(2) Congress should work with the States to improve the quality of legal representation in capital cases through the establishment of standards that will assure the timely appointment of competent counsel with adequate resources to represent defendants in capital cases at each stage of those proceedings.

Mr. McCOLLUM (during the reading). Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the Senate amendment be considered as read and printed in the RECORD. The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there

objection to the request of the gentleman from Florida?

There was no objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the original request of the gentleman from Florida?

Mr. SCOTT. Mr. Speaker, reserving the right to object, I yield to the gentleman from Florida to explain the purpose of his request.

Mr. McCOLLUM. I thank the gentleman from Virginia (Mr. Scott) for

yielding.
Mr. Speaker, I introduced the bill, H.R. 4640, which is the subject of this request, the DNA Analysis Backlog Elimination Act, together with the gentleman from Virginia (Mr. Scott) as the ranking minority member, the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. CHABOT), the gentleman from New York (Mr. WEINER), and the gentleman from New York (Mr. GILMAN) to address a very important problem, the massive backlog of biological samples awaiting DNA analysis in the States. This bill will authorize the appropriation of Federal funds to be awarded to States in order to clear this backlog. It also gives the Federal Government much needed authority to take DNA samples from certain Federal offenders and include them in the FBI's national database of convicted offender samples that matches known offenders to crimes where the perpetrator is yet to be discovered.

The bill was first passed by the House by voice vote on October 2. The other body passed the bill by unanimous consent yesterday. In the other body, the bill was slightly amended in one regard: It added a sense of the Congress concerning the use of DNA evidence in certain cases. The sense of the Congress is identical to that contained in S. 3045, the bill just passed by the House. So I see no problem with it at all. I think it is a very important bill that the gentleman and I have worked on for some time. I would urge my col-

leagues to support it.
Mr. SCOTT. Mr. Speaker, this is the bill we passed, and the Senate amend-

ment improved the bill.

Mr. GILMAN. Mr. Speaker, I would like to express my gratitude to Chairman McCollum for his dedication and diligence in bringing H.R. 4640, the DNA Analysis Backlog Elimination Act, to the floor today, and am pleased that this legislation reflects many of the provisions outlined in my measure, H.R. 3375, the Convicted Offender DNA Index System Support Act. I've had the pleasure of working closely with him, Ranking Member Scott, and Representatives RAMSTAD, STUPAK, KENNEDY, WEINER, and CHABOT, in developing this legis-

lation, which will meet the needs of prosecutors, law enforcement, and victims throughout our Nation.

Mr. Speaker, in 1994, the Congress passed the DNA Identification Act. which authorized the construction of the combined DNA index system, or CODIS, to assist our Federal, State and local law enforcement agencies in fighting violent crime throughout the Nation. CODIS is a master database for all law enforcement agencies to submit and retrieve DNA samples of convicted violent offenders. Since beginning its operation in 1998, the system has worked extremely well in assisting law enforcement by matching DNA evidence with possible suspects and has accounted for the capture of over 200 suspects in unsolved violent crimes.

However, because of the high volume of convicted offender samples needed to be analyzed, a nationwide backlog of approximately 600,000 unanalyzed convicted offender DNA samples has formed. Furthermore, because the program has been so vital in assisting crime fighting and prevention efforts, our States are expanding their collection efforts. Recently, New York State Governor George Pataki enacted legislation to expand the State's collection of DNA samples to require all violent felons and a number of non-violent felony offenders, and, earlier this year, the use of the expanded system resulted in charges being filed in a 20-year-old Westchester Countv murder.

State forensic laboratories have also accumulated a backlog of evidence for cases for which there are no suspects. These are evidence "kits" for unsolved violent crimes which are stored away because our State forensic laboratories do not have the support necessary to analyze them and compare the evidence to our nationwide data bank. Presently, there are approximately 12,000 rape cases in New York City alone, and, it is estimated, approximately 180,000 rape cases nationwide, which are unsolved and unanalyzed. This number represents a dismal future for the success of CODIS and reflects the growing problem facing our law enforcement community. The DNA Analysis Backlog Elimination Act will provide States with the support necessary to combat these growing backlogs. The successful elimination of both the convicted violent offender backlog and the unsolved casework backlog will play a major role in the future of our State's crime prevention and law enforcement efforts.

The DNA Analysis Backlog Elimination Act will also provide funding to the Federal Bureau of Investigation to eliminate their unsolved casework backlog and close a loophole created by the original legislation. Although all 50 States require DNA collection from designated convicted offenders, for some inexplicable reason, convicted Federal, District of Columbia and military offenders are exempt, H.R. 4640 closes that loophole by requiring the collection of samples from any Federal, Military, or D.C. offender convicted of a violent crime.

