Walden Shows Talent Shuster Tanner Walsh Wamp Simpson Tauscher Sisisky Tauzin Watkins Taylor (MS) Watt (NC) Skeen Skelton Taylor (NC) Watts (OK) Terry Thompson (CA) Slaughter Waxman Weiner Smith (MI) Weldon (FL) Smith (NJ) Thompson (MS) Weldon (PA) Smith (TX) Thune Smith (WA) Thurman Weller Tierney Snyder Wexler Spence Toomey Weygand Whitfield Spratt Towns Stabenow Traficant Wicker Wilson Stark Turner Stearns Udall (CO) Stenholm Udall (NM) Wolf Strickland Woolsey Upton Stupak Velazquez Sununu Visclosky Wvnn Young (FL) Vitter Sweeney

#### NOES-39

Barr Doolitt'
Barton Haywor
Bateman Hefley
Bonilla Herger
Burton Hostett
Canady Jenkins
Chenoweth-Hage
Coburn Kingstc
Combest Lewis ((
Cooksey Linder
Crane Manzul
DeLay Mica
Dickey Myrick

Doolittle Oxley Hayworth Paul Hefley Peterson (PA) Herger Pitts Hostettler Pombo Jenkins Radanovich Johnson, Sam Ryun (KS) Souder Kingston Lewis (CA) Stump Tancredo Linder Manzullo Thomas

#### NOT VOTING-11

Cook McIntosh Markey Northup Martinez Oberstar McCarthy (MO) Schaffer Vento Waters Young (AK)

Thornberry

Tiahrt

#### □ 0007

So (two-thirds having voted to favor thereof) the rules were suspended and the bill was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

Stated for:

Mrs. NORTHRUP. Mr. Speaker, on rollcall No. 341, I was inadvertently detained. Had I been present, I would have voted "aye."

Ms. McCARTHY of Missouri. Mr. Speaker, on rollcall No. 341, had I been present, I would have voted "aye".

Ms. WATERS. Mr. Speaker, on rollcall No. 341, I was detained on an emergency call in my office and was not present on the floor when rollcall 341 was voted.

Had I been present, I would have voted "ave."

## ENERGY AND WATER DEVELOP-MENT APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2001

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. PEASE). Pursuant to House Resolution 532 and rule XVIII, the Chair declares the House in the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union for the further consideration of the bill, H.R. 4733.

# □ 0010

## IN THE COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

Accordingly, the House resolved itself into the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union for the further consideration of the bill (H.R. 4733) making appropriations for energy and water development for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2001, and for

other purposes, with Mr. Barrett of Nebraska in the chair.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The CHAIRMAN. When the Committee of the Whole rose earlier today, the amendment of the gentleman from Kansas (Mr. RYUN) had been disposed of and the bill was open for amendment on page 39, line 19.

The Clerk will read the final lines of the bill.

The Clerk read as follows:

This Act may be cited as the "Energy and Water Development Appropriations Act, 2001".

Mr. VISCLOSKY. Mr. Chairman, I move to strike the last word.

Mr. Chairman, the hour is late because many hours ago we started the final energy and water bill under the guidance of the gentleman from California (Mr. PACKARD). As we all know in this Chamber, the gentleman from California (Mr. PACKARD) has served all of us, his country and his family well, both in the military service, local and Federal service. I think as we conclude consideration of a well-done work product, which we have come to expect from the gentleman from California (Mr. PACKARD) day in and day out, that we owe the gentleman from California (Mr. PACKARD) our appreciation and a round of applause.

The CHAIRMAN. Are there any other amendments to the bill?

If not, under the rule, the Committee rises.

Accordingly, the Committee rose; and the Speaker pro tempore (Mr. PEASE) having resumed the Chair, Mr. BARRETT of Nebraska, Chairman of the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union, reported that that Committee, having had under consideration the bill (H.R. 4733) making appropriations for energy and water development for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2001, and for other purposes, pursuant to House Resolution 532, he reported the bill back to the House with sundry amendments adopted by the Committee of the Whole.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the rule, the previous question is ordered.

