September	7, 2000	
Gilchrest	Linder	Salmon
Gillmor	Lipinski	Sanford
Gilman	LoBiondo	Saxton
Goode	Lucas (KY)	Scarborough
Goodlatte	Lucas (OK)	Schaffer
Goodling	Manzullo	Sensenbrenne
Gordon	Martinez	Sessions
Goss	McCrery	Shadegg
Graham	McHugh	Shaw
Granger Green (WI)	McInnis McIntyre	Shays Sherwood
Greenwood	McKeon	Shimkus
Gutknecht	Metcalf	Shows
Hall (OH)	Mica	Shuster
Hall (TX)	Miller (FL)	Simpson
Hansen	Miller, Gary	Skeen
Hastings (WA)	Mollohan	Skelton
Hayes	Moran (KS)	Smith (MI)
Hayworth	Moran (VA)	Smith (NJ)
Hefley	Morella	Smith (TX)
Herger	Myrick	Souder
Hill (MT)	Nethercutt	Spence
Hilleary	Ney	Spratt
Hobson	Northup	Stearns
Hoekstra	Norwood	Stenholm
Holden	Nussle	Stump
Horn	Ose	Sununu
Hostettler	Oxley	Sweeney
Houghton	Packard	Talent
Hulshof Hunter	Paul Pease	Tancredo Tanner
Hutchinson	Peterson (MN)	Tauzin
Hyde	Peterson (PA)	Taylor (MS)
Isakson	Petri	Taylor (NC)
Istook	Phelps	Terry
Jenkins	Pickering	Thomas
John	Pitts	Thornberry
Johnson (CT)	Pombo	Thune
Johnson, Sam	Porter	Tiahrt
Jones (NC)	Portman	Toomey
Kaptur	Pryce (OH)	Traficant
Kasich	Quinn	Upton
Kelly	Radanovich	Vitter
Kildee	Rahall	Walden
King (NY)	Ramstad	Walsh
Kingston	Regula	Wamp
Knollenberg	Reynolds	Watkins
Kolbe	Riley	Watts (OK)
Kuykendall LaHood	Roemer	Weldon (FL) Weldon (PA)
Largent	Rogan	Weller
Latham	Rogers Rohrabacher	Whitfield
LaTourette	Ros-Lehtinen	Wicker
Lazio	Roukema	Wilson
Leach	Royce	Wise
Lewis (CA)	Ryan (WI)	Wolf
Lewis (KY)	Ryun (KS)	Young (FL)
NOT VOTING—10		
Engel	McCollum	Vento
Everett	McIntosh	Young (AK)
Jefferson	Owens	. Julia (i III)
Jones (OH)	Towns	

□ 1355

Mr. SPRATT and Mr. COOKSEY changed their vote from "aye" to "no. Mrs. CAPPS changed her vote from "no" to "aye."

So the motion to recommit was rejected. The result of the vote was announced

as above recorded. The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr.

PEASE). The question is on the passage of the bill.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Mr. CARDIN. Mr. Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The yeas and nays were ordered.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were-yeas 405, nays 18, not voting 11, as follows:

[Roll No. 457]

YEAS-405

Abercrombie Armey Baldacci Aderholt Baldwin Baca Bachus Allen Ballenger Andrews Baird Barcia Baker Archer Barr

Barrett (NE) Barrett (WI) Bartlett Barton Bass Becerra Bentsen Bereuter Berman Berry Biggert Bilbray Bilirakis Bishop Blagojevich Bliley Blumenauer Boehlert Boehner Bonior Bono Borski Boswell Boucher Boyd Brady (PA) Brady (TX) Brown (FL) Brown (OH) Bryant Burr Burton Buver Callahan Calvert Camp Campbell Canady Capps Capuano Cardin Castle Chabot Chambliss Clay Clayton Clement Clyburn Coble Collins Combest Condit Cook Cooksey Costello Cox Coyne Cramer Crane Crowley Cubin Cummings Cunningham Danner Davis (FL) Davis (IL) Davis (VA) Deal DeFazio DeGette Delahunt DeLauro DeLay DeMint Deutsch Diaz-Balart Dickey Dicks Dingell Dixon Doggett Dooley Doolittle

