

## UNANIMOUS CONSENT AGREEMENT

Mr. LOTT. Mr. President, I ask the chairman to yield so that I may enter this unanimous consent agreement.

I join in that exchange of compliments to each Senator. I commend the chairman of the committee and the ranking member on the Democratic side, Senator BIDEN. Senator HELMS, you have done a great job. I know you have put a lot of time and energy into this particular bill, and we would not be here without your persistence and without the cooperation of Senator BIDEN.

It is an important bill. When you showed up in my office a week or so ago and said we are ready to go, we need to do this, I was determined we would find a place to do it. I think you have now worked through an agreement that will allow us to get it completed and final passage, hopefully, Monday afternoon. I would like to enter into this unanimous consent request and thank both of you for the outstanding work that you are doing.

I ask unanimous consent that with respect to the State Department authorization bill, all amendments must be filed by 11:45 today, with the exception of the managers' amendment and any second-degree amendments.

I further ask that any votes ordered with respect to amendments be stacked at a time to be determined by the majority leader and the Democratic leader, and the following amendments limited to the following times, to be equally divided in the usual form.

The amendments are as follows: Dodd amendment regarding the inspector general, 30 minutes; Sarbanes amendment No. 689; Wellstone amendment regarding child soldiers, 90 minutes; Wellstone-Harkin, ILO convention amendment, 30 minutes; Wellstone, women and children amendment, 90 minutes; Feingold, war crimes in Rwanda, 30 minutes; Sarbanes amendment with regard to the U.N., 2 hours; Feingold amendment regarding NED, 40 minutes; the Leahy amendment regarding East Timor, 20 minutes; the Helms-Biden managers' amendment; the Feinstein arms trafficking amendment, 30 minutes; and a relevant amendment by the majority leader and the Democratic leader.

Before the Chair rules, let me say again, the managers' packet will include the following: Amendments offered by Senators ABRAHAM, ASHCROFT, KENNEDY, DODD, DURBIN, MOYNIHAN, REID of Nevada, BINGAMAN, THOMAS, BIDEN, LUGAR, GRAMS, another one by LUGAR, and others that have been cleared by the two managers.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there objection?

Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. LOTT. In light of the agreement, there will be no further votes today, and the next votes will occur at 5:30 on Monday.

## REDUCTION IN VOLUME STEEL IMPORTS—MOTION TO PROCEED

Mr. LOTT. Mr. President, I move to proceed to Calendar No. 66, H.R. 975,

the steel quota bill, and send a cloture motion to the desk.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The cloture motion having been presented under rule XXII, the Chair directs the clerk to read the motion.

The legislative clerk read as follows:

## CLOTURE MOTION

We the undersigned Senators, in accordance with the provisions of Rule XXII of The Standing Rules of the Senate, do hereby move to bring to a close debate on the motion to proceed to Calendar No. 66, H.R. 975, The Steel Import Limitation Bill:

Trent Lott, Rick Santorum, Mike DeWine, Jesse Helms, Ted Stevens, Harry Reid, Byron Dorgan, Orrin Hatch, Jay Rockefeller, Robert C. Byrd, Robert Torricelli, Fritz Hollings, Pat Roberts, Arlen Specter, Richard Shelby, and Craig Thomas.

Mr. LOTT. For the information of all Senators, this cloture vote will occur Tuesday, June 22.

Mr. President, before I complete that, I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The legislative assistant proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. LOTT. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

## CALL OF THE ROLL

Mr. LOTT. Mr. President, cloture will occur Tuesday, June 22. I ask unanimous consent that the vote occur at 12:15 p.m. on Tuesday, and the mandatory quorum under rule XXII be waived.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. LOTT. I now withdraw the motion to proceed.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The motion is withdrawn.

Mr. LOTT. Mr. President, in conclusion, I want to make it clear that while I am calling up this steel quota bill and signed the cloture motion, it is because I think this is an important issue and because I made commitments to Senators that we would have a vote on this issue.

