

Sanchez	Stabenow	Velazquez
Sanders	Stark	Visclosky
Sandlin	Strickland	Walsh
Sawyer	Stupak	Waters
Saxton	Sweeney	Watt (NC)
Schakowsky	Tanner	Weiner
Scott	Tauscher	Wexler
Sensenbrenner	Thompson (CA)	Weygand
Serrano	Thompson (MS)	Wicker
Sherman	Thurman	Wilson
Skelton	Tierney	Wise
Slaughter	Towns	Woolsey
Smith (NJ)	Traficant	Wu
Smith (WA)	Turner	Wynn
Snyder	Udall (CO)	Young (AK)
Spratt	Udall (NM)	Young (FL)

NOT VOTING—19

Boehner	Hall (OH)	Rush
Clay	Herger	Shuster
Clyburn	Klink	Spence
Crane	Martinez	Vento
Everett	Mink	Waxman
Franks (NJ)	Quinn	
Granger	Rothman	

□ 2354

Mrs. KELLY, Mr. SWEENEY and Mr. MCCOLLUM changed their vote from "aye" to "no."

Messrs. SHOWS, KASICH, and RAMSTAD changed their vote from "no" to "aye."

So the amendment was rejected.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

Mr. YOUNG of Florida. Mr. Chairman, I move to strike the last word.

Mr. Chairman, first, I would announce that as we conclude the business on this bill tomorrow, that the subcommittees of the Committee on Appropriations that were scheduled for hearings, because of the rule, those hearings will not be held tomorrow, inasmuch as we will be in session trying to conclude this bill.

Secondly, Mr. Chairman, I will shortly move that the committee rise, and once we rise and go back into the House, I will have a unanimous consent request to propose; in fact, two unanimous consents, one having to do with legislative days to revise and extend, and then before I make this motion to rise, Mr. Chairman, I would ask my colleagues to give the chairman a round of applause for having conducted this day's activities in a very, very excellent and professional way.

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Committee do now rise.

The motion was agreed to.

Accordingly, the Committee rose; and the Speaker pro tempore (Mr. LAHOOD) having assumed the chair, Mr. THORNBERRY, Chairman of the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union, reported that that Committee, having had under consideration the bill (H.R. 3908) making emergency supplemental appropriations for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2000, and for other purposes, had come to no resolution thereon.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. YOUNG of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days in which to revise and extend their remarks on H.R. 3908, and that I may include tabular and extraneous material.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Florida?

There was no objection.

LIMITATION ON AMENDMENTS DURING FURTHER CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 3908, 2000 EMERGENCY SUPPLEMENTAL APPROPRIATIONS ACT

Mr. YOUNG of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that during further consideration of H.R. 3908 in the Committee of the Whole, pursuant to House Resolution 450, no further amendment shall be in order except as follows:

One, pro forma amendments offered by the chairman or ranking minority member of the Committee on Appropriations for the purpose of debate;

Two, the amendment printed in Part B of House Report 106-549 and numbered 12; and

Three, the following further amendments:

Amendment offered by the gentleman from Texas (Mr. PAUL) regarding certain reductions and limitations;

Amendment offered by the gentleman from Florida (Mr. STEARNS) regarding an across-the-board cut;

Amendment offered by the gentleman from Mississippi (Mr. TAYLOR) regarding U.S. military in Colombia;

Amendment offered by the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. TRAFICANT) regarding buy America;

Amendment offered by the gentleman from Maine (Mr. BALDACC) regarding building technology assistance conservation activities;

Amendment offered by the gentleman from Colorado (Mr. TANCRED) regarding the Food and Drug Administration;

And an amendment offered by the gentlewoman from Ohio (Ms. KAPTUR) regarding the Strategic Petroleum Reserve.

Each further amendment may be offered only by the Member designated in this request or a designee, shall be considered as read, shall be debatable for 20 minutes, equally divided and controlled by the proponent and an opponent, shall not be subject to amendment, and shall not be subject to a demand for a division of the question in the House or in the Committee of the Whole.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Florida?

Mr. OBEY. Reserving the right to object, Mr. Speaker, I think Members need to understand that the reason they were kept here until midnight tonight is because there was an expectation and a hope that we would be able, by holding Members here this late this evening, to get Members out roughly around noon tomorrow so that all Members of the House, not just a few, could catch planes back to their districts.

That would have necessitated, in my judgment, a number of the amend-

ments just described being at least debated tonight. A number of those amendments would not even have been in order if the committee had not worked with Members in order to help them get them in order, and so I think it would have been fair to ask those Members to debate those amendments tonight, because if we had not helped them, they would not have been able to debate them at all.