Doyle

Dreier

Dunn

Duncan

Edwards

Ehlers

Ehrlich

Emerson

Etheridge

English

Eshoo

LaTourette

Lazio

Leach

Lee Levin

Rogan

Rogers

Rothman

Rohrabacher

Ros-Lehtinen

Lewis (CA) Evans Lewis (GA) Farr Fattah Lewis (KY) Filner Linder Fletcher Lipinski LoBiondo Forbes Lofgren Ford Lowey Fossella Lucas (KY) Fowler Lucas (OK) Franks (NJ) Luther Frelinghuysen Maloney (CT) Frost Maloney (NY) Gallegly Markey Ganske Martinez Gekas Mascara Gephardt Matsui McCarthy (MO) Gibbons Gilchrest McCarthy (NY) McCrery McDermott Gillmor Gilman Gonzalez GoodeMcHugh Goodlatte McInnis Goodling McIntyre Gordon McKeon Goss McKinney McNulty Granger Meehan Green (TX) Meek (FL) Green (WI) Meeks (NY) Greenwood Gutierrez Menendez Gutknecht Metcalf Hall (OH) Mica Millender-Hall (TX) Hansen McDonald Hastings (FL) Miller (FL) Hastings (WA) Miller, Gary Hayes Miller, George Hayworth Hefley Minge Mink Herger Hill (IN) Moakley Mollohan Hill (MT) Moore Moran (KS) Hilleary Hilliard Moran (VA) Morella Hinchey Murtha Hinojosa Hobson Myrick Hoeffel Nadler Napolitano Hoekstra Holden Neal Nethercutt Holt Hooley Ney Northup Horn Houghton Norwood Hulshof Oberstar Hunter Obey Olver Hutchinson Hvde Ortiz Inslee Ose Isakson Oxley Packard Istook Jackson-Lee Pallone Pascrell Jenkins Pastor John Pease Johnson (CT) Pelosi Peterson (MN) Johnson, E. B. Johnson, Sam Peterson (PA) Kanjorski Petri Phelps Kaptur Pickering Kasich Kelly Kennedy Pickett Pitts Kildee Pombo Kilpatrick Pomeroy Kind (WI) Porter King (NY) Portman Kingston Price (NC) Kleczka Pryce (OH) Klink Quinn Knollenberg Radanovich Kolbe Rahall Ramstad Kucinich Kuvkendall Rangel LaFalce Regula LaHood Reyes Revnolds Lampson Lantos Riley Largent Rivers Larson Rodriguez Latham Roemer

Roukema Roybal-Allard Royce Rush Ryan (WI) Ryun (KS) Sabo Salmon Sanchez Sanders Sandlin Sawyer Saxton Scarborough Schaffer Schakowsky Sensenbrenner Serrano Sessions Shaw Shays Sherman Sherwood Shimkus Shows Shuster Simpson Sisisky Skeen Skelton Slaughter Smith (MI) Ackerman Bateman Cannon

Smith (NJ) Smith (TX) Smith (WA) Snyder Souder Spence Spratt Stabenow Stark Stearns Stenholm Strickland Stump Stupak Sununu Sweeney Tancredo Tanner Tauscher Tauzin Taylor (MS) Taylor (NC) Terry Thomas Thompson (CA) Thompson (MS) Thornberry Thune Thurman Tiahrt Tierney NAYS-18 Gejdenson

Toomey Traficant Turner Udall (CO) Udall (NM) Upton Velazquez Visclosky Vitter Walden Walsh Wamp Watkins Watt (NC) Watts (OK) Waxman Weldon (FL) Weldon (PA) Weller Wexler Weygand Whitfield Wicker Wilson Wise Wolf Woolsey Wu Wvnn Young (FL)

Chenoweth-Hage Coburn Frank (MA)

Paul Graham Hostettler Jackson (IL) Jones (NC) Manzullo Waters

Payne Sanford Scott Shadegg

Towns

Vento

Young (AK)

NOT VOTING-11

Engel Everett Ewing

Jones (OH) McCollum McIntosh Owens

□ 1412

So the bill was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mr. OWENS. Mr. Speaker, today, I was unavoidably absent on a matter of critical importance and missed the following vote:

H.R. 4115 (rollcall No. 454), to authorize appropriations for the United States Holocaust Memorial Museum and for other purposes, introduced by the gentleman from Utah, Mr. CANNON, I would have voted "vea."

On the amendment to H.R. 4678 (rollcall 455), introduced by the gentleman from Virginia, Mr. Scott, I would have voted "ave."

On the motion to recommit H.R. 4678 with instructions (rollcall 456), introduced by the gentleman from Virginia, Mr. Scott, I would have voted "aye."

On passage of H.R. 4678 (rollcall 457), to provide more child support money to families leaving welfare, to simplify the rules governing assignment and distribution of child support collected by States on behalf of children, to improve the collection of child support, to promote marriage, and for other purposes, introduced by the gentlelady from Connecticut, Mrs. JOHNSON, I would have voted "yea."

GENERAL LEAVE

Mrs. JOHNSON of Connecticut. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days within which to revise and extend their remarks and include extraneous material on H.R. 4678.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. PEASE). Is there objection to the request of the gentlewoman from Connecticut?

There was no objection.

DEATH TAX ELIMINATION ACT OF 2000—VETO MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The unfinished business is the further consideration of the veto message of the President of the United States on the bill (H.R. 8) to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to phaseout the estate and gift taxes over a 10-year period.

The question is, Will the House, on reconsideration, pass the bill, the objections of the President to the contrary notwithstanding?

(For veto message, see proceedings of the House of September 6, 2000, at page H7240)

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from Washington (Ms. DUNN) is recognized for 1 hour.

Ms. DUNN. Mr. Speaker, for purposes of debate only I yield 30 minutes to the gentleman from New York (Mr. RAN-

Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from the great State of California (Mr. HERGER).

Mr. HERGER. Mr. Speaker, Americans are being taxed at the highest rate since World War II. The worst example of this is the death tax, a provision that punishes Americans trying to leave a family farm or small business to their loved ones. Instead of being left a legacy built on hard work and dedication, grieving families are subjected to taxes so high, many are forced to sell their inheritance just to pay the IRS.

□ 1415

That is completely unfair. In my northern California district, some of the leading employers are family farms and small businesses. These hard-working Americans deserve tax fairness and the opportunity to pursue the American dream without being punished by the IRS. Let us do the right thing by voting to override the President's veto of the death tax.

Mr. RANGEL. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself 3 minutes.

Mr. Speaker, we are about to embark on the closing of this session and the question is whether we can get something done in a bipartisan way or whether or not we are going to move forward and have tax policy by looking for vetoes and by press conferences.

Clearly, everybody knows if my colleagues had any concern at all about small businesses and farmers being protected by estate taxes, then my colleagues would have joined with Democrats and petitioned the President to sign a bill so that we can give them instant relief, I mean relief now, not like this 10-year plan that my colleagues have that is going to bust the bank.

There is still time for us to work together on this and other matters. If, on the other hand, Republicans would rather have sound bites rather than sound tax policy and attempts to just make it an issue that the President has vetoed this, then we will not have an opportunity to come together and agree on a compromise so that we can both go home and tell the small business people and the farmers that we have protected them against inheritance tax.

So what I am suggesting to my colleagues, we can have our differences, but let us try to set a tone this evening that as we conclude this session that we will be in a better position to compromise and to get something signed into law. It is ridiculous to assume that every time we have an agreement that we are going to kick it up a notch and take away from the surpluses such an extent that we cannot give targeted tax cuts, that we cannot give prescription drug benefits to our aging, that we cannot give some assistance to our working families.

