

in the United States Senate today if Wisconsin didn't have that system of public financing, that allowed a person of limited means to run for office, and win.

Today, all over the country, citizens are coming to realize that the money chase that is required to run for office is depriving them of good candidates and representatives. Not everyone who would be a hardworking and effective public servant comes from a wealthy background or from a community of friends or business associates who can finance a campaign. And so the Clean Money movement is taking hold in state after state. Overwhelming majorities in polls taken on this issue support a Clean Money system, where candidates raise a large number of very small contributions to qualify for a limited public grant to run an adequate, but not an extravagant, campaign. These polls, and the successful ballot initiatives in Maine, Massachusetts, and Arizona show that the public is not only ready, but eager, for a new way of financing our elections.

Obviously, Mr. President, a majority in the United States Senate is not yet ready for such a clean break with the current system. But I believe that over time we in the Senate will catch up with public sentiment, and this is the way we will have to go. I am convinced that Clean Money is the future of campaign financing in this country, at both the state and federal level. And so I am very pleased that Senators KERRY and WELLSTONE have decided to reintroduce their bill and I thank them for their leadership.

By Mr. JOHNSON (for himself and Mr. DASCHLE):

S. 983. A bill to require the Secretary of Transportation to issue regulations to provide for improvements in the conspicuity of rail cars of rail carriers; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

RAILROAD CAR VISIBILITY ACT

Mr. JOHNSON. Mr. President, I rise today to introduce the Railroad Car Visibility Act, which would require all railroad cars—including those on passenger and commuter trains—to have some form of reflective marker.

This legislation provides a simply way to improve rail car visibility at rail crossings and sidings, sites where many accidents have occurred in recent years. When crossings and sidings are in rural areas or near small towns—as is often the case in South Dakota—they usually are unlit or very poorly lit, increasing the potential for disaster. While locomotives are required to use lighting such as ditch lights to increase visibility, rail cars are often unmarked, which means they are difficult for automobile drivers to see. This legislation attempts to remedy this problem by requiring that all rail cars display some form of visible marker, such as reflectors of reflective tape.

Last year, the Department of Transportation (DOT) issued a memorandum

on reflective markings and their effectiveness for increasing visibility. DOT tested several different types of reflectors, including different colors and patterns. The memorandum concludes that “bright color patterns distributed to give an indication of the size or shape of the rail car make the most effective marking systems.” Fitting rail cars with reflective materials would be relatively inexpensive but, by increasing visibility, would reduce the number of accidents, unnecessary injuries and deaths at rail crossings and sidings. As one railroad executive has said, “It’s sort of a tragedy that something that makes so much common sense has to be legislated. Everyone should do it. The railroad industry is its own worst enemy sometimes.

This legislation has the support of both South Dakota’s legislature and Governor Janklow. I urge my colleagues to support this legislation and work with me to secure its passage.

Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent to have the bill printed in the RECORD.

There being no objection, the bill was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

S. 983

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. IMPROVED CONSPICUITY OF RAIL CARS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 20132 of title 49, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by striking the heading and inserting the following:

“§ 20132. Visible markers for train cars”;

and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

“(c) IMPROVED CONSPICUITY.—Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this subsection, the Secretary of Transportation shall—

“(1) develop and implement a plan to ensure that the requirements of this section are met; and

“(2) issue regulations that require that, not later than 2 years after the date of issuance of the regulations, all cars of freight, passenger, or commuter trains be equipped, and, if necessary, retrofitted, with at least 1 highly visible marker (including reflective tape or appropriate lighting).”

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—The analysis for chapter 201 of title 49, United States Code, is amended by striking the item relating to section 20132 and inserting the following:

“20132. Visible markers for train cars.”

By Mr. CAMPBELL:

S. 985. A bill to amend the Indian Gaming Regulatory Act, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Indian Affairs.

THE INTERGOVERNMENTAL GAMING AGREEMENT ACT OF 1999

Mr. CAMPBELL. Mr. President, today I introduce The Intergovernmental Gaming Agreement Act of 1999 to address an area of contention between tribes and states that centers on the ability of tribes to operate gaming activities on their lands.

In 1988, virtually no one contemplated that Indian gaming would become the billion dollar industry that exists today, providing some tribes with much needed capital for development and employment opportunities where none previously existed.

Because of gaming, some tribes have been very successful, fortunate mostly because of their geographical location. These tribes employ thousands of people, both Indian and non-Indian, and have greatly reduced the welfare rolls in their local area.

It is extremely important for us to keep these facts, and the goals of the gaming statute in mind and to remember that where gaming exists, it provides a great opportunity for tribes to develop other business and development projects. However, it must also be recognized that not all tribes will find the keys to a brighter economic future in gaming.

In the 1987 Cabazon case, the U.S. Supreme Court decided that tribes could operate casino style gaming without the consent or regulation of the state, in cases where the state otherwise allowed such gambling.

In 1988, Congress passed the Indian Gaming Regulatory Act, otherwise known as “IGRA”, as a compromise between states and tribes. IGRA was an attempt to allow tribes to continue to develop the gaming operations allowed under federal case law, but gave states for the first time the right to have some say in how those operations would be regulated.

It was not Congress’ intention in enacting IGRA to provide States with veto authority over a tribe’s plans to develop gaming operations.

Unfortunately, a few States have attempted to do just this, and at least two states have effectively prevented tribes from opening gaming operations by simply refusing to negotiate with them.

A group of tribes and states has been attempting to negotiate their differences and have been doing so for some 18 months, to no avail. As the Committee on Indian Affairs knows well after numerous hearings, each side has presented demands in such a way that the other is simply unwilling to consider.

I firmly believe The Intergovernmental Gaming Agreement Act of 1999 will go a long way in solving this problem by encouraging full and fair negotiations and by allowing each side recourse to federal court at the critical stage in the mediation stage of the proposed process.

The Intergovernmental Gaming Agreement Act of 1999 requires tribes to negotiate with states for purposes of concluding a class III gaming agreement. Only when states refuse to negotiate outright or reach an impasse during negotiations by failing to come to agreement within six months of the tribe’s request for negotiation, can a tribe access the alternative procedures outlined in this bill.

Once the tribe applies for procedures with the Secretary of the Interior, the Secretary first must attempt to reconcile state-tribal differences by referring the parties to mediation. Even when a tribe has applied to begin the procedure for developing a class III compact, the state has full and unfettered access to the procedure at every stage.

This legislation allows the state to intervene in the process at the point of their choosing and, when all is said and done, the states have the right to challenge the outcome in federal district court.

I ask unanimous consent that a copy of the bill be printed in the RECORD and urge my colleagues to support these reasonable and necessary amendments.

There being no objection, the bill was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

S. 985

Be it enacted in the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "The Intergovernmental Gaming Agreement Act of 1999".

SEC. 2. AMENDMENTS TO THE INDIAN GAMING REGULATORY ACT.

