

Vote against this rule (bill) and in favor of needy students across this country, and in favor of American businesses who desperately need a well educated workforce. Let's keep our American economy growing.

Mr. GILMAN. Mr. Speaker, I rise to speak on this rule for H.R. 4577, the FY 2001 Department of Labor, HHS and Education Appropriations Act, to offer my strong objection and concern with the addition of another amendment to part A of the Rules Committee report, providing for a rescission from the child care and development block grant (CCDBG) of any funds appropriated in excess of the \$23.5 billion advanced appropriation cap contained in the FY 2001 concurrent budget resolution.

The child care development block grant (CCDBG) is a major source of child care assistance for low and moderate working families. Usually out of necessity, not choice, mothers are working outside the home in greater numbers than ever before. Moreover, with many employers having difficulty finding the workers they need, due to a 30-year low in unemployment; and the continued demand generated by welfare reform. It is imperative now more than ever that the availability of affordable and quality child care services exist.

Accordingly, now is not the time from Congress to limit the amount of funding available for CCDBG.

Regretably, as I read the language found in the Rules Committee report it is essentially placing a marker which states that the House of Representatives does not support the need for this important program.

While, I will vote for the rule as I believe it is important that the House have the opportunity to debate the important provisions in the Labor, HHS appropriations bill, I strongly oppose the Rules Committee report language on the CCDBG. And I intend to work for additional funding for this necessary, beneficial program.

Ms. PRYCE of Ohio. Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time, and I move the previous question on the resolution.

The previous question was ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. PEASE). The question is on the resolution.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Ms. SLAUGHTER. Mr. Speaker, I object to the vote on the ground that a quorum is not present and make the point of order that a quorum is not present.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Evidently a quorum is not present.

The Sergeant at Arms will notify absent Members.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 218, nays 204, not voting 13, as follows:

[Roll No. 247]

YEAS—218

Aderholt	Bass	Bonilla
Archer	Bateman	Bono
Army	Bereuter	Brady (TX)
Bachus	Biggart	Bryant
Baker	Bilbray	Burr
Ballenger	Bilirakis	Burton
Barr	Bliley	Buyer
Barrett (NE)	Blunt	Callahan
Bartlett	Boehlert	Calvert
Barton	Boehner	Camp