Secondly, there is at least one committee chairman in the House who has an amendment which is going to take longer than the others. It would have been very helpful if we could have had that amendment debated tonight and the vote coming tomorrow.

□ 0000

That is what happened with a lot of people. An awful lot of people had their amendments debated late tonight, but evidently he does not want to take it up tonight.

So I think Members need to know that it is my judgment that under this agreement, they had probably better not plan on being out of here much before 2 o'clock. I regret that. I wish some of these amendments would be considered tonight. I am sorry that the authors would not be willing to do that, but I want Members to understand the problem.

Mr. KASICH. Mr. Chairman, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. OBEY. I yield to the gentleman from Ohio.

Mr. KASICH. Mr. Chairman, I would like to say to the gentleman, when we were here at 9 o'clock, we were told it was unlikely that the amendment on Kosovo, in fact, we were not going to get to the amendment on Kosovo, and people on the gentleman's side of the aisle sent some staff home. We were under the impression it was not coming up tonight.

And then when we came back to the floor, we were told we might consider it at 11 o'clock. Some of the cosponsors of the amendment had dismissed their people. They did not have all of their material, and I suggested that we come in fresh and get right on it. I did not care what time it was.

So I would say to the gentleman if it inconvenienced the House, I want to apologize for that. But we were operating under the assumption that it would not be considered tonight and we wanted to make sure it was considered when Members were prepared and we could have a full debate. So I wanted the gentleman to understand what the confusion was.

Mr. OBEY. Mr. Chairman, reclaiming my time, I thank the gentleman from Ohio. Let me simply say that I had intended to vote for his amendment, and I still do. But the fact is that I have been asking people all night long to enable us to finish the gentleman's amendment and a number of others. No one ever talked to me about the assumption that the gentleman's amendment was not going to be considered

tonight. We have had a lot of people have their amendments offered tonight. We did not intend in any way to truncate the debate.

But since a lot of other Members had been asked to consider their amendment in other than ideal conditions, I did not think it was too much to ask the gentleman to do the same thing.

Mr. KASICH. Mr. Chairman, if the gentleman would continue to yield, I would say to the gentleman it is not just an understanding on the cosponsors on this side of the aisle. It was also that understanding from Members who were helping on the amendment on the other side of the aisle. We just had misinformation and miscommunication.

But I would say to the gentleman, I am certainly not going to argue with him if he might vote for the amendment. Whatever we need to do, let us get it up in the morning and give it good consideration.

Mr. OBEY. Mr. Chairman, again reclaiming my time, all I will say is that Members should, for purposes of planning, understand that this delay means they are probably not going to get out of here until around 2 o'clock instead of noon.

Mr. Chairman, with that I withdraw my reservation of objection.

The CHAIRMAN. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Florida?

There was no objection.

LEAVE OF ABSENCE

By unanimous consent, leave of absence was granted to:

Mr. ROTHMAN (at the request of Mr. GEPHARDT) for today after 7:00 p.m. on account of a family event.

Mr. EVERETT (at the request of Mr. ARMEY) for today and for the balance of the week on account of family medical reasons.

Ms. GRANGER (at the request of Mr. ARMEY) for today and the balance of the week on account of to be with those affected by the tornadoes in Fort Worth, Texas.

ENROLLED BILL SIGNED

Mr. THOMAS, from the Committee on House Administration, reported that the committee had examined and found truly enrolled a bill of the House of the following title, which was thereupon signed by the Speaker:

H.R. 5. An act to amend title II of the Social Security Act to eliminate the earnings test for individuals who have attained retirement age.

ADJOURNMENT

Mr. YOUNG of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I move that the House do now adjourn.

The motion was agreed to; accordingly (at 12 o'clock and 3 minutes a.m.), the House adjourned until today, Thursday, March 30, 2000, at 10 a.m.

EXECUTIVE COMMUNICATIONS, ETC.

Under clause 8 of rule XII, executive communications were taken from the Speaker's table and referred as follows:

6837. A letter from the Administrator, Food and Consumer Service, Department of Agriculture, transmitting the Department's final rule—Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children (WIC): Certification Integrity (RIN: 0584-AC76) received February 2, 2000, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Education and the Workforce.

6838. A letter from the Legal Advisor, Cable Services Bureau, Federal Communications Commission, transmitting the Commission's final rule—Implementation of the Cable Television Consumer Protection Act of 1992 [CS Docket No. 98-82] Implementation of Cable Act Reform Provisions of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 [CS Docket No. 96-85] Review of the Commission's Cable Attribution Rules—received February 11, 2000, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Commerce.

6839. A letter from the Director, Defense Security Cooperation Agency, transmitting the Department of the Navy's proposed lease of defense articles to Egypt (Transmittal No. 04-00), pursuant to 22 U.S.C. 2796(a); to the Committee on International Relations.