The Indian Gaming Regulatory Act (25 U.S.C. 2701 et seq.) is amended—

(1) by striking section 11, subsection (d) and inserting the following:

"(d)(1) Class III gaming activities shall be lawful on Indian lands only if those activities are—

"(A) authorized by an ordinance or resolution that—

"(i) is adopted by the governing body of the Indian tribe having jurisdiction over such lands,

"(ii) meets the requirements of subsection (b), and

"(iii) is approved by the Chairman,

"(B) located in a State that permits such gaming for any purpose by any person, organization, or entity; and

"(C) authorized by a Compact that is approved pursuant to tribal law by the governing body of the Indian tribe having jurisdiction over those lands;

"(D) conducted in conformance with a compact that—

"(i) is in effect; and

"(ii) is—

"(I) entered into by an Indian tribe and a State and approved by the Secretary under paragraph (3); or

"(II) issued by the Secretary under paragraph (3).

"(2)(A) If any Indian tribe proposes to engage in, or to authorize any person or entity to engage in, a class III gaming activity on Indians lands of the Indian tribe, the governing body shall adopt and submit to the chairman an ordinance or resolution that meets the requirements of subsection (b).

"(B) The Chairman shall approve any ordinance or resolution described in subparagraph (A), unless the Chairman specifically determines that—

"(i) the ordinance or resolution was not adopted in compliance with the governing documents of the Indian tribe, or

"(ii) the tribal governing body was significantly and unduly influenced in the adoption of such ordinance or resolution by any person identified in section 12(e)(1)(D).

"(C) Upon approval of such an ordinance or resolution, the Chairman shall publish in the

Federal Register such ordinance or resolution and the order of approval.

"(3) COMPACT NEGOTIATIONS; APPROVAL.—

"(A) IN GENERAL.—

"(i) COMPACT NEGOTIATIONS.—Any tribe having jurisdiction over lands upon which a class III gaming activity is to be conducted may request the State in which those lands are located to enter into negotiations for the purpose of entering into a compact with that State governing conduct of Class III gaming activities.

"(ii) REQUIREMENTS FOR REQUEST FOR NEGOTIATIONS.—A request for negotiations under clause (i) shall be in writing and shall specify each gaming activity the Indian tribe proposes for inclusion in the compact. Not later than 30 days after receipt of the written request, the State shall respond to the Indian tribe.

"(iii) COMMENCEMENT OF COMPACT NEGOTIATIONS.—Compact negotiations conducted under this paragraph shall commence not later than 30 days after the date on which a response by a State is due to the Indian tribe, and shall be completed not later 120 days after the initiation of compact negotiations, unless the State and the Indian tribe agree in writing to a different period of time for the completion of compact negotiations.

"(B) NEGOTIATIONS.—

"(i) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall, upon request of an Indian tribe described in subparagraph (A)(i) that has not reached an agreement with a State concerning a compact referred to in that subparagraph (or with respect to an Indian tribe described in clause (ii)(I)(bb) a compact) during the applicable period under clause (ii) of this subparagraph, initiate a mediation process to—

"(I) conclude a compact referred to in subparagraph (A)(i); or

"(II) if necessary, provide for the issuance of procedures by the Secretary to govern the conduct of the gaming referred to in that subparagraph.

"(ii) APPLICABLE PERIOD.—

"(I) IN GENERAL.—Subject to subclause (II) the applicable period described in this paragraph is—

"(aa) in the case of an Indian tribe that makes a request for compact negotiations under subparagraph (A), the 180-day period beginning on the date on which that Indian tribe makes the request; and

"(bb) in the case of an Indian tribe that makes a request to renew a compact to govern class III gaming activity on Indian lands of that Indian tribe within the State that the Indian tribe entered into prior to the date of enactment of the Indian Gaming Regulatory Act of 1988, during the 60-day period beginning on the date of that request.

"(II) EXTENSION.—An Indian tribe and a State may agree to extend an applicable period under this paragraph beyond the applicable termination date specified in item (aa) or (bb) of subclause (I).

"(iii) MEDIATION.—

"(I) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall initiate mediation to conclude a compact governing the conduct of class III gaming activities on Indian lands upon a clear showing by an Indian tribe that, within the applicable period specified in clause (ii), a state has failed—

"(aa) to respond to a request by an Indian tribe for negotiations under this subparagraph; or

"(bb) to negotiate in good faith.

"(II) EFFECT OF DECLINING NEGOTIATIONS.—

The Secretary shall initiate mediation within 10 days after a State declines to enter into negotiations under this subparagraph, without regard to whether the otherwise applicable period specified in clause (ii) has expired.

"(III) COPY OF REQUEST.—An Indian tribe that requests mediation under this clause

shall provide the State that is the subject of the mediation request a copy of the mediation request submitted to the Secretary within 5 days of receipt of the request.

"(IV) PANEL.—The Secretary, in consultation with the Indian tribes and States, shall establish a list of independent mediators, that the Secretary, in consultation with the Indian tribes and the States, shall periodically update. All mediators placed upon the list shall be certified by the American Arbitration Association as qualified to conduct arbitration in accordance with the American Arbitration Association rules and procedures.

"(V) NOTIFICATION BY STATE.—Not later than 10 days after an Indian tribe makes a request to the Secretary for mediation under subclause (I), the State that is the subject of the mediation request shall notify the Secretary whether the State elects to participate in the mediation process within 5 days of receipt of the request. If the State elects to participate in the mediation, the mediation shall be conducted in accordance with subclause (IV). If the State declines to participate in the mediation process, the Secretary shall issue procedures pursuant to clause (iv).

"(VI) "MEDIATION PROCESS.—

"(aa) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 20 days after a State elects under subclause (V) to participate in a mediation, the Secretary shall submit to the Indian tribe and the State the names of 3 mediators randomly selected by the Secretary from the list of mediators established under subclause (IV).

"(bb) SELECTION OF MEDIATOR.—Not later than 10 days after the Secretary submits the mediators referred to in item (aa), the Indian tribe and the State may each peremptorily remove one mediator from the mediators submitted. If either the Indian tribe or the State declines to remove a mediator, the Secretary shall randomly remove names until only one mediator remains. The remaining mediator shall conduct the mediation.

"(cc) INITIAL PERIOD OF MEDIATION.—The mediator shall, during the 60-day period beginning on the date on which the mediator is selected under item (bb) (or a longer period upon the written agreement of the parties to the mediation for an extension of the period) attempt to achieve a compact.

"(dd) LAST BEST OFFER.—If by the termination of the period specified in item (cc), no agreement for concluding a compact is achieved by the parties to the mediation, each such party may, not later than 10 days after that date, submit to the mediator an offer that represents the best offer that the party intends to make for achieving an agreement for concluding a compact (referred to hereinafter as a 'last-best-offer'). The mediator shall review a last-best-offer received pursuant to this item not later than 30 days after the date of submission of the offer.

"(ee) REPORT BY MEDIATOR.—Not later than the date specified for the completion of a review of a last-best-offer under item (dd), or in any case in which either party in a mediation fails to make such an offer, the date that is 10 days after the termination of the initial period of mediation under item (cc), the mediator shall prepare and submit to the Secretary a report that includes the contentions of the parties, the conclusions of the mediator concerning the permissible scope of gaming on the Indian lands involved, and recommendations for the operation and regulation of gaming on the Indian lands in accordance with this Act.

"(ff) FINAL DETERMINATIONS.—Not later than 60 days after receiving a report from a mediator under item (ee), the Secretary shall make a final determination concerning

the operation and regulation of class III gaming that is the subject of the mediation.

