

“(i) to monitor material or use of its service; or

“(ii) except as required by a notice or an order of a court under this subsection, to gain access to, to remove, or to disable access to material.

“(C) RIGHTS OF SUBSCRIBERS.—Nothing in this section may be construed to prejudice the right of a subscriber to secure an appropriate determination, as otherwise provided by law, in a Federal court or in a State or local tribunal or agency, that the account of such subscriber should not be terminated pursuant to this subsection, or should be restored.

“(e) AVAILABILITY OF RELIEF.—The availability of relief under subsections (c) and (d) shall not depend on, or be affected by, the initiation or resolution of any action under subsection (b), or under any other provision of Federal or State law.

“(f) APPLICABILITY.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Subject to paragraph (2), the prohibition in this section does not apply to—

“(A) any otherwise lawful bet or wager that is placed, received, or otherwise made wholly intrastate for a State lottery, or for a multi-State lottery operated jointly between 2 or more States in conjunction with State lotteries if—

“(i) each such lottery is expressly authorized, and licensed or regulated, under applicable State law;

“(ii) the bet or wager is placed on an interactive computer service that uses a private network;

“(iii) each person placing or otherwise making that bet or wager is physically located when such bet or wager is placed at a facility that is open to the general public; and

“(iv) each such lottery complies with sections 1301 through 1304, and other applicable provisions of Federal law;

“(B) any otherwise lawful bet or wager that is placed, received, or otherwise made on an interstate or intrastate basis on a live horse or a live dog race, or the sending, receiving, or inviting of information assisting in the placing of such a bet or wager, if such bet or wager, or the transmission of such information, as applicable, is—

“(i) expressly authorized, and licensed or regulated by the State in which such bet or wager is received, under applicable Federal and such State's laws;

“(ii) placed on a closed-loop subscriber-based service;

“(iii) initiated from a State in which betting or wagering on that same type of live horse or live dog racing is lawful and received in a State in which such betting or wagering is lawful;

“(iv) subject to the regulatory oversight of the State in which the bet or wager is received and subject by such State to minimum control standards for the accounting, regulatory inspection, and auditing of all such bets or wagers transmitted from 1 State to another; and

“(v) in the case of—

“(I) live horse racing, made in accordance with the Interstate Horse Racing Act of 1978 (15 U.S.C. 3001 et seq.) and the requirements, if any, established by an appropriate legislative or regulatory body or the State in which the bet or wager originates; or

“(II) live dog racing, subject to consent agreements that are comparable to those required by the Interstate Horse Racing Act of 1978, approved by the appropriate State regulatory agencies, in the State receiving the signal, and in the State in which the bet or wager originates; or

“(C) any otherwise lawful bet or wager that is placed, received, or otherwise made for a fantasy sports league game or contest.

“(2) BETS OR WAGERS MADE BY AGENTS OR PROXIES.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—Paragraph (1) does not apply in any case in which a bet or wager is placed, received, or otherwise made by the use of an agent or proxy using the Internet or an interactive computer service.

“(B) QUALIFICATION.—Nothing in this paragraph may be construed to prohibit the owner operator of a parimutuel wagering facility that is licensed by a State from employing an agent in the operation of the account wagering system owned or operated by the parimutuel facility.

“(3) ADVERTISING AND PROMOTION.—The prohibition of subsection (b)(1)(B) does not apply to advertising or promotion of any activity that is not prohibited by subsection (b)(1)(A).

“(g) RULES OF CONSTRUCTION.—

“(1) NO IMMUNITY FROM PROSECUTION.—Except as provided in subsection (d), nothing in this section may be construed to create immunity from criminal prosecution under any provision of Federal or State law.

“(2) OTHER PROHIBITIONS AND REMEDIES.—Nothing in this section may be construed to affect any prohibition or remedy applicable to a person engaged in a gambling business under any other provision of Federal or State law.”.

(b) TECHNICAL AMENDMENT.—The analysis for chapter 50 of title 18, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“1085. Internet gambling.”.

SEC. 3. REPORT ON ENFORCEMENT.

