, approved by the Senate on May 27, 1988, and Condition (8) of the resolution of ratification of the Document Agreed Among the State Parties to the Treaty on Conventional Armed Forces in Europe, approved by the Senate on May 14, 1997.

(c) PROVISOS.—The advice and consent of the Senate is subject to the following pro-

(1) Enforcement and monitoring.—Not later than April 1, 2001, and annually thereafter for five years, unless extended by an Act of Congress, the President shall submit to the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate, and the Speaker of the House of Representatives, a report that sets out:

(A) RATIFICATION.—A list of the countries that have ratified the Convention, the dates of ratification and entry into force for each country, and a detailed account of U.S. efforts to encourage other nations that are signatories to the Convention to ratify and implement it.

(B) Domestic legislation implementing THE CONVENTION AND ACTIONS TO ADVANCE ITS OBJECT AND PURPOSE.—A description of the domestic laws enacted by each Party to the Convention that implement commitments under the Convention and actions taken by each Party during the previous year, including domestic law enforcement measures, to advance the object and purpose of the Convention.

(C) PROGRESS AT THE ORGANIZATION OF AMERICAN STATES ON A MONITORING PROC-ESS.—An assessment of progress in the Organization of American States (OAS) toward creation of an effective, transparent, and viable Convention compliance monitoring process which includes input from the private sector and non-governmental organizations.

(D) FUTURE NEGOTIATIONS.—A description of the anticipated future work of the Parties to the Convention to expand its scope and assess other areas where the Convention could be amended to decrease corrupt activities.

(2) MUTUAL LEGAL ASSISTANCE.—When the United States receives a request for assistance under Article XIV of the Convention from a country with which it has in force a bilateral treaty for mutual legal assistance in criminal matters, the bilateral treaty will provide the legal basis for responding to that request. In any case of assistance sought from the United States under Article XIV of the Convention, the United States shall. consistent with U.S. laws, relevant treaties and arrangements, deny assistance where granting the assistance sought would prejudice its essential public policy interest, including cases where the Central Authority, after consultation with all appropriate intelligence, anti-narcotic, and foreign policy agencies, has specific information that a senior government official who will have access to information to be provided under this Convention is engaged in a felony, including the facilitation of the production or distribution of illegal drugs.

(3) SUPREMACY OF THE CONSTITUTION.-Nothing in the Convention requires or authorizes legislation or other action by the United States of America that is prohibited by the Constitution of the United States as interpreted by the United States.

REMOVAL OF INJUNCTION OF SE-CRECY—TREATY DOCUMENT NO. 106 - 38

Mr. SMITH of Oregon. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Injunction of Secrecy be removed from the following treaty transmitted to the Senate on July 27, 2000, by the President of the United States: