May 25, 20	00	(
Brown (OH)	Greenwood	Meehan
Bryant Burr	Gutierrez Gutknecht	Meek (FL) Meeks (NY)
Burton	Hall (OH)	Menendez
Buyer	Hall (TX)	Metcalf Mica
Callahan Calvert	Hansen Hastings (FL)	Millender-
Camp	Hastings (WA)	McDonald
Campbell	Hayes	Miller (FL)
Canady Cannon	Hayworth Hefley	Miller, Gary Miller, George
Capuano	Herger	Moakley
Cardin Carson	Hill (IN) Hill (MT)	Mollohan Moore
Castle	Hilleary	Moran (KS)
Chabot	Hinchey	Moran (VA)
Chambliss Chenoweth-Hage	Hinojosa Hobson	Morella Murtha
Clayton	Hoeffel	Myrick
Clement	Hoekstra	Nadler
Collins Combest	Holden Holt	Napolitano Neal
Condit	Hooley	Nethercutt
Conyers Cook	Horn Hostottler	Ney
Costello	Hostettler Hoyer	Northup Norwood
Cox	Hulshof	Nussle
Coyne Cramer	Hunter Hutchinson	Oberstar Obey
Crane	Hyde	Olver
Crowley	Inslee	Ortiz
Cubin Cummings	Isakson Istook	Ose Oxley
Cunningham	Jackson (IL)	Packard
Danner	Jackson-Lee	Pallone
Davis (FL) Davis (IL)	(TX) Jefferson	Pascrell Pastor
Davis (VA)	Jenkins	Payne
Deal DeFazio	John	Pease
DeGette	Johnson (CT) Johnson, E. B.	Pelosi Peterson (MN)
Delahunt	Jones (NC)	Peterson (PA)
DeLauro DeMint	Jones (OH) Kanjorski	Petri Phelps
Deutsch	Kantur	Pickering
Diaz-Balart	Kasich	Pickett
Dickey Dicks	Kelly Kildee	Pombo Pomeroy
Dingell	Kilpatrick	Porter
Dixon	Kind (WI) King (NY)	Portman Price (NC)
Doggett Dooley	Kingston	Pryce (OH)
Doolittle	Kleczka	Quinn
Doyle Dreier	Klink Knollenberg	Radanovich Rahall
Duncan	Kolbe	Ramstad
Dunn Edwards	Kucinich Kuykendall	Rangel Regula
Ehlers	LaHood	Reyes
Ehrlich Emerson	Lampson Lantos	Reynolds Riley
Engel	Largent	Rivers
English	Larson	Rodriguez
Eshoo Etheridge	Latham LaTourette	Roemer Rogan
Evans	Lazio	Rogers
Everett Ewing	Leach Lee	Rohrabacher Ros-Lehtinen
Farr	Levin	Rothman
Fattah	Lewis (CA)	Roukema
Filner Fletcher	Lewis (GA) Lewis (KY)	Roybal-Allard Royce
Foley	Linder	Rush
Forbes Ford	Lipinski LoBiondo	Ryan (WI) Ryun (KS)
Fossella	Lofgren	Sabo
Fowler	Lowey	Salmon
Frank (MA) Franks (NJ)	Lucas (KY) Lucas (OK)	Sanchez Sanders
Frelinghuysen	Luther	Sandlin
Frost Gallegly	Maloney (CT) Maloney (NY)	Sanford Sawyer
Ganske	Manzullo	Saxton
Gejdenson	Markey	Schaffer
Gekas Gephardt	Martinez Mascara	Schakowsky Scott
Gibbons	Matsui	Sensenbrenner
Gilchrest Gillmor	McCarthy (MO) McCarthy (NY)	Serrano Sessions
Gilman	McCollum	Shadegg
Gonzalez	McCrery McDormott	Shaw
Goode Goodlatte	McDermott McGovern	Shays Sherman
Gordon	McHugh	Sherwood
Goss Graham	McIntosh McIntyre	Shimkus Shows
Granger	McKeon	Shuster
Green (TX) Green (WI)	McKinney McNulty	Simpson Sisisky
		_101011.y

