

Rahall	Shows	Tierney
Rangel	Shuster	Toomey
Regula	Simpson	Towns
Reyes	Sisisky	Trafficant
Reynolds	Skeen	Turner
Riley	Skelton	Udall (CO)
Rivers	Slaughter	Udall (NM)
Rodriguez	Smith (MI)	Upton
Roemer	Smith (NJ)	Velazquez
Rogan	Smith (TX)	Visclosky
Rogers	Snyder	Vitter
Rohrabacher	Souder	Walden
Ros-Lehtinen	Spence	Walsh
Rothman	Spratt	Wamp
Roukema	Stabenow	Waters
Roybal-Allard	Stark	Watkins
Rush	Stenholm	Watt (NC)
Ryan (WI)	Strickland	Watts (OK)
Ryun (KS)	Stump	Waxman
Sabo	Stupak	Weiner
Salmon	Sununu	Weldon (FL)
Sanchez	Sweeney	Weldon (PA)
Sanders	Talent	Weller
Sandlin	Tanner	Wexler
Sawyer	Tauscher	Weygand
Saxton	Tauzin	Whitfield
Scarborough	Taylor (MS)	Wicker
Schakowsky	Taylor (NC)	Wilson
Scott	Terry	Wise
Serrano	Thomas	Wolf
Sessions	Thompson (CA)	Woolsey
Shadegg	Thompson (MS)	Wu
Shaw	Thornberry	Wynn
Sherman	Thune	Young (FL)
Sherwood	Thurman	
Shimkus	Tiahrt	

NAYS—19

Andrews	Luther	Sensenbrenner
Castle	Paul	Shays
Doggett	Peterson (MN)	Smith (WA)
Gibbons	Ramstad	Stearns
Goodlatte	Royce	Tancredo
Inlee	Sanford	
Johnson, E. B.	Schaffer	

NOT VOTING—8

Clay	Markey	Vento
Cook	Martinez	Young (AK)
Delahunt	McIntosh	

□ 0027

So the bill was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

REPORT ON EXPANDED THREAT REDUCTION INITIATIVE—MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES (H. DOC. NO. 263)

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. PEASE) laid before the House the following message from the President of the United States; which was read and, together with the accompanying papers, without objection referred to the Committee on International Relations and ordered to be printed:

To the Congress of the United States:

Enclosed is a report to the Congress on the Expanded Threat Reduction Initiative, as required by section 1309 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2000 (Public Law 106-65).

WILLIAM J. CLINTON.
THE WHITE HOUSE, June 27, 2000.

REMOVAL OF NAME OF MEMBER AS COSPONSOR OF H.R. 1598

Mr. MCCOLLUM. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that my name be removed as a cosponsor to the bill H.R. 1598, the Patent Fairness Act of 1999.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Florida?

There was no objection.

PERMISSION FOR CHAIRMAN OF COMMITTEE ON THE BUDGET TO INSERT COMMUNICATIONS IN THE RECORD

Mr. MCCOLLUM. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. KASICH) be permitted to insert Committee on the Budget communications into the RECORD at this point.

The CHAIRMAN. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Florida?

There was no objection.

REVISIONS TO ALLOCATION FOR HOUSE COMMITTEE ON APPROPRIATIONS

Mr. KASICH. Mr. Speaker, in accordance with section 218 of H. Con. Res. 290, I hereby submit for printing in the CONGRESSIONAL RECORD adjustments to the 302(a) allocation for the House Committee on Agriculture, set forth in H. Rept. 106-577, to reflect \$5.5 billion in additional new budget authority and outlays for fiscal year 2000 and \$1.640 billion in new budget authority and outlays for both fiscal year 2000 and \$1.640 billion in new budget authority and outlays for both fiscal year 2001 and for the period of fiscal years 2001 through 2005.

Section 218 of H. Con. Res. 290 authorizes the Chairman of the House Budget Committee to increase the 302(a) allocation of the Committee on Agriculture for a conference report on a bill that provides assistance for producers of program crops and specialty crops. Under the terms of section 218, the adjustments is in the amount of budget authority provided by that bill for the specified purpose but may not exceed \$5.5 billion in new budget authority and outlays for fiscal year 2000 and \$1.640 billion in new budget authority and outlays for fiscal year 2001.

This adjustment is for the conference report accompanying H.R. 2559 (H. Rept. 106-300).

If you have any questions, please contact Jim Bates of my staff at 6-7270.

STATUS REPORT ON CURRENT SPENDING LEVELS OF ON-BUDGET SPENDING AND REVENUES FOR FY 2000 AND THE 5-YEAR PERIOD FY 2000 THROUGH FY 2004

Mr. KASICH. Mr. Speaker, to facilitate application of sections 302 and 311 of the Congressional Budget Act, I am transmitting a status report on the current levels of on-budget spending and revenues for fiscal year 2000 and for the 5-year period of fiscal year 2000 through fiscal year 2004.

The term "current level" refers to the amounts of spending and revenues estimated for each fiscal year based on laws enacted or awaiting the President's signature as of June 15, 2000.

The first table in the report compares the current level of total budget authority, outlays, and revenues with the aggregate levels set by

H. Con. Res. 290. This comparison is needed to implement section 311(a) of the Budget Act, which creates a point of order against measures that would breach the budget resolution's aggregate levels. The table does not show budget authority and outlays for years after fiscal year 2000.

The second table compares the current levels of budget authority and outlays of each direct spending committee with the "section 302(a)" allocations for discretionary action made under H. Con. Res. 290 for fiscal year 2000 and fiscal years 2000 through 2004. "Discretionary action" refers to legislation enacted after adoption of the budget resolution. This comparison is needed to implement section 302(f) of the Budget Act, which creates a point of order against measures that would breach the section 302(a) discretionary action allocation of new budget authority for the committee that reported the measure. It is also needed to implement section 311(b), which exempts committees that comply with their allocations from the point of order under section 311(a).

The third table compares the current levels of discretionary appropriations for fiscal year 2000 with the revised "section 302(a)" sub-allocations of discretionary budget authority and outlays among Appropriations subcommittees. This comparison is also needed to implement section 302(f) of the Budget Act because the point of order under that section also applies to measures that would breach the applicable section 302(b) sub-allocation.

The fourth table compares discretionary appropriations to the levels provided by section 251(c) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985. Section 251 requires that, if at the end of a session discretionary spending in any category exceeds the limits set forth in section 251(c) (as adjusted pursuant to provisions of section 251(b)), there shall be a sequestration of funds within that category to bring spending within the established limits. This table is provided for information purposes only. Determination of the need for a sequestration is based on the report of the President required by section 254.

REPORT TO THE SPEAKER FROM THE COMMITTEE ON THE BUDGET—STATUS OF THE FISCAL YEAR 2000 CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET ADOPTED IN H. CON. RES. 290

(Reflecting action completed as of June 15, 2000—On-budget amounts, in millions of dollars)

	Fiscal year 2000	Fiscal year 2000–2004
Appropriate level (as amended):		
Budget authority	1,471,750	(1)
Outlays	1,453,390	(1)
Revenues	1,465,500	7,768,100
Current level:		
Budget authority	1,465,562	(1)
Outlays	1,44,558	(1)
Revenues	1,465,492	7,871,246
Current level over (+)/under (–) appropriate level:		
Budget authority	–6,188	(1)
Outlays	–8,832	(1)
Revenues	–8	103,146

¹ Not applicable because annual appropriations Acts for Fiscal Year 2001 through 2004 will not be considered until future sessions of Congress.

BUDGET AUTHORITY

Enactment of any measure providing new budget authority for FY 2000 of more than \$6,188,000,000 (if not already included in the current level estimate) would cause FY 2000 budget authority to exceed the appropriate level set by H. Con. Res. 290.

