

Council of Khalistan, the government pro tempore of Khalistan, the Sikh homeland that declared its independence from India on October 7, 1987. He noted that the book "Soft Target," written by two Canadian journalists, proves that the Indian government carried out the 1985 bombing of an Air India jetliner that killed 329 people. They used their agents within Babbar Khalsa in that operation, he charged.

"There is no terrorism in Punjab except the terrorism of the Indian government," Dr. Aulakh said. He noted that in March, during President Clinton's visit to India, the Indian government murdered 35 Sikhs in the village of Chithi Singhpora, Kashmir. Two independent investigations and an Amnesty International report have confirmed the government's responsibility. In November 1994, the Indian newspaper Hitavada reported that the Indian government paid the late Governor of Punjab, Surendra Nath, about \$1.5 billion to organize and support covert state terrorism in Punjab, Khalistan and in Kashmir. The Indian Supreme Court described the situation in Punjab as "worse than a genocide."

About 50,000 Sikhs languish in Indian prisons as political prisoners without charge or trial. Between 1993 and 1994, 50,000 Sikhs were made to disappear by Indian forces. More than 250,000 Sikhs have been murdered since 1984. Over 200,000 Christians have been killed since 1947 and over 70,000 Kashmiri Muslims have been killed since 1988, as well as tens of thousands of Dalit "untouchables," Assamese, Manipuris, Tamils, and others.

"There are many good people in Babbar Khalsa who just want freedom for our homeland, Khalistan," Dr. Aulakh said, "but they are being used by Indian intelligence and its agents within Babbar Khalsa to revive the myth of Sikh terrorism and undermine the Sikh struggle for freedom. The infiltration goes to the highest levels," he said. "I call on Babbar Khalsa members to make sure that they are not used by Indian infiltrators. I call on them to unite with the Council of Khalistan in the peaceful, democratic, non-violent movement to liberate Khalistan," he said.

"India is on the verge of disintegration," said Dr. Aulakh. "Kashmir is going to be free. Khalistan will also be free during this decade, by the grace of Guru. Guru gave sovereignty to the Sikh Nation," he said. "It is time for a unified effort to liberate Khalistan. We need to support the leadership which is sincere, capable, committed, and dedicated to the liberation of Khalistan," he said. "The Council of Khalistan has led the struggle for the last 15 years and has the above mentioned qualities. We must unite behind the Council of Khalistan, form a Khalsa Paj Party in Punjab, Khalistan, and begin a Shantmai Morcha to liberate Khalistan."

WILLIAM KENZO NAKAMURA
UNITED STATES COURTHOUSE

SPEECH OF

HON. PATSY T. MINK

OF HAWAII

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, October 24, 2000

Mrs. MINK of Hawaii. Mr. Speaker, I rise today in support of HR 5302, to designate the United States Courthouse in Seattle, Washington, as the "William Kenzo Nakamura United States Courthouse".

This designation is a fitting tribute to a great American who overcame great obstacles to uphold the honor and love he had for America.

Mr. Nakamura displayed immense courage and bravery on the battlefield.

On July 4, 1944, Mr. Nakamura crawled within range of an enemy machine-gun nest and destroyed it with four grenades. Later that afternoon Mr. Nakamura was killed near Castellina, Italy by a sniper as he provided cover fire for his retreating platoon. For his bravery and sacrifice his commanding officer nominated him for the Army's highest honor, the Medal of Honor.

Mr. Nakamura was a Japanese-American. After the bombing of Pearl Harbor on December 7, Japanese-Americans were immediately targeted as the enemy. It did not matter that we were citizens, or had worked hard alongside other Americans for a better future for ourselves and our children. Up and down the West coast more than 100,000 Japanese-Americans, 70,000 of whom were native-born U.S. citizens, were removed from their homes and communities and placed in internment camps.