CI	T	* 7***
Skeen	Tauzin	Vitter
Skelton	Taylor (MS)	Walden
Slaughter	Taylor (NC)	Walsh
Smith (MI)	Terry	Wamp
Smith (NJ)	Thomas	Waters
Smith (TX)	Thompson (CA)	Watkins
Smith (WA)	Thompson (MS)	Watt (NC)
Snyder	Thornberry	Watts (OK)
Souder	Thune	Waxman
Spratt	Thurman	Weldon (FL)
Stabenow	Tiahrt	Weldon (PA)
Stark	Tierney	Weller
Stearns	Toomey	Weygand
Stenholm	Towns	Whitfield
Strickland	Traficant	Wilson
Stump	Turner	Wise
Stupak	Udall (CO)	Wolf
Sununu	Udall (NM)	Woolsey
Sweeney	Upton	Wu
Tancredo	Velazquez	Wynn
Tanner	Vento	Young (AK)
Tauscher	Visclosky	Young (FL)
	NIANC 0	

NAYS-3

Paul

Goodling ANSWERED "PRESENT"-2

Wicker Barr

DeLay

NOT	VOTING-	-26

Bateman	Coburn	Mink
Becerra	Cooksey	Owens
Bereuter	Hilliard	Pitts
Berman	Houghton	Scarborough
Brady (TX)	Johnson, Sam	Spence
Capps	Kennedy	Talent
Clay	LaFalce	Weiner
Clyburn	McInnis	Wexler
Coble	Minge	

□ 1331

So the concurrent resolution was agreed to.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

Stated for:

Mr. BEREUTER. Mr. Speaker, on rollcall No. 231. I inadvertently missed the vote. Had I been present on the floor I would have voted

Mrs. CAPPS. Mr. Speaker, I was unavoidably detained and missed rollcall 231, passage of H. Con. Res. 331. Had I been present, I would have voted "aye."

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mr. BECERRA. Mr. Speaker, on May 25, 2000, I was unavoidably detained during rollcall votes: No. 229, on Ordering the Previous Question on H. Res. 511, Providing for the Consideration of H.R. 3916, to Amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to Repeal the Excise Tax on Telephone and Other Communication Services; No. 230 on Agreeing to the Resolution, H. Res. 511; and No. 231 on Agreeing to the Resolution, H. Con. Res. 331. Commending Israel's Redeployment from Southern Lebanon. Had I been present for the votes, I would have voted "nay" on rollcall vote 229, and "aye" on rollcall votes 230 and 231.

MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT

A message in writing from the President of the United States was communicated to the House by Mr. Sherman Williams, one of his secretaries.

PERMISSION FOR COMMITTEE ON APPROPRIATIONS HAVE TO UNTIL MIDNIGHT, JUNE 1, 2000, TO FILE PRIVILEGED REPORT ON DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE APPROPRIATIONS BILL, 2001

Mr. LEWIS of California. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the Committee on Appropriations may have until midnight, June 1, 2000, to file a privileged report on a bill making appropriations for the Department of Defense for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2001, and for other purposes.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. LATOURETTE). Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from California?

There was no objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 1 of rule XXI, all points of order are reserved on the bill.

PERMISSION FOR COMMITTEE ON APPROPRIATIONS TO HAVE JUNE 1, 2000, UNTIL MIDNIGHT, TO FILE PRIVILEGED REPORT ON DEPARTMENTS OF LABOR, HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES, AND EDUCATION, AND RELATED AGENCIES **APPROPRIATIONS** BILL, 2001

Mr. YOUNG of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the Committee on Appropriations may have until midnight, June 1, 2000, to file a privileged report on a bill making appropriations for the Departments of Labor, Health and Human Services, and Education, and related agencies for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2001, and for other purposes.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Florida?