I do not think cloture should be invoked. I do not think this bill should pass. I think it would be a very large mistake if we pass it. I want to make that clear.

I am not in any way supporting it. I urge my colleagues on both sides of the aisle to think about this vote very carefully. We have already had one steel-related issue passed by the Senate. If we start down the trail of imposing quotas, I think it will not be well received in the financial markets, and it is going in a different direction from what we have been trying to do. I want to make sure the record is clear from the beginning.

With that, I yield the floor, and I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The legislative assistant proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. HELMS. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

## FOREIGN RELATIONS AUTHORIZATION ACT, FISCAL YEARS 2000 AND 2001

Mr. HELMS. Mr. President, I submit for the RECORD a Congressional Budget Office cost estimate for S. 886, the pending legislation. The estimate was not available at the time the committee report was filed.

I ask unanimous consent that this CBO cost estimate be printed in the RECORD.

There being no objection, the material was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

## CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET OFFICE COST ESTIMATE

*S. 886.—Foreign Relations Authorization Act, Fiscal Years 2000 and 2001—As reported by the Senate Committee on Foreign Relations on April 27, 1999*

Summary: The bill would authorize appropriations for the Department of State and related agencies for 2000 and 2001. CBO estimates that appropriation of the authorized amounts would result in additional discretionary spending of \$13.6 billion over the 2000–2004 period. Because the legislation would affect direct spending and revenues, pay-as-you-go procedures would apply; the net impact would generally be less than \$500,000 a year.

Section 4 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act (UMRA) excludes from the application of that act any provisions that are necessary for the national security or the ratification or implementation of international treaty obligations. CBO has determined that the provisions in title VI of S. 886 either fall within that exclusion or contain no intergovernmental or private-sector mandates. All other titles of the bill contain no private-sector or intergovernmental mandates and would have no significant effects on the budgets of state, local, or tribal governments.

Estimated Cost to the Federal Government: The estimated budgetary impact of S. 886 is shown in the following table. The costs of this legislation fall within budget functions 150 (international affairs) and 300 (natural resources and environment).

	By fiscal year, in millions of dollars—					
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
SPENDING SUBJECT TO APPROPRIATION						
Spending Under Current Law <sup>1</sup> :						
Budget Authority <sup>2</sup> .....	7,488	0	0	0	0	0
Estimated Outlays .....	5,747	1,296	1,177	468	145	74
Proposed Changes:						
Administration of Foreign Affairs:						
Authorization Level .....	0	4,041	4,041	600	600	600
Estimated Outlays .....	0	2,701	3,224	844	662	617
International Organizations and Conferences:						
Authorization Level .....	0	1,506	1,155	0	0	0
Estimated Outlays .....	0	1,230	1,052	375	2	0
Refugee Assistance and Other Programs:						
Authorization Level .....	0	665	665	0	0	0
Estimated Outlays .....	0	459	648	193	7	3
International Broadcasting and Exchange:						
Authorization Level .....	0	723	723	0	0	0
Estimated Outlays .....	0	512	680	197	39	12
International Commissions:						
Authorization Level .....	0	50	50	0	0	0
Estimated Outlays .....	0	39	46	9	5	2
Subtotal of Proposed Changes:						
Authorization Level .....	0	6,986	6,635	600	600	600

By fiscal year, in millions of dollars—						
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Estimated Outlays ...	0	4,941	5,650	1,618	715	634
Spending Under S. 886 <sup>1</sup> :						
Authorization Level <sup>2</sup> .....	7,488	6,986	6,635	600	600	600
Estimated Outlays .....	5,747	6,237	6,827	2,086	860	708
<b>DIRECT SPENDING AND REVENUES</b>						
Proposed Changes to Direct Spending:						
Estimated Budget Authority .....	0	(3)	(3)	(3)	(3)	(3)
Estimated Outlays .....	0	(3)	(3)	(3)	(3)	(3)
Proposed Changes to Revenues .....	0	(3)	(3)	(3)	(3)	(3)

<sup>1</sup> The program covered here include the conduct of foreign affairs, information and exchange activities, and arrears to the United Nations.

