Mr. TRAFICANT. I thank the Chairman

The CHAIRMAN. Does the gentleman withdraw his appeal at this time?

Mr. TRAFICANT. Mr. Chairman, pending the fact that when we return to this bill, I will be able to, in fact, offer my amendment.

The ČHAIRMAN. The gentleman has that option under the rule when the Committee resumes its sitting.

Mr. TRAFICANT. Mr. Chairman, I withdraw the appeal of the ruling of the Chair.

The CHAIRMAN. The appeal is withdrawn. The point of order is sustained. Mr. SHAYS. Mr. Chairman, I move to strike the last word.

Mr. Chairman, I rise in support of H.R. 4577, despite my concerns about the funding of certain critical programs.

I commend the gentleman from Illinois (Mr. PORTER) for his commitment and dedicated service to this body during his 11 years of service. The chairman has lead the bipartisan effort to increase funding for the National Institutes of Health and so many other valuable, worthy, and important programs. He has been a champion of increasing biomedical research and has tirelessly worked to ensure that no child is left behind in our educational system.

I am particularly concerned about the Older Americans Act and, specifically, the congregate meal program funded under the act. I was disappointed, but not surprised, to learn that the congregate meal program was once again flat funded, at the President's requested amount, marking the fourth consecutive fiscal year without an increase.

Because the congregate meal program is unauthorized under H.R. 4577, given the failure of this body to reauthorize the Older American Act, I am unable to introduce an amendment to increase the earmark for the program included in the report language.

Mr. Chairman, funding for the congregate meal program has not kept pace with inflation, increasing only \$20 million over the past 10 years. In 1999 dollars, funding for the program has actually decreased by \$93 million over 10 years.

Congregate meal programs serve the nutrition and social needs of seniors and operate in senior centers, community centers, schools and adult day care centers across the country. Many sites provide a variety of social services in addition to meals, including education, health screening, and social activities which enrich the lives of seniors

Mr. Chairman, this body has a responsibility to ensure that the program is funded adequately. A 1996 evaluation confirmed the senior nutrition program is an important part of ensuring our seniors are healthy. According to the evaluation, participants in the program are among our most vulnerable population. They are older, poorer, and

more likely to be members of minority groups compared to the total elderly population. The evaluation also indicated that for every Federal dollar spent in congregate meals, other funding sources contributed \$1.70.

The Federal Government must uphold its end of the bargain by recognizing the changing buying power of the dollar and increase funding for the congregate meal program accordingly.

I became deeply involved in this issue last November when I became aware that the Agency on Aging in my district began cutting back the congregate meal program after exhausting their reserve funds. In the face of a potential crisis, the State of Connecticut and local governments agreed to make up the financial shortfall for this fiscal year. The additional funds will allow the agency to temporarily overcome the financial shortfall and enable providers to serve the same number of meals this year as were served in 1999. While this financial contribution is significant and speaks volumes about the importance of the congregate meal program to seniors in Connecticut, it does nothing to prevent a similar funding shortfall from occurring next year and the year after that.

Mr. Chairman, I would conclude by thanking this body for allowing me the opportunity to provide my colleagues with my thoughts on this issue of great importance to my district.

It is my hope that the appropriators will work in conference to increase the earmark for congregate meal funding, above the President's requested level, in order to guarantee that seniors have access to the meals they need.

Mr. Chairman, I am prepared to vote this bill out. I believe that the gentleman from Illinois (Mr. PORTER) will be able to make it a better bill in conference. I know he has limited resources to work with, and I stand ready to help him in any way I can.

The CHAIRMAN. Are there further amendments to this portion of the bill?

If not, the Clerk will read.

The Clerk read as follows:

This title may be cited as the "Department of Labor Appropriations Act, 2001".

Mr. PORTER. Mr. Chairman, I move that the Committee do now rise.

The motion was agreed to.

Accordingly, the Committee rose; and the Speaker pro tempore (Mr. ISAKSON) having assumed the chair, Mr. BEREUTER, Chairman of the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union, reported that that Committee, having had under consideration the bill (H.R. 4577), making appropriations for the Departments of Labor, Health and Human Services, and Education, and related agencies for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2001, and for other purposes, had come to no resolution thereon.

LIMITING CONSIDERATION OF CERTAIN AMENDMENTS DURING FURTHER CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 4577, DEPARTMENTS OF LABOR, HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES, AND EDUCATION, AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATION BILL, 2001

Mr. PORTER. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that during consideration of H.R. 4577, pursuant to House Resolution 518, it shall be in order only at the appropriate point in the reading of the bill to consider each of the amendments printed in the CONGRES-SIONAL RECORD and numbered 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17 and 18, pursuant to clause 8 of rule XVIII, if offered by the gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. OBEY), or his designee; none of the designated amendments shall be liable to the point of order that a portion of the amendment addresses a portion of the bill not yet read for amendment; all other points of order against each of the designated amendments shall be considered as reserved pending completion of the debate thereon; each of the designated amendments shall be debatable only for 30 minutes, equally divided and controlled by the proponent and an opponent; each of the designated amendments shall not be subject to amendment; and each of the designated amendments may be withdrawn by its proponent after debate thereon.