On February 1, 1943, President Roosevelt reversed his stance on Japanese-Americans and declared "Americanism is not, and never was, a matter of race or ancestry." With this announcement he established the 442nd Regimental Combat Team (RCT), a regiment composed solely of second generation Japanese-Americans, or Nisei. Mr. Nakamura was one of the nearly 12,000 Nisei who volunteered, 3,400 were inducted into the Army.

After nine months of training the 442nd RCT joined the 100th Infantry Battalion consisting of 1,300 Nisei from Hawaii. During seven major European campaigns the 442nd and 100th received 9,486 Purple Hearts, 18,143 individual decorations, and 21 Congressional Medals of Honor. The 442nd became the most highly decorated military unit in U.S. history.

The Medal of Honor that Mr. Nakamura and other soldiers of the 442nd RCT were nominated for were not officially awarded. It took fifty-six years for the government to award Mr. Nakamura his Medal of Honor. Only seven honorees were alive to receive their award in June 2000.

By designating the United States Courthouse in Seattle, Washington, as the "William Kenzo Nakamura United States Courthouse" we acknowledge the courage and the sacrifice made by Mr. Nakamura.

I thank this House for the recognition you have bestowed on this great American who never once doubted his country or his love for it, even from behind the barbed wire of a concentration camp.

INTRODUCTION OF THE ARIZONA
WATER SETTLEMENTS ACT OF 2000

HON. J.D. HAYWORTH

OF ARIZONA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, October 24, 2000

Mr. HAYWORTH. Mr. Speaker, today I am pleased to introduce the Arizona Water Settlements Act of 2000 with the entire Arizona House delegation. This is landmark legislation which, as stated in the delegation's introductory statement, will resolve long-standing issues pertaining to the repayment obligations of the state of Arizona for the construction of the Central Arizona Project (CAP). In addition, it will address allocation of remaining CAP

water to satisfy the water rights claims of a number of Arizona tribes, including the Gila River Indian Community and the Tohono O'odham Nation. This is an issue that is important to the state of Arizona, as evidenced by the delegation's full support. In fact, the principal purpose of introducing this legislation at this time is to encourage all parties involved to expeditiously resolve the few remaining issues of the agreement, and to show the Arizona delegation's full commitment to the issue. We fervently hope that all the parties will work in the coming months to wrap up the last remaining details of the settlement.

Some of these issues also reflect a delicate balance. For example, the issue of lands acquired by the tribes after the settlement date and the procedures with which the tribes bring these lands into "trust" is an issue that is still being negotiated. It is my understanding that although the tribes have been working closely with the other parties, and that a tremendous amount of work has already been accomplished, the final details have yet to be agreed upon. All of Indian Country will be looking to this provision because it could very well affect all future Native American water and land dispute settlements.

Another critical component of the bill is the use of the settlement funds. It is important that we come to an agreement with the affected Arizona tribes on how best to utilize the funds associated with the settlement. I know that the Gila River Indian Community has worked hard to come to a consensus on this issue, and I hope we will be able to put this issue to rest prior to the start of the 107th Congress. These are important and difficult issues that still need to be finalized, but I am extremely encouraged that all the parties are so close to an agreement. I commend all the parties involved not only for their perseverance, but more importantly, their willingness to negotiate their differences for the benefit of all Arizonans.

Along with this introductory statement, I am also including a statement from the Arizona congressional delegation in support of this legislation and a letter from Governor Hull expressing her support for this bill. I am happy to sponsor this bill and look forward to enacting legislation on this issue early in the 107th Congress.

STATEMENT OF THE ARIZONA CONGRESSIONAL DELEGATION REGARDING THE ARIZONA WATER SETTLEMENTS ACT OF 2000

October 24, 2000.

We are pleased to announce that legislation was introduced today to resolve issues relating to the repayment obligations of the State of Arizona for construction of the Central Arizona Project (CAP), allocation of remaining CAP water (including the use of nearly 200,000 acre-feet of water to satisfy the water rights claims of the Gila River Indian Community, the Tohono O'odham Nation, and other Arizona Indian tribes), and other issues, including final settlement of all claims to waters of the Gila River and its tributaries.

Legislation is needed to codify several aspects of the settlement of these various water related issues. Although not all water users have reached agreement on all issues, negotiations are continuing at a rapid pace. We, therefore, expect that all of the remaining differences will be resolved and settlement agreements will be signed by the parties in the next two months. When final agreements are signed, we intend to introduce the final version of legislation to effectuate those settlements. In the meantime,

we have introduced this first version of legislation to demonstrate our commitment to the settlement process, and to allow all interested parties the time to suggest changes to precisely reflect the terms of the settlement.

One of the purposes of this legislation is to implement the settlement (in lieu of adjudication) of all of the water rights claims to the Gila River and its tributaries. Once this legislation is enacted, and the presiding judge approves the settlement agreement, water litigation over rights to the waters of the Gila River, which has been ongoing since 1978, will be terminated. Resolution of this case, and of other issues addressed in the settlement agreements, will help to ensure that there is a more stable and certain water supply for the various water users. This is a significant benefit to the citizens of Arizona, the tribes, and the United States.

The legislation will also resolve several financial issues. For example, it will effectuate a settlement of litigation between the state and federal government over the state's repayment obligation for construction of the Central Arizona Project. It also amends the Colorado River Basin Project Act of 1968 to authorize the Secretary of the Interior to expand funds from the Lower Colorado River Basin Development Fund to construct irrigation distribution systems to deliver CAP water to the Gila River Indian Community and other CAP water users.

In addition, this legislation authorizes the reallocation of 65,647 acre-feet of CAP water for use by Arizona communities, and the reallocation of nearly 200,000 acre-feet for the settlement of Indian water claims.

We compliment the parties for their hard work and their commitment to resolving these difficult and sometimes contentious issues. We hope and expect that all parties will continue to

Since the parties have not yet completed their negotiations, this bill is, of necessity, also a work in progress. We point out that some of the provisions in the bill may have to be modified (e.g. Section 207 has not been totally agreed to by all interested parties), and other provisions will have to be added (e.g., resolution of conflicts involving water users in the Upper Gila Valley, the City of Safford, and the San Carlos Apache Tribe).

We note that, while Interior staff have been active in the ongoing negotiations and have served on the committees drafting the bill, the Department of the Interior has not had an opportunity to vet some sections of this draft prior to its introduction. One reason for introducing this bill now rather than waiting until the final settlement agreement has been completed, is to enable Secretary Babbitt to analyze and comment upon the draft legislation before he leaves office in January. Secretary Babbitt has been a major participant in the negotiations over the last two years; and his input into the final legislation will be very important to the successful conclusion of the process.

In summary, our intention is to initiate public discussion of the issues and elicit constructive comments on this bill. Our plan is to reintroduce a modified form of this bill early in the 107th Congress. We expect that the necessary settlement agreements will be complete and signed prior to reintroduction. In relation to the Gila River Indian Community Settlement, we expect that all of the participants named in the attached list will support the settlement agreement, and the implementing legislation, Section 213 has been left open for additional parties to the agreement.

We hope that agreement can be reached to settle the claims of the San Carlos Apache

Tribe. Title IV has been left open for this purpose. However, if the San Carlos Tribe cannot reach agreement with the other parties, including the United States, it is our intention to proceed without Title IV. A separate San Carlos settlement will have to be pursued at a later date.

We pledge our continuing effort to work with the parties to successfully conclude these historic settlements.

John McCain, Bob Stump, Jon Kyl, Jim Kolbe, Ed Pastor, Matt Salmon, J.D. Hayworth, John Shadegg.