Campbell	Horn	Ramstad	Lee	Neal	Sisisky
Canady	Hostettler	Regula	Levin	Oberstar	Skelton
Cannon	Hulshof	Reynolds	Lewis (GA)	Obey	Slaughter
Castle	Hunter	Riley	Lipinski	Olver	Smith (WA)
Chabot	Hutchinson	Rogan	Lofgren	Ortiz	Snyder
Chambliss	Hyde	Rogers	Lowey	Owens	Spatt
Chenoweth-Hage	Isakson	Rohrabacher	Lucas (KY)	Pallone	Stabenow
Coble	Istook	Ros-Lehtinen	Luther	Pascarell	Stark
Coburn	Jenkins	Roukema	Maloney (CT)	Pastor	Stenholm
Collins	Johnson (CT)	Royce	Maloney (NY)	Payne	Strickland
Combest	Johnson, Sam	Ryan (WI)	Mascara	Pelosi	Stupak
Cook	Jones (NC)	Ryun (KS)	Matsui	Peterson (MN)	Tanner
Cooksey	Kasich	Salmon	McCarthy (MO)	Phelps	Tauscher
Cox	Kelly	Sanford	McCarthy (NY)	Pickett	Taylor (MS)
Crane	King (NY)	Saxton	McDermott	Pomeroy	Thompson (CA)
Cubin	Kingston	Scarborough	McGovern	Price (NC)	Thompson (MS)
Cunningham	Knollenberg	Schaffer	McIntyre	Rahall	Thurman
Davis (VA)	Kolbe	Sensenbrenner	McKinney	Rangel	Tierney
Deal	Kuykendall	Sessions	McNulty	Reyes	Towns
DeLay	LaHood	Shadegg	Meehan	Rivers	Turner
DeMint	Largent	Shaw	Meek (FL)	Rodriguez	Udall (CO)
Diaz-Balart	Latham	Shays	Menendez	Roemer	Udall (NM)
Dickey	LaTourette	Sherwood	Millender-	Rothman	Velazquez
Doolittle	Lazio	Shimkus	McDonald	Roybal-Allard	Visclosky
Dreier	Leach	Shuster	Miller, George	Rush	Waters
Duncan	Lewis (CA)	Simpson	Minge	Sabo	Watt (NC)
Dunn	Lewis (KY)	Skeen	Mink	Sanchez	Waxman
Ehlers	Linder	Smith (NJ)	Moakley	Sanders	Weiner
Ehrlich	LoBiondo	Smith (TX)	Mollohan	Sandlin	Wexler
Emerson	Lucas (OK)	Souder	Moore	Sawyer	Weygand
English	Manzullo	Spence	Moran (VA)	Schakowsky	Wise
Everett	Martinez	Stearns	Morella	Scott	Woolsey
Ewing	McCollum	Stump	Murtha	Serrano	Wu
Fletcher	McCrery	Sununu	Nadler	Sherman	Wynn
Foley	McHugh	Sweeney	Napolitano	Shows	
Fowler	McInnis	Talent			
Frelinghuysen	McIntosh	Tancredo			
Galleghy	McKeon	Tauzin	Clay	Greenwood	Myrick
Ganske	Metcalfe	Taylor (NC)	Danner	Houghton	Smith (MI)
Gekas	Mica	Terry	Fossella	Klink	Vento
Gibbons	Miller (FL)	Thomas	Franks (NJ)	Markay	
Gilchrest	Miller, Gary	Thornberry	Gejdenson	Meeks (NY)	
Gillmor	Moran (KS)	Thune			
Gilman	Nethercutt	Tiahrt			
Goode	Ney	Toomey			
Goodlatte	Northup	Traficant			
Goodling	Norwood	Upton			
Goss	Nussle	Vitter			
Graham	Ose	Walden			
Granger	Oxley	Walsh			
Green (WI)	Packard	Wamp			
Gutknecht	Paul	Watkins			
Hansen	Pease	Watts (OK)			
Hastert	Peterson (PA)	Weldon (FL)			
Hastings (WA)	Petri	Weldon (PA)			
Hayes	Pickering	Weller			
Hayworth	Pitts	Whitfield			
Hefley	Pombo	Wicker			
Herger	Porter	Wilson			
Hill (MT)	Portman	Wolf			
Hilleary	Pryce (OH)	Young (AK)			
Hobson	Quinn	Young (FL)			
Hoekstra	Radanovich				

NAYS—204

Abercrombie	Conyers	Green (TX)
Ackerman	Costello	Gutierrez
Allen	Coyne	Hall (OH)
Andrews	Cramer	Hall (TX)
Baca	Crowley	Hastings (FL)
Baird	Cummings	Hill (IN)
Baldacci	Davis (FL)	Hilliard
Baldwin	Davis (IL)	Hinchey
Barcia	DeFazio	Hinojosa
Barrett (WI)	DeGette	Hoeffel
Becerra	Delahunt	Holden
Bentsen	DeLauro	Holt
Berkley	Deutsch	Hooley
Berman	Dicks	Hoyer
Berry	Dingell	Inslee
Bishop	Dixon	Jackson (IL)
Blagojevich	Doggett	Jackson-Lee
Blumenauer	Dooley	(TX)
Bonior	Doyle	Jefferson
Borski	Edwards	John
Boswell	Engel	Johnson, E.B.
Boucher	Eshoo	Jones (OH)
Boyd	Etheridge	Kanjorski
Brady (PA)	Evans	Kaptur
Brown (FL)	Farr	Kennedy
Brown (OH)	Fattah	Kildee
Capps	Filner	Kilpatrick
Capuano	Forbes	Kind (WI)
Cardin	Ford	Kleczka
Carson	Frank (MA)	Kucinich
Clayton	Frost	LaFalce
Clement	Gephardt	Lampson
Clyburn	Gonzalez	Lantos
Condit	Gordon	Larson

NOT VOTING—13

Clay	Greenwood	Myrick
Danner	Houghton	Smith (MI)
Fossella	Klink	Vento
Franks (NJ)	Markay	
Gejdenson	Meeks (NY)	

1224

Mr. PALLONE and Mr. MOLLOHAN changed their vote from "yea" to "nay".

So the resolution was agreed to.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

APPOINTMENT OF MEMBER TO BOARD OF VISITORS TO UNITED STATES MILITARY ACADEMY

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. SHIMKUS). Without objection, and pursuant to 10 U.S.C. 4355(a), the Chair announces the Speaker's appointment of the following Member of the House to the Board of Visitors to the United States Military Academy:

Mr. RODRIGUEZ of Texas.

There was no objection.

MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT

A message in writing from the President of the United States was communicated to the House by Mr. Sherman Williams, one of his secretaries.

REPORT OF NATIONAL SCIENCE BOARD ENTITLED "SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING INDICATORS, 2000"—MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following message from the President of the United States; which was read and, together with the accompanying papers, without objection, referred to the Committee on Science:

To the Congress of the United States:

As required by 42 U.S.C. 1863(j)(1), I am pleased to submit to the Congress a report of the National Science Board entitled, "Science and Engineering Indicators—2000." This report represents the fourteenth in a series examining key aspects of the status of American science and engineering in a global environment.

WILLIAM J. CLINTON,
THE WHITE HOUSE, June 8, 2000.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. PORTER. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days within which to revise and extend their remarks on H.R. 4577, and that I may include tabular and extraneous material.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Illinois?

There was no objection.

DEPARTMENTS OF LABOR, HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES, AND EDUCATION, AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2001

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to House Resolution 518 and rule XVIII, the Chair declares the House in the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union for the consideration of the bill, H.R. 4577.

The Chair designates the gentleman from Nebraska (Mr. BEREUTER) as chairman of the Committee of the Whole, and requests the gentleman from Indiana (Mr. PEASE) to assume the chair temporarily.

1228

IN THE COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

Accordingly, the House resolved itself into the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union for the consideration of the bill (H.R. 4577) making appropriations for the Departments of Labor, Health and Human Service, and Education, and related agencies for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2001, and for other purposes, with Mr. PEASE (Chairman pro tempore) in the chair.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The CHAIRMAN pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the bill is considered as having been read the first time.

Under the rule, the gentleman from Illinois (Mr. PORTER) and the gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. OBEY) each will control 30 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Illinois (Mr. PORTER).

Mr. PORTER. Mr. Chairman, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Chairman, before I begin the general debate, I want to acknowledge the wonderful work of our staff on our subcommittee. Tony McCann, the clerk and chief of staff has done a magnificent job for this subcommittee for the entire 6 years that I have been privileged to chair it; and he has been very

ably assisted by a wonderful staff: Carol Murphy, Susan Firth, Geoff Kenyon, Tom Kelly, and Francine Salvador on our side and Mark Mioduski and Cheryl Smith on the minority side.

1230

Every one of them is an expert. We rely greatly upon their counsel and advice, and we are fortunate to have professionals of this standard as our staff.

I also want to thank the associate staff of the subcommittee. They work very hard for each of the Members; and I want to thank my staff, particularly Katharine Fisher, my administrative assistant, and Spencer Perlman, my legislative director.

Let me add that it has been a tremendous privilege for me to serve for the last 21 years on the Committee on Appropriations and on this subcommittee, and it has been wonderful to be able to serve as one of the subcommittee chairmen under our full committee chairman, the gentleman from Florida (Mr. YOUNG). He does a magnificent job for our country, for this House of Representatives, and for our committee; and it has been an absolute joy to be a subcommittee chairman under his leadership.

Let me also say that it has been a great privilege for me to serve with my colleague, the gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. OBEY). We work very well and closely together. People may not believe that after the debate we will probably have today; but we do. And I have learned a great deal from him. He is a very senior Member of the House, has been on this committee, interestingly enough, many years longer than I have; and I think our relationship is a very solid and good one. Both of us realize that, in the end, the process leads us to finding common ground and to making the right decisions for our country and for the programs that are under the jurisdiction of the subcommittee.

Each of the subcommittee members, the gentleman from Texas (Mr. BONILLA), the gentleman from Oklahoma (Mr. ISTOOK), the gentleman from Florida (Mr. MILLER), the gentleman from Arkansas (Mr. DICKEY), the gentleman from Mississippi (Mr. WICKER), the gentleman from Kentucky (Mrs. NORTHUP), and the gentleman from California (Mr. CUNNINGHAM), on our side; the gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. OBEY), of course; the gentleman from Maryland (Mr. HOYER); the gentleman from California (Ms. PELOSI); the gentleman from New York (Mrs. LOWEY); the gentleman from Connecticut (Ms. DELAURO); and the gentleman from Illinois (Mr. JACKSON) on the minority side, they spend countless hours in hearings that last far longer than any other subcommittee. They are all very, very dedicated and hard-working Members that give a great deal of their time and effort to this process; and I want to thank each one of them. It has been for me a great privilege to have Members like this

serving on this subcommittee, and I know that they will provide the institutional knowledge that will carry it forward long after I have departed.

Let me also add that we work very, very closely with the gentleman from Pennsylvania (Mr. GOODLING). He has provided the kind of leadership in the authorization of many of the programs that our subcommittee funds, and he has been the kind of authorizing chairman that appropriators salute because he has taken on the job of reauthorizing almost all of the education and some of the labor law that needs reauthorizing. He has not shirked one bit from that responsibility and has done a terrific job of reflecting the kind of philosophy that we believe gets results for people.

That is, after all, what this bill and what all of our bills are all about, getting results for the American people. The entire tenor of Congress during the last 5 or 6 or 8 years has changed, as we look very hard at every single program to see whether it really works to changes people's lives and to do the right thing in terms of the expenditure of money and getting results.

Now, Mr. Chairman, the committee bill, despite what we may hear from now on, increases discretionary spending by \$2.4 billion over last year. It contains a few cuts. A number of programs are level funded, but many are increased. The bill provides increased spending of \$2.4 billion to \$8.6 billion and a total of \$342 billion overall.

The President, of course, requested \$106.2 billion. That is easy to do when he is not responsible for the bottom line. With the extra funds, the President proposed dozens of new programs, many of them duplicative; hastily conceived, in our judgment; and aimed more at constituencies than at true national policy.

Within our funding level, determined by a budget resolution adopted by the majority of both Houses of the Congress and that we have to live by, I have attempted to support high-priority programs while restraining the growth of other lower-priority programs. We did not fund any of the dozens of new small untested programs proposed by the President, almost all of which were unauthorized.

We did fund the Job Corps at \$1.4 billion, \$7 million above the President's request. We did fund community health centers at \$1.1 billion, \$31 million above the President's request. We funded graduate medical education payments to Children's Hospitals at \$80 million, the request level.

We funded Ricky Ray Hemophilia Relief at \$100 million. Ryan White, under our bill, is increased by \$130 million to \$1.725 billion, \$5.5 million above the President's request.

TRIO was increased by \$115 million, a very important program serving minority youngsters in our society. It is increased by \$115 to \$760 million, \$35 million above the President's request.

Overall, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention is funded at \$368