2030

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. Isakson). Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Illinois?

Mr. OBEY. Mr. Speaker, reserving the right to object, I simply would note under my reservation, Mr. Speaker, that I have no objection to this arrangement, with the understanding that when the House returns to this bill, it will not be at a time when Members are still flying back to Washington on their airplanes, and that it will not be debated in the dead of night.

Mr. PORTER. Mr. Chairman, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. OBEÝ. I yield to the gentleman from Illinois.

Mr. PORTER. Mr. Chairman, I would say to the gentleman that I will be flying back on an airplane late Monday afternoon, and hope that we would also be able to address this at a civil hour.

Mr. OBEY. Mr. Speaker, I withdraw my reservation of objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Illinois?

Mr. YOUNG of Florida. Mr. Speaker, reserving the right to object, about this time last year we had interfered substantially with a very personal matter relative to our ranking member on the Committee on Appropriations, so just in the event that that might happen again, and I hope it does not, I wanted to wish him a happy anniversary, and hopefully he will be able to get to do something proper with his

wife this year which he was prevented from last year.

Mr. OBEY. If the gentleman will yield, that will be tomorrow.

Mr. YOUNG of Florida. I understand it is tomorrow. Just in case something happens between now and then.

Mr. Speaker, I withdraw my reservation of objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Illinois?

There was no objection.

DEPARTMENTS LABOR, HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES, AND EDUCATION, AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATION ACT, 2001

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to House Resolution 518 and rule XVIII, the Chair declares the House in the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union for the further consideration of the bill, H.R. 4577.

2031

IN THE COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

Accordingly, the House resolved itself into the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union for the further consideration of the bill (H.R. 4577) making appropriations for the Departments of Labor, Health and Human Services, and Education, and related agencies for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2001, and for other purposes, with Mr. BEREUTER in the chair.

The Clerk read the title of the bill. The CHAIRMAN. When the Committee of the Whole rose earlier this evening, the Clerk had read through page 19, line 21.

Pursuant to the order of the House of today, it shall be in order only at the appropriate point in the reading of the bill to consider each of the amendments printed in the CONGRESSIONAL RECORD and numbered 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15. 16, 17, and 18 if offered by the gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. OBEY) or his designee.

Each amendment shall be debatable for 30 minutes, equally divided and controlled by the proponent and an opponent, shall not be subject to an amendment, and may be withdrawn by its proponent after debate thereon.

Pursuant to House Resolution 518, proceedings will now resume on the amendment on which further proceedings were postponed.

AMENDMENT OFFERED BY MR. TRAFICANT

The CHAIRMAN. The pending business is the demand for a recorded vote on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. TRAFICANT) on which further proceedings were postponed and on which the noes prevailed by voice vote.

The Clerk will designate the amendment.

The Clerk designated the amendment.

RECORDED VOTE

The CHAIRMAN. A recorded vote has been demanded.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 203, noes 220, not voting 12, as follows:

[Roll No. 250]

Neal

Obey Olver

Ortiz

Owens

Pallone

Pascrell

Pastor

Pavne

Pelosi

Petri

Phelps

Quinn

Rahall

Rangel

Reyes

Rivers

Rodriguez

Roemer

Rush

Sabo

Sanchez

Sanders

Sandlin

Sawyer

Saxton

Scott

Serrano

Sherman

Slaughter

Snyder

Spratt

Stark

Stupak

Tauscher

Thurman

Traficant

Udall (CO)

Velazquez

Visclosky

Watt (NC)

Weldon (PA)

Waxman

Weiner

Weller

Wexler

Wise

Wıı

Wynn

Weygand

Woolsey

Waters

Udall (NM)

Tiernev

Towns

Thompson (CA)

Thompson (MS)

Stabenow

Strickland

Smith (NJ)

Schakowsky

Rothman

Roybal-Allard

Pomerov

Price (NC)

Peterson (MN)

