Bilirakis Ganske Bishop Gejdenson Blagojevich Gekas Bliley Blumenauer Gephardt Gibbons Gilchrest Boehlert Gillmor Gilman Boehner Bonilla Gonzalez Goode Goodlatte Bonior Bono Boswell Goodling Boucher Gordon Boyd Goss Brady (TX) Graham Granger Green (TX) Brown (FL) Brown (OH) Green (WI) Bryant Greenwood Burton Gutierrez Gutknecht Buyer Callahan Calvert Hall (TX) Camp Hansen Canady Hastert Cannon Hastings (FL) Capuano Hastings (WA) Cardin Hayes Carson Hayworth Castle Hefley Chabot Herger Chambliss Hill (IN) Clay Clayton Hill (MT) Hilleary Clement Hilliard Clyburn Hinchey Hinoiosa Coble Coburn Hobson Collins Hoeffel Combest Hoekstra Holden Condit Conyers Holt Hooley Cook Cooksey Horn Costello Hostettler Cox Houghton Covne Hover Hulshof Cramer Crane Hunter Crowley Hutchinson Cubin Cummings Inslee Cunningham Isakson Danner Davis (FL) Istook Jackson (IL) Jenkins Davis (IL) Davis (VA) John Johnson (CT) Deal DeFazio Johnson, E.B. Johnson, Sam DeGette Delahunt Jones (NC) DeLauro Kanjorski DeLay Kaptur DeMint Kasich Deutsch Kelly Diaz-Balart Kennedy Dickey Kildee Kilpatrick Dingell Dixon Kind (WI) King (NY) Dooley Doolittle Kingston Doyle Kleczka Knollenberg Dreier Duncan Kolbe Kucinich Dunn Edwards Kuykendall Ehlers LaFalce Ehrlich LaHood Lampson Emerson Engel Lantos English Largent Eshoo Larson Etheridge Latham LaTourette Evans Everett Lazio Ewing Leach Farr Lee Levin Filner Fletcher Lewis (CA) Foley Lewis (GA) Forbes Lewis (KY) Linder Ford Fossella LoBiondo Fowler Lowey Frank (MA) Lucas (KY) Franks (NJ) Lucas (OK) Frelinghuysen Luther Maloney (CT) Manzullo Gallegly

Markey Martinez Mascara Matsui McCarthy (MO) McCarthy (NY) McCollum McCrery McDermott McGovern McHugh McInnis McIntyre McKeon McKinney McNulty Meehan Meek (FL) Meeks (NY) Menendez Metcalf Millender-McDonald Miller (FL) Miller, Garv Minge Mink Moakley Mollohan Moore Moran (KS) Moran (VA) Morella Myrick Nådler Napolitano Neal Ney Northup Nussle Oberstar Obey Olver Ortiz Ose Oxley Packard Pallone Pascrell Pastor Payne Pease Pelosi Peterson (MN) Peterson (PA) Phelps Pickering Pickett Pitts Pombo Pomeroy Porter Portman Price (NC) Pryce (OH) Radanovich Rahall Ramstad Rangel Regula Reyes Reynolds Riley Rivers Rodriguez Roemer Rogers Rohrabacher Ros-Lehtinen Rothman Roukema Roybal-Allard Rush Ryan (WI) Ryun (KS) Sabo Sanchez Sanders Sandlin Sawyer Saxton Schaffer Schakowsky Scott Serrano Sessions

Shaw

Shays Sherman Sweeney Vitter Walden Talent Sherwood Tancredo Walsh Shimkus Tanner Wamp Tauscher Waters Shows Shuster Tauzin Watkins Taylor (MS) Watt (NC) Simpson Watts (OK) Sisisky Taylor (NC) Skeen Terry Waxman Thomas Skelton Weiner Thompson (CA) Weldon (FL) Slaughter Smith (MI) Thompson (MS) Weldon (PA) Smith (N.J) Thornberry Weller Smith (TX) Thune Wexler Smith (WA) Thurman Weygand Snyder Tiahrt Whitfield Souder Tierney Spence Toomey Wilson Traficant Spratt Wise Stabenow Turner Udall (CO) Wolf Stenholm Wıı Strickland Wynn Upton Young (AK) Velazquez Sununu Visclosky Young (FL)

NAYS-13

Bentsen Chenoweth-Hage Doggett Jackson-Lee (TX)

Jefferson Scarborough Maloney (NY) Sensenbrenner Paul Stark Royce Stearns Sanford

NOT VOTING-27

Ackerman Klink Quinn Lipinski Lofgren Rogan Salmon Barton Borski Shadegg Brady (PA) McIntosh Campbell Miller, George Stupak Murtha Capps Udall (NM) Dicks Nethercutt Fattah Norwood Vento Jones (OH) Woolsey

1232

Mr. MOAKLEY changed his vote from "nay" to "yea.

