

by the taxpayers. Again, this is their money. There is no excuse not to reserve it and then return it to the people who paid it.

If we don't lock in this surplus to the taxpayers, we all know that Washington will soon spend it all, leaving nothing for tax relief or the vitally important task of maintaining our long-term fiscal health.

Such spending will only enlarge the Government. It will only make it even more expensive to support in the future. And it will create an even higher tax burden than working Americans bear today.

Mr. President, I applaud the creation of the safe-deposit box for future Social Security surpluses to protect retirement security for our Nation's retirees.

But I also believe we need to create a safe-deposit box of a similar mechanism to lock in any additional on-budget surplus for tax relief and/or debt reduction beyond the fiscal year 2000 re-estimate that is in the resolution.

The Congressional Budget Office reports that by 2012, we will have eliminated all the debt held by the public and we will begin to accumulate assets. By 2020, the share of net assets to GDP is expected to reach 12 percent. This is great news.

However, I believe we should use some of the on-budget surplus from the general fund to accelerate debt reduction. Currently we pay about \$220 billion a year in interest. We saw from Senator VOINOVICH, in his charts, tonight how much we are spending every year just to pay the interest on the debt.

The sooner we eliminate the debt, the more revenue we will have in hand to reform Social Security, to reduce our tax burden and to finance our priority programs. This amendment will help us to achieve that goal.

We have also heard some say that Americans do not want tax relief. I hear that often: "Americans don't want tax relief." Clearly they are completely out of touch with working Americans, and this is not what I hear when I listen to Minnesotans when I am at home.

A poll conducted by Pew Research Center shows that 53 percent of the American people say that the budget surplus should be used for a tax cut. Fifty-three percent want a tax cut. Only 34 percent say that it should be used for additional Government programs.

An Associated Press poll taken by ICR is even more specific. The following question was asked:

President Clinton and Congress have predicted big budget surpluses in the next few years. Both sides want to set aside more than half of the surplus to bolster Social Security, but they disagree on how to spend the rest.

The question goes on:

Which one of the following uses of the remainder of the surplus do you favor most: paying down the national debt, cutting taxes, or spending more on government programs?

The results of that survey: 49 percent said cutting taxes, 35 percent said to pay down the debt, and only 13 percent said that they wanted to spend more on Government programs.

There was another question that was also asked. And the question was:

Some Republicans want a 10% tax cut for everyone. President Clinton prefers tax credits for specific things like child care or taking care of disabled parents. Which approach do you like better?

And the answer: 50 percent said they want a 10-percent cut for everyone, 44 percent want tax credits for specific things.

Mr. President, Americans' message is loud and clear. They want—and deserve—major tax relief.

Again, my biggest fear is that without the lockbox, the Government will spend the entire additional on-budget surplus generated by working Americans. Last year's omnibus appropriations legislation was a prime example of how the Social Security surplus was spent by Congress.

This year's supplemental threatens to be equally abusive if we cannot agree on any offsets.

Mr. President, as I conclude tonight, we must protect the interests of our taxpayers. We must secure the future for our children's prosperity. This amendment would allow families, again, the opportunity to keep just a little more of their own money and to provide a good downpayment on debt relief. I urge my colleagues strongly to support this amendment.

Thank you very much. I yield the floor.

Mr. CRAPO addressed the Chair.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Idaho.

MORNING BUSINESS

Mr. CRAPO. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Senate now proceed to a period of morning business, with Senators permitted to speak for up to 10 minutes each.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

ALLEGATIONS OF SPYING AT LOS ALAMOS, SANDIA, AND LAWRENCE LIVERMORE LABORATORIES

Mr. DOMENICI. Mr. President, for decades Los Alamos, Sandia, and Lawrence Livermore have attracted the greatest scientists in the world. That has not changed with the end of the Cold War; the knowledge and skills in those laboratories are unequalled in the world and the envy of the world—for that reason, others will always try to gain that information. The directors and scientists have, since the inceptions of the laboratories, been cognizant of the fact that they are the target of spying.

As we consider how to respond to these recent allegations—and some steps have been taken including: the

initiation of an aggressive counter-intelligence program at the laboratories that has had its funding increase substantially in the last 24 months and we have halted a declassification initiative until its implementation can be reviewed—we have to ensure that our actions do not undermine the excellence of the laboratories.

Interactions with experts outside the laboratories and outside the United States are critical to the pursuit of scientific knowledge and underpin the vitality of the laboratories. Cutting off those interactions will cause the capabilities at the laboratories to fade with time until, at some point, no one would spy on our labs there wouldn't be anything worthwhile in them.

I have been briefed by:

The Director of Central Intelligence; The Director of the Federal Bureau of Investigation;

Department of Energy officials, and others on the recent allegations of spying by the Chinese at Los Alamos National Laboratory. I will await the final report of the panel of experts appointed by the Administration before I assess what damage has been done by this latest episode, but some facts are evident.

We do know, without doubt, that China's intelligence program against the United States has yielded some results—they have gained access to classified nuclear weapons design information. However, we do not know how much information they have gained or how much that information benefited their nuclear weapons program.

I must also say that it is unclear how China gained that information. The Chinese do target our nuclear weapons laboratories, but they also target other potential sources of the same information including other parts of the government, its contractors, and the military branches.

It is also unclear how useful information China may have gained, about the W-88 in particular, is to China. The W-88 is extremely advanced; the product of fifty years of our best scientific and engineering know-how. In many ways, China's nuclear weapons program is not capable of utilizing the W-88 design.

That is not reassuring when you look out over the coming decades, and in any case, knowing where our years of work led our designers will allow the Chinese to avoid some of the mistakes we made, but the Chinese do not currently have warheads anything like the W-88.

Despite the fact that the Chinese capability today does not come anywhere near matching ours, the Chinese nuclear weapons program is threatening. China does share its nuclear weapons technology with others along with its missile technology, and it continues to develop more advanced nuclear weapons designs.

Chinese nuclear capabilities threaten its neighbors and limit the opportunities to pursue broad arms control

agreements—for example, Russian negotiations on a START III treaty will be strongly influenced by the growing Chinese capability on Russia's eastern border, and India continues to develop more advanced nuclear weapons partly in response to China's program.

I will say very little about the allegations against a specific scientist at Los Alamos. However, given what we know about China's intelligence program, it is not unreasonable to assume that scientists at all three weapons labs have knowingly or unknowingly been approached to provide classified information to China or its intermediaries. The laboratories are cognizant of that threat. Frankly, I don't know if the steps the laboratories, working with the Department of Energy and the Federal Bureau of Investigation, are taking are sufficient to prevent espionage at our laboratories.

I have met with Director Freeh I, and he assures me that the FBI is doing all it can in this regard. I am certain that, no matter what steps we take, the Chinese and others will continue their efforts.

THE VERY BAD DEBT BOXSCORE

Mr. HELMS. Mr. President, at the close of business yesterday, Tuesday, March 23, 1999, the federal debt stood at \$5,645,199,129,224.03 (Five trillion, six hundred forty-five billion, one hundred ninety-nine million, one hundred twenty-nine thousand, two hundred twenty-four dollars and three cents).

One year ago, March 23, 1998, the federal debt stood at \$5,539,833,000,000 (Five trillion, five hundred thirty-nine billion, eight hundred thirty-three million).

Five years ago, March 23, 1994, the federal debt stood at \$4,559,372,000,000 (Four trillion, five hundred fifty-nine billion, three hundred seventy-two million).

Ten years ago, March 23, 1989, the federal debt stood at \$2,737,055,000,000 (Two trillion, seven hundred thirty-seven billion, fifty-five million).

Fifteen years ago, March 23, 1984, the federal debt stood at \$1,465,084,000,000 (One trillion, four hundred sixty-five billion, eighty-four million) which reflects a debt increase of more than \$4 trillion—\$4,180,115,129,224.03 (Four trillion, one hundred eighty billion, one hundred fifteen million, one hundred twenty-nine thousand, two hundred twenty-four dollars and three cents) during the past 15 years.

SENATE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION 21

Mr. COCHRAN. Mr. President, I regret that because of my father's funeral in Mississippi yesterday, I was not present in the Senate to vote on S. Con. Res. 21, authorizing the President of the United States to conduct military air operations and missile strikes against the Federal Republic of Yugoslavia (Serbia and Montenegro). Had I

been present, I would have voted "aye" on the resolution.

The authorization is carefully limited and is designed to permit the participation of military forces of the United States, in concert with NATO allies, in an action to respond to a clear threat to the security and stability of Europe and indirectly to our own security interests.

It is my hope that this action will serve to signal the willingness of the United States government to keep its commitments under the NATO treaty and to be a force for peace and freedom in the region sought to be protected by the alliance.

FRANCESCO (GHEIB) GHEBRESILLASSIE RETIRES

Mr. LOTT. Mr. President, Francesco Ghebresillassie plans to retire after 32 years of service to the Senate. That is quite a record, and it deserves notices from those of us who depend so heavily upon—and are never disappointed by—Gheib and the men and women who work with him.

Since 1987, he has been Manager of the Production Services Branch of Central Operations under the Sergeant at Arms. In that role, he has supervised all the activities of the Micrographics and Production Services sections. He came to that post step by step, working his way from machine operator to computer operator to shift supervisor. By 1975, he was responsible for two work shifts and for the operations in two buildings.

Thereafter, as Hardware Manager, he was responsible for keeping the Senate current with technological changes in the computer arena, refining our procedures, and working with vendors. Later on, as User Support Manager and Production Services Manager, he emphasized quality service to the staff who sit at the thousands of computers within our Senate offices. He has been responsible for interaction with them, and has improved the tech support they have needed to deal with the rapid pace of change in the cyber world. Gheib has also supervised the staff who maintain our microfilm documents for posterity.

Needless to say, today's Senate is quite a different institution from the one to which Gheib came in 1967. One of the ways it has changed for the better has been the technological modernization of which Gheib has been a part. Because of his labors, and the diligence of those who have worked with him over the years, we have been able to better serve the folks back home in ways that were not possible three decades ago.

As we congratulate Gheib on his retirement, I want to also acknowledge his wife, Theresa, who works for our colleague from Wisconsin, Senator KOHL. We wish for them and for their daughters, Lisa and Ayesha, all the good things the future can bring.

CONNIE SULLIVAN RETIRES

Mr. LOTT. Mr. President, Connie Sullivan, who has served as Reprographic Manager in the Service Department since 1989, plans to retire in June. This will be a significant loss for the Senate. For the past decade, she has been responsible for all phases of the Reprographics Division—more recently known as Printing, Graphics, and Direct Mail—within the Sergeant at Arms office.

Connie has been with the Senate for 24 years. She came here in February, 1975 from the House of Representatives as a Composer Technician in the newly created "Composing Room," which was part of the Printing Section of our Department. You can imagine the technological changes Connie has seen since then, when she was asked to assume the duties of Composer with oversight for all the typesetting and layout functions of the Composing Room.

In the restructuring of the Service Department in 1984, when the Composing Room became the Pre-Press Section, Connie was promoted to supervisor. In a subsequent reorganization in 1986, she was again promoted to Operations Branch Head. That was a well-deserved recognition of her long experience with the growth and integration of services and, especially, the development of the Pre-Press section from conventional typesetting and layout to desk-top publishing and a full-color graphics operations.

In that regard, Connie has been one of the people who have helped the Senate enter fully into the information age. We are able to keep in closer touch with our constituents, and they with us, and that has a positive impact on just about everything we do here.

So on behalf of the Senate, I want to thank Connie for all her years of service and wish her many happy years of time with her family, her garden, and the enduring satisfaction of a job well done.

RUSSELL JACKSON RETIRES

Mr. LOTT. Mr. President, there are today only four Senators who were here in 1965 when Russell Jackson first came to the Senate to work as an elevator operator. He has observed this institution, both its changes and its continuity, for a long, long time. Now, as he retires as Senior Manager of Central Operations, I want to thank him, on behalf of the entire Senate, for a lifetime of service.

Early on, Russell interrupted his work here for a different kind of service, in the U.S. Army, but he returned to the Senate to work with the Office of the Superintendent. Within that Office, he worked his way up the ladder by doing it all: evening shift, day shift, staff assistant, supervisor, office manager, and senior service officer.

Within the confines of the Senate family, we all know how important is the coordination of office moves, the