There was no objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 1 of rule XXI, all points of order are reserved on the bill.

PERMISSION FOR COMMITTEE ON APPROPRIATIONS TO HAVE JUNE 1, 2000. UNTIL MIDNIGHT, TO FILE PRIVILEGED REPORT ON DEPARTMENT OF THE INTE-RIOR AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS BILL, 2001

Mr. REGULA. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the Committee on Appropriations may have until midnight, June 1, 2000, to file a privileged report on a bill making appropriations for the Department of the Interior and related agencies for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2001, and for other purposes.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Ohio?

There was no objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 1 of rule XXI, all points of order are reserved on the bill.

TELEPHONE EXCISE TAX REPEAL ACT

Mr. ARCHER. Mr. Speaker, pursuant to House Resolution 511, I call up the bill (H.R. 3916) to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to repeal the excise tax on telephone and other communication services, and ask for its immediate consideration in the House.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to House Resolution 511, the bill is considered read for amendment.

The text of H.R. 3916 is as follows:

H.R. 3916

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled

SECTION 1. REPEAL OF EXCISE TAX ON TELE-PHONE AND OTHER COMMUNICA-TIONS SERVICES.

- (a) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 33 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (relating to facilities and services) is amended by striking subchapter B.
 - (b) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—
- (1) Section 4293 of such Code is amended by striking "chapter 32 (other than the taxes imposed by sections 4064 and 4121) and sub-chapter B of chapter 33," and inserting "and chapter 32 (other than the taxes imposed by sections 4064 and 4121),"
- (2)(A) Paragraph (1) of section 6302(e) of such Code is amended by striking "section 4251 or''
- (B) Paragraph (2) of section 6302(e) of such Code is amended—
- (i) by striking "imposed by—" and all that follows through "with respect to" and inserting "imposed by section 4261 or 4271 with respect to", and
 - (ii) by striking "bills rendered or".
- (C) The subsection heading for section 6302(e) of such Code is amended by striking 'COMMUNICATIONS SERVICES AND''
- (3) Section 6415 of such Code is amended by striking "4251, 4261, or 4271" each place it appears and inserting "4261 or 4271".
- (4) Paragraph (2) of section 7871(a) of such Code is amended by inserting "or" at the end of subparagraph (B), by striking subparagraph (C), and by redesignating subparagraph (D) as subparagraph (C).

(5) The table of subchapters for chapter 33 of such Code is amended by striking the item

relating to subchapter B.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to amounts paid pursuant to bills first rendered more than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The amendment printed in the bill is adopt-

The text of H.R. 3916, as amended, is as follows:

H.R. 3916

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. REPEAL OF FEDERAL COMMUNICA-TIONS EXCISE TAX.

- (a) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 33 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (relating to facilities and services) is amended by striking subchapter B.
- (b) PHASE-OUT OF TAX.—Paragraph (2) of section 4251(b) of such Code (defining applicable percentage) is amended to read as follows:
- '(2) APPLICABLE PERCENTAGE.—The term 'applicable percentage' means—
- '(A) 2 percent with respect to amounts paid pursuant to bills first rendered on or after the 30th day after the date of the enactment of this subparagraph and before October 1, 2001, and

- "(B) 1 percent with respect to amounts paid pursuant to bills first rendered after September 30, 2001, and before October 1, 2002.'
 - (c) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.
- (1) Section 4293 of such Code is amended by striking "chapter 32 (other than the taxes imposed by sections 4064 and 4121) and subchapter B of chapter 33,'' and inserting "and chapter 32 (other than the taxes imposed by sections 4064
- (2)(A) Paragraph (1) of section 6302(e) of such Code is amended by striking "section 4251 or" (B) Paragraph (2) of section 6302(e) of such Code is amended-
- (i) by striking ''imposed by—'' and all that follows through ''with respect to'' and inserting "imposed by section 4261 or 4271 with respect to", and
 - (ii) by striking "bills rendered or".
- (C) The subsection heading for section 6302(e) of such Code is amended by striking "COMMU-NICATIONS SERVICES AND"
- (3) Section 6415 of such Code is amended by striking "4251, 4261, or 4271" each place it appears and inserting "4261 or 4271
- (4) Paragraph (2) of section 7871(a) of such Code is amended by inserting "or" at the end of subparagraph (B), by striking subparagraph (C), and by redesignating subparagraph (D) as subparagraph (C).

