

I applaud the dedication of this Millwrights union to their organization and advocacy of worker's rights. They have worked hard to ensure that their members have safe work places, receive fair benefits and earn livable wages. But beyond this, the Millwrights have promoted the idea of being responsible members of the community. They encourage members to reach out to others and to become active, informed citizens.

The Millwright apprenticeship programs combine both academic and hands-on experience. Over a period of years these trades people have become the most productive in their craft. It is just such performance that broadly results in good products and a strong economy. The Millwrights, for over 100 years, have been a part of the fabric of our great state. In fact, they have significantly contributed to the building of the culture and infrastructure of Minnesota.

It is my pleasure to take this opportunity to congratulate Local #548 on 100 years of service and advocacy, and I wish them the best in the next century. I am confident they'll keep their faith in one another and in their union solidarity.

ROSIE THE RIVETER/WORLD WAR II HOME FRONT NATIONAL HISTORIC PARK

HON. GEORGE MILLER

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, March 22, 2000

Mr. GEORGE MILLER of California. Mr. Speaker, I am today introducing legislation to create the "Rosie the Riveter/World War II Home Front National Historic Park" in Richmond, California—a feature of our National Park system that will recognize and salute the role of the homefront during World War II and particularly the significant changes in the lives of women and minorities and the major social changes that resulted from this era.

The images of Rosie the Riveter and Wendy the Welder, and the films of giant Liberty and Victory ships sliding into the water are all familiar to millions of Americans. These features of home front life during the war, and the demographic changes and social institutions that evolved during the 1940s, significantly shaped the nature of post-war American life for the remainder of the 20th century. Richmond was ground zero for the dizzying home front innovations and stresses that marked the period, and is a perfect place to educate future generations of Americans about the experiences of our people during World War II.

The House passed my legislation in the last Congress (H.R. 3910, section 505) to authorize the National Park Service (NPS) to conduct a feasibility study to determine if Richmond was a suitable place for designation as an NPS affiliated site and whether to provide technical assistance to the City of Richmond for interpretive functions related to the park, including oral histories from former workers.

That report has now been completed and finds, as we had hoped, that Richmond "played a significant role during the Home Front years." In fact, many of the dry docks, buildings and related infrastructure constructed and operated during the war remains in place, evoking even today a sense of the enormous

commitment of the nation to industrial war production. In 1941–42, four shipyards were built in Richmond with a total employment eventually reaching 98,000. Overall, Richmond housed 56 war-related industries, more than any other city in the United States, producing everything from ships to uniforms and vegetable oil for the war effort. The four Kaiser Yards in Richmond were the largest shipyard construction site on the West Coast and produced 747 ships, more than any other facility in America, including the S.S. *Robert E. Peary* which was constructed in 4 days, 15 hours, and 30 minutes.

Tens of thousands of men, women and children poured into this city on San Francisco Bay and the population of Richmond grew from 24,000 to over 100,000 in just a few short years. These immigrants imposed enormous demands for housing, education, child care, health care and other vital services, and in response, local officials and employers developed innovative approaches for meeting these needs that serve as the precursors to many of our current educational, health and social service programs.

Large numbers of women and minorities sought jobs in the yards in positions formerly occupied by skilled craftsmen, creating both new employment opportunities and labor tensions. By 1944, over a quarter of all those working at the Kaiser yard were women, including over 40 percent of welders and 24 percent of all other craft employees. The racial composition of the area was significantly altered by the wartime economy, with the black population in Richmond rising from about 1 percent to over 13 percent during the decade of the 1940s. Southern whites encountered often for the first time black men and women who demanded equal treatment and equal rights.

The effort to preserve the remaining structures and to build a memorial to the Rosies and Wendys who labored on behalf of the war effort has very much been promoted by local leaders including Mayor Rosemary Corbin, Councilman Tom Butt, Donna Powers, Donna Graves, Sy Zell and many others. Significant local funds have been raised and the city has committed more than \$600,000 for the memorial. I want to recognize the contributions already made by the City of Richmond, as well as Kaiser Permanente, Ford Motor Corporation, Chevron, and others who are strongly committed to this project. My bill builds on these local efforts by providing assistance both for Richmond and to coordinate Home Front sites throughout the country, but we do not acquire property or assume the major responsibility for restoring or managing the exhibits.

Under this legislation, Richmond will not alone be selected to represent the Home Front during World War II/Instead, the major facilities still existing will be preserved and staffed to serve as a means of linking other sites including the Charlestown Navy Yard (Boston) and Springfield Armory National Historic site to assist help historians, interpreters, caretakers and the public to more fully appreciate the role this and other communities played in winning the war and in transforming the nature of post-war America.

