

EC-11270. A communication from the Program Analyst, Federal Aviation Administration, Department of Transportation, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Amendment to Class E Airspace; Coffeyville, KS; docket no. 00-ACE-15 [6/22-10/19]" (RIN2120-AA66) (2000-0241) received on October 19, 2000; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC-11271. A communication from the Program Analyst, Federal Aviation Administration, Department of Transportation, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Amendment to Class E Airspace; Coffeyville, KS; confirmation of effective date; docket no. 00-ACE-15 [8-29/10-29]" (RIN2120-AA66) (2000-0242) received on October 19, 2000; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC-11272. A communication from the Program Analyst, Federal Aviation Administration, Department of Transportation, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Amendment to Class E Airspace; Oelwein, IA; correction; docket no. 00-ACE-12 [9-18/10-19]" (RIN2120-AA66) (2000-0243) received on October 19, 2000; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC-11273. A communication from the Program Analyst, Federal Aviation Administration, Department of Transportation, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Amendment to Class E Airspace; Pella, IA; docket no. 00-ACE-26 [9-18/10-19]" (RIN2120-AA66) (2000-0244) received on October 19, 2000; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC-11274. A communication from the Deputy Associate Administrator, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Approval and Promulgation of Maintenance Plan Revisions; Wisconsin" (FRL #6891-3) received on October 20, 2000; to the Committee on Environment and Public Works.

EC-11275. A communication from the Deputy Associate Administrator, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Vermont: Final Authorization of State Hazardous Waste Management Program Revisions" (FRL #6892-8) received on October 23, 2000; to the Committee on Environment and Public Works.

EC-11276. A communication from the Deputy Associate Administrator, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Approval and Promulgation of State Implementation Plans; (SIP) for the State of Alabama—Call for 1-hour Attainment Demonstration for the Birmingham, Alabama Marginal Ozone Nonattainment Area" (FRL #6892-2) received on October 23, 2000; to the Committee on Environment and Public Works.

EC-11277. A communication from the Deputy Associate Administrator, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting, the report of eight items; to the Committee on Environment and Public Works.

EC-11278. A communication from the Acting Assistant Secretary, Pension and Welfare Benefits Administration, Department of Labor, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Small Pension Plan Security Amendments" (RIN210-AA73) received on October 23, 2000; to the Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions.

EC-11279. A communication from the Deputy Secretary of the Division of Market Regulation, Securities and Exchange Commission, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Amendments to Rule 9b-1 under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934 Relating to the Options Disclosure Document" (RIN3235-AH30) received on October 20, 2000; to the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs.

EC-11280. A communication from the Deputy Associate Administrator, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Time-Limited Tolerances for Pesticide Emergency Exemptions" (FRL #6749-7) received on October 20, 2000; to the Committee on Agriculture, Nutrition, and Forestry.

EC-11281. A communication from the Associate Administrator of the Agricultural Marketing Service, Department of Agriculture, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Olives Grown in California; Modification to Handler Membership on the California Olive Committee" (Docket Number: FV00-932-2 FR) received on October 23, 2000; to the Committee on Agriculture, Nutrition, and Forestry.

INTRODUCTION OF BILLS AND JOINT RESOLUTIONS

The following bills and joint resolutions were introduced, read the first and second times by unanimous consent, and referred as indicated:

By Mr. SMITH of Oregon (for himself and Mr. WYDEN):

S. 3227. A bill to authorize the Bureau of Reclamation to provide for the installation of pumps and removal of the Savage Rapids Dam on the Rogue River in the State of Oregon, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources.

SUBMISSION OF CONCURRENT AND SENATE RESOLUTIONS

The following concurrent resolutions and Senate resolutions were read, and referred (or acted upon), as indicated:

By Mr. LOTT (for himself, Mr. DASCHLE, Mr. THURMOND, Mr. STEVENS, Mr. MCCONNELL, Mr. DODD, Mr. BENNETT, Mr. GORTON, and Mrs. FEINSTEIN):

S. Con. Res. 154. A concurrent resolution to acknowledge and salute the contributions of coin collectors; considered and agreed to.

STATEMENTS ON INTRODUCED BILLS AND JOINT RESOLUTIONS

By Mr. SMITH of Oregon (for himself and Mr. WYDEN):

S. 3227. A bill to authorize the Bureau of Reclamation to provide for the installation of pumps and removal of the Savage Rapids Dam on the Rogue River in the State of Oregon, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources.

THE SAVAGE RAPIDS DAM ACT OF 2000

Mr. SMITH of Oregon. Mr. President, today I am introducing the Savage Rapids Dam Act of 2000, which is cosponsored by my colleague Mr. WYDEN. This bill would authorize the Bureau of Reclamation to provide for the installation of pumps and removal of the Savage Rapids Dam on the Rogue River in the State of Oregon, and for other purposes.

Introduction of this bill follows months of negotiations between the Grants Pass Irrigation District, which owns the dam and has received water from it since 1921, federal and state agencies, and other stakeholders in the Basin. Removal of the dam, following

the installation of modern electric irrigation pumps, will resolve the ongoing issues related to fish passage at the facility.