6840. A letter from the Assistant Secretary for Legislative Affairs, Department of State, transmitting certification of a proposed license for the export of defense articles or defense services sold under a contract to French Guiana (Transmittal No. DTC-003-00), pursuant to 22 U.S.C. 2776(c); to the Committee on International Relations.

6841. A letter from the Program Analyst, FAA, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule—Airworthiness Directives; Airbus Model A300, A310, and A300-600 Series Airplanes [Docket No. 99-NM-247-AD; Amendment 39-11542; AD 2000-02-24] (RIN: 2120-AA64) received February 24, 2000, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

6842. A letter from the Program Analyst, FAA, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule—Airworthiness Directives; Twin Commander Aircraft Corporation 600 Series Airplanes [Docket No. 99-CE-51-AD; Amendment 39-11548; AD 2000-02-30] (RIN: 2120-AA64) received February 24, 2000, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

6843. A letter from the Program Analyst, FAA, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule—Airworthiness Directives; SOCATA-Groupe AEROSPATIALE Model TBM 700 Airplanes [Docket No. 99-CE-50-AD; Amendment 39-11547; AD 2000-02-29] (RIN: 2120-AA64) received February 24, 2000, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

6844. A letter from the Program Analyst, FAA, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule—Airworthiness Directives; Pilatus Aircraft Ltd. Models PC-12 and PC-12/45 Airplanes [Docket No. 99-CE-64-AD; Amendment 39-11549; AD 2000-02-31] (RIN: 2120-AA64) received February 24, 2000, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

6845. A letter from the Program Analyst, FAA, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule—Airworthiness Directives; Mitsubishi Heavy Industries, Ltd. Model MU-2B Series Airplanes [Docket No. 99-CE-38-AD; Amend-

ment 39-11543; AD 2000-02-25] (RIN: 2120-AA64) received February 24, 2000, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

6846. A letter from the Program Analyst, FAA, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule—Airworthiness Directives; Empresa Brasileira de Aeronautica S.A. Models EMB-110P1 and EMB-110P2 Airplanes [Docket No. 99-CE-42-AD; Amendment 39-11545; 2000-02-27] (RIN: 2120-AA64) received February 24, 2000, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

6847. A letter from the Program Analyst, FAA, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule—Airworthiness Directives; AeroSpace Technologies of Australia Pty Ltd. Models N22B and N24A Airplanes [Docket No. 99-CE-47-AD; Amendment 39-11546; AD 2000-02-28] (RIN: 2120-AA64) received February 24, 2000, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

6848. A letter from the Program Analyst, FAA, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule—Airworthiness Directives; Boeing Model 747 Series Airplanes [Docket No. 98-NM-282-AD; Amendment 39-11529; AD 2000-02-10] (RIN: 2120-AA64) received February 24, 2000, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

6849. A letter from the Program Analyst, FAA, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule—Airworthiness Directives; Short Brothers and Harland Ltd. Models SC-7 Series 2 and SC-7 Series 3 Airplanes [Docket No. 97-CE-99-AD; Amendment 39-11534; AD 2000-02-16] (RIN: 2120-AA64) received February 24, 2000, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

6850. A letter from the Program Analyst, FAA, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule—Airworthiness Directives; Raytheon Aircraft Company Beech Models 65-90, 65-A90, B90, and C90 Airplanes [Docket No. 99-CE-92-AD; Amendment 39-11533; AD 2000-02-15] (RIN: 2120-AA64) received February 24, 2000, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

6851. A letter from the Program Analyst, FAA, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule—Airworthiness Directives; Bombardier Model DHC-8-100, -200, and -300 Series Airplanes [Docket No. 2000-NM-08-AD; Amendment 39-11525; AD 2000-02-06] (RIN: 2120-AA64) received February 24, 2000, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

6852. A letter from the Program Analyst, FAA, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule—Airworthiness Directives; Rolls-Royce plc RB211 Trent 768-60, 772-60, and 772B-60 Series Turbofan Engines [Docket No. 99-NE-60-AD; Amendment 39-11535; AD 2000-02-17] (RIN: 2120-AA64) received February 24, 2000, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

6853. A letter from the Program Analyst, FAA, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule—Airworthiness Directives; McDonnell Douglas Model MD-11 Series Airplanes [Docket No. 99-NM-262-AD; Amendment 39-11463; AD 99-26-03 C1] (RIN: 2120-AA64) received February 24, 2000, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

6854. A letter from the Program Analyst, FAA, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule—Revision of Class E Airspace; Beaumont, TX [Airspace Docket No. 99-ASW-25] received February 11, 2000, pursuant to 5 U.S.C.