We must act now to save the remaining buildings, drydocks, and other facilities that bring this picture to life for future generations of America. Many of these artifacts are aging,

in need of restoration, and threatened by sale or deterioration which will obliterate their historical value. I am hopeful the Committee on Resources will act swiftly to review the Rosie the Riveter Feasibility Study that we commissioned by law in 1998 and then holding hearings on this legislation so that it can be enacted by the Congress this year.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

HON. RUBEN HINOJOSA

OF TEXAS

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, March 22, 2000

Mr. HINOJOSA. Mr. Speaker, last Tuesday was the Democratic primary in Texas and because of that and other commitments I had made in my congressional district, I was not here in Washington the remainder of the week. This resulted in my missing several roll-call votes. Had I been present I would have voted as follows:

Rollcall No. 46, on a motion to suspend the rules and pass H.R. 3699, designating the Joel T. Broyhill Post Office Building—"yea";

Rollcall No. 47, on a motion to suspend the rules and pass H.R. 3701, designating the Joseph L. Fisher Post Office Building—"yea";

Rollcall No. 48, on agreeing to the conference report on H.R. 1000, Wendell H. Ford Aviation Investment and Reform Act for the 21st Century—"yea";

Rollcall No. 49, on passage of H.R. 3843, Small Business Authorization Act—"yea";

Rollcall No. 50, on motion to instruct conferees on H.R. 1501, Juvenile Justice Act—"yea";

Rollcall No. 51, on agreeing to H. Res. 441, providing for consideration of H.R. 2372, Private Property Rights Implementation Act of 2000—"yea";

Rollcall No. 52, on agreeing to the Watt of North Carolina amendment to H.R. 2372, Private Property Rights Implementation Act of 2000—"aye";

Rollcall No. 53, on agreeing to the Boehlert of New York substitute amendment to H.R. 2372, Private Property Rights Implementation Act of 2000—"no";

Rollcall No. 54, on motion to recommit H.R. 2372, Private Property Rights Implementation Act of 2000—"no";

Rollcall No. 55, on passage of H.R. 2372, Private Property Rights Implementation Act of 2000—"yea".

INTRODUCTION OF H.R. 4053, THE UNITED STATES-SOUTHEASTERN EUROPE DEMOCRATIZATION AND BURDENSARING ACT OF 2000

HON. BENJAMIN A. GILMAN

OF NEW YORK

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, March 22, 2000

Mr. GILMAN. Mr. Speaker, I am today introducing H.R. 4053, the United States-Southeastern Europe Democratization and Burdensharing Act of 2000, a measure that authorizes continued assistance for political and economic reforms in the states of Southeastern Europe for fiscal year 2001 under the Foreign Assistance Act and the Support for

East European Democracy Act of 1989 and that provides certain guidelines for such assistance and related assistance to that region.

While supporting continued United States assistance for the countries of Southeast Europe, this measure makes it clear that no United States bilateral assistance, other than that provided for democratization and humanitarian purposes, may be provided to the Republic of Serbia until the character of its government has changed. It does, however, ensure that aid may proceed to the region of Kosovo. It also authorizes a special program to assist the democratic opposition throughout Serbia and the Republic of Montenegro, providing for \$42 million in fiscal year 2001 for that purpose alone. This measure also ensures that at least \$55 million will be provided for economic and political reforms in the Republic of Montenegro in fiscal year 2001 in recognition of the increasingly positive efforts the Government of Montenegro has taken in support of democracy, peace, and stability in the Balkans region.

H.R. 4053 indeed provides some important limitations on United States assistance to Southeastern Europe. In addition to prohibiting bilateral assistance for economic reforms in the Republic of Serbia until the character of its government has changed for the better, it requires that assistance for democratization in Serbia not be channeled through the Serbian Government or through those individuals who do not subscribe to effective measures to ensure truly democratic government in Serbia. It also sets forth United States policy regarding the apprehension and trial of suspected war criminals, such as Slobodan Milosevic.

Mr. Speaker, this measure also takes an important step in recognizing that, while the United States has and will continue to provide considerable aid to the states of Southeastern Europe, the predominant burden in that region must be upheld by our friends and allies in Europe. The United States is facing increasing burdens in our efforts to fight drugs and terrorism in Colombia, to support the peace process in the Middle East, and to fight the proliferation of technology related to weapons of mass destruction. Our military forces are also stretched thin, with peacekeeping missions in the Balkans adding to that strain. This measure would therefore limit United States bilateral assistance to the countries and region of Southeastern Europe to a certain percentage—15 percent—of the total aid provided by the European Union under the Stability Pact for Southeastern Europe or under any other such multilateral aid program for that region. Such a cap, while ensuring that United States assistance will continue, will also ensure that the European Union and other donors take the lead in this region of Europe.

Mr. Speaker, I am pleased to be joined by several members of the International Relations Committee in introducing this important legislation, including Congressman CHRIS SMITH, Congressman BEREUTER, Congresswoman ROS-LEHTINEN, Congressman ROHRBACHER, Congressman GOODLING, Congressman HYDE, Congressman GILLMOR, Congressman MCHUGH, Congressman MANZULLO, Congressman RADANOVICH, and Congressman COOKSEY. Congressmen BILL YOUNG, DELAY, SPENCE, DOOLITTLE, SOUDER, MICA, and TRAFICANT are also sponsors of this measure, and I am hopeful that it will gain the support of other of our colleagues as well.