“(VII) PROCEDURES.—Subject to clause (iii)(V), on the basis of a final determination described in clause (iii)(VI)(ff), the Secretary shall issue procedures for the operation and regulation of the class III gaming described in that item by the date that is 180 days after the date specified in clause (iii)(V) or upon the determination described in clause (iii)(VI)(ff).

“(VIII) JURISDICTION OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.—

“(aa) The United States District Court for the District of Columbia shall have jurisdiction over any action initiated by the Secretary, the Commission, a State, or an Indian tribe to challenge the Secretary's decision to complete a compact or initiate mediation or to challenge specific provisions of procedures issued by the Secretary or the operation of class III gaming under clause (iii)(V) or (iii)(VII).

“(bb) The Secretary's decision to complete a compact or to initiate mediation pursuant to clause (iii)(V) or (iii)(VII) shall be immediately reviewable in the United States District Court.

“(cc) Upon receipt of a petition to review a decision of the Secretary to complete a compact or initiate mediation pursuant to clause (iii)(V) or (iii)(VII), the United States District Court shall appoint a three judge panel to hear the proceedings and render a decision regarding whether the determination of the Secretary was valid as a matter of law.

“(IX) Prohibition.—No compact negotiated, or procedures issued, under this subparagraph shall require that a State undertake any regulation of gaming on Indian lands unless—

“(I) the State affirmatively consents to regulate that gaming; and

“(II) applicable State laws permit that regulatory function.

“(C) MANDATORY DISAPPROVAL.—Notwithstanding any other provision of this Act, the Secretary may not approve a compact if the compact requires State regulation of gaming absent the consent of the State or the Indian tribe.

“(D) EFFECTIVE DATE OF COMPACT OR PROCEDURES.—Any compact negotiated, or procedures issued, under this subsection shall become effective upon the publication of the compact or procedures in the Federal Register by the Secretary.

“(E) EFFECT OF PUBLICATION OF COMPACT.—Except for an appeal conducted under subchapter II of chapter 5 of title 5, United States Code, by an Indian tribe or a State associated with the compact, the publication of a compact pursuant to subparagraph (B) shall, for the purposes of this Act, be conclusive evidence that the class III gaming subject to the compact is a activity subject to negotiations under the laws of the State where the gaming is to be conducted, in any matter under consideration by the Commission or a Federal Court.

“(F) DUTIES OF COMMISSION.—Consistent with minimum standards and as otherwise authorized by this Act, the Commission shall monitor and, if authorized by those standards and this Act, regulate and license class III gaming with respect to and in a manner consistent with any compact that is approved by the Secretary under this subsection and published in the Federal Register.

“(3) PROVISIONS OF COMPACTS.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—A compact negotiated under this subsection may only include provisions relating to—

“(i) the application of the criminal and civil laws (including regulations) of the Indian tribe or the State that are directly re-

lated to, and necessary for, the licensing and regulation of that gaming activity in a manner consistent with the requirements of the standards promulgated by the Commission.

“(ii) the allocation of criminal and civil jurisdiction between the State and the Indian tribe necessary for the enforcement of those laws (including regulations);

“(iii) the assessment by the State of the costs associated with those activities in such amounts as are necessary to defray the costs of regulating that activity;

“(iv) taxation by the Indian tribe of that activity in amounts comparable to amounts assessed by the State for comparable activities;

“(v) remedies for breach of compact provisions;

“(vi) standards for the operation of that activity and maintenance of the gaming facility, including licensing, in a manner consistent with the requirements of the standards promulgated by the Commission.

“(vii) any other subject that is directly related to the operation of gaming activities.

“(B) STATUTORY CONSTRUCTION WITH RESPECT TO ASSESSMENTS; PROHIBITION.—

“(i) STATUTORY CONSTRUCTION.—Except for any assessments for services agreed to by an Indian tribe in compact negotiations, nothing in this section may be construed as conferring upon a State, or any political subdivision thereof, the authority to impose any tax, fee, charge, or other assessment upon an Indian tribe, an Indian gaming operation or the value generated by the gaming operation, or any person or entity authorized by an Indian tribe to engage in class III gaming activity in conformance with this Act.

“(ii) ASSESSMENT BY STATES.—A State may assess the assessments agreed to by an Indian tribe referred to in clause (i) in a manner consistent with that clause.

“(4) STATUTORY CONSTRUCTION WITH RESPECT TO CERTAIN RIGHTS OF INDIAN TRIBES.—Nothing in this subsection impairs the right of an Indian tribe to regulate class III gaming on the Indian lands of the Indian tribe concurrently with a State and the Commission, except to the extent that such regulation is inconsistent with, or less stringent than, this Act or any laws (including regulations) made applicable by any compact entered into by the Indian tribe under this subsection that is in effect.

“(5) EXEMPTION.—The provisions of section 2 of the Act of January 2, 1951 (commonly referred to as the 'Gambling Devices Transportation Act') (64 Stat. 1134, chapter 1194; 15 U.S.C. 1175) shall not apply to any class II gaming activity or any gaming activity conducted pursuant to a compact entered into after the date of enactment of this Act, but in no event shall this paragraph be construed as invalidating any exemption from the provisions of section 2 of the Act of January 2, 1951 for any compact entered into prior to the date of enactment of this Act’.

“(b) JURISDICTION OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.—The United States District Court for the District of Columbia shall have jurisdiction over any action initiated by the Secretary, the Commission, a State, or an Indian tribe to enforce any provision of a compact entered into under subsection (a) or to enjoin a class III gaming activity located on Indian lands and conducted in violation of any compact that is in effect and that was entered into under subsection (a)

“(c) APPROVAL OF COMPACTS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary may approve any compact between an Indian tribe and a State governing the conduct of class III gaming on Indian lands of that Indian tribe entered into under subsection (a).

“(2) REASONS FOR DISAPPROVAL BY SECRETARY.—The Secretary may disapprove a

compact entered into under subsection (a) only if the compact violates any—

“(A) provision of this Act or any regulation promulgated by the Commission pursuant to this Act;

“(B) other provision of Federal law; or

“(C) trust obligation of the United States to Indians.

“(3) EFFECT OF FAILURE TO ACT ON COMPACT.—If the Secretary fails to approve or disapprove a compact entered into under subsection (a) before the date that is 45 days after the date on which the compact is submitted to the Secretary for approval, the compact shall be considered to have been approved by the Secretary, but only to the extent the compact is consistent with the provisions of this Act and the regulations promulgated by the Commission pursuant to this Act.

“(4) NOTIFICATION.—The Secretary shall publish in the Federal Register notice of any compact that is approved, or considered to have been approved, under this subsection.

“(d) REVOCATION OF ORDINANCE.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The governing body of an Indian tribe, in its sole discretion, may adopt an ordinance or resolution revoking any prior ordinance or resolution that authorized class III gaming on the Indian lands of the Indian tribe. That revocation shall render class III gaming illegal on the Indian lands of that Indian tribe.

“(2) PUBLICATION OF REVOCATION.—An Indian tribe shall submit any revocation ordinance or resolution described in paragraph (1) to the Commission. The Commission shall publish that ordinance or resolution in the Federal Register. The revocation provided by that ordinance or resolution shall take effect on the date of that publication.

