

There are many families, I am sure, mourning over this terrible tragedy. Also on that plane was the son of a former State legislator, Margaret Branson. Her son Malcolm and his fiancée Janice Stokes, both of Ketchikan, were returning from a vacation in Mexico.

I have this report for the Senate. I have been in touch with Jim Hall of the National Transportation Safety Board and the Secretary of Transportation, Secretary Slater. It is my intention to go to California on Thursday to meet with NTSB officials in Oxnard and the Coast Guard officials in Port Hueneme, CA, concerning the crash.

I say to the Senate that Alaska Airlines has an exemplary safety record. In my State, their pilots and planes fly in the most challenging terrain and weather of our whole Nation, if not the world. This is a great tragedy for that small airline and for our State.

My thoughts are with those people who are involved in trying to make certain the airline continues and their personal families of that airline who are affected by this tragedy are cared for as well as the relatives of people who have lost their lives.

I thank my colleagues very much for their courtesy in allowing me to make this report to the Senate.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous agreement, the Senator from New York is recognized.

Mr. SCHUMER. Mr. President, I thank the Senator from Alaska for his remarks and say to him that—and I am sure I speak for all the people of my State—we share the grief of the families who have lost loved ones and all those who have been affected by this terrible tragedy. To hear of an outstanding citizen and his wife and daughter losing their lives on that flight reminds us all that there but for the grace of God go each of us.

BANKRUPTCY REFORM ACT OF 1999—Continued

Mr. SCHUMER. Mr. President, before I get into the substance of my remarks, every time some of us on this floor bring up gun issues—not to eliminate them, but to make sure those who should not have them do not get them—we hear from those who are opposed to us that we are being political.

I do not understand that remark other than it being a defensive remark. First, I believe my views as strongly, say, as the Senator from Idaho believes his. I do not think I am being any more or any less political than he is by defending that viewpoint. That is what the Senate is all about.

Second, if one wants to argue about politics, a vast majority of Americans support the position I support. That is what democracy is all about, and politics is a good thing if you are representing people's views and trying to do good for your country, your State, and your communities. So I do not quite get the political nature of the comment.

Third, we are not saying that all gun manufacturers are subject to suit or subject to successful suit. I heard the Senator from Idaho mention Wal-Mart. This is not a suit aimed at Wal-Mart. This is a suit aimed at dealers, often a handful of dealers, who are reckless, or worse, in the way they distribute guns.

About 6 months ago, my office issued a report which showed that 1 percent of the dealers issued close to 50 percent of the guns traceable in crimes. These were not the 1 percent who had the greatest volume. These were obviously the 1 percent who, for some reason, were not living up to their responsibilities under the Brady law, which is the law of the land. That kind of fact is what brought these suits about.

The suit, for instance, brought forward by the City of Chicago claims that some manufacturers and some dealers are completely reckless in how they distribute guns. If each dealer were careful, if each dealer and manufacturer did what the law says, the number of people killed with guns by criminals and the number of children who get guns would decline. These lawsuits are a very legitimate part of American life.

I wish we didn't need lawsuits, but since this Senate has stymied every single measure to bring rationality to our laws about guns, not to take people's guns away, as some of the opponents argue in terms of setting up a straw man, but to say that the same responsibilities that someone who drives a car or practices free speech has, because none of those rights is absolute, should be visited upon gun manufacturers, gun dealers and, yes, gun owners. If this Chamber had moved forward in accordance with the will of the American people, we wouldn't have these lawsuits. But that is not the case. One can speculate as to why.

We have a Senate totally deadlocked, a Congress unable to even pass something as minute as closing the gun show loophole. So we have these suits. They are legitimate lawsuits. They are tried by a jury in accordance with American law.

Mr. President, I ask the Senator from Michigan to yield me 3 additional minutes.

Mr. LEVIN. I yield my friend from New York 3 additional minutes.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. We have approached the time for the recess.

Mr. SCHUMER. I thank the Chair for his courtesy.

It is not the major gun dealers who are seeking the shield of bankruptcy; it is the companies, sometimes small, often nasty, that have sought this. Look at the so-called ring of fire, gun manufacturers around the city of Los Angeles that manufacture cheap handguns, who know darn well that those handguns are often ending up in the hands of young people who shouldn't have them. They are the people against whom the Senator from Michigan so wisely is seeking to allow the court process to continue. It would be the

height of special interest folly if we allowed dealers to escape the punishment meted out by a civil court through a bankruptcy loophole that was never intended to allow people to evade justice.