OUTLAYS

Enactment of any measure providing new outlays for FY 2000 of more than

\$8,832,000,000 (if not already included in the current level estimate) would cause FY 2000 outlays to exceed the appropriate level set by H. Con. Res. 290.

REVENUES

Enactment of any measure resulting in any revenue loss for FY 2000 through 2004 in

excess of \$103,146,000,000 (if not already included in the current level) would cause revenues to fall below the appropriate levels set by H. Con. Res. 290.

DIRECT SPENDING LEGISLATION—COMPARISON OF CURRENT LEVEL WITH COMMITTEE ALLOCATIONS PURSUANT TO BUDGET ACT SECTION 302(a) REFLECTING ACTION COMPLETED AS OF JUNE 15, 2000

[Fiscal year, in millions of dollars]

	2000		2000–2004	
	BA	Outlays	BA	Outlays
House Committee:				
Agriculture:				
Allocation	5,500	5,500	13,489	12,533
Current level	5,500	5,500	13,485	12,559
Difference			(4)	26
Armed Services:				
Allocation				
Current level				
Difference				
Banking and Financial Services:				
Allocation				(968)
Current level				
Difference				968
Commerce:				
Allocation				
Current level			10	10
Difference			10	10
Education & the Workforce:				
Allocation				
Current level				
Difference				
Government Reform & Oversight:				
Allocation				
Current level				
Difference				
House Administration:				
Allocation				
Current level				
Difference				
International Relations:				
Allocation				
Current level				
Difference				
Judiciary:				
Allocation				
Current level			(456)	(410)
Difference			(456)	(410)
Resources:				
Allocation			121	6
Current level	7	3	13	13
Difference	7	3	(108)	7
Science:				
Allocation				
Current level				
Difference				
Select Committee on Intelligence:				
Allocation				
Current level				
Difference				
Small Business:				
Allocation				
Current level				
Difference				
Transportation & Infrastructure:				
Allocation				
Current level				
Difference				
Veterans' Affairs:				
Allocation			4,666	4,492
Current level				
Difference			(4,666)	(4,492)
Ways and Means:				
Allocation	(50)		3,012	3,064
Current level	53	52	21	20
Difference	103	52	(2,991)	(3,044)
Total authorized:				
Allocation	5,450	5,500	21,288	19,127
Current level	5,560	5,555	13,073	12,192
Difference	110	55	(8,215)	(6,935)

DISCRETIONARY APPROPRIATIONS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2000—COMPARISON OF CURRENT LEVEL WITH SUBALLOCATIONS PURSUANT TO BUDGET ACT SECTION 302(b)

[In millions of dollars]

	302(b) suballocations last updated on October 12, 1999 ¹		Current level reflecting action completed as of June 15, 2000		Difference	
	BA	O	BA	O	BA	O
Agriculture, Rural Development	13,882	14,346	14,614	14,830	732	484
Commerce, Justice, State	35,774	34,907	38,095	38,356	2,321	3,449
National Defense	267,692	259,130	268,605	261,933	913	2,803
District of Columbia	453	448	430	501	(23)	53
Energy & Water Development	20,190	20,140	21,094	21,275	904	1,135
Foreign Operations	12,625	13,168	15,306	13,527	2,681	359
Interior	13,888	14,354	14,769	14,833	881	479
Labor, HHS & Education	75,763	77,063	86,451	86,345	10,688	9,282
Legislative Branch	2,478	2,484	2,449	2,448	(29)	(36)
Military Construction	8,374	8,775	8,352	8,595	(22)	(180)
Transportation ²	12,400	43,445	12,493	43,502	93	57
Treasury-Postal Service	13,706	14,115	13,761	14,231	55	116
VA–HUD-Independent Agencies	68,633	82,045	72,104	83,445	3,471	1,400
Reserve/Offsets	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unassigned ³	22,719	14,326	0	(768)	(22,719)	(15,094)
Grand total	568,577	598,746	568,523	603,053	(54)	4,307

¹ The Appropriations Committee did not revise the fiscal year 2000 302(b) suballocations after the passage of H. Con. Res. 290.