“(3) CONDITIONAL OPERATION.—Notwithstanding any other provision of this subsection—

“(A) any person or entity operating a class III gaming activity pursuant to this Act on the date on which an ordinance or resolution described in paragraph (1) that revokes authorization for that class III gaming activity is published in the Federal Register may, during the 1-year period beginning on the date on which that revocation, ordinance, or resolution is published under paragraph (2), continue to operate that activity in conformance with an applicable compact entered into under subsection (a) that is in effect; and

“(B) any civil action that arises before, and any crime that is committed before, the termination of that 1-year period shall not be affected by that revocation, ordinance, or resolution.

“(e) CERTAIN CLASS III GAMING ACTIVITIES.—

“(1) COMPACTS ENTERED INTO BEFORE THE DATE OF ENACTMENT OF THE INTERGOVERNMENTAL GAMING AGREEMENT ACT OF 1999.—Class III gaming activities that are authorized under a compact approved or issued by the Secretary under the authority of this Act prior to the date of enactment of the intergovernmental gaming agreement act of 1999 shall, during such period as the compact is in effect, remain lawful for the purposes of this Act, notwithstanding the Intergovernmental Gaming Agreement Act of 1999 and the amendments made by that Act or any change in State law.

“(2) COMPACT ENTERED INTO AFTER THE DATE OF ENACTMENT OF THE INTERGOVERNMENTAL GAMING AGREEMENT ACT OF 1999.—Any compact entered into under subsection (a) after the date specified in paragraph (1) shall remain lawful for the purposes of the Intergovernmental Gaming Agreement Act of 1999, notwithstanding any change in state law, other than a change in state law that constitutes a change in the public policy of the

State with respect to permitting or prohibiting class III gaming in the State.

By Mr. REID (for himself and Mr. BRYAN):

S. 986. A bill to direct the Secretary of the Interior to convey the Griffith Project to the Southern Nevada Water Authority; to the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources.

GRIFFITH PROJECT PREPAYMENT AND CONVEYANCE ACT

Mr. REID. Mr. President, I rise today to introduce the Griffith Project Prepayment and Conveyance Act. This act directs the Secretary of Interior to convey the Robert B. Griffith Water Project, located in Clark County, Nevada, to the Southern Nevada Water Authority. To understand the intent of this bill, it is necessary to briefly discuss the history of the water delivery system which supports the Las Vegas Valley.

The Robert B. Griffith Water Project, also known as the Southern Nevada Water Project, was conceived as a federal reclamation project in Clark County, Nevada, in the 1960's.

Authorized by Congress in 1965, the enabling legislation directed the Secretary of Interior to construct, operate, and maintain the project for the purpose of delivering water to Clark County for both municipal and industrial use. The Congressional authorization also allowed the Secretary of Interior to enter into a contract with the State of Nevada, through duly authorized agencies, for the delivery of water and the repayment of reimbursable construction costs.

The federal portion of the Southern Nevada Water Project was completed in two stages over a period of 15 years at a cost of just under \$200 million dollars, including capitalized interest. In 1982, with federal construction substantially completed, Congress officially changed the name of the project from the Southern Nevada Water Project to the Robert B. Griffith Water Project.

Coincidental with the federal construction of the water project, the State of Nevada, acting through the Colorado River Commission, constructed the Alfred Merritt Smith Water Treatment Plant. This facility is integrated into the Griffith Project, and together the facilities are referred to as the Southern Nevada Water System. Principal users of the water supplied by the system include the Las Vegas Valley Water District, the cities of Boulder, Henderson, and North Las Vegas, and Nellis Air Force Base.

In 1991, in the face of dramatic growth in Clark County and the Las Vegas Valley, the State of Nevada, in cooperation with seven other public agencies, created the Southern Nevada Water Authority. The purpose of the Authority included acquisition of additional water supplies and the operation, maintenance, and expansion of the Southern Nevada Water System.

Beginning in 1995, the Colorado River Commission and the Southern Nevada

Water Authority each began constructing additional facilities to expand the operational capacity of the Southern Nevada Water Authority. Each began constructing additional facilities to expand the operational capacity of the Southern Nevada Water System. By agreement in 1996, the State of Nevada and the Colorado River Commission assigned all of their interests, responsibilities, and liabilities in the System to the Southern Nevada Water Authority.

The Authority has now embarked on a multi-phase expansion of the Southern Nevada Water System. When completed, this expansion is expected to have a capital cost exceeding \$2 billion. The entire cost of the expansion is being financed through the Authority and its members.

One can see that the scope of the System is now much greater than that originally foreseen by Congress in 1965. When the first phase of the original Southern Nevada Water Project was completed in 1971, fully 85% of the costs had been incurred by the federal government. At the end of 1998, the percentage of outstanding indebtedness financed by the federal government had fallen to 14% as compared to 86% for the Southern Nevada Water Authority. When the project expansion now being undertaken by the Authority is ultimately completed sometime around 2017, only 6% of the overall costs will have been financed by the federal government.

Because certain portions of the overall system are still in the name of the United States, it is becoming increasingly burdensome for the Southern Nevada Water Authority to manage the operation and management of the system. If for example, a pump station in the Griffith Project portion of the system requires repair or maintenance, Authority employees must notify the Bureau of Reclamation that a repair is needed, describe the exact nature of the work to be performed, obtain permission for a crew to perform the work and schedule the work to be done at such a time as when a Bureau of Reclamation employee can be present to "oversee" the repair or maintenance. When the work is completed, the Bureau of Reclamation sends the Authority an invoice for the time spent by its personnel.

The time has come for the title to the Griffith Project components of the Southern Nevada Water System to be transferred to local ownership. As proposed, this conveyance will occur under financial terms and conditions that are similar to other title transfer laws which have been enacted for other projects and which are governed by guidance from the Department of the Interior and the Office of Management and Budget. In particular, the conveyance will require a payment to the United States by the Authority equal to the net present value of the remaining repayment obligation.

I thank my fellow Senator from Nevada, Mr. BRYAN, for his support on

this issue and look forward to working with the Senate Energy and Natural Resources Committee to ensure timely consideration of this important legislation.

Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the text of the bill be printed in the RECORD.

There being no objection, the bill was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

S. 986

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "Griffith Project Prepayment and Conveyance Act."

SEC. 2. DEFINITIONS.

In this Act:

(1) **AUTHORITY.**—The term "Authority" means the Southern Nevada Water Authority, organized under the laws of the State of Nevada.

(2) **GRIFFITH PROJECT.**—The term "Griffith Project" means the Robert B. Griffith Water Project, authorized by Public Law 89-292 (commonly known as the "Southern Nevada Water Project Act") (79 Stat. 1068), including all pipelines, conduits, pumping plants, intake facilities, aqueducts, laterals, water storage and regulatory facilities, electric substations, and related works constructed and all interests in land acquired under Public Law 89-292.

(3) **SECRETARY.**—The term "Secretary" means the Secretary of the Interior.

SEC. 3. CONVEYANCE OF GRIFFITH PROJECT.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—In consideration of the assumption by the Authority from the United States of all liability for administration, operation, and maintenance of the Griffith Project and subject to the payment by the Authority of the net present value of the remaining repayment obligation (as determined in accordance with Office of Management and Budget Circular A-129, as in effect on the date of payment and conveyance), the Secretary shall convey and assign to the Authority all right, title, and interest of the United States in and to the Griffith Project.