Is a separate vote demanded on any amendment? If not, the Chair will put them en gros.

The amendments were agreed to.

The CHAIRMAN. The question is on the engrossment and third reading of the bill.

The bill was ordered to be engrossed and read a third time, and was read the third time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the passage of the bill.

Under clause 10 of rule XX, the yeas and nays are ordered.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 407, nays 19, not voting 8, as follows:

[Roll No. 342]

YEAS-407

Abercrombie Aderholt Archer Ackerman Allen Armey

Bachus Baird Baker Baldacci Baldwin Ballenger Barcia Barr Barrett (NE) Barrett (WI) Bartlett Barton Bateman Becerra Bentsen Bereuter Berkley Berman Berry Biggert Bilirakis Bishop Blagojevich Bliley Blumenauer Blunt Boehlert Boehner Bonilla Bonior Bono Borski Boswell Boucher Boyd Brady (PA) Brady (TX) Brown (FL) Brown (OH) Bryant Burr Burton Callahan Calvert Camp Campbell Canady Cannon Capps Capuano Cardin Carson Chabot Chambliss Chenoweth-Hage Clayton Clement Clyburn Coburn Collins Combest Condit Convers Cooksey Costello Cox Coyne Cramer Crane Crowley Cubin Cummings Cunningham Danner Davis (FL) Davis (IL) Davis (VA) DeFazio DeGette DeLauro DeLav DeMint Deutsch Diaz-Balart Dickey Dicks Dingell Dixon Dooley Doolittle

Doyle

Dreier

Duncan

Dunn Edwards Ehlers Ehrlich Emerson Engel English Eshoo Etheridge Evans Everett Ewing Farr Fattah Filner Fletcher Forbes Ford Fossella Fowler Frank (MA) Franks (NJ) Frelinghuvsen Frost Gallegly Ganske Gejdenson Gekas Gephardt Gilchrest Gillmor Gilman Gonzalez Goode Goodling Gordon Goss Graham Granger Green (TX) Green (WI) Greenwood Gutierrez Gutknecht Hall (OH) Hall (TX) Hansen Hastings (FL) Hastings (WA) Hayes Hayworth Hefley Herger Hill (IN) Hill (MT) Hilleary Hilliard Hinchey Hinojosa Hobson Hoeffel Hoekstra Holden Holt Hooley Horn Hostettler Houghton Hoyer Hulshof Hunter Hutchinson Hyde Isakson Istook Jackson (IL) Jackson-Lee (TX) Jefferson Jenkins John Johnson (CT) Johnson Sam Jones (NC) Jones (OH) Kaniorski Kaptur Kasich Kellv Kennedy Kildee Kilpatrick Kind (WI) King (NY) Kingston Kleczka Klink

Kolbe Kucinich Kuykendall LaFalce LaHood Lampson Lantos Largent Larson Latham LaTourette Lazio Leach Lee Levin Lewis (CA) Lewis (GA Lewis (KY) Linder Lipinski LoBiondo Lofgren Lowey Lucas (KY) Lucas (OK) Maloney (CT) Maloney (NY) Manzullo Mascara Matsui McCarthy (MO) McCarthy (NY) McCollum McCrery McDermott McGovern McHugh McInnis McIntvre McKeon McKinney McNulty Meehan Meek (FL) Meeks (NY) Menendez Metcalf Mica Millender-McDonald Miller (FL) Miller, Gary Miller, George Minge Mink Moakley Mollohan Moore Moran (KS) Moran (VA) Morella Murtha Myrick Nadler Napolitano Neal Nethercutt Ney Northup Norwood Nussle Oberstar Obey Olver Ortiz Ose Owens Oxley Packard Pascrell Pastor Payne Pease Pelosi Peterson (PA) Petri Phelps Pickering Pickett Pitts Pombo Pomerov Porter Portman Price (NC) Pryce (OH)