So the bill was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

Stated for:

Mr. SHADEGG. Mr. Speaker, I was attending my daughter's high school graduation and was unable to vote on rollcall No. 210. Had I been present, I would have voted "yes."

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mr. NETHERCUTT. Mr. Speaker, I was unavoidably detained during rollcall vote 209 and 210. I request that the RECORD reflect that had I been present I would have voted "ave" on both votes.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mrs. CAPPS. Mr. Chairman, today the House considered H.R. 4475, the Transportation Appropriations bill for FY2001. Due to an important family event, I was unable to vote on the measure. Had I been here, I would have voted "yes" on rollcall No. 210 and "no" on rollcall No. 209.

LEGISLATIVE PROGRAM

(Mr. BONIOR asked and was given permission to address the House for 1

Mr. BONIOR. Mr. Speaker, I take this time for the purpose of inquiring from the majority leader the schedule for the remainder of the day and next

Mr. ARMEY. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. BONIOR. I yield to the gentleman from Texas.

Mr. ARMEY. Mr. Speaker, I am pleased to announce that the House has completed its legislative business for the week.

The House will next meet on Monday, May 22, at 12:30 p.m. for morning hour and 2:00 p.m. for legislative business. We will consider a number of bills under suspension of the rules, a list of which will be distributed to Members' offices later today. On Monday, no recorded votes are expected before 6:00

Mr. Speaker, it should be noted that there will be continuing work for a short period of time in this Chamber today on the Intelligence reauthorization, but no votes will be ordered.

On Tuesday, May 23, and the balance of the week, the House will consider the following measures, all of which will be subject to rules:

H.R. 4461, agriculture appropriations for fiscal year 2001;

Legislative branch appropriations for fiscal year 2001;

H.R. 4444, authorizing the extension of nondiscriminatory treatment to the

People's Republic of China; H.R. 3916, the Telephone Excise Tax Repeal Act; and

H.R. 1304, the Quality Health-Care Coalition Act of 1999.

Mr. Speaker, conferees are also working very hard to wrap up their work on S. 761, the Millennium Digital Commerce Act, and H.R. 2559, the Agricultural Risk Protection Act. I am hopeful that we will be able to schedule both of these conference reports for consideration in the House next week.

Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman for yielding, and I wish all my colleagues a good weekend back in their districts.

Mr. BONIOR. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman for the information, and would ask him what days he expects the two appropriation bills, the agriculture bill and the legislative branch bill, to come to the floor?

Mr. ARMEY. I thank the gentleman for his request. It is our hope and expectation we will do agriculture appropriations on Tuesday, and expect then also to follow up with the other appropriation bill as quickly as possible.

Mr. BONIOR. Mr. Speaker, on the China debate, the Speaker has indicated to me that he expects that to occur on Wednesday. Is that the gentleman's understanding on the debate and vote on China?

Mr. ARMEY. Again, if the gentleman will continue to yield, I think it is probably better to say Wednesday or Thursday. We want it as soon as possible, but, as the gentleman knows, on votes of this magnitude any number of things can come along. So it will be Wednesday or Thursday; hopefully Wednesday.

Mr. BOŇIOR. So it is possible that it

may slip until Thursday?
Mr. ARMEY. It is possible. I do not anticipate that, but I think it is only prudent to say that.

Mr. BONIOR. I guess it is possible it might slip altogether.

Mr. ARMEY. If the gentleman will continue to yield, the gentleman's optimism is not contagious in that regard.

Mr. BONIOR. Let me request of my colleague and the distinguished Committee on Rules chairman that adequate time be reserved on this issue for all Members to have a chance to express themselves. If it is indeed, as some on your side have said, one of the biggest votes, not only of this Congress but in a generation, then it seems to me that all Members on all different sides of this issue ought to have a chance to express themselves. So I would hope that the majority would err on the side of generosity with respect to time here, as opposed to trying to cram this into a short afternoon or a morning.

Mr. ARMEY. I thank the gentleman for that observation. If the gentleman would continue to yield, let me just say we will work with both sides of the aisle on both sides of the issue to try to get ample time for all Members.

Mr. BONIOR. I gather from the gentleman's comments that the majority has not decided yet on how to treat the Bereuter-Levin proposal in terms of whether it will be grafted on to the main issue at hand, or it will come out separately. Has there been a decision made on that that we could apprise people of?

Mr. ARMEY. If the gentleman will continue to yield, first of all, I should like to take a moment to thank both the gentleman from Nebraska (Mr. BEREUTER) and the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. LEVIN) for their hard work and willingness to work with everybody concerned with this. We will do everything we can to find a way to make sure they can be assured their work will be managed throughout the entire process.

Mr. BONIOR. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman.

INTELLIGENCE AUTHORIZATION ACT FOR FISCAL YEAR 2001

Mr. GOSS. Mr. Speaker, by direction of the Committee on Rules, I call up House Resolution 506 and ask for its immediate consideration.