(b) **RIGHT TO USE AND OCCUPY PUBLIC LAND.**—On and after the date of the conveyance under subsection (a), the Authority shall have the right to use and occupy without charge all public land, including withdrawn public land—

(1) on which the Griffith Project is situated; or

(2) that is used for the purposes of the Griffith Project as of that date.

(c) **REPORT.**—If the conveyance under subsection (a) has not occurred by July 1, 2000, the Secretary shall submit to Congress a report on the status of the conveyance.

(d) ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS.—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—If the Secretary completes the conveyance under subsection (a) before the deadline under subsection (c), 50 percent of the cost of administrative action and environmental compliance for the conveyance shall be paid by the Secretary, and 50 percent shall be paid by the Authority.

(2) **FAILURE TO MEET DEADLINE.**—If the Secretary fails to complete the conveyance under this Act before the deadline under subsection (c), 100 percent of the cost described in paragraph (1) shall be paid by the Secretary.

SEC. 4. RELATIONSHIP TO EXISTING OPERATIONS

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Nothing in this Act expands or changes the use or operation of the Griffith Project from its use and operation as of the day before the date of enactment of this Act.

(b) FUTURE ALTERATIONS.—If the Authority changes the use or operation of the Griffith Project, the Authority shall comply with all applicable laws (including regulations) governing the changes at that time.

SEC. 5. RELATIONSHIP TO EXISTING CONTRACTS.

The Secretary and the Authority may modify Contract No. 7-07-30-W004 as necessary to conform the contract to this Act.

SEC. 6. RELATIONSHIP TO OTHER LAWS.

On conveyance of the Griffith Project under section 3, the Act of June 17, 1902 (43 U.S.C. 391 et seq.), and all Acts amendatory of that Act or supplemental to that Act shall not apply to the Griffith Project.

By Mr. DEWINE:

S. 987. A bill to expand the activities of the Eisenhower National Clearinghouse to include collecting and reviewing instructional and professional development materials and programs for language arts and social studies, and to require the Eisenhower National Clearinghouse to collect and analyze the materials and programs; to the Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions.

EISENHOWER NATIONAL CLEARINGHOUSE ACT

S. 988. A bill to provide mentoring programs for beginning teachers, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions.

TEACHER MENTORING ACT OF 1999

S. 989. A bill to improve the quality of individual becoming teachers in elementary and secondary schools, to make the teaching profession more accessible to individuals who wish to start a second career, to encourage adults to share their knowledge and experience with children in the classroom, to give school officials the flexibility the officials need to hire whom the officials think can do the job best, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions.

ALTERNATIVE CERTIFICATION AND LICENSURE OF TEACHERS ACT OF 1999

S. 990. A bill to provide for teacher training facilities; to the Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions.

TEACHER QUALITY ACT OF 1999

Mr. DEWINE. Mr. President, I rise today to talk about probably the most important thing we do as a society—educating our children. This week is National Teacher Appreciation Week, and it gives us a good opportunity to recognize the crucial role teachers play in our children's lives. After parents and families, America's teachers play the most important role in helping our children realize their potential. No teacher can replace the role of loving and attentive families, but once our children leave their homes and enter America's schools, it is the responsibility of federal, state and local elected officials to provide every possible opportunity for a child to realize his or her full potential.

The way to do that, Mr. President, is to see that every child learns from a qualified educator in a safe school environment.

As the Senate begins to consider education legislation, we should take time to listen to the lessons learned by America's best classroom teachers—teachers like Ohio's Teacher of the Year, Ellen Binkley Hill. Ohio is fortunate to have teachers like Ellen, and the thirty two other finalists for Ohio's Teacher of the Year.

Ellen teaches second grade at New Vienna Elementary School in Clinton County, Ohio. Over the past year I have had the pleasure of talking with Ellen on two occasions—and I want to take a moment to read how Ellen describes the role of a teacher, because I think her words capture what it means to be a great educator.

I quote: "Teachers must be living examples of the transforming power of education. We must lead extraordinary lives filled with insight, rich with experiences, and tempered with compassion. It is every teacher's responsibility to serve each child, empowering all children to reach their potential, and then to reach higher." End of quote.

Mr. President, as a father, I want my children to learn from teachers like Ellen Binkley Hill. As a Senator, I would like to see all of the nation's children being taught by teachers like Ellen Binkley Hill.

A qualified, highly trained teacher is the most important education resource in any classroom. Across America today, in classrooms around the country, tomorrow's business leaders, tomorrow's inventors, tomorrow's doctors, tomorrow's Presidents, and even tomorrow's teachers are building their foundation of learning, their foundation of experiences that will shape their lives forever. They are being led through this process by our neighbors, friends and family members who make up America's 2.7 million-member teaching force.

Mr. President, in the spirit of this important week, I am introducing four bills that I believe will help our teachers realize their highest potential in our classrooms, and ensure that our children have the best possible educator at the front of their classroom.

The first bill is the Teacher Mentoring Act. America's teaching force is aging, a situation that offers both benefits and challenges. The average school teacher is 43 years old, an increase of 3 years over the average age in 1987. Nearly a quarter of our teachers are over 50 years old and nearing retirement.

These seasoned veterans are the backbone of many schools across the country. Many are also leaders in their schools and their communities, taking on the added challenges of educating the most difficult students and mentoring their younger peers. As these experienced educators near the end of their careers, we must ensure that the practical hands-on knowledge they have accumulated is passed on to those teachers following in their footsteps.

Mr. President, new teachers entering today's challenging classrooms need

the close support of these veteran teachers, particularly during their first few years on the job. Unfortunately, more than 25 percent of new teachers leave the job in their first three years and I believe mentoring programs are one way we can help stabilize the ranks of our new teachers.

The Teacher Mentoring Act, which is the companion to a bill written by my friend Congressman RICK LAZIO [LA (as LAdder)-ZEE-OH] of New York, would establish a \$10 million competitive grant program. This program would encourage states to implement training programs, or support existing programs that utilize our experienced classroom veterans as mentors to new teachers. Ohio is currently operating a mentoring program that assigns each new teacher to a mentor. These mentors provide classroom teaching advice, as well as an experienced shoulder to lean on when they first enter their new school.

The second bill I am introducing today is the Alternative Certification and Licensure of Teachers Act. This bill would improve the supply of well-qualified elementary and secondary school teachers by encouraging and assisting States to develop and implement programs for alternative routes to teacher certification or licensure requirements. After all, the most important and effective education resource in any classroom is a highly trained and dedicated teacher.

There are many talented professionals who have demonstrated a high level of subject area competence outside the education profession who wish to pursue careers in education, but have not fulfilled the requirements to be certified or licensed as teachers. Alternative certification can provide an opportunity for these people to become teachers—so they can share their knowledge and experiences with children in the classroom.

The legislation would provide \$15 million to the States for either new or pre-existing alternative certification programs or fund pre-existing programs. Last year's Higher Education Act endorsed alternative certification as a means to enlarge the pool of quality teachers—but I believe we need to go further. We need to continue to open alternative certification routes to attract teachers who would otherwise not enter the classroom.

The third bill I am introducing today is the Teacher Quality Act.