This amendment is about justice, pure and simple. It doesn't preordain what the courts will decide, but it clearly states that if the court should decide a gun manufacturer or a gun dealer was reckless, was negligent, then they can be held accountable. If we don't pass it, it is another in a long line of sops to the gun lobby in which this Chamber has unfortunately participated over the last several years. I hope this body has the courage to stand tall and pass an amendment that we all know is right.

I thank the Chair for his courtesy.

Mr. LIEBERMAN. Mr. President, I rise to express my opposition to Senator LEVIN's amendment, which would deny bankruptcy protection to gun companies, and to explain the reasons for my position. I intend to vote against Senator LEVIN's amendment despite the fact that I have consistently supported gun control legislation.

I know my colleague's intentions are good, but this amendment is not the right way to address the serious problem of gun violence in our nation. It would establish a dangerous new precedent in our Bankruptcy Code, and it would unfairly discriminate against an entire category of companies, regardless of whether a given company is behaving responsibly. In Connecticut, for example, Colt's Manufacturing, which has been at the forefront of developing new technologies to make guns safer, teeters at the edge of bankruptcy because it has been caught up in the tide of lawsuits against gun companies. Would it be fair to deny Colt the normal protections afforded to any company trying to reorganize? My colleague from Michigan refers to the irresponsible practices of a few gun companies, but his amendment could cripple reputable companies such as Colt's.

Senator LEVIN seeks to amend the Bankruptcy Code so that firearm manufacturers filing for reorganization would not be entitled to the ordinary protections from product liability lawsuits. He argues that a loophole in the bankruptcy system allows gun companies to stay lawsuits and discharge their debts. In fact, the stay of lawsuits and discharge of debts to which Senator LEVIN refers is no loophole, but is essential to the proper operation of Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. On more than one occasion, otherwise healthy companies have been hit with huge numbers of product liability cases simultaneously, and had to file for protection under Chapter 11. One recent example is Dow Corning, which filed for reorganization in response to the thousands of lawsuits over silicone breast implants, and which is now paying out claims in an orderly and expeditious process. If the lawsuits are not stayed by the bankruptcy court, then

resolved in one tribunal, the company would be more likely to fail before all claimants can litigate their cases. Chapter 11 does not allow a company to evade lawsuits, but rather to pay out claims proportionately and fairly to all claimants, hopefully in a way that keeps the company afloat.

This rationale for Chapter 11 bankruptcy applies to the gun industry as well. I understand why my colleague criticizes the practices of companies such as Lorcin, which churn out the "Saturday Night Specials" favored by criminals. But his amendment to the Bankruptcy Code is not narrowly drafted to target those companies. Many municipalities and gun control groups have adopted a strategy of filing multiple, simultaneous product liability lawsuits, in which all gun companies are named as defendants irrespective of their particular practices. The lawsuits have not succeeded on the merits thus far, but the costs of litigation are threatening the financial viability of many of the smaller companies.

Colt's Manufacturing, which is among the most progressive firearms manufacturers in the country, has been drawn into the same lawsuits. Seventy percent of Colt's sales are to law enforcement and defense agencies, and the company does not produce "Saturday Night Specials." Although Colt's has limited assets, it has been working to develop "smart gun" technology and other innovations that will reduce handgun violence. Nevertheless, Colt's has been named as a defendant in all 29 lawsuits filed so far. Despite the fact that Colt's has won four decisions and lost no final judgments, insurance companies are pulling their coverage and investors have been reluctant to provide new capital. In one year, the company has gone from 1200 to 400 employees. Colt's reports that it is in financial jeopardy as a result of the lawsuits, and may soon have to file for reorganization under Chapter 11, as it did several years ago. The amendment we are considering today would be devastating to Colt's. Rather than being given a chance to reorganize, the company would slowly be bled dry. Along with lost jobs in my state, the nation would lose a responsible company with a history of great craftsmanship which has been looking for solutions to the epidemic of handgun violence.

No industry has ever been singled out in the Bankruptcy Code for this sort of discriminatory treatment. The case has not been made for why Chapter 11 should not apply equally to all sectors of the economy. There are many possible legislative approaches for addressing the appalling rates of gun violence in the United States, but this is not one of them. I urge my colleagues to oppose the amendment.

Mr. ASHCROFT addressed the Chair. The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Missouri.

