

growth if energy prices continue to rise. The lesson from the 1970s is that oil price cartels do not last. It helps that the U.S. economy is less energy intensive than it was in the 1970s and less vulnerable to energy price increases. The president's decision to increase subsidies to help low-income families to cope with temporarily higher heating oil prices was wise. If necessary, the Administration should use national oil reserves to counter any extraordinary short-term surge in prices that threatens to cut off economic growth.

This longest economic expansion in modern history has in the last few years finally begun to bring real income growth to low- and middle-income Americans. Maintaining that growth is essential for America's private sector to remain competitive and its public sector to have the revenues it needs to finance social investment.

The risk of jeopardizing these goals far outweighs any small risk of a sudden and historically unprecedented outbreak of demand-driven inflation.

#### H.R. —, THE NATIONAL FISH AND WILDLIFE FOUNDATION ESTABLISHMENT ACT AMENDMENTS OF 2000

**HON. ENI F.H. FALEOMAVAEGA**

OF AMERICAN SAMOA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

*Thursday, March 16, 2000*

Mr. FALEOMAVAEGA. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to introduce legislation to amend and reauthorize the National Fish and Wildlife Foundation Establishment Act.

Since its creation in 1984, the National Fish and Wildlife Foundation has been very successful in establishing public and private partnerships to conserve fish, wildlife, and plants using Federal funds matched by private donations. On average, the Foundation has brought in more than two private sector dollars for every Federal dollar appropriated. With these funds, the Foundation has financed more than 3,500 on-the-ground conservation projects throughout the United States and abroad. Together with partnerships and challenge grants, the Foundation has provided \$441 million for conservation projects. Their record is impressive.

To fund these projects, the Foundation has entered into partnerships with a wide range of State and local agencies, academic institutions, conservation groups, and businesses. In a time of diverse interests and an ever increasing strain on our natural resources, the ability to forge productive and workable partnerships between all sectors of society is of paramount importance. The Foundation possesses this ability, and makes unparalleled use of it to award grants in five major categories: conservation education, wetlands and private lands protection, neotropical migratory bird conservation, fisheries conservation and management, and wildlife and habitat management.

In the past, legislation to reauthorize the Foundation generated unnecessary and misguided criticism. Such criticism has been surprising considering the noncontroversial nature and mission of the Foundation and its solid history of bipartisan support in Congress. The National Fish and Wildlife Foundation represents one of Congress' finest conservation innovations, and embodies what we should

strive to achieve every day—the intelligent and economical conservation of our fish, wildlife and plants.

This legislation is very similar to legislation introduced by the late Senator JOHN CHAFFEE and passed by the Senate by unanimous consent. It is strongly supported by the National Fish and Wildlife Foundation as well as both the Fish and Wildlife Service and the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration.

Mr. Speaker, in the interest of time, I will submit additional comments describing the legislation and explaining the changes it makes to existing law.

In closing, the National Fish and Wildlife Foundation is an important element in our national effort to build partnerships to conserve our common natural heritage. I urge my friends and colleagues on both sides of the aisle to support this bill.

#### OBJECTIVES OF LEGISLATION

This legislation makes several significant changes to the National Fish and Wildlife Foundation's (Foundation) establishment legislation. First, it expands board membership from the current number of 15 to 25. Second, the bill expands the Foundation's jurisdiction to include additional agencies within the Department of the Interior and the Department of Commerce to further the conservation and management of fish, wildlife, and plants and natural resources. Third, it authorizes annual appropriations through fiscal year 2006 to the Department of the Interior for \$30 million and to the Department of Commerce for \$10 million. The Foundation's current authorization expired on September 30, 1998.

#### SECTION-BY-SECTION ANALYSIS

Section 2 would amend the National Fish and Wildlife Foundation Establishment Act by providing authority for the Foundation to accept and administer private gifts of property in connection with the work of agencies within the Department of the Interior and the Department of Commerce. Under current law, the Foundation is only authorized to accept and administer private gifts of property in connection with the Fish and Wildlife Service and NOAA.

Section 3 would increase the Foundation's Board of Directors from 15 to 25 members, including the Director of the Fish and Wildlife Service and the Under Secretary of Commerce for Oceans and Atmosphere (Administrator of NOAA).

Section 4 would authorize the Foundation to have its principal offices in the greater Washington D.C. metropolitan area. This section would also establish conditions for the Foundation to acquire and convey property (dependent upon agency approval) and invest and deposit Federal funds. Section 4 would revise provisions relating to agency approval of acquisitions of property and of conveyances and grants. It also would set forth limitations relating to the Foundation's conveyances of real property and overhead expenditures.

Section 5 would authorize appropriations of \$40 million per year to implement the National Fish and Wildlife Foundation Establishment Act Amendments of 2000 through fiscal year 2006 of which \$30 million would go to the Department of the Interior and \$10 million would go to the Department of Commerce. This section would also authorize the Foundation to accept funds from a Federal agency under any other Federal law to further its conservation and management activities. In addition, it would prohibit grant recipients from using Federal appropriations under this Act to engage in activities relating to lobbying or litigation.

Section 6 would clarify that nothing within this Bill authorizes the Foundation to perform activities that are within the jurisdiction of the National Park Foundation by Public Law 90-209 (16 U.S.C. 19e et seq.).

HONORING THE CORLEONE SOCIETY [UNIONE SPORTIVA CORLEONE]

**HON. ELIOT L. ENGEL**

OF NEW YORK

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

*Thursday, March 16, 2000*

Mr. ENGEL. Mr. Speaker, today I recognize the members of the Corleone Society (Unione Sportiva Corleone) and their 25th Annual Dinner-Dance this week. I also take this opportunity to recognize Paolo Muratore and his 16 years of leadership as the President of the Corleone Society.

In 1973 a group of friends, originally from the Italian city of Corleone, met to celebrate a traditional holiday from their native town. During this event they decided to form the Corleone Society (Unione Sportiva Corleone) in order to extend their culture and traditions to the United States of America. The people of Corleone, a city of 15,000 inhabitants, have chosen a lion clutching a flaming heart as a symbol of their nobility and generosity. Since 1973 until today the members of the Corleone Society have contributed to the enrichment of our culture with the traditions and values of the city of Corleone in Sicily.

For 25 years the members of the Corleone Society have gathered together to celebrate their traditions and emphasize their commitment to noble causes. They award scholarships to support talented students in their educational endeavors. At the same time, they are dedicated to improving the health and welfare of children worldwide. The Corleone Society offers its patronage to orphanages and it sponsors sick children from abroad to receive medical treatment in the United States.

Mr. Speaker, I ask you and my colleagues to join me in expressing our gratitude for the indispensable services and contributions the Corleone Society has given to so many in the United States and around the world.

#### CENSUS DEBATE

**HON. PAUL RYAN**

OF WISCONSIN

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

*Thursday, March 16, 2000*

Mr. RYAN of Wisconsin. Mr. Speaker, I'd like to express my shock and disappointment at the tenor and content of the Special Order that was coordinated by the Ranking Member of the Subcommittee on the Census last night.

But before I go on please everyone in America fill out your census forms and mail them in.

For months now Republicans and Democrats have been promoting the census. No political cheap shots, no debates over sampling. But after the Democrats ambush last night, it's time to take the gloves off.

As we all know, this is the most critical time for the census and for making sure that everyone participates. But the Democrats have obviously decided that promoting the census is

secondary to promoting their own political agenda. Are the Democrats so scared of George Bush that they would inject politics into the census, the very week people are filling out their forms and mailing them in? Sadly the answer can only be yes.

Are the Democrats so afraid that we will retain the House in the upcoming election that they would risk alienating people from participating in the census? Once again, the sad answer is yes.

While it is no secret that our parties and the presidential candidates differ on the use of estimated numbers for purposes of adjustment, the fact that you could not simply promote the census during this most crucial of weeks is very disappointing.

Democrats have stated all along that they want everyone to fill out their forms to assist the Bureau in getting the best count ever. I now wonder whether this was merely a ruse you maintained to harbor another objective. The Democrat message on Wednesday to the American people was "Don't worry about filling out your form—let the government estimate where you are." The effect of these statements is to undermine a good mail-back response rate. There is a very good chance that statistical sampling will be found illegal for redistricting as it was found illegal by the Supreme Court for reapportionment. Supporters of sampling are selling people a false bill of goods.

Let's face reality for a moment—the Supreme Court ruled last January that sampling cannot be used for apportionment and that the Census Bureau must conduct a full enumeration. Therefore your attack on Presidential candidate George Bush is ludicrous. And as we both know, the National Academy of Sciences has yet to endorse the complex ACE estimation plan. In fact, at last month's NAS meeting there was much debate on both sides of the issue and it was clear that there was uncertainty. To suggest that the NAS has endorsed the specifics of ACE is to mislead the American people.

In conclusion, I think that those that participated in last night's ambush on Republicans have done far more to hurt the census efforts than you all may believe. Many Americans are concerned about the intrusiveness of the long form. Even the Bureau acknowledges that many of their phone calls and emails are complaints. All offices are fielding numerous calls from upset constituents. In fact, you could not have picked a worse day in a worse week to make your purely partisan political diatribe.

Last night, on the House floor, you had an opportunity to do one of two things: Promote the census and the importance of mailing back the forms, or use the opportunity for political grandstanding. Unfortunately, you chose the latter. To insert the debate over sampling and to take cheap shots at Governor Bush will not motivate one single person to fill out their census form and mail it in.

I can only hope that American people can see through your partisan motives and rhetoric and realize that the answer to their needs will not be met by a statistical silver bullet and that despite your obvious attempts to dissuade them, will fill out their census forms.

## CONFERENCE REPORT ON S. 376, OPEN-MARKET REORGANIZATION FOR THE BETTERMENT OF INTERNATIONAL TELECOMMUNICATIONS ACT

SPEECH OF

**HON. RON KLINK**

OF PENNSYLVANIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, March 9, 2000

Mr. KLINK. Mr. Speaker, I support the passage of the conference agreement on satellite communications reform. This is an important bill that will revise our laws to encourage more competition in the global satellite communication services market and deliver more choices to consumers. I strongly urge its adoption.

The conference agreement eliminates antiquated statutory barriers that have prevented the purchase of COMSAT. I am very pleased that the conferees dropped the Level IV direct access rules which would have unfairly taken value away from COMSAT shareholders. It also repeals the ownership cap on COMSAT without conditions, rather than making it contingent upon unrelated events as the House bill would have. In addition, the bill sets forth an effective roadmap for INTELSAT and Inmarsat to transition from intergovernmental organizations to truly pro-competitive, privatized entities.

I want to stress that while the bill gives the FCC authority to assess and evaluate INTELSAT's and Inmarsat's privatization efforts, nothing in this bill gives the FCC authority to control the business operations of these entities after they have attained a pro-competitive privatization. The bill will encourage the transition of INTELSAT and Inmarsat into normal, commercial entities so the global satellite market will be more competitive. Once privatization is achieved, INTELSAT and Inmarsat will be regulated by the FCC like any other business in the global satellite communications market.

Again, I am pleased that we will finally pass a bill that will truly level the playing field in the satellite communications services market, and I commend the Conferees for producing such a good, bipartisan bill.

## NEW TESTS FOR PUBLIC SERVANTS

**HON. GERALD D. KLECZKA**

OF WISCONSIN

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, March 16, 2000

Mr. KLECZKA. Mr. Speaker, I recently received a copy of a letter to the editor of the Milwaukee Journal Sentinel written by Mr. Steve Cywinski, one of my constituents from South Milwaukee. I submit this letter to be included in the RECORD.

## NEW TESTS FOR PUBLIC SERVANTS

I was very impressed with the article in the Milwaukee Journal Sentinel on Sen. William Proxmire ("Proxmire honored for sharp eye on money," Dec. 8). He served from 1957-'89. His mission was to cut wasteful spending. He was credited with 168 Golden Fleece awards. My question: Is Bill Proxmire the only one of some 500 politicians in Washington, DC, who had his eyes and ears open?

I would propose hearing and eye tests for politicians before being sworn into office.

STEVE CYWINSKI,  
South Milwaukee.

## PROPOSED ACCOUNTING RULE CHANGES FOR TECHNOLOGY MERGERS

**HON. BOB GOODLATTE**

OF VIRGINIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, March 16, 2000

Mr. GOODLATTE. Mr. Speaker, in today's rapidly growing technology and information markets, the need for maintaining an accounting system that is best suited to handle the growing trend of technology sector mergers is key. The "pooling" system of accounting has made possible some of the largest mergers of our time; without this system the unifications of Netscape/AOL, Citicorp/Travelers, NationsBank/Bank of America, and Daimler/Chrysler quite possibly would have never taken place, reducing innovation and benefits to consumers.

Current regulations allow many high-tech companies to take advantage of this "pooling" system of accounting, which allows corporations to easily merge without attaching a goodwill accounting charge. This is the amount paid in an acquisition that is added to the fair market value of a company's tangible assets. If the Financial Accounting Standards Board has its way, it would require that all mergers be viewed not as the melding of separate entities, but as a direct purchase, forcing companies to accept the purchase method of accounting. This system worked for the bricks and mortar corporations of the past, but in the age of high-tech companies whose value lies in information, the purchase method of accounting has no place.

Forcing these high-tech/high performance companies to use the direct purchase accounting system will only serve to stifle growth and limit our country's edge in this information age. We should take every opportunity to support and ensure continued innovation and expansion in this technology sector that has done so much to energize our economy. This can be accomplished if we say yes to the continuation of pooling mergers, and no to attempts to further regulate this important sector of our economy.

## GREATER PITTSBURGH FRIENDLY SONS OF ST. PATRICK HONOR MICHAEL TIGUE

**HON. PAUL E. KANJORSKI**

OF PENNSYLVANIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, March 16, 2000

Mr. KANJORSKI. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to pay tribute to Mr. Michael F. Tigue. This year the Greater Pittsburgh Friendly Sons of St. Patrick will honor Mr. Tigue as "Man of the Year" at their 86th annual St. Patrick's Day banquet. I am honored to have been asked to participate in this prestigious event.

Michael Tigue is a lifelong resident of Hightstown, in my District in Pennsylvania. In the early days of the coal industry, young boys