Mr. Speaker, as you are aware, our Nation's fight against crime is never over. Everyday, the use of DNA evidence is becoming a more important tool to our Nation's law enforcement in solving crimes, convicting the guilty and exonerating the innocent. The Justice Department estimates that erasing the convicted offender backlog nationwide could resolve at least 600 cases. The true amount of unsolved cases, both State and Federal, which may be

concluded through the elimination of both backlogs is unknown. However, if one more case is solved and one more violent offender is detained because of our efforts, we have succeeded.

In conclusion, we must ensure that our Nation's law enforcement has the equipment and support necessary to fight violent crime and protect our communities. The DNA Analysis Backlog Elimination Act will assist our local, State and Federal law enforcement personnel by ensuring that crucial resources are provided to our DNA data-banks and crime laboratories.

Accordingly, I urge full support for the meas-

Mr. SCOTT. Mr. Speaker, I withdraw my reservation of objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the original request of the gentleman from Florida?

There was no objection.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

INTERSTATE TRANSPORTATION OF DANGEROUS CRIMINALS ACT OF 2000

Mr. McCOLLUM. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the Committee on the Judiciary be discharged from further consideration of the Senate bill (S. 1898) to provide protection against the risks to the public that are inherent in the interstate transportation of violent prisoners, and ask for its immediate consideration in the House.

The Clerk read the title of the Senate bill

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Florida?

Mr. SCOTT. Mr. Speaker, reserving the right to object, I yield to the gentleman from Florida to explain the purpose of his request.

Mr. McCOLLUM. I thank the gentleman for yielding.

Mr. Speaker, this bill, S. 1898, is the Interstate Transportation of Dangerous Criminals Act of 2000, also known as Jeanna's Act, which passed the other body by unanimous consent on October 25 of this year.

Every year thousands of violent felons are moved from prison to prison on our Nation's highways. Many of these criminals are transported by the U.S. Marshals Service and the Federal Bureau of Prisons. However, as the number of criminals in State prisons continues to rise, many States now rely heavily on private prisoner transportation companies to move prisoners from State to State. Because there is no uniform set of standards and procedures for these prisoner transport companies to follow, the results are sometimes disastrous when prisoners escape.

A major reason for escapes from prisoner transport companies is the lack of approved standards for the private transport of dangerous prisoners. Anyone with a vehicle and a driver's license can engage in this business and

with very little accountability when things go wrong.

S. 1898 seeks to increase public safety by requiring the Attorney General to establish minimum standards and requirements for companies engaging in the business of transporting violent offenders. S. 1898 provides that any person who violates the regulations to be promulgated by the Attorney General shall be liable for a civil penalty in amount not to exceed \$10,000 for each violation and shall make restitution to the government for the money expended to apprehend any prisoner who escapes.

Mr. Speaker, it is absolutely essential that we put in place minimum standards for the transport of prisoners by private transport companies. S. 1898 will do that. I certainly urge my colleagues to support this legislation.

I might add that this is probably the final bill, I would assume it will be, of this Congress that comes forward that the Subcommittee on Crime of the Committee on the Judiciary produces here on the House floor. It is also the final one that I think I will get to offer as a Member of this body. I want to thank the gentleman from Virginia (Mr. Scott) in particular and all the members of the Subcommittee on Crime of the Committee on the Judiciary and our staffs on both sides for their wonderful cooperation over the past 2 years, for that matter over the past 6 years, I have been privileged to be chairman of the Subcommittee on Crime. This is one of a series of many products that we have produced and has been done often, as many of these pieces of legislation have, in very bipartisan, cooperative fashion with the gentleman from Virginia and the other members. I want to thank him for that. It is not a controversial bill as many are not, but it has been a great privilege to serve in this body and a great privilege to have served as chairman of this subcommittee.

Mr. SCOTT. Mr. Speaker, reclaiming my time, I would first point out that as the gentleman from Florida mentioned, this bill addresses important concerns and therefore ought to be

Let me congratulate the gentleman from Florida for his tireless efforts over the past few years as chairman of the Subcommittee on Crime and for his ability to work constructively even with those who disagreed with him on the particular bills, constructively on working towards fashioning legislation that would help the Nation. He has led the effort in addressing the Congress' efforts on the issue of crime. He has done it in a constructive way. We have been able to work together even when we disagreed. For that, Mr. Speaker, I want to thank the gentleman for his service and wish him well.

Mr. Speaker, I withdraw my reservation of objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Florida?

There was no objection.

The Clerk read the Senate bill, as follows:

S 1898

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled.

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the ''Interstate Transportation of Dangerous Criminals Act of 2000'' or ''Jeanna's Act''.

SEC. 2. FINDINGS.

Congress finds the following:

- (1) Increasingly, States are turning to private prisoner transport companies as an alternative to their own personnel or the United States Marshals Service when transporting violent prisoners.
- (2) The transport process can last for days if not weeks, as violent prisoners are dropped off and picked up at a network of hubs across the country.
- (3) Escapes by violent prisoners during transport by private prisoner transport companies have occurred.
- (4) Oversight by the Attorney General is required to address these problems.
- (5) While most governmental entities may prefer to use, and will continue to use, fully trained and sworn law enforcement officers when transporting violent prisoners, fiscal or logistical concerns may make the use of highly specialized private prisoner transport companies an option. Nothing in this Act should be construed to mean that governmental entities should contract with private prisoner transport companies to move violent prisoners: however when a government entity opts to use a private prisoner transport company to move violent prisoners, then the company should be subject to regulation in order to enhance public safety. SEC. 3. DEFINITIONS.

In this Act:

- (1) CRIME OF VIOLENCE.—The term "crime of violence" has the same meaning as in section 924(c)(3) of title 18, United States Code.
- (2) PRIVATE PRISONER TRANSPORT COMPANY.—The term "private prisoner transport company" means any entity, other than the United States, a State, or an inferior political subdivision of a State, which engages in the business of the transporting for compensation, individuals committed to the custody of any State or of an inferior political subdivision of a State, or any attempt thereof.
- (3) VIOLENT PRISONER.—The term "violent prisoner" means any individual in the custody of a State or an inferior political subdivision of a State who has previously been convicted of or is currently charged with a crime of violence or any similar statute of a State or the inferior political subdivisions of a State, or any attempt thereof.

SEC. 4. FEDERAL REGULATION OF PRISONER TRANSPORT COMPANIES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Attorney General, in consultation with the American Correctional Association and the private prisoner transport industry, shall promulgate regulations relating to the transportation of violent prisoners in or affecting interstate commerce.

fecting interstate commerce.
(b) STANDARDS AND REQUIREMENTS.—The regulations shall include the following:

(1) Minimum standards for background checks and preemployment drug testing for potential employees, including requiring criminal background checks, to disqualify persons with a felony conviction or domestic violence conviction as defined by section 921 of title 18, United States Code, for eligibility for employment. Preemployment drug testing will be in accordance with applicable State laws.

- (2) Minimum standards for the length and type of training that employees must undergo before they can transport prisoners not to exceed 100 hours of preservice training focusing on the transportation of prisoners. Training shall be in the areas of use of restraints, searches, use of force, including use of appropriate weapons and firearms, CPR, map reading, and defensive driving.
- (3) Restrictions on the number of hours that employees can be on duty during a given time period. Such restriction shall not be more stringent than current applicable rules and regulations concerning hours of service promulgated under the Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Act.
- (4) Minimum standards for the number of personnel that must supervise violent prisoners. Such standards shall provide the transport entity with appropriate discretion, and, absent more restrictive requirements contracted for by the procuring government entity, shall not exceed a requirement of 1 agent for every 6 violent prisoners.
- (5) Minimum standards for employee uniforms and identification that require wearing of a uniform with a badge or insignia identifying the employee as a transportation officer.
- (6) Standards establishing categories of violent prisoners required to wear brightly colored clothing clearly identifying them as prisoners, when appropriate.
- (7) Minimum requirements for the restraints that must be used when transporting violent prisoners, to include leg shackles and double-locked handcuffs, when appropriate.
- (8) A requirement that when transporting violent prisoners, private prisoner transport companies notify local law enforcement officials 24 hours in advance of any scheduled stops in their jurisdiction.
- (9) A requirement that in the event of an escape by a violent prisoner, private prisoner transport company officials shall immediately notify appropriate law enforcement officials in the jurisdiction where the escape occurs, and the governmental entity that contracted with the private prisoner transport company for the transport of the escaped violent prisoner.
- (10) Minimum standards for the safety of violent prisoners in accordance with applicable Federal and State law.
- (c) FEDERAL STANDARDS.—Except for the requirements of subsection (b)(6), the regulations promulgated under this Act shall not provide stricter standards with respect to private prisoner transport companies than are applicable, without exception, to the United States Marshals Service, Federal Bureau of Prisons, and the Immigration and Naturalization Service when transporting violent prisoners under comparable circumstances.

SEC. 5. ENFORCEMENT.

- (a) PENALTY.—Any person who is found in violation of the regulations established by this Act shall—
- (1) be liable to the United States for a civil penalty in an amount not to exceed \$10,000 for each violation and, in addition, to the United States for the costs of prosecution; and
- (2) make restitution to any entity of the United States, of a State, or of an inferior political subdivision of a State, which expends funds for the purpose of apprehending any violent prisoner who escapes from a prisoner transport company as the result, in whole or in part, of a violation of regulations promulgated pursuant to section 4(a).

The Senate bill was ordered to be read a third time, was read the third time, and passed, and a motion to reconsider was laid on the table.