Mr. ASHCROFT. I ask unanimous consent to speak as in morning business for up to 10 minutes, at the con-

clusion of which time I will propound a unanimous consent request regarding Senate Resolution 250 related to the Super Bowl champions, the St. Louis Rams.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The Senator from Missouri.

SUPER BOWL CHAMPIONS ST. LOUIS RAMS

Mr. ASHCROFT. Mr. President, I appreciate this opportunity to make a comment on an event which is very important to the State of Missouri, very important to the city of St. Louis, very important to this Senator.

It happens that over the weekend, the St. Louis Rams encountered a very energetic and talented team, the Tennessee Titans, in Atlanta to settle the issue of who would be the Super Bowl NFL champions this year. In a very hard fought game that represented the highest of effort by both teams, the Rams prevailed. There are those who from time to time ask me if I was nervous at any time. I think they were hoping I would say I was never nervous. Well, I got pretty nervous toward the end of the game. But I was very pleased with the result because there is no team more worthy of having won this game than the St. Louis Rams.

I will just say a few things about the St. Louis Rams, about that marvelous effort of a crew we call the "go to work," "gotta go to work" crew in St. Louis. Different football teams are understood and known for different things. The St. Louis Rams have a slogan: Gotta go to work. I don't think there is a better slogan anywhere for a sports team than a sports team that elevates the idea of work. It is work that brings us to any goal, to the achievements we enjoy. It is work that gives us successful families. It is work that allows America to compete successfully around the world. It is that work ethic, expressed by the St. Louis Rams, that made them world champions.

For me to have the opportunity to stand today and say a few words about the St. Louis Rams, the fact that they had the work ethic necessary to prevail in the Super Bowl over an excellent team from Tennessee, is something for which we are all grateful.

I will talk a little bit about the kind of statistical year the Rams had. We had Kurt Warner, who is one of the great Horatio Alger stories of America. People talk about rags to riches. I don't know if he has gotten to riches yet. He was at the minimum wage in the National Football League before they decided to give him a bonus this year, and I don't know that he was in rags, but 5 years ago he was bagging groceries in Iowa because he hadn't quite gotten the opportunity to demonstrate his skills in football. Maybe this would be called from rags to riches.

The truth is, it is a heroic story of an individual who has not only great foot-

ball skills but whose inspirational life is the kind of leadership we need more of in this country. When asked about his own inspiration, he said he gets inspiration from his family and the handicapped member of the family who every day, when falling down, gets back up. For the most valuable player in the Super Bowl, the most valuable player in the National Football League, to understand that we can all learn from each other and we can learn from even those in their heroic efforts who have not the talents that we do but have the courage to get back up, that is a tremendous thing.

It is with that in mind that I will talk a bit about the St. Louis Rams today, the Ram team, including Kurt Warner, and then Marshall Faulk, who set the all-time record for combined yardage this year. I thrill to the fact that there are youngsters in my State and across America who are saying: I want to be like Marshall Faulk; I want to be like Kurt Warner and this team of individuals who are such outstanding individuals; Isaac Bruce, who has been so productive as a football player and such an exemplary leader in our community.

There are statistics about this team. They won the West divisional title with a 13 and 3 record. They posted an undefeated record at home. That is something special to me because that was in the TWA Dome. When I was Governor of the State of Missouri, it was my responsibility to be involved in the construction of that dome and to see to it that it came in under budget and on time and was a great facility. But no facility ever achieves greatness unless there are great things done there—to have the team come and be undefeated there this year and, of course, have other great things there. The Pope visited St. Louis and was at the TWA Dome, and Billy Graham came to St. Louis this year and was at the TWA Dome. There are some people who think it is important to invite the Pope and Billy Graham back next year so we can go undefeated another time. We would be pleased to have them come back because they bring the kind of presence to St. Louis that all of us cherish and want.

To watch our quarterback, Kurt Warner, who enjoyed one of the best seasons ever by an NFL quarterback, becoming only the second player in history to throw more than 40 touchdown passes and to realize that he wasn't discovered as a starting quarterback until this year's circumstances thrust him into the position, it was an amazing thing: completing 66 percent of his passes; 10 300-yard games in the season; setting a new Super Bowl record for 414 yards in passing. The offense of the Rams team: 526 points, the third highest single-season record ever.

Of course, Kurt Warner was named the NFL player of the year. He took his \$30,000 award and gave it to Camp Barnabus, which is a camp for young people in southern Missouri. This