(5) The table of subchapters for chapter 33 of such Code is amended by striking the item relating to subchapter B.

(d) EFFECTIVE DATES.—

- (1) REPEAL.—The amendments made by subsections (a) and (c) shall apply to amounts paid pursuant to bills first rendered after September 30. 2002.
- (2) PHASE-OUT.—The amendment made by subsection (b) shall apply to amounts paid pursuant to bills first rendered on or after the 30th day after the date of the enactment of this Act.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Texas (Mr. ARCHER) and the gentleman from California (Mr. MATSUI) each will control 30 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Texas (Mr. ARCHER).

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. ARCHER. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days within which to revise and extend their remarks and include extraneous matter on H.R. 3916.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Texas?

There was no objection.

Mr. ARCHER. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, today Congress will vote to repeal the 102-year-old Federal excise tax on telecommunications services. This is a bipartisan bill introduced by the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. PORTMAN) and the gentleman from California (Mr. MATSUI). It repeals an excise tax which is regressive and hits low-income families and people on fixed incomes like older Americans the hardest and it is a tax that has truly outlived its usefulness. The telephone tax is a showcase example of bad tax policy and its endurance over the century proves again that once the Government gets its hands on the taxpayers' money, it is hard to get it back to the people.

In addition to helping people today, repealing this tax will help avoid a potentially big tax increase in the future. It used to be that each household had only one phone, and that was it. But today homes have at least one phone line, many have two. Mom and Dad and maybe one of the kids has a cell phone or a pager, and the family might have a computer and use e-mail. So they are paying this tax on a number of telecommunications services, not just on their one telephone anymore.

The point is, as more Americans use more and more telecommunications services, this tax must surely not continue to grow. That is why I am pleased that we are taking this action today to repeal a tax first levied in 1898. As the old saying goes, Better late than never.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. MATSUI. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself 3 minutes.

First I would like to thank the gentleman from New York (Mr. RANGEL), the ranking Democrat on the Committee on Ways and Means, for yielding to me and allowing me to manage this bill. I would like to commend the gentleman from Texas (Mr. ARCHER), the chairman of the committee, for bringing this bill up in an expeditious fash-

Mr. Speaker, as the gentleman from Texas has mentioned, this tax is a tax that should have been repealed years ago. It started in 1898 to actually pay for the Spanish-American war. It had been repealed and reinstated numerous times over those years, but the fact of the matter is this tax is a tax on telephone service communications between Americans.

When it was first instituted in 1898, 102 years ago, there were, believe it or not, 1,356 telephones in America. It was clearly a luxury tax. It was a method that very wealthy people used to communicate with each other probably more as a novelty than as a real source of communication. The fact of the matter is today that 94 percent of the American public of 270 million people now use telephones. Now they pay a 3 percent tax. As we know, this tax hits across everybody, low-income people, moderate-income people, the rich; but everybody pays the same percentage. This is probably one of the most regressive taxes that the Federal Government has. It should be repealed, particularly in a time of surpluses.

I might also mention that there is another aspect of this as well. As we know, we have numerous different modes of communication in America and throughout the world today. We have the Internet, we have cable modems and everything else. At this time the IRS and the Treasury Department is having a very difficult time on how to apply this tax. Some can use the Internet with cable modems to avoid the tax, and others who use the basic telephone service end up paying the tax. As we know, average low-income Americans are the ones that do not have access to the Internet. And so again this tax is even more regressive,