<sup>2</sup> The 1999 level is the amount appropriated for that year.

<sup>3</sup> Less than \$500,000.

**Spending Subject to Appropriation.** The bill specifies authorizations of appropriations that total \$15.4 billion over the 2000–2004 period. In addition, it contains a number of other provisions with potential budgetary impacts. CBO estimates that the bill would result in outlays totaling \$13.6 billion over the five-year period, assuming appropriation of the authorized amounts. CBO assumes that outlays would follow historical spending patterns except for payments of arrears to the United Nations (U.N.).

**Arrears to the United Nations.** Title IX would authorize the appropriation of funds to pay amounts owed by the United States under various treaties to the U.N. and related agencies. Specifically, the bill would authorize new appropriations totaling \$244 million for fiscal year 2000 and obligation of previously appropriated amounts for 1998 and 1999—\$100 million and \$475 million, respectively. In addition, subject to appropriation action, the bill would authorize the President to forgo \$107 million that the United Nations owes the Department of Defense (DOD), in return for a corresponding reduction in U.S. payments owed to the United Nations.

Appropriations for the 1998 and 1999 installments have not been obligated pending an authorization. CBO estimates that enactment of S. 886 would permit the \$100 million provided for 1998 to be obligated and disbursed in 2000. S. 886 would retain the conditions that were enacted in the 1999 appropriations act that are likely to delay obligation of the \$475 million until 2001. Based on information from the Department of State, CBO estimates that the conditions attached to the funding for 2000 are likely to delay their obligation and expenditure until at least 2002.

**Fees for Affidavits of Support.** Subject to approval in advance in an appropriation act, section 212 would authorize the State Department to charge a fee for helping to prepare certain affidavits as part of an immigrant visa application. Proceeds from the fees would be deposited as offsetting collections and would be available for spending, subject to appropraition. Based on information from the department, CBO estimates that it would charge a \$50 fee and collect roughly \$17 million a year. Because spending would initially lag behind collections, this provision would lower net outlays by \$3 million in 2000 and \$1 million each year in 2001 and 2002 before spending would completely offset collections.

**Currency Fluctuations.** In addition to the bill's specific authorizations for contributions to international organizations and programs, section 801(f) would authorize such sums as may be necessary in 2000 and 2001 to compensate for adverse fluctuations in exchange rates that might affect those contributions. Any funds appropriated for this purpose would be obligated and expended subject to certification by the Office of Management and Budget. Currency fluctuations are extremely difficult to estimate in advance, and they could result in spending ei-

ther higher or lower than the amounts specifically authorized in the bill for contributions to international organizations and programs. Therefore, CBO estimates no change in spending from this provision.

**Miscellaneous Provisions.** The bill includes several provisions that would combine to cost about \$1 million annually, but each provision would probably cost less than \$500,000 a year. The individual budgetary impacts are insignificant because they would involve small payments to a few people.

Section 312 would allow U.S. citizens hired abroad to receive a different (usually higher) amount of compensation than a foreign national employed in the same position.

Section 331 would grant employees living in the United States and working in Canada or Mexico adjustments for locality pay equal to what they would receive if they worked nearby in the United States.

Section 332 would allow federal employees who transfer to an international organization to make retroactive contributions to the Thrift Savings Plan (TSP) upon their return to the federal government and to receive matching government contributions and lost earnings on their retroactive contributions. (See the following section for the revenue effects of this provision.)

Section 333 would authorize allowances to compensate dependents of a deceased employee who are returning to the United States.

Section 334 would allow employees working abroad who send a dependent to school away from their post to use an education allowance to pay for room, board, and periodic travel between the post and the school.

Section 335 would authorize advances of pay for employees with medical emergencies.