We have learned from various studies that the most effective teacher training programs have some things in common. Both teachers and teaching program evaluators agree that the most effective teacher training programs are intensive; are of reasonable length, and provide an avenue for teachers to update their skills. The Teacher Quality Act would help improve the quality of teachers in elementary and secondary schools—and provide teachers the opportunity to learn new technologies and increase subject matter knowledge.

My bill would establish a competitive grant program that will give school districts the opportunity to establish teacher training facilities.

The idea for this legislation is based on the model established by the Mayerson Academy in Cincinnati, Ohio. This Academy was established in 1992 as a partnership between the Cincinnati business community and its schools. Their mission: to provide the highest quality training and professional development opportunities to the men and women responsible for educating the children of Cincinnati.

The program is a great success. This school year the Academy will provide 160,000 hours of training to teachers. The Mayerson Academy is separate from the school system in order to ensure independent evaluation of its results and a consistent base of support. This status also allows it to benefit from the perspectives and experience of the business leadership.

Finally, I am introducing the Eisenhower National Clearinghouse Improvement Act.

Collecting and effective disseminating the best teacher training practices is an important responsibility of the federal government. The Eisenhower National Clearinghouse, or ENC, is the nation's repository of K-12 instructional materials specifically related to math and science education. This information is made available in a user-friendly format for educators. The Ohio State University is currently home to the Clearinghouse.

Since 1992, ENC has distributed over 3.67 million CD-ROM's and print publications. Products are distributed to schools, colleges of education, and various education groups and professional organizations across the country. ENC has received over 40 million hits on their web site since its creation in 1994. In addition, ENC has established over 100 Access Centers across the country to expand direct service to more teachers.

While this program has proven its value, there is room for improvement. The bill I am introducing today would expand ENC's jurisdiction to include Language Arts and Social Studies, with a particular emphasis in all curriculum areas on effective use of educational technology.

With thousands of teacher training programs available, it is becoming increasingly difficult for educators to find out which programs have been proven effective and which have not. My legislation would require ENC to gather a sampling of the best evaluations on the materials they collect and provide easy access to these evaluations. ENC will not be permitted to conduct evaluations directly, but would be required to create a ranking for materials and programs based on the reviews they collect and make these reviews easily accessible to teachers who utilize their service.

All four of these bills would help improve the quality of education. I look forward to working with my colleagues

on these and other important education measures. Before I close, let me mention one other key issue affecting the education of our kids—school violence.

The threat of violence—and the reality of drug abuse—in our schools are all too real. We must ensure that America's families and teachers are empowered with the information, training and resources to help our children overcome these obstacles. This year, as a member of the Health, Education, Labor and Pensions Committee I will be working with the other members of the committee to reauthorize the Elementary and Secondary Education Act, which includes the Safe and Drug Free Schools Act. The recent tragic events in Colorado are a painful reminder that we need to do everything we can to improve our violence and drug abuse prevention efforts and these reauthorizations, as well as the upcoming debate on the juvenile justice reform legislation, provide us with excellent opportunities for this Congress to make a positive difference in the name of school safety.

Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the names of the finalists for Ohio's Teacher of the Year be printed in the RECORD.

There being no objection, the names were ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

OHIO TEACHER OF THE YEAR—FINALISTS

| Teacher | School | School district |
|---------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Brenda Baker Gehm | Monroe Elementary | Middletown/Monroe |
| Jennifer L. VanMatre | Bridgeview Middle School | Sidney City |
| M. Diana Bellamy | White Oak Middle School | Northwest Local |
| Stephanie L. Tillman | Crosby Elementary | Southwest Local |
| Maureen V. Judy | Fort Miami Elementary | Maumee City |
| Kenneth Wayne Fellows | Anthony Wayne High | Anthony Wayne Local |
| Pamela S. Hesselbart | Sylvan Elementary | Sylvania City |
| Elaine M. Broering | St. Henry Elementary | St. Henry Consolidated Local |
| William E. Denlinger | Piqua High School | Piqua City |
| Sandra S. Lageman | Saville Elementary | Mad River Local |
| Janice D. Plank | Whitehall-Yearling High School | Whitehall City |
| Karen Moss | Amanda Elementary | Amanda-Clearcreek Local |
| Larry Dale Hardman | O.R. Edgington Elementary | Northmount City |
| Margaret M. Scott | Princeton Junior High School | Princeton City |
| Colette Bernadette Peters | Butternut Elementary | North Olmsted City |
| Linda Joyce Borton | Penta County JVS | Penta County Vocational |
| Beverly Sheridan | Hadley Watts Middle School | Centerville City |
| Cynthia M. Walker | Fairfield Central Elementary | Fairfield City |
| Anne Kaczmarek | Brecksville-Broadview Heights | Brecksville-Broadview Heights |
| Terese Ann D'Amico | Thomas Jefferson Magnet | Euclid City |
| Steven Moorhead | Elmwood Middle School | Elmwood Local |
| Leslie Louise Kastner | Royal Manor Elementary | Gahanna-Jefferson City |
| Mary Ann Whiteleather | Kirkmere Elementary | Youngstown City |
| Nicki T. Emby | Rimer Elementary | Akron City |
| Sharon Joanne Smith | Zane Trace Elementary | Zane Trace Local |
| Diane Squire Radley | Memorial Elementary | Brunswick City |
| Catherine S. Platano | Sterling Morton Elementary | Mentor Exempted Village |
| Mark G. Silvers | Wayne High School | Huber Heights City |
| Nanci Sullivan | Harding Middle School | Stuebenville City |
| Sandy A. Murray | Jones Middle School | Upper Arlington City |
| Kay Wallace | Pickerington High School | Pickerington Local |
| Barbara Hampton | Hilltop Community Elementary | Reading Community City |

By Mr. McCAIN:

S. 991. A bill to prevent the receipt, transfer, transportation, or possession of a firearm or ammunition by certain violent juvenile offenders, and for other purposes; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

YOUTH VIOLENCE PREVENTION ACT OF 1999

Mr. McCAIN. Mr. President, today I am introducing the "Youth Violence Prevention Act of 1999." This legisla-

tion will prevent juveniles from illegally accessing weapons and punish those who would assist them in doing so, prohibit juveniles who commit acts of gun violence from purchasing guns in the future, and punish juveniles who illegally carry or use handguns in schools.

Before I get into the particulars of the legislation, I would like to take a moment to discuss the broader issues

surrounding the question of youth violence.

Recent events have shaken the collective conscience of our nation. The recent killings at Columbine High School in Colorado have brought home to every American the degree to which we are failing are children.

The most basic and profound responsibility that our culture—any culture—

has is raising its children. We are failing in that responsibility, and the extent of our failure is being measured in deaths and injuries of kids in school-yards and on the streets of our neighborhoods and communities.

Over the past few years, we have been jolted time and again by the horrifying images of school shootings. Every day, in towns and cities across this country, kids are killing kids, and kids are killing adults, in a spiraling pattern of youth violence driven by the drug trade, gang activity, and other factors.

Primary responsibility lies with families. As a country, we are not parenting our children. We are not adequately involving ourselves in our children's lives, the friends they hang out with, what they do with their time, the problems they are struggling with. This is our job, our paramount responsibility, and we are failing. We must get our priorities straight, and that means putting our kids first.