Mr. Speaker, this is the first volume to see how we are going to carry ourselves as we conclude this session, and I do hope that, even though we may disagree, that we do not have to be disagreeable.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. STARK. Mr. Speaker, I rise today in vehement opposition to the GOP's attempt to override the President's veto of the repeal on estate taxes. President Clinton and my Democratic colleagues were right the first time on the estate tax and nothing has changed. This bill gives the wealthiest 5 percent of all Americans a \$105 billion tax break. This is just one more fiscally irresponsible bill to consume the non-Social Security budget surplus revenues before we address the needs of working families.

If Congress overrides the veto of H.R. 8, we will be well on our way to giving \$649 billion over 10 years in tax breaks for the wealthy. None of these tax bills will help working families. But passing a feasible and affordable Medicare prescription drug benefit will help all working families—not just wealthy families. Governor Bush, and my Republican colleagues, prefer to spend more money on the dead through the estate tax repeal, than on those who are living and need a worthwhile prescription drug benefit. Governor Bush proposes a prescription drug benefit that would force seniors to pay high out-of-pocket-expenses that lacks the guarantee of comprehensive coverage. Seniors need a solid prescription drug plan that offers them guarantees and predictability. They don't need a repeal in the estate tax. The GOP needs to reassess its priorities.

Offering a Medicare early buy-in plan to those who retire early but need health coverage will also help America's working families. The men and women in my district don't sit on estates worth \$20 million. They are forced to work until they are physically unable. When that time comes for those working men and women, I want to give them something back. I don't want to have to tell them that the 106th Congress spent their Medicare prescrip-

tion drug benefit, or early buy-in health insurance on a tax break for Bill Gates.

All of the benefits from estate tax repeal will go to taxpayers in the top 5 percent income group. Those taxpayers earn at least \$130,000 per year. Ninety percent of the tax cut benefits will go to those in the top 1 percent income group—those earning \$319,000 per year. The GOP is attempting to mislead U.S. taxpayers through scare tactics. They have been throwing anecdotal "evidence" that family-owned businesses and farms face bankruptcy due to the evil estate tax. This is simply not true. For every dollar of farm estate tax cuts from H.R. 8, 99 dollars will go to other kinds of estates. For every dollar of small or family business estate tax cut benefits, 95 dollars or more will go to other estates. These other estates comprise the very wealthiest of all estates in the U.S.—those estates worth more than \$20 million.

The estate tax repeal—and the numerous other tax measures passed by the Houseshould be scrutinized with a measure of fairness. It hardly seems fair to come to the floor of the House week after week to provide hand over fist full of tax break dollars to the wealthiest U.S. taxpayers, when we haven't even addressed Medicare's solvency. In FY 2000, the federal estate tax, if left unchanged, is expected to raise \$27 billion. That's more than double the total amount of federal income taxes paid by the bottom half of all taxpayers. Some leading estate tax repeal advocates, such as Steve Forbes and Dick Armey would suggest that we triple taxes on the bottom half of all taxpayers—with their flat tax proposals to make up the lost revenue from the estate tax repeal.

Our children will be hurt by the estate tax repeal. This bill costs over \$105 billion over 10 years and \$50 billion every year after 2011. We could rebuild of repair every one of our schools for a little over \$105 billion. We could also provide health insurance to 7.7 million of the 11 million children currently without health insurance for \$105 billion. We could also enroll an additional 836,000 children in Head Start with the \$105 billion Republicans want to spend on the wealthiest 2 percent of Americans

Before any Member of the House votes to override this bill, I want you to consider the opportunities lost. This bill isn't about helping out family-owned businesses and small farms. It's about helping the wealthiest taxpayers in America and denying seniors a solid prescription drug benefit. I urge my colleagues to sustain the President's veto and vote no on this hill

Ms. DUNN. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I appreciate the comments of the gentleman from New York (Mr. RANGEL), but the fact is that his proposal does not repeal the death tax.

Mr. Speaker, I rise today in strong support of this veto override and our bipartisan effort to eliminate the death tax. In his veto message, President Clinton made several arguments defending the taxation of death, and he proposed targeted tax credits for small businesses and family farms.