SETTLEMENT PARTICIPANTS

Gila River Indian Community
United States—Department of the Interior;
Department of Justice
State of Arizona/Arizona Department of
Water Resources
Central Arizona Water Conservation District
Salt River Project
Roosevelt Water Conservation District
ASARCO
Phelps Dodge
City of Mesa
City of Chandler
City of Scottsdale
City of Peoria
City of Glendale
City of Phoenix
Maricopa Stanfield Irrigation and Drainage
District
Central Arizona Irrigation and Drainage Dis-
trict
San Carlos Irrigation and Drainage District
Town of Coolidge
Hohokam Irrigation and Drainage District
Gila Valley Irrigation District
Franklin Irrigation District
City of Safford
Town of Kearney
Graham County Utilities
Arizona State Land Department
Arizona Water Company
City of Tempe
Arizona Game and Fish
City of Casa Grande
Town of Gilbert
Town of Florence
Town of Duncan
Buckeye Irrigation Company
Roosevelt Irrigation District
New Magma Irrigation and Drainage District

STATE OF ARIZONA,

Phoenix, AZ, October 11, 2000.

Hon. JON KYL,
U.S. Senate,
Washington, DC.

DEAR SENATOR KYL: I commend you for the introduction of the draft legislation the Arizona Water Settlements Act of 2000. This bill will maintain the momentum toward the completion of negotiations on difficult water issues concerning the Central Arizona Project, the Gila River Indian Community, the Tohono O'odham Nation, and the San Carlos Apache Tribe.

The Central Arizona Project is the lifeblood of Arizona. Confirming the repayment settlement between the United States and the Central Arizona Water Conservation District will benefit all of Arizona's taxpayers. Confirming the agreement between the Secretary of the Interior and the Arizona Department of Water Resources on the allocation of CAP water will provide for Arizona's future.

It is my understanding that when this legislation is reintroduced in the next congressional session, the parties will approve the Gila River Indian Community settlement agreement. The Governor of the State of Arizona has traditionally been a signatory to Indian water rights settlements and I expect

to be a signatory to the Gila settlement. However, I want to emphasize that I will only support a complete settlement of the Gila River Indian Community claims. For example, the economic well being of the upper Gila River Valley communities and agricultural interests is of great interest of the State of Arizona. I understand that much work remains to resolve these upper valley issues and I urge all the participants to reach an agreement as part of the overall settlement.

Again, I commend your efforts to move the process along, and I look forward to our continued work together on Arizona water resource issues.

Sincerely,

JANE DEE HULL,
Governor.

OLDER AMERICANS ACT AMENDMENTS OF 2000

SPEECH OF

HON. PATSY T. MINK

OF HAWAII

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, October 24, 2000

Mrs. MINK of Hawaii. Mr. Speaker, I rise in strong support of H.R. 782, the Older Americans Act Amendments of 2000. I am delighted that we are at long last reauthorizing this very popular program that has helped to improve the lives of America's seniors since it was first established in 1965, my first year in Congress.

Reauthorization of the Older Americans Act (OAA) is long overdue. Authorization of programs under OAA expired at the end of fiscal year 1995. Nonetheless, Congress has continued to appropriate funds for OAA programs. These programs have earned broad bipartisan support.

H.R. 782 contains several provisions that will strengthen the Older Americans Act, including establishment of the National Caregiver Program to aid families in caring for frail elders and for grandparents caring for grandchildren. This program, authorized at \$125 million, provides grants to states for a multifaceted system of supportive services including information, assistance, counseling, and respite services.

The bill also provides new demonstration programs on domestic violence, rural health, computer training, and transportation. H.R. 782 authorizes as permanent two current demonstration programs—the Eldercare Locator Service and the Pension Rights and Counseling Program.

These are in addition to the mainstays of the Older Americans Act: elderly nutrition programs that provide congregate and home-delivered meals to over 3 million older persons annually; the Senior Community Service Employment Program, which provides opportunities for part-time employment in community service activities for unemployed, low-income older persons; and elder abuse prevention and long-term care ombudsman programs.

I am very pleased to be given an opportunity to reauthorize this vital legislation, which makes a tremendous difference in the lives of our senior citizens.