Not later than 2 years after the date of enactment of this Act, the Attorney General shall submit to Congress a report, which shall include—

(1) an analysis of the problems, if any, associated with enforcing section 1085 of title 18, United States Code, as added by section 2 of this Act;

(2) recommendations for the best use of the resources of the Department of Justice to enforce that section; and

(3) an estimate of the amount of activity and money that continue to be used to gamble on the Internet, despite the prohibition of section 1085 of title 18, United States Code, as added by section 2 of this Act, together with—

(A) a detailed description of the factors contributing to successful evasion of that prohibition; and

(B) recommendations concerning means of closing the channels used to evade that prohibition.

SEC. 4. SEVERABILITY.

If any provision of this Act, an amendment made by this Act, or the application of such provision or amendment to any person or circumstance is held to be unconstitutional, the remainder of this Act, the amendments made by this Act, and the application of this Act and the provisions of such amendments to any other person or circumstance shall not be affected thereby.

CAMPBELL AMENDMENT NO. 2783

Ms. COLLINS (for Mr. CAMPBELL) proposed an amendment to amendment No. 2782 proposed by Mr. KYL to the bill, S. 692, supra; as follows:

On page 35 of the Kyl-Bryan substitute, after line 18, insert the following:

(4) INDIAN GAMING.

(A) IN GENERAL.—Subject to paragraph (2), the prohibition in this section does not apply to any otherwise lawful bet or wager that is placed, received, or otherwise made on any game that constitutes class II gaming or class III gaming (as those terms are defined

in section 4 of the Indian Gaming Regulatory Act, 25 U.S.C. 2703), or the sending, receiving, or inviting of information assisting in the placing of any such bet or wager, as applicable, if—

(i) the game is permitted under and conducted in accordance with the Indian Gaming Regulatory Act (25 U.S.C. 2701 et seq.);

(ii) each person placing, receiving, or otherwise making such bet or wager, or transmitting such information, is physically located on Indian lands (as that term is defined in section 4 of Indian Gaming Regulatory Act, 25 U.S.C. 2703) when such person places, receives, or otherwise makes the bet or wager, or transmits such information;

(iii) the game is conducted on a closed-loop subscriber-based system or a private network; and

(iv) in the case of a game that constitutes class III gaming—

(I) the game is authorized under, and is conducted in accordance with, the respective Tribal-State compacts (entered into and approved pursuant to section 11(d) of the Indian Gaming Regulatory Act, 25 U.S.C. 2710) governing gaming activity on the Indian lands, in each respective State, on which each person placing, receiving, or otherwise making such bet or wager, or transmitting such information, is physically located when such person places, receives, or otherwise makes the bet or wager, or transmits such information; and

(II) each such Tribal-State compact expressly provides that the game may be conducted using the Internet or other interactive computer service only on a closed-loop subscriber-based system or a private network.

(B) ACTIVITIES UNDER EXISTING COMPACTS.—The requirement of subparagraph (A)(iv)(II) shall not apply in the case of gaming activity, otherwise subject to this section, that was being conducted on Indian lands on September 1, 1999, with the approval of the state gaming commission or like regulatory authority of the State in which such Indian lands are located, but without such required compact approval, until the date on which the compact governing gaming activity on such Indian lands expires (exclusive of any automatic or discretionary renewal or extension of such compact), so long as such gaming activity is conducted using the Internet or other interactive computer service only on a closed-loop subscriber-based system or a private network. For purposes of this subparagraph, the phrase “conducted on Indian lands” shall refer to all Indian lands on which any person placing, receiving, or otherwise making a bet or wager, or sending, receiving, or inviting information assisting in the placing of a bet or wager, is physically located when such person places, receives, or otherwise makes the bet or wager, or sends, receives, or invites such information.

DATE-RAPE DRUG CONTROL ACT OF 1999

HUTCHISON AMENDMENT NO. 2784

Ms. COLLINS (for Mrs. HUTCHISON) proposed an amendment to the bill (S. 1561) to amend the Controlled Substances Act to add gamma hydroxybutyric acid and ketamine to the schedules of control substances, to provide for a national awareness campaign, and for other purposes; as follows:

On page 1, beginning on line 4, strike “Samantha Reid and Hillory J. Farias” and

insert "Hillory J. Farias and Samantha Reid".