² Transportation does not include mass transit BA.

³ Unassigned refers to the allocation adjustments provided under Section 314, but not yet allocated under Section 302(b).

COMPARISON OF CURRENT LEVEL TO DISCRETIONARY SPENDING LEVELS SET FORTH IN SEC. 251(c) OF THE BALANCED BUDGET AND EMERGENCY DEFICIT CONTROL ACT OF 1985
[In millions of dollars]

	Defense ¹		Nondefense ¹		General purpose		Violent Crime Trust Fund		Highway category		Mass transit category	
	BA	O	BA	O	BA	O	BA	O	BA	O	BA	O
Statutory Caps ²	NA	NA	NA	NA	566,472	564,913	4,500	6,344	NA	24,574	NA	4,117
Current Level ³	289,927	283,543	274,110	283,549	564,037	567,092	4,486	6,999	0	24,393	NA	4,569
Difference (Current level-caps)	NA	NA	NA	NA	-2,435	2,179	-14	655	NA	-181	NA	452

¹ Defense and nondefense categories are advisory rather than statutory.

² Established by OMB Budget Enforcement Act Preview Report.

³ Consistent with H. Con. Res. 290.

U.S. CONGRESS,
CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET OFFICE,
Washington, DC, June 19, 2000.
Hon. JOHN R. KASICH,
Chairman, Committee on the Budget, House of
Representatives, Washington, DC.

DEAR MR. CHAIRMAN: The enclosed report shows the effects of Congressional action on the fiscal year 2000 budget and is current through June 15, 2000. This report is submitted under section 308(b) and in aid of section 311 of the Congressional Budget Act, as amended.

The estimates of budget authority, outlays, and revenues are consistent with the technical and economic assumptions of H. Con. Res. 290, the Concurrent Resolution on the Budget for Fiscal Year 2001, which replace H. Con. Res. 68, the Concurrent Resolution on the Budget for Fiscal Year 2000.

Since the beginning of the second session of the 106th Congress, in addition to the changes in budget authority, outlays, and revenues from adopting H. Con. Res. 290, the Congress has cleared and the President has signed an act to amend the Food Stamp Act of 1977 (P.L. 106-171), the Omnibus Parks Technical Corrections Act of 1999 (P.L. 106-176), the Wendell H. Ford Aviation Investment and Reform Act for the 21st Century (P.L. 106-181), the Civil Asset Forfeiture Reform Act of 2000 (P.L. 106-185), and the Trade and Development Act of 2000 (P.L. 106-200). In addition, the Congress cleared for the President's signature the Agricultural Risk Protection Act of 2000 (H.R. 2559).

Sincerely,

ROBERT A. SUNSHINE
(For Dan L. Crippen, Director.)

Enclosure.

FISCAL YEAR 2000 HOUSE CURRENT STATUS REPORT AS
OF JUNE 15, 2000
[In millions of dollars]

	Budget authority	Outlays	Revenues
Enacted in previous sessions:			
Revenues	0	0	1,465,500
Permanents and other spending legislation	876,422	836,631	0
Appropriation legislation	869,318	889,756	0
Offsetting receipts	-284,184	-284,184	0
Total, enacted in previous sessions	1,461,556	1,442,203	1,465,500
Enacted this session:			
Omnibus Parks Technical Corrections Act of 1999 (P.L. 106-176)	7	3	0
Wendell H. Ford Aviation Investment & Reform Act for the 21st Century (P.L. 106-181)	2,805	0	0
Trade and Development Act of 2000 (P.L. 106-200)	53	52	-8
Total, enacted this session	2,865	55	-8

FISCAL YEAR 2000 HOUSE CURRENT STATUS REPORT AS
OF JUNE 15, 2000—Continued
[In millions of dollars]