**Direct Spending and Revenues.** The bill contains other provisions that would affect direct spending or revenues by less than \$500,000 in most years.

**Machine Readable Visa.** S. 886 would extend, through 2001, the Secretary of State's authority to charge a fee for machine readable visas and border crossing cards and to spend the collections on consular activities. CBO estimates the State Department would collect and spend over \$300 million in 2001 under this authority.

**Deaths and Estates of U.S. Citizens Overseas.** Section 214 would expand the authority of the State Department to oversee and liquidate the estates of U.S. citizens who lived overseas but died intestate. Under current law, the department is authorized to take possession of and dispose of estates. After a certain period, if no claims have been made against the estate, the proceeds from the sale are transferred to the U.S. state in which the deceased citizen last lived. If the state is unknown, the proceeds are deposited into the Treasury as miscellaneous receipts (revenues).

The bill would make three substantive changes that would increase miscellaneous receipts. First, if the country in which the citizen died is unable to issue a death certificate, the State Department would issue a report of death (or presumptive death), which would allow for the disposition of the estate. The \$10 fee charged for the report would be deposited in the Treasury. (The fee and other expenses associated with disposition of the estate are paid by the estate.) Second, instead of transferring the proceeds of the sale to the U.S. state, these proceeds would be deposited directly into the Treasury. Finally, the bill would allow the State Department to take title to any real property. The department would have the option to retain the property for its own use or sell it and deposit the proceeds in the Treasury. CBO estimates that these changes would raise miscellaneous receipts by less than \$500,000 in most

years; however, sales of real property could net over \$500,000 in rare instances.

**Thrift Savings Plan.** CBO estimates that section 332, discussed above, would reduce income tax receipts by less than \$100,000 annually. Under current law, federal employees can count service with an international organization towards their retirement annuity, but they cannot participate in TSP during this period. Under S. 886, employees who are covered by the Foreign Service Pension System or the Federal Employees' Retirement System would be eligible to make retroactive contributions to TSP. Like all TSP contributions, these retroactive contributions would not be subject to income tax until distributed. According to information from the State Department, approximately 90 federal employees are serving with international organizations at any one time.

**Reimbursement from the United Nations.** Section 813 would require the President to seek reimbursement for goods and services provided to the United Nations for peacekeeping operations and other emergencies. The President has authority to provide goods and services on a reimbursable basis and to credit reimbursements to current appropriations if the funds are received within 180 days after the close of the fiscal year in which the services were provided. This section would credit the funds to current appropriations regardless of when the reimbursement is received or allow them to be used to offset peacekeeping assessments if the funds cannot be applied to any appropriation. The section could reduce offsetting receipts, though CBO estimates that the loss of receipts would not be significant.

During the mid-1990s, DoD provided \$175 million in goods and services on a reimbursable basis to support U.N. peacekeeping activities. Most of the reimbursements were deposited into the Treasury. In recent years, however, the DoD has provided less than \$1 million a year in goods and services to the United Nations. CBO expects this more recent pattern to continue for the next five years.

**Lockerbie Trial.** Section 727 would authorize the President to seize and liquidate blocked Libyan assets to pay the reasonable costs of travel for certain individuals to attend the trial of those suspected of bombing Pan American flight 103. The bill would authorize payment of travel expenses to the Netherlands for the immediate family members of U.S. victims, and the authorized amount would be whatever is necessary to cover those expenses. According to information from the Office of Foreign Assets Control, there are currently \$400 million in blocked Libyan assets and roughly \$600 million in claims against them.

Although CBO does not expect that this provision would have a significant net budgetary impact over the next five years, liquidating Libyan assets could create a claim against the U.S. government. Should the United States and Libyan governments return to normal relations, the United States might be required to repay the funds or reduce the amount of compensation to other claimants. CBO estimates that transportation and per diem for two weeks would cost \$3,000 per person. Depending on the number of family members that choose to attend the trial and on the length of their stay, costs could approach \$500,000.