On page 6, line 21, strike "Samantha Reid and Hillory J. Farias" and insert "Hillory J. Farias and Samantha Reid".

On page 7, line 12, strike "Samantha Reid and Hillory J. Farias" and insert "Hillory J. Farias and Samantha Reid".

ELECTRONIC BENEFIT TRANSFER INTEROPERABILITY AND PORTABILITY ACT OF 1999

FITZGERALD AMENDMENT NO. 2785

Ms. COLLINS (for Mr. FITZGERALD) proposed an amendment to the bill (S. 1733) to amend the Food Stamp Act of 1977 to provide for a national standard of interoperability and portability applicable to electronic food stamp benefit transactions; as follows:

Strike all after the enacting clause and insert the following:

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "Electronic Benefit Transfer Interoperability and Portability Act of 1999".

SEC. 2. PURPOSES.

The purposes of this Act are—

(1) to protect the integrity of the food stamp program;

(2) to ensure cost-effective portability of food stamp benefits across State borders without imposing additional administrative expenses for special equipment to address problems relating to the portability;

(3) to enhance the flow of interstate commerce involving electronic transactions involving food stamp benefits under a uniform national standard of interoperability and portability; and

(4) to eliminate the inefficiencies resulting from a patchwork of State-administered systems and regulations established to carry out the food stamp program

SEC. 3. INTEROPERABILITY AND PORTABILITY OF FOOD STAMP TRANSACTIONS.

Section 7 of the Food Stamp Act of 1977 (7 U.S.C. 2016) is amended by adding at the end the following:

"(k) INTEROPERABILITY AND PORTABILITY OF ELECTRONIC BENEFIT TRANSFER TRANSACTIONS.—

"(1) DEFINITIONS.—In this subsection:

"(A) ELECTRONIC BENEFIT TRANSFER CARD.—The term 'electronic benefit transfer card' means a card that provides benefits under this Act through an electronic benefit transfer service (as defined in subsection (i)(1)(A)).

"(B) ELECTRONIC BENEFIT TRANSFER CONTRACT.—The term 'electronic benefit transfer contract' means a contract that provides for the issuance, use, or redemption of coupons in the form of electronic benefit transfer cards.

"(C) INTEROPERABILITY.—The term 'interoperability' means a system that enables a coupon issued in the form of an electronic benefit transfer card to be redeemed in any State.

"(D) INTERSTATE TRANSACTION.—The term 'interstate transaction' means a transaction that is initiated in 1 State by the use of an electronic benefit transfer card that is issued in another State.

"(E) PORTABILITY.—The term 'portability' means a system that enables a coupon issued in the form of an electronic benefit transfer card to be used in any State by a household to purchase food at a retail food store or wholesale food concern approved under this Act.

"(F) SETTLING.—The term 'settling' means movement, and reporting such movement, of funds from an electronic benefit transfer card issuer that is located in 1 State to a retail food store, or wholesale food concern, that is located in another State, to accomplish an interstate transaction.

"(G) SMART CARD.—The term 'smart card' means an intelligent benefit card described in section 17(f).

"(H) SWITCHING.—The term 'switching' means the routing of an interstate transaction that consists of transmitting the details of a transaction electronically recorded through the use of an electronic benefit transfer card in 1 State to the issuer of the card that is in another State.

"(2) REQUIREMENT.—Not later than October 1, 2002, the Secretary shall ensure that systems that provide for the electronic issuance, use, and redemption of coupons in the form of electronic benefit transfer cards are interoperable, and food stamp benefits are portable, among all States.

"(3) COST.—The cost of achieving the interoperability and portability required under paragraph (2) shall not be imposed on any food stamp retail store, or any wholesale food concern, approved to participate in the food stamp program.

"(4) STANDARDS.—Not later than 210 days after the date of enactment of this subsection, the Secretary shall promulgate regulations that—

"(A) adopt a uniform national standard of interoperability and portability required under paragraph (2) that is based on the standard of interoperability and portability used by a majority of State agencies; and

"(B) require that any electronic benefit transfer contract that is entered into 30 days or more after the regulations are promulgated, by or on behalf of a State agency, provide for the interoperability and portability required under paragraph (2) in accordance with the national standard.