HONORING DR. VELMA
BACKSTROM SAIRE

HON. BUD SHUSTER

OF PENNSYLVANIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, March 22, 2000

Mr. SHUSTER. Mr. Speaker, it is with great pride that I rise today to honor Dr. Velma Backstrom Saire for her distinguished career in education, and especially for her being named as this year's Distinguished Woman in Education by the University of Pittsburgh.

Dr. Saire will be concluding 45 years as a public educator when she retires this June from her position as Assistant Superintendent for the Quaker Valley School District in Sewickley, PA. Her professional career includes experience as a Restructuring Specialist for the Mon Valley Education Consortium and service in school districts in Pennsylvania, Ohio, Indiana, California, New Hampshire, and Connecticut as an elementary teacher and principal, special education teacher, director of the Allegheny County Schools Child Development Centers, central office administrator, middle school and high school principal, and part-time university instructor. She counts her experience as one of the developers of the Model School in McKeesport in the late 60's and early 70's, as the "Camelot of her career." She has been a consultant and workshop leader at professional meetings throughout the nation on a number of topics related to curriculum and supervision. Since Carnegie Mellon University's John Heinz School of Policy and Management's Educational Leadership program's inception 10 years ago, she has been an adjunct professor where she helps prepare future school administrators. She notes that she will continue to do this after her retirement.

Both high schools she led were designated as Blue Ribbon Schools by the U.S. Department of Education, recognizing them as exemplary schools along with the other 100 top schools selected each year. She has served as a site visitor for this program and as a reader for the U.S. Department of Education's National Dissemination Network. In 1992, she received the Educational Leadership Award from the University of Pittsburgh's Tri-State Study Council. In 1989, the Connecticut Association of Supervision and Curriculum Development designated her as one of three finalists for their Educational Leader of the Year Award. As a Connecticut high school principal, she was one of 25 public school educators selected for membership in the prestigious 100-member Headmasters Association, a group in which she continues to hold membership as an honorary member.

A graduate of Glassport Jr.-Sr. High School, she is cum laude graduate of the University of Pittsburgh where she received a B.S. in Elementary Education, her M.Ed. in School Administration in 1967, and her Ed.D. in Administration in 1973.

She serves her local church as Chairman of the Council on Ministries, Chairman of the Memorial Endowment Fund, and is a member of the Administrative Board. She is on the Sewickley Public Library's Board of Trustees.

On a personal note, it is a special pleasure for me to recognize this distinguished woman in education because many years ago she was the little girl whom I escorted to a junior high school dance.

UNITED STATES ARMY CORPS OF
ENGINEERS

HON. ROBERT E. (BUD) CRAMER, JR.

OF ALABAMA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, March 22, 2000

Mr. CRAMER. Mr. Speaker, I have worked with the United States Army Corps of Engineers for my entire service in Congress. I have always found the integrity of the Corps beyond question. I have great confidence in the Corps, including an outstanding group of people who work in the Huntsville, Alabama, Division office of the Corps.

Serious charges have been laid on the military leadership of the Corps by some in the press recently. These claims about the soundness of the Upper Mississippi and Illinois River Navigation Study must be fully evaluated and whatever steps these evaluations indicate to be appropriate must be taken. Until that time, however, I find it unacceptable and unfair to our armed forces to challenge the professional appointees who have given their entire professional career to serve this country. All of these officers have come highly recommended by their peers. Many of us have worked with them earlier in our careers.

The Upper Mississippi and Illinois River Navigation Study has not been completed and is yet to be distributed for state and agency review. To criticize the unknown outcome of the study before the public review has even started may inhibit reasoned development of final recommendations for water improvement by the Secretary of the Army and unfairly color Congress' deliberations on those recommendations. There are certainly many potential alternatives and points of view that have to be considered; there is not just one. There are many uncertainties and unknowns that we will encounter as we plan and prepare for the future, but there is one certainty: the importance to the national welfare of navigation as an essential element of a sound transportation infrastructure.

Through the Corps Civil Works program, the Federal Government has created the world's most advanced water resources infrastructure contributing to our unprecedented standard of living. The program is essentially a capital investment and management program that returns significant economic, environmental, and other benefits to the nation. Though relatively small in the context of total Federal expenditures, investments in, and sound management of the Corps water resources projects have beneficial effects that touch almost every facet of modern American society—navigation projects that provide the Nation with its lowest-cost mode of transportation for bulk commodities; flood control projects that protect the lives, homes and businesses of thousands of Americans; and recreation facilities that enable millions of visitors to relax and enjoy the beauty of our country's waters.

I say that these kinds of decisions are extremely complex and controversial and are best left to the American people, acting through the Congress, to make. The stakes are so high and the potential impacts so great because national security, national competitiveness in the global market place, national health and welfare, and economic well-being of the Midwest grain producers, just to mention a few considerations are at stake. And I,