	Budget authority	Outlays	Revenues
Cleared pending signature:			
Agricultural Risk Protection Act of 2000 (H.R. 2559)	5,500	5,500	0
Total current level ¹	1,465,562	1,444,558	1,465,492
Total budget resolution	1,471,750	1,453,390	1,465,500
Current level over budget resolution	0	0	0
Current level under budget resolution	-6,188	-8,832	-8
Memorandum:			
Revenues, 2000-2004:			
House current level	0	0	7,871,246
House budget resolution	0	0	7,768,100
Current level over budget resolution	0	0	103,146

¹ For purposes of enforcing section 311 of the Congressional Budget Act in the House, the budget resolution does not include budget authority or outlays for Social Security administrative expenses. As a result, current level excludes these items. In addition, for comparability purposes, current level budget authority excludes \$1,159 million that was appropriated for mass transit.

Note.—P.L.—Public Law.

Source: Congressional Budget Office.

STATUS REPORT ON CURRENT SPENDING LEVELS
OF ON-BUDGET SPENDING AND REVENUES FOR
FY 2001 AND THE 5-YEAR PERIOD FY 2001
THROUGH FY 2005

Mr. KASICH. Mr. Speaker, to facilitate the application of sections 302 and 311 of the Congressional Budget Act and sections 202 and 203 of the conference report accompanying H. Con. Res. 290, I am transmitting a status report on the current levels of on-budget spending and revenues for fiscal year 2001 and for the 5-year period of fiscal years 2001 through fiscal year 2005.

The term "current level" refers to the amounts of spending and revenues estimated for each fiscal year based on laws enacted or awaiting the President's signature as of June 15, 2000.

The first table in the report compares the current levels of total budget authority, outlays, revenues, the surplus and advance appropriations with the aggregate levels set forth by H. Con. Res. 290. This comparison is needed to implement section 311(a) of the Budget Act and sections 202 and 203(b) of H. Con. Res. 290, which create points of order against measures that would breach the budget resolution's aggregate levels. The table does not show budget authority and outlays for years after fiscal year 2001 because appropriations for those years have not yet been considered.

The second table compares the current levels of budget authority and outlays of each direct spending committee with the "section 302(a)" allocations for discretionary action

made under H. Con. Res. 290 for fiscal year 2001 and fiscal years 2001 through 2005. "Discretionary action" refers to legislation enacted after the adoption of the budget resolution. This comparison is needed to implement section 302(f) of the Budget Act, which creates a point of order against measures that would breach the section 302(a) discretionary action allocation of new budget authority for the committee that reported the measure. It is also needed to implement section 311(b), which exempts committees that comply with their allocations from the point of order under section 311(a).

The third table compares the current levels of discretionary appropriations for fiscal year 2001 with the revised "section 302(b)" sub-allocations of discretionary budget authority and outlays among Appropriations subcommittees. This comparison is also needed to implement section 302(f) of the Budget Act because the point of order under that section also applies to measures that would breach the applicable section 302(b) sub-allocation.

The fourth table compares discretionary appropriations to the levels provided by section 251(c) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985. Section 251 requires that, if at the end of a session discretionary spending in any category exceeds the limits set forth in section 251(c) (as adjusted pursuant to section 251(b)), there shall be a sequestration of amounts within that category to bring spending within the established limits. This table is provided for information purposes only. The determination of the need for a sequestration is based on the report of the President required by section 254.