Parents need help. They need help because our homes and our families, and our children's minds, are being flooded with a tide of violence that pervades our society. Movies depict graphic violence, and children are taught to kill and maim by interactive video games. The Internet, which holds such tremendous potential in so many ways, is tragically used by some to communicate unimaginable hatred, images and descriptions of violence, and "how-to" manuals on everything from bomb construction to drugs. Our culture is dominated by media, and our children, more so than any generation before them, are vulnerable to the images of violence and hate that, unfortunately, are dominant themes in so much of what they see and hear.

I have recently joined with some of my colleagues to call upon the President to convene an emergency summit of the leaders of the entertainment and interactive media industry to develop an action plan for controlling children's access to media violence. I am pleased that the President has heeded this call and will convene such a summit next week.

I have also joined others in introducing legislation calling upon the Surgeon General to conduct a comprehensive study of media violence, in all its forms, and to issue a report on its effects, with recommendations on how we can turn around this tragic tide of youth violence.

These are important steps targeting various aspects of the complex problem of youth violence. However, we must press the fight on every front. One reality of the horrific gun violence that is so prevalent among our youth is the illegal use of guns. The legislation I am introducing today is specifically targeted at the illegal means by which kids are acquiring guns and is designed to ensure that violence youth offenders are punished, and that they will not acquire guns in the future.

First, the bill extends the provisions of the Gun Control Act that prohibit

certain purchases to include juveniles. Currently, under federal law, a juvenile may commit multiple violent felonies, using a gun, and when he or she turns 18 years old, that same individual may walk into a gun store and legally purchase a weapon. This is absurd. This legislation would prevent them from doing so. Where a juvenile has committed an offense that would constitute a violent felony if he or she were an adult, that juvenile will be sentenced as an adult and will be ineligible to be paroled simply because they turn 18.

Second, this legislation provides that whoever illegally purchases a weapon for another individual, knowing that the recipient intends to commit a violent felony, may be imprisoned up to 15 years. Further, whoever illegally purchases or transfers a weapon to a juvenile, knowing that the recipient intends to commit a violent felony, may be imprisoned up to 20 years.

Under this legislation, if a juvenile illegally possesses a handgun and violates the Gun Free School Zone law with the intent to carry, possess, discharge, or otherwise use the handgun or ammunition in the commission of a violent felony, they may be imprisoned for up to 20 years.

Mr. President, let me make very clear that this legislation in no way infringes on the Second Amendment rights to bear arms. I do not believe we should further restrict the rights of law-abiding Americans to own a gun. Rather, we should focus on halting the spread of violent crime and punishing violent criminals who abuse their Second Amendment rights. I believe it is imperative to better safeguard children from the dangerous effects of violent crime in America, as well as educate them on the potential danger of weapons.

Mr. President, this legislation is not a panacea. As I have stated, the malady of youth violence that is eating at the soul of this nation is a complex disease. It will require a multi-faceted cure. As I have outlined, I am pushing for a comprehensive approach. What we must have, if there is any hope, is the unqualified commitment of all Americans to raise our children, to put them first. I urge all Americans to get involved in their kids' lives. Ask questions, listen to their fears and concerns, their hopes and their dreams.

Childhood is a time of innocence, a time to teach discipline and values. Our children are our most precious gifts, they are full of innocence and hope. We must work together to preserve the sanctity of childhood.

Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the text of the Youth Violence Prevention Act of 1999 be printed in the RECORD.

There being no objection, the bill was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

S. 991

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "Youth Violence Prevention Act of 1999."

SEC. 2. PROHIBITION ON FIREARMS OR AMMUNITION POSSESSION BY VIOLENT JUVENILE OFFENDERS.

(a) DEFINITION.—Section 921(a)(20) of title 18, United States Code, is amended by—

(1) inserting "(A)" after "(20)";
(2) redesignating subparagraphs (A) and (B) as clauses (i) and (ii), respectively;

(3) inserting after clause (ii) the following:

"(B) For purposes of section 922(d) and (g) of this title, the term 'act of violent juvenile delinquency' means an adjudication of delinquency in Federal or State court, based on a finding of the commission of an act by a person prior to his or her eighteenth birthday that, if committed by an adult, would be a serious violent felony, as defined in section 3559(c)(2)(F)(i) of this title, had Federal jurisdiction been exercised (except that section 3559(c)(3) shall not apply to this subparagraph);"; and

(4) striking "What constitutes" through "this chapter," and inserting:

"(C) What constitutes a conviction of such a crime or an adjudication of an act of violent juvenile delinquency shall be determined in accordance with the law of the jurisdiction in which the proceedings were held. Any State conviction or adjudication of an act of violent juvenile delinquency that has been expunged or set aside, or for which a person has been pardoned or has had civil rights restored, by the jurisdiction in which the conviction or adjudication of an act of violent juvenile delinquency occurred shall not be considered a conviction or adjudication of an act of violent juvenile delinquency for purposes of this chapter."

(b) PROHIBITION.—Section 922 of title 18, United States Code is amended—

(1) in subsection (d)—
(A) in paragraph (8), by striking "or" at the end;

(B) in paragraph (9), by striking the period at the end and inserting ";" or"; and

(C) by inserting after paragraph (9) the following:

"(10) has committed an act of violent juvenile delinquency.;" and

(2) in subsection (g)—
(A) in paragraph (8), by striking "or" at the end;

(B) in paragraph (9), by striking the period at the end and inserting ";" or"; and

(C) by inserting after paragraph (9) the following:

"(10) has committed an act of violent juvenile delinquency.;"

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE OF ADJUDICATION PROVISIONS.—The amendments made by this section shall apply only to an adjudication of an act of violent juvenile delinquency that occurs after the date that is 30 days after the date on which the Attorney General notifies Federal firearms licensees, through publication in the Federal Register by the Secretary of the Treasury, that the records of such adjudications are routinely available in the national instant criminal background check system established under section 103(b) of the Brady Handgun Violence Prevention Act.

SEC. 3. STRAW PURCHASE PENALTIES.

(a) STRAW PURCHASE PENALTIES.—Section 924(a)(2) of title 18, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

"(2) Whoever knowingly violates—

"(A) subsection (d), (g), (h), (i), (j) or (o) of section 922 shall be fined as provided in this title, imprisoned not more than 10 years, or both; and

"(B) section 922(a)(6) shall be fined as provided in this title, imprisoned not more than 10 years, or both, except—

"(i) whoever knowingly violates subsection (a)(6) for the purpose of selling, delivering, or

otherwise transferring a firearm knowing or having reasonable cause to know that another will carry or otherwise possess or discharge or otherwise use the firearm in the commission of a violent felony, shall be—

“(I) fined under this title, imprisoned not more than 15 years, or both; or

“(II) fined under this title, imprisoned not more than 20 years, or both where the procurement is for a juvenile; and

“In this paragraph, the term ‘violent felony’ means conduct described in section 924(e)(2)(B) of this title and the term ‘juvenile’ has the same meaning as in section 922(x).”

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall take effect 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act.

SEC. 4. JUVENILE WEAPONS PENALTIES.