Quinn

Radanovich

Knollenberg

Rahall Shows Tierney Rangel Shuster Toomey Regula Simpson Towns Reyes Reynolds Sisisky Traficant Skeen Turner Udall (CO) Riley Skelton Rivers Slaughter Udall (NM) Smith (MI) Rodriguez Upton Roemer Smith (NJ) Velazquez Rogan Smith (TX) Visclosky Snyder Rogers Vitter Rohrabacher Souder Walden Ros-Lehtinen Spence Walsh Spratt Wamp Roukema Stabenow Waters Roybal-Allard Watkins Stark Rush Stenholm Watt (NC) Ryan (WI) Strickland Watts (OK) Ryun (KS) Stump Waxman Stupak Weldon (FL) Salmon Sununu Weldon (PA) Sanchez Sweeney Weller Talent Sanders Sandlin Tanner Wexler Tauscher Sawyer Weygand Tauzin Taylor (MS) Saxton Whitfield Scarborough Wicker Schakowsky Taylor (NC) Wilson Wise Wolf Scott Terry Serrano Thomas Sessions Thompson (CA) Woolsey Shadegg Thompson (MS) Wu Thornberry Shaw Wvnn Young (FL) Sherman Thune Sherwood Thurman Shimkus Tiahrt

#### NAYS-19

Andrews Castle Doggett Gibbons Goodlatte Inslee Johnson, E. B. Luther Paul Peterson (MN) Ramstad Royce Sanford Schaffer Sensenbrenner Shays Smith (WA) Stearns Tancredo

## NOT VOTING-8

Clay Markey Cook Martinez Delahunt McIntosh Vento Young (AK)

## □ 0027

So the bill was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

REPORT ON EXPANDED THREAT REDUCTION INITIATIVE—MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES (H. DOC. NO. 263)

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. PEASE) laid before the House the following message from the President of the United States; which was read and, together with the accompanying papers, without objection referred to the Committee on International Relations and ordered to be printed:

To the Congress of the United States:

Enclosed is a report to the Congress on the Expanded Threat Reduction Initiative, as required by section 1309 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2000 (Public Law 106-65).

WILLIAM J. CLINTON. THE WHITE HOUSE, *June 27, 2000.* 

## REMOVAL OF NAME OF MEMBER AS COSPONSOR OF H.R. 1598

Mr. McCOLLUM. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that my name be removed as a cosponsor to the bill H.R. 1598, the Patent Fairness Act of 1999. The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Florida?

There was no objection.

PERMISSION FOR CHAIRMAN OF COMMITTEE ON THE BUDGET TO INSERT COMMUNICATIONS IN THE RECORD

Mr. McCOLLUM. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. KASICH) be permitted to insert Committee on the Budget communications into the RECORD at this point.

The CHAIRMAN. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Florida?

There was no objection.

# REVISIONS TO ALLOCATION FOR HOUSE COMMITTEE ON APPROPRIATIONS

Mr. KASICH. Mr. Speaker, in accordance with section 218 of H. Con. Res. 290, I hereby submit for printing in the CONGRESSIONAL RECORD adjustments to the 302(a) allocation for the House Committee on Agriculture, set forth in H. Rept. 106–577, to reflect \$5.5 billion in additional new budget authority and outlays for fiscal year 2000 and \$1.640 billion in new budget authority and outlays for both fiscal year 2000 and \$1.640 billion in new budget authority and outlays for both fiscal year 2001 and for the period of fiscal years 2001 through 2005.

Section 218 of H. Con. Res. 290 authorizes the Chairman of the House Budget Committee to increase the 302(a) allocation of the Committee on Agriculture for a conference report on a bill that provides assistance for producers of program crops and specialty crops. Under the terms of section 218, the adjustments is in the amount of budget authority provided by that bill for the specified purpose but may not exceed \$5.5 billion in new budget authority and outlays for fiscal year 2000 and \$1.640 billion in new budget authority and outlays for fiscal year 2001.