Oberstar

AYES-203 Abercrombie Hall (OH) Ackerman Hastings (FL) Allen Hill (IN) Andrews Hilliard Hinchey Baca Baird Hinoiosa Baldacci Hoeffel Baldwin Barrett (WI) Holden Holt Hooley Becerra Bentsen Horn Berkley Hover Berman Bishop Blagojevich Jackson (IL) Jackson-Lee Blumenauer Boehlert Jefferson Bonior Johnson, E. B. Borski Jones (OH) Boswell Kanjorski Boucher Kaptur Brady (PA) Kennedy Brown (FL) Kildee Brown (OH) Kilpatrick Campbell Kind (WI) Capps Capuano King (NY) Kleczka Cardin Kucinich Carson LaFalce Clayton Lampson Clyburn Lantos Condit Larson Conyers Lee Costello Levin Lewis (GA) Covne Cramer Lipinski Crowley LoBiondo Cummings Lofgren Davis (FL) Lowey Lucas (KY) Davis (II.) DeFazio Luther Maloney (CT) DeGette Delahunt Maloney (NY) Mascara DeLauro Deutsch Matsui Dicks McCarthy (MO) Dingell McCarthy (NY) McDermott Doggett McGovern McHugh Doyle

Edwards McKinney Engel McNulty English Meehan Eshoo Meek (FL) Etheridge Meeks (NY) Evans Menendez Farr Metcalf Millender Fattah Filner McDonald Forbes Miller, George Minge Ford Frank (MA) Mink Frost Moakley Gejdenson Mollohan Gephardt Moore Moran (VA) Gonzalez Gordon Murtha Green (TX) Nadler

Gutierrez

Aderholt

Archer

Armey

Bachus

Baker

Barcia

Barr

Ballenger

Bartlett

Bateman

Bereuter

Barton

Bass

Berry

Biggert Bilbray

Bilirakis

Bliley

Blunt

Barrett (NE)

NOES-220

Napolitano

Boehner Coburn Bonilla Collins Bono Combest Boyd Cook Brady (TX) Cooksey Bryant Cox Burr Crane Burton Cubin Buyer Cunningham Callahan Davis (VA) Calvert Deal DeLay Camp Canady DeMint Diaz-Balart Cannon Castle Dickey Chabot Dooley Doolittle Chambliss Chenoweth-Hage Dreier Clement Duncan Dunn Coble

Emerson Everett Ewing Fletcher Foley Fossella Fowler Franks (N.J) Frelinghuysen Gallegly Ganske Gekas Gibbons Gilchrest Gillmor Goode Goodlatte Goodling Goss Graham Granger Green (WI) Gutknecht Hall (TX) Hansen Hastert Hastings (WA) Hayes Hayworth Hefley Herger Hill (MT) Hilleary Hobson Hoekstra Hostettler Houghton Hulshof Hunter Hutchinson Hyde Isakson Jenkins John Johnson (CT) Johnson, Sam Jones (NC) Kasich Kelly Kingston Knollenberg Kolbe

Kuykendall Salmon LaHood Sanford Largent Latham LaTourette Leach Lewis (CA) Lewis (KY) Linder Lucas (OK) Manzullo McCollum McCrery McIntosh McIntyre McKeon Mica Miller (FL) Miller, Gary Moran (KS) Morella Myrick Nethercutt Nev Northup Norwood Nussle Oxlev Packard Paul Pease Peterson (PA) Pickering Pickett Pitts Pombo Porter Portman Pryce (OH) Radanovich Ramstad Regula Reynolds Riľey Rogan Rogers Rohrabacher Ros-Lehtinen Roukema Royce Ryan (WI) Ryun (KS)

Scarborough Schaffer Sensenbrenner Sessions Shadegg Shaw Shays Sherwood Shimkus Shows Shuster Simpson Sisisky Skeen Skelton Smith (TX) Souder Spence Stearns Stenholm Stump Sununu Sweeney Talent Tancredo Tanner Tauzin Taylor (MS) Taylor (NC) Terry Thomas Thornberry Thune Tiahrt Toomey Turner Upton Vitter Walden Walsh Wamp Watkins Watts (OK) Weldon (FL) Whitfield Wicker Wilson Wolf Young (AK) Young (FL)

NOT VOTING-12

Clay Istook Martinez Danner Klink Smith (MI) Lazio Markey Gilman Smith (WA) Greenwood Vento

2054

Messrs. SOUDER, DUNCAN, BRADY of Texas and MORAN of Kansas changed their vote from "aye" to "no."

Mr. DAVIS of Florida and Ms. EDDIE BERNICE JOHNSON of Texas changed their vote from "no" to "aye.

So the amendment was rejected.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

Mr. PORTER. Mr. Chairman, I move that the Committee do now rise.

The motion was agreed to.

Accordingly, the Committee rose; and the Speaker pro tempore (Mr. FLETCHER) having assumed the Chair, Mr. BEREUTER, Chairman of the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union, reported that that Committee, having had under consideration the bill (H.R. 4577), making appropriations for the Departments of Labor, Health and Human Services, and Education, and related agencies for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2001, and for other purposes, had come to no resolution thereon.