The Clerk read the resolution, as follows:

H. RES. 506

Resolved, That at any time after the adoption of this resolution the Speaker may, pursuant to clause 2(b) of rule XVIII, declare the House resolved into the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union for consideration of the bill (H.R. 4392) to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2001 for intelligence and intelligence-related activities of the United States Government, the Community Management Account, and the Central Intelligence Agency Retirement and Disability System, and for other purposes. The first reading of the bill shall be dispensed with. Points of order against consideration of the bill for failure to comply with clause 4(a) of rule XIII are waived. General

debate shall be confined to the bill and shall not exceed one hour equally divided and controlled by the chairman and ranking minority member of the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence. After general debate the bill shall be considered for amendment under the five-minute rule. It shall be in order to consider as an original bill for the purpose of amendment under the five-minute rule the amendment in the nature of a substitute recommended by the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence now printed in the bill. The committee amendment in the nature of a substitute shall be considered by title rather than by section. Each title shall be considered as read. Points of order against the committee amendment in the nature of a substitute for failure to comply with clause 7 of rule XVI are waived. No amendment to the committee amendment in the nature of a substitute shall be in order except those printed in the portion of the Congressional Record designated for that purpose in clause 8 of rule XVIII and except pro forma amendments for the purpose of debate. Each amendment so printed may be offered only by the Member who caused it to be printed or his designee and shall be considered as read. The Chairman of the Committee of the Whole may: (1) postpone until a time during further consideration in the Committee of the Whole a request for a recorded vote on any amendment; and (2) reduce to five minutes the minimum time for electronic voting on any postponed question that follows another electronic vote without intervening business, provided that the minimum time for electronic voting on the first in any series of questions shall be 15 minutes. At the conclusion of consideration of the bill for amendment the Committee shall rise and report the bill to the House with such amendments as may have been adopted. Any Member may demand a separate vote in the House on any amendment adopted in the Committee of the Whole to the bill or to the committee amendment in the nature of a substitute. The previous question shall be considered as ordered on the bill and amendments thereto to final passage without intervening motion except one motion to recommit with or without instructions.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. THORNBERRY). The gentleman from Florida (Mr. GOSS) is recognized for 1 hour.

Mr. GOSS. Mr. Speaker, for the purpose of debate only, I yield the customary 30 minutes to my friend, the distinguished gentleman from Texas (Mr. FROST), pending which I yield myself such time as I may consume. During consideration of this resolution, all time yielded is for the purpose of debate only.

Mr. Speaker, H. Res. 506 is a modified open rule providing for the consideration of H.R. 4392, the Intelligence Authorization Act. The most notable provision in this modified open rule is the requirement that Members wishing to offer amendments were asked to have them preprinted in the CONGRESSIONAL RECORD prior to their consideration. Notice of this requirement was provided on Monday of this week.

This provision does make sense, given the unique nature of the matters covered in this particular bill. In the past, we have found it works well to allow the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence the opportunity to review potential amendments ahead of time in order to work with Members to

ensure that no classified information is inadvertently disclosed or discussed during our floor debate. By no means is it our intent to shut out any debate on the bill in any way; we simply want to use extra caution in terms of making sure sensitive material is properly protected.

As is customary, the rule provides 1 hour of general debate, equally divided between the chairman and ranking member of the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence. The rule makes in order the amendment in the nature of a substitute recommended by the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence as an original bill for the purpose of amendment.

The rule further waives points of order against the amendment in the nature of a substitute for failure to comply with clause 7 of rule XVI, which prohibits nongermane amendments. This is necessary because the introduced bill was more narrow in scope, as it usually is, than the product reported out by the committee.

Finally, the rule provides the traditional motion to recommit, with or without instruction.

Mr. Speaker, this is a fair rule, given the nature of this bill, and, as far as I am aware, it is without controversy and it is the traditional rule.

That said, I encourage Members to vote for this fair rule. Furthermore, I encourage support for the underlying legislation, which I believe is well prepared and an excellent bipartisan product that will continue our joint efforts to reform and revitalize our intelligence capabilities on behalf of our country and its citizens.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. FROST. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of this rule providing for the consideration of H.R. 4392, the Intelligence Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2001. H.R. 506 is a modified open rule requiring that amendments be preprinted in the CONGRESSIONAL RECORD. However, Mr. Speaker, the preprinting requirement has been the accepted practice for a number of years because of the sensitive nature of much of the bill and the need to protect its classified documents.

The bill is not controversial, and was reported from the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence by a vote of 12 to 0.

1245

Members who wish to do so can go to the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence office to examine the classified schedule of authorizations for the programs and activities of the intelligence and intelligence-related activities of the National Intelligence Program, which includes the CIA as well as the Foreign Intelligence and Counterintelligence Programs, within, among others, the Department of Defense, the National Security Agency,