This adjustment is for the conference report accompanying H.R. 2559 (H. Rept. 106–300).

If you have any questions, please contact Jim Bates of my staff at 6-7270.

STATUS REPORT ON CURRENT SPENDING LEVELS OF ON-BUDG-ET SPENDING AND REVENUES FOR FY 2000 AND THE 5-YEAR PE-RIOD FY 2000 THROUGH FY 2004

Mr. KASICH. Mr. Speaker, to facilitate application of sections 302 and 311 of the Congressional Budget Act, I am transmitting a status report on the current levels of on-budget spending and revenues for fiscal year 2000 and for the 5-year period of fiscal year 2000 through fiscal year 2004.

The term "current level" refers to the amounts of spending and revenues estimated for each fiscal year based on laws enacted or awaiting the President's signature as of June 15, 2000.

The first table in the report compares the current level of total budget authority, outlays, and revenues with the aggregate levels set by

H. Con. Res. 290. This comparison is needed to implement section 311(a) of the Budget Act, which creates a point of order against measures that would breach the budget resolution's aggregate levels. The table does not show budget authority and outlays for years after fiscal year 2000.

The second table compares the current levels of budget authority and outlays of each direct spending committee with the "section 302(a)" allocations for discretionary action made under H. Con. Res. 290 for fiscal vear 2000 and fiscal years 2000 through 2004. "Discretionary action" refers to legislation enacted after adoption of the budget resolution. This comparison is needed to implement section 302(f) of the Budget Act, which creates a point of order against measures that would breach the section 302(a) discretionary action allocation of new budget authority for the committee that reported the measure. It is also needed to implement section 311(b), which exempts committees that comply with their allocations from the point of order under section 311(a).

The third table compares the current levels of discretionary appropriations for fiscal year 2000 with the revised "section 302(a)" sub-allocations of discretionary budget authority and outlays among Appropriations subcommittees. This comparison is also needed to implement section 302(f) of the Budget Act because the point of order under that section also applies to measures that would breach the applicable section 302(b) sub-allocation.

The fourth table compares discretionary appropriations to the levels provided by section 251(c) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985. Section 251 requires that, if at the end of a session discretionary spending in any category exceeds the limits set forth in section 251(c) (as adjusted pursuant to provisions of section 251(b)), there shall be a sequestration of funds within that category to bring spending within the established limits. This table is provided for information purposes only. Determination of the need for a sequestration is based on the report of the President required by section 254.

REPORT TO THE SPEAKER FROM THE COMMITTEE ON THE BUDGET—STATUS OF THE FISCAL YEAR 2000 CON-GRESSIONAL BUDGET ADOPTED IN H. CON. RES. 290

[Reflecting action completed as of June 15, 2000—On-budget amounts, in millions of dollars]

	Fiscal year 2000	Fiscal year 2000–2004
Appropriate level (as amended):		
Budget authority	1.471.750	(1)
Outlays	1,453,390	(1)
Revenues	1,465,500	7.768.100
Current level:	,,	,,
Budget authority	1.465.562	(1)
Outlays	1.44.558	(1)
Revenues	1,465,492	7,871,246
Current level over (+)/under ( - ) appropriate level:	-,,	.,,
Budget authority	-6.188	(1)
Outlays	-8.832	(1)
Revenues	-8	103,146

 $^1\,\rm Not$  applicable because annual appropriations Acts for Fiscal Year 2001 through 2004 will not be considered until future sessions of Congress.

# BUDGET AUTHORITY

Enactment of any measure providing new budget authority for FY 2000 of more than \$6,188,000,000 (if not already included in the current level estimate) would cause FY 2000 budget authority to exceed the appropriate level set by H. Con. Res. 290.

# OUTLAYS

Enactment of any measure providing new outlays for FY 2000 of more than