**Reimbursements From a State.** Section 824 would authorize the commissioner of the International Boundary and Water Commission to accept and spend funds from state and local governments. Upon request, those contributions would be used to provide technical tests, surveys, or similar services. CBO estimates that collections and spending would not be significant in any year.

*Pay-as-you-go Considerations:* The bill contains several provisions that affect direct spending and revenues; however, the net impact is estimated to be less than \$500,000 a year.

*Intergovernmental and Private-Sector Impact:* Section 4 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act (UMRA) excludes from the application of that act any provisions that are necessary for the national security or the ratification or implementation of international treaty obligations. CBO has determined that the provisions in title VI of S. 886 either fall within that exclusion or contain no intergovernmental or private-sector mandates. All other titles of the bill contain no private-sector or intergovernmental mandates and would have no significant effects on the budgets of state, local, or tribal governments.

*Estimate Prepared by:* Federal Costs: Sunita D'Monte and Joseph C. Whitehill (226-2840) for the Department of State; Gary Brown (226-2860) for the International Boundary and Water Commission; Eric Rollins (226-2820) for retirement benefits; and Jennifer Winkler (226-2880) for employee compensation.

Impact on State, Local, and Tribal Governments: Leo Lex (225-3220).

Impact on the Private Sector: Keith Mattrick (226-2940).

*Estimate Approved by:* Robert A. Sunshine, Deputy Assistant Director for Budget Analysis.

Mr. HELMS. Mr. President, I have ascertained that none of the Senators on the other side will be available this afternoon to offer their amendments or to discuss them. Since there is no Member here, or no amendment pending by anybody on this side, I think it would be an exercise in futility to continue to suggest quorum calls.

#### MORNING BUSINESS

Mr. HELMS. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Senate now proceed to a period of morning business with Senators permitted to speak therein for up to 10 minutes each.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

#### THE VERY BAD DEBT BOXSCORE

Mr. HELMS. Mr. President, at the close of business yesterday, Thursday, June 17, 1999, the federal debt stood at \$5,585,233,665,272.21 (Five trillion, five hundred eighty-five billion, two hundred thirty-three million, six hundred sixty-five thousand, two hundred seventy-two dollars and twenty-one cents).

One year ago, June 17, 1998, the federal debt stood at \$5,491,718,000,000 (Five trillion, four hundred ninety-one billion, seven hundred eighteen million dollars).

Five years ago, June 17, 1994, the federal debt stood at \$4,491,908,000,000 (Four trillion, four hundred ninety-one billion, nine hundred eight million dollars) which reflects a debt increase of 1,093,325,665,272.21 (One trillion, ninety-three billion, three hundred twenty-five million, six hundred sixty-five thousand, two hundred seventy dollars and twenty-one cents) during the past 5 years.

#### RECYCLING PROVISION OF SUPERFUND

Mr. LOTT. Mr. President, 1 year ago the distinguished minority leader, Mr. DASCHLE, and I introduced S. 2180, the Superfund Recycling Equity Act, to overcome the unintended consequences of Superfund which continue to have major negative impacts on recycling. There is widespread recognition of the need for relief in this area, as evidenced by the number of Superfund bills that have been introduced since the 103d Congress, as well as the measures being considered in this Congress, all of which include nearly identical recycling relief provisions.

I am grateful for the decision by Senators CHAFEE and SMITH to include a strong recycling provision in their Superfund reform bill currently pending before the Environment and Public Works Committee. This inclusion was an important contributing reason to my decision to be an original cosponsor of the Superfund Program Completion Act of 1999 (S. 1090). As the committee approaches a markup of its legislation, I understand that the committee chairman and subcommittee chairman are negotiating with their minority counterparts and the Environmental Protection Agency in an effort to reach a bipartisan consensus. In the spirit of the last year's Superfund Recycling Equity Act, which collected 63 cosponsors from both sides of the aisle, I endorse such an approach and look forward to debating the bill on the Senate floor.

Today, I am pleased to join the minority leader in bringing to the attention of the Senate the need to move expeditiously in this regard, recognizing that another year has passed without needed relief for recyclers.

Mr. DASCHLE. The distinguished majority leader is correct in noting the attention of many bills directed at Superfund relief for recyclers in this session, the bipartisan interest in this subject, and the broad based, bicameral commitment directed to correcting these unintended consequences. The Superfund Litigation Reduction and Brownfields Cleanup Act of 1999 (S. 1105), introduced by Senators BAUCUS, LAUTENBERG, LINCOLN, and me, contains a provision similar to the distinguished majority leader's and my bill, S. 2180, introduced in this body 1 year ago.

Mr. LOTT. I have worked for years with my colleagues to reform Superfund. We must put this important program back on track to get the environment cleaned up effectively and efficiently, with polluters paying the bills, not innocent parties. There was clear tangible evidence of how Superfund is off track in a recent GAO report which was requested by House Commerce Committee Chairman BLILEY. The GAO report revealed that a majority of the funds go for activities other than clean up, and this is clearly wrong. I hope the Senate will act soon because America deserves a viable Superfund program.

While there are different bills being considered in the Senate at this time, both the minority leader and I stand committed to Superfund relief for recyclables and we assure all Senators that the differences between the bills in their recycling language will be addressed in the interest of moving forward with this needed legislation. With the bipartisan support of this needed relief in place, Mr. President, it is essential to stress that relief for recycling, an issue of fundamental fairness, must be accomplished in this session.

Mr. DASCHLE. Along with my Senate colleagues, I have worked for years to reform Superfund, and by all accounts the program has been vastly improved over the past 6 years. Today, I reaffirm my commitment to work with the majority leader to ensure passage of needed Superfund relief for recyclables in this session and urge passage of a recycling bill.

Mr. LOTT. In this regard, I applaud the efforts of Chairman SHUSTER and BOEHLERT, who have worked tirelessly with their very competent staffs to help resolve the one significant remaining issue in contention.

Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent to have printed in the RECORD a copy of a recently negotiated and signed agreement dealing with paper scrap by all the affected parties.

There being no objection, the material was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

INSTITUTE OF SCRAP  
RECYCLING INDUSTRIES, INC.,  
Washington, DC, June 15, 1999.

Hon. TRENT LOTT,  
*Majority Leader, U.S. Senate.*  
Hon. TOM DASCHLE,  
*Minority Leader, U.S. Senate.*  
Hon. JOHN H. CHAFEE,  
*Chairman, Committee on Environment and Public Works.*  
Hon. MAX S. BAUCUS,  
*Ranking Minority Member, Committee on Environment and Public Works.*  
Hon. ROBERT C. SMITH,  
*Chairman, Superfund, Waste Control, and Risk Assessment Subcommittee.*  
Hon. FRANK R. LAUTENBERG,  
*Ranking Minority Member, Superfund, Waste Control, and Risk Assessment Subcommittee.*  
Hon. TED STEVENS.  
Hon. BLANCHE LINCOLN.

DEAR SENATORS LOTT, DASCHLE, CHAFEE, BAUCUS, SMITH, LAUTENBERG, STEVENS, AND LINCOLN: We, the undersigned representatives of our respective entities, are writing to express our agreement with the attached consensus recycling amendment to the "Superfund Program Completion Act of 1999" (S. 1090), and the "Superfund Litigation Reduction and Brownfield Cleanup Act of 1999" (S. 1105). This amendment has been negotiated over the last two months and reflects a compromise that we find to be both reasonable and functional. None of us will seek, or encourage others to seek, amendments that would undermine the compromise we have reached. We are satisfied with the legislative language we have labored so long to craft and intend that this language be used in any legislative vehicle that addresses recycling issues in either House of Congress.

In closing, we would like to thank you for your patience as we worked to remove one of the longstanding obstacles to meaningful