Early on, I made a commitment to help the District resolve the controversies surrounding the dam in a manner acceptable to the District and its patrons, and in a way that left the District economically viable. This bill achieves both those goals.

In December 1999, the board of directors of the Grants Pass Irrigation District adopted a resolution outlining the proposed settlement of disputes relating to the dam. The patrons of the district subsequently voted to adopt the settlement at the beginning of the year. The settlement supports dam removal, but only following the installation of irrigation pumps. The proposed settlement had several other components that have been addressed in the crafting of this legislation.

I realize that it is late in the 106th Congress to be introducing legislation. However, I felt that this was the most effective way to focus attention on this proposal. Despite our best efforts to communicate with all interested and affected parties, I believe introduction of the bill at this time will enable us to gain valuable feedback before the start of the next Congress. This will enable us to reintroduce the bill early next year.

I recognize that dam removal proposals can be controversial. This facility, however, is not a large multi-purpose dam. It does not generate electricity, and provides no flood control. It does not affect commercial navigation. There will be an impact on flat-water recreational opportunities, so the bill directs the Secretary of the Interior to work with the State of Oregon and the counties of Josephine and Jackson to identify and implement recreation opportunities. The bill includes an authorization of 2.5 million dollars for the federal share of these recreation facilities.

I look forward to working with the Grants Pass Irrigation District and the other stakeholders to bring resolution to the disputes that have gone on for several years now. This is an opportunity to restore salmon and maintain an agricultural way of life for the patrons of the District.

ADDITIONAL COSPONSORS

S. 1044

At the request of Mr. HELMS, the name of the Senator from Kansas (Mr. BROWNBACK) was added as a cosponsor of S. 1044, a bill to require coverage for colorectal cancer screenings.

S. 1563

At the request of Mr. ABRAHAM, the name of the Senator from Minnesota (Mr. GRAMS) was added as a cosponsor of S. 1563, a bill to establish the Immigration Affairs Agency within the Department of Justice, and for other purposes.

S. 2009

At the request of Mr. WYDEN, the name of the Senator from Louisiana (Ms. LANDRIEU) was added as a cosponsor of S. 2009, a bill to provide for a rural education development initiative, and for other purposes.

S. 3085

At the request of Mr. JEFFORDS, the name of the Senator from Louisiana (Ms. LANDRIEU) was added as a cosponsor of S. 3085, a bill to provide assistance to mobilize and support United States communities in carrying out youth development programs that assure that all youth have access to programs and services that build the competencies and character development needed to fully prepare the youth to become adults and effective citizens.

S. 3089

At the request of Mr. HAGEL, the names of the Senator from New Hampshire (Mr. SMITH) and the Senator from Michigan (Mr. LEVIN) were added as cosponsors of S. 3089, a bill to authorize the design and construction of a temporary education center at the Vietnam Veterans Memorial

S. 3181

At the request of Mr. HAGEL, the names of the Senator from Georgia (Mr. CLELAND), the Senator from Massachusetts (Mr. KENNEDY), the Senator from Michigan (Mr. LEVIN), and the Senator from Missouri (Mr. ASHCROFT) were added as cosponsors of S. 3181, a bill to establish the White House Commission on the National Moment of Remembrance, and for other purposes.

AMENDMENT NO. 4301

At the request of Mr. JEFFORDS, the name of the Senator from Alabama (Mr. SESSIONS) was added as a cosponsor of amendment No. 4301 intended to be proposed to H.R. 1102, a bill to provide for pension reform, and for other purposes.

SENATE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION 154—TO ACKNOWLEDGE AND SALUTE THE CONTRIBUTIONS OF COIN COLLECTORS

Mr. LOTT (for himself, Mr. DASCHLE, Mr. THURMOND, Mr. STEVENS, Mr. MCCONNELL, Mr. DODD, Mr. BENNETT, Mr. GORTON, and Mrs. FEINSTEIN) submitted the following concurrent resolution; which was considered and agreed to:

S. CON. RES. 154

Whereas since 1982, 37 of the Nation's worthy institutions, organizations, foundations, and programs have been commemorated under the coin programs;

Whereas since 1982, the Nation's coin collectors have purchased nearly 49,000,000 commemorative coins that have yielded nearly \$1,800,000,000 in revenue and more than \$407,000,000 in surcharges benefitting a variety of deserving causes;

Whereas the United States Capitol has benefitted from the commemorative coin surcharges that have supported such commendable projects as the restoration of the Statue of Freedom atop the Capitol dome, the furtherance of the development of the United

States Capitol Visitor Center, and the planned National Garden at the United States Botanic Gardens on the Capitol grounds;

Whereas surcharges from the year 2000 coin program commemorating the Library of Congress bicentennial benefit the Library of Congress bicentennial programs, educational outreach activities (including schools and libraries), and other activities of the Library of Congress; and

Whereas the United States Capitol Visitor Center commemorative coin program will commence in January 2001, with the surcharges designated to further benefit the Capitol Visitor Center: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled, That the Congress of the United States acknowledges and salutes the ongoing generosity, loyalty, and significant role that coin collectors have played in supporting our Nation's meritorious charitable organizations, foundations, institutions, and programs, including the United States Capitol, the Library of Congress, and the United States Botanic Gardens.