(a) JUVENILE WEAPONS PENALTIES.—Section 924(a) of title 18 United States Code, is amended—

“(1) in paragraph (4), by striking ‘Whoever’ and inserting ‘Except as provided in paragraph (6), whoever’; and

(2) by striking paragraph (6) and inserting the following:

“(6)(A) A juvenile who violates section 922(x) shall be fined under this title, imprisoned not more than 1 year, or both, except—

“(i) a juvenile shall be sentenced to probation on appropriate conditions and shall not be incarcerated unless the juvenile fails to comply with a condition of probation, if—

“(I) the offense of which the juvenile is charged is possession of a handgun or ammunition in violation of section 922(x)(2); and

“(II) the juvenile has not been convicted in any court of an offense (including an offense under section 922(x) or a similar State law, but not including any other offense consisting of conduct that if engaged in by an adult would not constitute an offense) or adjudicated as a juvenile delinquent for conduct that if engaged in by an adult would constitute an offense; or

“(ii) a juvenile shall be fined under this title, imprisoned not more than 20 years, or both, if—

“(I) the offense of which the juvenile is charged is possession of a handgun or ammunition in violation of section 922(x)(2); and

“(II) during the same course of conduct in violating section 992(x)(2), the juvenile violated section 922(q), with the intent to carry or otherwise possess or discharge or otherwise use the handgun or ammunition in the commission of a violent felony.

“(B) A person other than a juvenile who knowingly violates section 922(x)—

“(i) shall be fined under this title, imprisoned not more than 1 year, or both; and

“(ii) if the person sold, delivered, or otherwise transferred a handgun or ammunition to a juvenile knowing or having reasonable cause to know that the juvenile intended to carry or otherwise possess or discharge or otherwise use the handgun or ammunition in the commission of a violent felony, shall be fined under this title, imprisoned not more than 20 years, or both.

“(C) In this paragraph, the term ‘violent felony’ means conduct as described in section 924(e)(2)(B) of this title.

“(D) Except as otherwise provided in this chapter, in any case in which a juvenile is prosecuted in a district court of the United States, and the juvenile is subject to the penalties under paragraph (A)(ii), the juvenile shall be subject to the same laws, rules, and proceedings regarding sentencing (including the availability of probation, restitution, fines, forfeiture, imprisonment, and supervised release) that would be applicable in the case of an adult. No juvenile sentenced to a term of imprisonment shall be released from custody simply because the juvenile reaches the age of 18 years.”

(b) UNLAWFUL WEAPONS TRANSFERS TO JUVENILES.—Section 922(x) of title 18, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“(x)(1) It shall be unlawful for a person to sell, deliver, or otherwise transfer to a person who the transferor knows or has reasonable cause to believe is a juvenile—

“(A) a handgun; or

“(B) ammunition that is suitable for use only in a handgun.

“(2) It shall be unlawful for any person who is a juvenile to knowingly possess—

“(A) a handgun; or

“(B) ammunition that is suitable for use only in a handgun.

“(3) This subsection does not apply to the following:

“(A)(i) A temporary transfer of a handgun or ammunition to a juvenile or to the possession or use of a handgun or ammunition by a juvenile if the handgun or ammunition are possessed and used by the juvenile—

“(I) in the course of employment;

“(II) in the course of ranching or farming related to activities at the residence of the juvenile (or on property used for ranching or farming at which the juvenile, with the permission of the property owner or lessee, is performing activities related to the operation of the farm or ranch);

“(III) for target practice;

“(IV) for hunting; or

“(V) for a course of instruction in the safe and lawful use of a handgun.

“(ii) Clause (i) shall apply only if the juvenile’s possession and use of a handgun or ammunition under this subparagraph are in accordance with State and local law and the following conditions are met:

“(I)(aa) Except when a parent or guardian of the juvenile is in the immediate and supervisory presence of the juvenile, the juvenile shall have in the juvenile’s possession at all times when a handgun or ammunition is in the possession of the juvenile, the prior written consent of the juvenile’s parent or guardian who is not prohibited by Federal, State, or local law from possessing a firearm or ammunition; and

“(bb) during transportation by the juvenile directly from the place of transfer to a place at which an activity described in division (aa) is to take place the handgun shall be unloaded and in a locked container or case, and during the transportation by the juvenile of that firearm, directly from the place at which such an activity took place to the transferor, the handgun shall also be unloaded and in a locked container or case; or

“(II) With respect to ranching or farming activities as described in subparagraph (A), a juvenile may possess and use a handgun or ammunition with the prior written approval of the juvenile’s parent or legal guardian, if such approval is on file with the adult who is not prohibited by Federal, State, or local law from possessing a firearm or ammunition and that person is directing the ranching or farming activities of the juvenile.

“(B) A juvenile who is a member of the Armed Forces of the United States or the National Guard who possesses or is armed with a handgun or ammunition in the line of duty.

“(C) A transfer by inheritance of title (but not possession) of a handgun or ammunition to a juvenile.

“(D) The possession of a handgun or ammunition taken in defense of the juvenile or other persons against an intruder into the residence of the juvenile or a residence in which the juvenile is an invited guest.

“(4) A handgun or ammunition, the possession of which is transferred to a juvenile in circumstances in which the transferor is not in violation of this subsection, shall not be subject to permanent confiscation by the Government if its possession by the juvenile

subsequently becomes unlawful because of the conduct of the juvenile, but shall be returned to the lawful owner when such handgun or ammunition is no longer required by the Government for the purposes of investigation or prosecution.

“(5) In this subsection, the term ‘juvenile’ means a person who is less than 18 years of age.

“(6) In a prosecution of a violation of this subsection, the court—

“(A) shall require the presence of a juvenile defendant’s parent or legal guardian at all proceedings;

“(B) may use the contempt power to enforce subparagraph (A); and

“(C) may excuse attendance of a parent or legal guardian of a juvenile defendant at a proceeding in a prosecution of a violation of this subsection for good cause shown.”

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall take effect 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act.

ADDITIONAL COSPONSORS

S. 135

At the request of Mr. DURBIN, the name of the Senator from Connecticut [Mr. LIEBERMAN] was added as a cosponsor of S. 135, a bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to increase the deduction for the health insurance costs of self-employed individuals, and for other purposes.

S. 172

At the request of Mr. MOYNIHAN, the names of the Senator from Massachusetts [Mr. KERRY] and the Senator from California [Mrs. FEINSTEIN] were added as cosponsors of S. 172, a bill to reduce acid deposition under the Clean Air Act, and for other purposes.

S. 331

At the request of Mr. JEFFORDS, the name of the Senator from Virginia [Mr. WARNER] was added as a cosponsor of S. 331, a bill to amend the Social Security Act to expand the availability of health care coverage for working individuals with disabilities, to establish a Ticket to Work and Self-Sufficiency Program in the Social Security Administration to provide such individuals with meaningful opportunities to work, and for other purposes.

S. 429

At the request of Mr. DURBIN, the name of the Senator from Massachusetts [Mr. KERRY] was added as a cosponsor of S. 429, a bill to designate the legal public holiday of “Washington’s Birthday” as “Presidents’ Day” in honor of George Washington, Abraham Lincoln, and Franklin Roosevelt and in recognition of the importance of the institution of the Presidency and the contributions that Presidents have made to the development of our Nation and the principles of freedom and democracy.

S. 459

At the request of Mr. HATCH, the name of the Senator from Nebraska [Mr. HAGEL] was added as a cosponsor of S. 459, a bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to increase the State ceiling on private activity bonds.

S. 484

At the request of Mr. CAMPBELL, the name of the Senator from Colorado