REPORT TO THE SPEAKER FROM THE COMMITTEE ON THE
BUDGET—STATUS OF THE FISCAL YEAR 2001 CON-
GRESSIONAL BUDGET ADOPTED IN H. CON. RES. 290

[Reflecting action completed as of June 15, 2000—On-budget amounts, in millions of dollars]

	Fiscal year 2001	Fiscal year 2001-2005
Appropriate Level (as amended):		
Budget Authority	1,529,886	(¹)
Outlays	1,495,196	(¹)
Revenues	1,503,200	8,022,400
Surplus	8,004	(¹)
Advance Appropriations	23,500	(¹)
Current Level:		
Budget Authority	952,967	(¹)
Outlays	1,149,381	(¹)
Revenues	1,514,241	8,169,171
Surplus	364,860	(¹)
Advance Appropriations	0	(¹)
Current Level over (+)/under (-) Appropriate Level:		
Budget Authority	-576,919	(¹)
Outlays	-345,815	(¹)
Revenues	11,041	146,771
Surplus	356,856	(¹)
Advance Appropriations	-23,500	(¹)

¹ Not applicable because annual appropriations Acts for Fiscal Years 2002 through 2005 will not be considered until future sessions of Congress.

BUDGET AUTHORITY

Enactment of any measure providing new budget authority for FY 2001 (if not already included in the current level estimate) in excess of \$576,919,000,000 would cause FY 2001

budget authority to exceed the appropriate level set by H. Con. Res. 290.

OUTLAYS

Enactment of any measure providing new outlays for FY 2001 in excess of \$345,815,000,000 (if not already included in the current level estimate) would cause FY 2001 outlays to exceed the appropriate level set by H. Con. Res. 290.

REVENUES

Enactment of any measure that would result in any revenue loss for FY 2001 in excess

of \$11,041,000,000 (if not already included in the current level estimate) would cause revenues to fall below the appropriate level set by H. Con. Res. 290.

Enactment of any measure resulting in any revenue loss for FY 2001 through 2005 in excess of \$146,771,000,000 (if not already included in the current level) would cause revenues to fall below the appropriate levels set by H. Con. Res. 290.

SURPLUS

Enactment of any measure that reduces the surplus for FY 2001 by more than

\$356,856,000,000 (if not already included in the current level estimate) would cause FY 2001 surplus to fall below the appropriate level set by Section 2092 of H. Con. Res. 290.

ADVANCE APPROPRIATIONS

Enactment of any measure that would result in FY 2001 advance appropriations in excess of \$23,500,000,000 (if not already included in the current level estimate) would cause the FY 2001 advance appropriations to exceed the appropriate level set by Section 203(b) of H. Con. Res. 290.

DIRECT SPENDING LEGISLATION—COMPARISON OF CURRENT LEVEL WITH COMMITTEE ALLOCATIONS PURSUANT TO BUDGET ACT SECTION 302(a), REFLECTING ACTION COMPLETED AS OF JUNE 15, 2000

[Fiscal years, in millions of dollars]

	2001		2001–2005	
	BA	Outlays	BA	Outlays
House Committee:				
Agriculture:				
Allocation	3,062	2,295	9,837	8,824
Current Level	3,061	2,166	9,787	8,833
Difference	(1)	(129)	(50)	9
Armed Services:				
Allocation				
Current Level				
Difference				
Banking and Financial Services:				
Allocation		(107)		(1,329)
Current Level				
Difference		107		1,329
Commerce:				
Allocation				
Current Level			15	15
Difference			15	15
Education & the Workforce:				
Allocation				
Current Level				
Difference				
Government Reform & Oversight:				
Allocation				
Current Level				
Difference				
House Administration:				
Allocation				
Current Level				
Difference				
International Relations:				
Allocation				
Current Level				
Difference				
Judiciary:				
Allocation				
Current Level	(114)	(75)	(570)	(524)
Difference	(114)	(75)	(570)	(524)
Resources:				
Allocation			162	44
Current Level	8	6	6	10
Difference	8	6	(156)	(34)
Science:				
Allocation				
Current Level				
Difference				
Select Committee on Intelligence:				
Allocation				
Current Level				
Difference				
Small Business:				
Allocation				
Current Level				
Difference				
Transportation & Infrastructure:				
Allocation				
Current Level				
Difference				
Veterans' Affairs:				
Allocation	510	479	7,280	7,037
Current Level				
Difference	(510)	(479)	(7,280)	(7,037)
Ways and Means:				
Allocation	55	25	3,035	3,038
Current Level	(47)	(47)	(29)	(28)
Difference	(102)	(72)	(3,064)	(3,066)
Total Authorized:				
Allocation	3,627	2,692	20,314	17,614
Current Level	2,908	2,050	9,209	8,306
Difference	(719)	(642)	(11,105)	(9,308)

DISCRETIONARY APPROPRIATIONS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2001—COMPARISON OF CURRENT LEVEL WITH SUBALLOCATIONS PURSUANT TO BUDGET ACT SECTION 302(b)

[In millions of dollars]

	Revised 302(b) suballocations as of June 8, 2000 (H. Rpt. 106–660)		Current level reflecting action completed as of June 15, 2000		Difference	
	BA	O	BA	O		
Agriculture, Rural Development	14,491	14,974	42	3,882	(14,449)	(11,092)
Commerce, Justice, State	34,904	35,977	283	12,279	(34,621)	(23,698)
National Defense	288,414	279,025	0	89,078	(288,414)	(189,947)
District of Columbia	414	414	0	36	(414)	(378)
Energy & Water Development	21,743	22,025	0	7,908	(21,743)	(14,117)
Foreign Operations	13,281	8,512	0	9,859	(13,281)	1,347
Interior	14,742	15,322	36	5,399	(14,706)	(9,923)

DISCRETIONARY APPROPRIATIONS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2001—COMPARISON OF CURRENT LEVEL WITH SUBALLOCATIONS PURSUANT TO BUDGET ACT SECTION 302(b)—Continued
(In millions of dollars)

	Revised 302(b) suballocations as of June 8, 2000 (H. Rpt. 106-660)		Current level reflecting action completed as of June 15, 2000		Difference	
	BA	O	BA	O		
Labor, HHS & Education	97,159	91,156	18,954	64,188	(78,205)	(26,968)
Legislative Branch	2,355	2,383	0	352	(2,355)	(2,031)
Military Construction	8,634	8,684	0	6,101	(8,634)	(2,583)
Transportation ¹	14,989	48,513	20	28,651	(14,969)	(19,862)
Treasury-Postal Service	14,088	14,563	62	3,202	(14,026)	(11,361)
VA-HUD-Independent Agencies	76,194	84,154	3,561	47,808	(72,633)	(36,346)
Reserve/Offsets	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unassigned	273	273	0	768	(273)	495
Grand Total	601,681	625,975	22,958	279,511	(578,723)	(346,464)

¹ Transportation does not include mass transit BA.

COMPARISON OF CURRENT LEVEL TO DISCRETIONARY SPENDING LEVELS SET FORTH IN SEC. 251(c) OF THE BALANCED BUDGET & EMERGENCY DEFICIT CONTROL ACT OF 1985
(Dollars in millions)

	Defense ¹		Nondefense ¹		General purpose		Highway category		Mass transit category	
	BA	O	BA	O	BA	O	BA	O	BA	O
Statutory Caps ²	(³)	(³)	(³)	(³)	541,095	547,279	0	26,920	(³)	4,639
Current Level	0	99,470	22,958	156,530	22,958	256,000	0	18,968	0	4,543
Difference (Current Level—Caps)	(³)	(³)	(³)	(³)	-518,137	-291,279	(³)	-7,952	(³)	-96

¹ Defense and nondefense categories are advisory rather than statutory.
² Established by OMB Budget Enforcement Act Preview Report.
³ Not applicable.

U.S. CONGRESS,
CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET OFFICE,
Washington, DC, June 19, 2000.

Hon. JOHN R. KASICH,
Chairman, Committee on the Budget,
House of Representatives, Washington, DC.

DEAR MR. CHAIRMAN: The enclosed report shows the effects of Congressional action on the fiscal year 2001 budget and is current through June 15, 2000. This report is submitted under section 308(b) and in aid of section 311 of the Congressional Budget Act, as amended.

The estimates of budget authority, outlays, and revenues are consistent with the

technical and economic assumptions of H. Con. Res. 290, the Concurrent Resolution on the Budget for Fiscal Year 2001. The budget resolution figures incorporate revisions submitted by the Committee on the Budget to the House to reflect funding for emergency requirements, disability reviews, and adoption assistance. These revisions are required by section 314 of the Congressional Budget Act, as amended. This is my first letter for fiscal year 2001.

Since the beginning of the second session of the 106th Congress, the Congress has cleared and the President has signed an act to amend the Food Stamp Act of 1977 (P.L.

106-17), the Omnibus Parks Technical Corrections Act of 1999 (P.L. 106-176), the Wendell H. Ford Aviation Investment and Reform Act for the 21st Century (P.L. 106-181), the Civil Asset Forfeiture Reform Act of 2000 (P.L. 106-185), and the Trade and Development Act of 2000 (P.L. 106-200). In addition, the Congress cleared for the President's signature the Agricultural Risk Protection Act of 2000 (H.R. 2559).

Sincerely,

ROBERT A. SUNSHINE
(For Dan L. Crippen, Director).

Enclosure.

FISCAL YEAR 2001 HOUSE CURRENT LEVEL REPORT AS OF JUNE 15, 2000
(In millions of dollars)

	Budget (authority)	Outlays	Revenues	Surplus
Enacted in previous sessions:				
Revenues	0	0	1,514,800
Permanents and other spending legislation	961,064	916,715	0
Appropriation legislation ¹	0	266,010	0
Offsetting receipts	-297,807	-297,807	0
Total, previously enacted	663,257	884,918	1,514,800	n.a.
Enacted this session: An act to amend the Food Stamp Act of 1977 (P.L. 106-171)	1	1	0
Omnibus Parks Technical Corrections Act of 1999 (P.L. 106-176)	8	6	0
Wendell H. Ford Aviation Investment & Reform Act for the 21st Century (P.L. 106-181)	3,200	0	-2
Civil Asset Forfeiture Reform Act of 2000 (P.L. 106-185)	-114	-75	-115
Trade and Development Act of 2000 (P.L. 106-200)	-47	-47	-442
Total, enacted this session	3,048	-115	-559	n.a.
Cleared pending signature:				
Agricultural Risk Protection Act of 2000 (H.R. 2559)	3,060	2,165	0	n.a.
Entitlements and Mandatories:				
Budget resolution baseline estimates of appropriated entitlements and other mandatory programs not yet enacted	283,602	262,778	0	n.a.
Total Current Level ¹	952,967	1,149,381	1,514,241	364,860
Total Budget Resolution	1,529,886	1,495,196	1,503,200	8,004
Current Level Over Budget Resolution	0	0	11,041	356,856
Current Level Under Budget Resolution	-576,919	-345,815	0	0
Memorandum:				
Revenues, 2001-2005:				
House Current Level	0	0	8,169,171	n.a.
House Budget Resolution	0	0	8,022,400	n.a.
Current Level Over Budget Resolution	0	0	146,771	n.a.
2001 Advances:				
FY 2002 House Current Level	0	0	0	n.a.
FY 2001 House Budget Resolution	0	0	23,500	n.a.
Current Level Under Budget Resolution	0	0	-23,500	n.a.

¹ For purposes of enforcing section 311 of the Congressional Budget Act in the House, the budget resolution does not include budget authority or outlays for Social Security administrative expenses. As a result, current level excludes these items.
Source: Congressional Budget Office.
Notes.—P.L.=Public Law; n.a.=not applicable.

OPPOSE H.R. 4717

(Mr. PITTS asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. PITTS. Mr. Speaker, as chairman of the Values Action Team, I rise to bring to the Members' attention the

strong opposition of many of the outside pro-family groups to the Archer-Houghton disclosure bill, H.R. 4717.

Since this bill has been broadened to include, not only 527s, but now