CBO COST ESTIMATE—S. 1495

Mr. JEFFORDS. Mr. President, on October 11, 2000, I filed Report No. 106-496 to accompany S. 1495, a bill to establish, wherever feasible, guidelines, recommendations, and regulations that promote the regulatory acceptance of new and revised toxicological tests that protect human and animal health and the environment while reducing, refining, or replacing animal tests and ensuring human safety and product effectiveness. At the time the report was filed, the estimate by the Congressional Budget Office was not available. I ask unanimous consent that a copy of the CBO estimate be printed in the RECORD.

There being no objection, the material was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

U.S. CONGRESS,
CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET OFFICE,
Washington, DC, October 19, 2000.

Hon. JAMES M. JEFFORDS,
Chairman, Committee on Health, Education,
Labor, and Pensions, U.S. Senate, Wash-
ington, DC.

DEAR MR. CHAIRMAN: The Congressional Budget Office has prepared the enclosed cost estimate for S. 1495, the ICCVAM Authorization Act of 2000.

If you wish further details on this estimate, we will be pleased to provide them. The CBO staff contact is Christopher J. Topoleski.

Sincerely,

BARRY B. ANDERSON
(For Dan L. Crippen, Director).

Enclosure.

S. 1495—ICCVAM Authorization Act of 2000

Summary: S. 1495 would designate the Interagency Coordinating Committee on the Validation of Alternative Methods (ICCVAM) as a permanent standing committee administered by the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences (NIEHS). The legislation would establish objectives for ICCVAM, including increasing the efficiency of reviewing methods of animal testing across federal agencies, and reducing reliance on animal testing. In addition, the bill would direct the NIEHS to establish a Scientific Advisory Committee to assist the ICCVAM in making recommendations.

The bill also would require federal agencies to identify and forward to ICCVAM their guidelines or regulations requiring or recommending animal testing. The ICCVAM would examine alternatives to traditional animal testing and promote the use of those alternatives whenever possible. Agencies would be required to adopt ICCVAM recommendations unless such recommendations are inadequate or unsatisfactory.

Assuming the appropriation of the necessary amounts, CBO estimates that implementing S. 1495 would cost \$1 million in 2001 and \$9 million over the 2001-2005 period, assuming annual adjustments for inflation for those activities without specified authorization levels. The five-year total would be \$8 million if such inflation adjustments are not made. The legislation would not affect direct spending or receipts; therefore, pay-as-you-go procedures would not apply.

S. 1495 contains no intergovernmental or private-sector mandates as defined in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act (UMRA) and would not affect the budgets of state, local, or tribal governments.

Estimated cost to the Federal Government: The estimated budgetary impact of S. 1495 is shown in the following table. The costs of this legislation fall within budget function 550 (health).

	By fiscal year, in millions of dollars—					
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005
SPENDING SUBJECT TO APPROPRIATION						
Spending Under Current Law:						
Estimated Authorization Level ¹	445	445	464	473	483	493
Estimated Outlays	384	426	443	456	466	475
Proposed Changes ² :						
Estimated Authorization Level ..	0	2	2	2	2	2
Estimated Outlays	0	1	2	2	2	2
Spending Under S. 1495:						
Estimated Authorization Level ..	445	457	466	475	485	495
Estimated Outlays	384	427	445	458	468	477

¹ The 2000 level is the amount appropriated for that year for the agencies that would be affected by S. 1495. The 2001-2005 levels are CBO baseline projections, including adjustments for anticipated inflation.

² The amounts shown reflect adjustments for anticipated inflation. Without such inflation adjustments, the five-year changes in authorization levels would total \$10 million (instead of \$11 million) and the changes in outlays would total \$8 million (instead of \$9 million).

Basis of estimate: For this estimate, CBO assumes that the bill will be enacted early in fiscal year 2001 and that the estimated amounts will be appropriated for each year. We also assume that outlays will follow historical spending rates for the NIEHS for the authorized activities. CBO based its estimates on amounts spent in the past for similar types of activities.

In addition to making the ICCVAM a standing committee, the bill would require federal agencies to identify and forward to ICCVAM their guidelines or regulations requiring or recommending animal testing. Agencies would be required to adopt ICCVAM recommendations unless such recommendations are inadequate or unsatisfactory. The agencies that would most likely be affected by this provision include the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry, the Department of Agriculture, the Department of Defense, the Department of Energy, the Environmental Protection Agency, the Food and Drug Administration, various institutes within the National Institutes of Health, and any other agency that develops or employs tests or test data using animals or regulates the use of animals in toxicity testing. Based on information from the NIH, it appears that most agencies currently comply with the findings of the ICCVAM on evaluations of research methods. Thus, CBO estimates that the provision would not have a significant impact on federal spending.

Pay-as-you-go considerations: None.

Intergovernmental and private-sector impact: S. 1495 contains no intergovernmental or private-sector mandates as defined in