"(5) EXEMPTIONS.—

"(A) CONTRACTS.—The requirements of paragraph (2) shall not apply to the transfer of benefits under an electronic benefit transfer contract before the expiration of the term of the contract if the contract—

"(i) is entered into before the date that is 30 days after the regulations are promulgated under paragraph (4); and

"(ii) expires after October 1, 2002.

"(B) WAIVER.—At the request of a State agency, the Secretary may provide 1 waiver to temporarily exempt, for a period ending on or before the date specified under clause (iii), the State agency from complying with the requirements of paragraph (2), if the State agency—

"(i) establishes to the satisfaction of the Secretary that the State agency faces unusual technological barriers to achieving by October 1, 2002, the interoperability and portability required under paragraph (2);

"(ii) demonstrates that the best interest of the food stamp program would be served by granting the waiver with respect to the electronic benefit transfer system used by the State agency to administer the food stamp program; and

"(iii) specifies a date by which the State agency will achieve the interoperability and portability required under paragraph (2).

"(C) SMART CARD SYSTEMS.—The Secretary shall allow a State agency that is using smart cards for the delivery of food stamp program benefits to comply with the requirements of paragraph (2) at such time after October 1, 2002, as the Secretary determines that a practicable technological method is available for interoperability with electronic benefit transfer cards.

"(6) FUNDING.—

"(A) IN GENERAL.—In accordance with regulations promulgated by the Secretary, the Secretary shall pay 100 percent of the costs incurred by a State agency under this Act for switching and settling interstate transactions—

"(i) incurred after the date of enactment of this subsection and before October 1, 2002, if the State agency uses the standard of interoperability and portability adopted by a majority of State agencies; and

"(ii) incurred after September 30, 2002, if the State agency uses the uniform national standard of interoperability and portability adopted under paragraph (4)(A).

"(B) LIMITATION.—The total amount paid to State agencies for each fiscal year under subparagraph (A) shall not exceed \$500,000."

SEC. 4. STUDY OF ALTERNATIVES FOR HANDLING ELECTRONIC BENEFIT TRANSACTIONS INVOLVING FOOD STAMP BENEFITS.

Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Agriculture shall study and report to the Committee on Agriculture of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Agriculture, Nutrition, and Forestry of the Senate on alternatives for handling interstate electronic benefit transactions involving food stamp benefits provided under the Food Stamp Act of 1977 (7 U.S.C. 2011 et seq.), including the feasibility and desirability of a single hub for switching (as defined in section 7(k)(1) of that Act (as added by section 3)).

LEGISLATION TO EXEMPT CERTAIN REPORTS FROM AUTOMATIC ELIMINATION AND SUNSET

LEAHY AMENDMENT NO. 2786

Ms. COLLINS (for Mr. LEAHY) proposed an amendment to the bill (H.R. 3111) to exempt certain reports from automatic elimination and sunset pursuant to the Federal Reports Elimination and Sunset Act of 1995; as follows:

Add at the end the following:

SEC. 2(a) SHORT TITLE.—This Act may be cited as the "Continued Reporting of Intercepted Wire, Oral, and Electronic Communications Act".

(b) FINDINGS.—Congress makes the following findings:

(1) Section 2519(3) of title 18, United States Code, requires the Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts to transmit to Congress a full and complete annual report concerning the number of applications for orders authorizing or approving the interception of wire, oral, or electronic communications. This report is required to include information specified in section 2519(3).

(2) The Federal Reports Elimination and Sunset Act of 1995 provides for the termination of certain laws requiring submittal to Congress of annual, semiannual, and regular periodic reports as of December 21, 1999, 4 years from the effective date of that Act.

(3) Due to the Federal Reports Elimination Act and Sunset Act of 1995, the Administrative Office of United States Courts is not required to submit that annual report described in section 219(3) of title 18, United States Code, as of December 21, 1999.

(c) CONTINUED REPORTING REQUIREMENTS.—

(1) CONTINUED REPORTING REQUIREMENTS.—Section 2519 of title 18, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following: