

## MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE

A message from the Senate by Mr. Lundregan, one of its clerks, announced that the Senate had passed without amendment concurrent resolutions of the House of the following titles:

H. Con. Res. 107. Concurrent resolution expressing the sense of Congress rejecting the conclusions of a recent article published in the *Psychological Bulletin*, a journal of the American Psychological Association, that suggests that sexual relationships between adults and children might be positive for children.

H. Con. Res. 168. Concurrent resolution waiving the requirement in section 132 of the Legislative Reorganization Act of 1946 that the Congress adjourn sine die not later than July 31, 1999.

The message also announced that the Senate had passed with an amendment in which the concurrence of the House is requested, a bill of the House of the following title:

H.R. 2488. An act to provide for reconciliation pursuant to sections 105 and 211 of the concurrent resolution on the budget for fiscal year 2000.

The message also announced that the Senate insists upon its amendment to the bill (H.R. 2488) "An Act to provide for reconciliation pursuant to sections 105 and 211 of the concurrent resolution on the budget for fiscal year 2000," and requests a conference with the House on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses thereon, and appoints Mr. ROTH, Mr. LOTT, and Mr. MOYNIHAN, to be the conferees on the part of the Senate.

The message also announced that the Senate had passed bills of the following titles, in which the concurrence of the House is requested:

S. 1467. An act to extend the funding levels for aviation programs for 60 days.

S. 1468. An act to authorize the minting and issuance of Capitol Visitor Center Commemorative coins, and for other purposes.

The message also announced that pursuant to Public Law 100-458, the Chair, on behalf of the Majority Leader, appoints the Senator from Virginia (Mr. WARNER) to the Board of Trustees of the John C. Stennis Center for Public Service Training and Development, for a term ending October 11, 2004.

## COMMUNICATION FROM HON. RICHARD A. GEPHARDT, DEMOCRATIC LEADER

The Speaker pro tempore laid before the House the following communication from RICHARD A. GEPHARDT, Democratic Leader:

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,  
OFFICE OF THE DEMOCRATIC LEADER,  
Washington, DC, July 30, 1999.

Hon. J. DENNIS HASTERT,  
Speaker of the House,  
House of Representatives,  
Washington, DC.

DEAR MR. SPEAKER: Pursuant to Section 591(a)(2) of the Foreign Operations, Export Financing, and Related Programs Appropriations Act, 1999 (112 STAT. 2681-210), I hereby appoint to the National Commission on Terrorism:

Ms. Juliette N. Kayyem of Cambridge, Massachusetts.

Yours Very Truly,

RICHARD A. GEPHARDT.

## THE REAL COST OF TAXING MINING INTERESTS

(Mr. GIBBONS asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. GIBBONS. Mr. Speaker, today I rise to address the claim of some of my colleagues that gold mines get a free ride because they do not pay their fair share of Federal royalties. Well, when considering a Federal tax increase on the mining industry, we must always remind my tax and spend colleagues to take into account the adverse effect of such a tax increase on state and local tax revenues as well.

There is a direct correlation between increasing mining royalties or taxes and the reduction in mining activities. The unintended consequence is that State and local governments suffer great tax losses by these resulting decreases in mining activities. Federal royalties are deductible from the income base on which many of these State taxes are levied. This results in an even less tax dollar amount for State and local governments. Even a recent economic analysis shows that an 8 percent gross royalty would cost State and local governments hundreds of millions in tax revenues every year.

Mr. Speaker, it becomes very clear that when a Federal royalty is not in the best economic interests of this country or the mining industry, we should avoid it.

Abraham Lincoln had the great foresight when he said, "Tell the miners for me that I shall promote their interests to the utmost of my ability, because their prosperity is the prosperity of the Nation, and we shall prove in a very few short years that we are indeed the treasury of the world."

## NORTH KOREA ACCUSED OF DRUG DEALING

(Mr. TRAFICANT asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. TRAFICANT. Mr. Speaker, needing cash to run their government, the government of North Korea has been accused of selling heroin and cocaine. I am not kidding you. Reports say that North Korean agents were arrested by international police possessing 80 pounds of cocaine and \$100 million worth of methamphetamines that was sponsored for sale officially by their government.

Now, if that is not enough to trigger your overdose, on or about the same time, the White House announced they are asking Congress for another \$55 million in foreign aid for North Korea.

Unbelievable. North Korea is selling dope, and Uncle Sam is fronting the

buy money. Beam me up, Mr. Speaker. So help me.

I yield back further the fact that North Korea is building missiles that are being aimed in the future at America.

## DEFINING A TARGETED TAX CUT

(Mr. CHABOT asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. CHABOT. Mr. Speaker, when I hear some of my liberal friends on the other side of the aisle, not the gentleman who just spoke, I might add, talk about targeted tax cuts, I know exactly what they mean. It means you will not be getting one.

Republicans, I should add, also are putting forth a targeted tax cut, but there is a very big difference. If you are a taxpayer, you get one.

That is right, our targeted tax cuts target all taxpayers, a concept that really sticks in the craw of many of my liberal friends on the other side of the aisle.

Many politicians in Washington have a hard time coming to grips with the fact that the budget surplus, a tax overpayment, really, does not belong to them. That money, every penny of it, belongs to the taxpayers.

Washington is taking more than it needs out of the pockets of those who work all over this country and pay their taxes.

The bottom line is the American people are overtaxed, and the real issue is, who should decide how the money gets spent: The bureaucrats up here in Washington, or the taxpayers all over this country.

I will cast my lot with the people of this Nation. Let us cut the taxes on the American people, and let us do it now.

## REPORT ON REVISED DEFERRAL OF BUDGET AUTHORITY—MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES (H. DOC. NO. 106-109)

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following message from the President of the United States; which was read and, together with the accompanying papers, without objection, referred to the Committee on Appropriations and ordered to be printed:

*To the Congress of the United States:*

In accordance with the Congressional Budget and Impoundment Control Act of 1974, I herewith report one revised deferral of budget authority, now totaling \$173 million.

The deferral affects programs of the Department of State.

WILLIAM J. CLINTON.  
THE WHITE HOUSE, August 2, 1999.

## ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the provisions of clause 8 of rule

XX, the Chair announces that he will postpone further proceedings today on each motion to suspend the rules on which a recorded vote or the yeas and nays are ordered or on which the vote is objected to under clause 6 of rule XX.

Such rollcall votes, if postponed, will be taken later today.

#### AMENDING FEDERAL RESERVE ACT TO BROADEN RANGE OF DISCOUNT WINDOW LOANS WHICH MAY BE USED AS COLLATERAL FOR FEDERAL RESERVE NOTES

Mr. LEACH. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 1094) to amend the Federal Reserve Act to broaden the range of discount window loans which may be used as collateral for Federal reserve notes, as amended.

The Clerk read as follows:

H.R. 1094

*Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,* That the third sentence of the second undesignated paragraph of section 16 of the Federal Reserve Act (12 U.S.C. 412) is amended by striking "acceptances acquired under the provisions of section 13 of this Act" and inserting "acceptances acquired under section 10A, 10B, 13, or 13A of this Act".

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from Iowa (Mr. LEACH) and the gentleman from New York (Mr. LAFALCE) each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Iowa (Mr. LEACH).

Mr. LEACH. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

(Mr. LEACH asked and was given permission to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. LEACH. Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of H.R. 1094, a bill to broaden the range of discount window loans which may be used as collateral for Federal Reserve notes.

I would like to point out at the outset this is not a new approach for this House. Virtually the same proposal was incorporated into the bankruptcy reform bill, H.R. 833, which passed this body on May 5, but which has not yet cleared the other body.

The bill enjoys the strong support of the Federal Reserve, as reflected in correspondence with Federal Reserve Chairman Alan Greenspan to the last Congress, and again in testimony by the member of the Federal's Board of Governors, Edward Kelly, at a hearing held by the committee in April.

The bill also enjoins strong bipartisan support on the Committee on Banking and Financial Services. The original sponsors of the bill include the ranking minority member of the full committee, the gentleman from New York (Mr. LAFALCE), as well as the Chairman of the Subcommittee on Domestic and Monetary Policy, the gentleman from Alabama (Mr. BACHUS),

the ranking member, the gentlewoman from California (Ms. WATERS), and I understand it has the support of my good friend, the gentleman from Minnesota (Mr. VENTO).

Mr. Speaker, I would like to take a brief moment to explain the need for the bill and the issue of timing. Section 16 of the Federal Reserve Act requires the Federal Reserve to collateralize Federal Reserve notes when they are issued. The list of eligible collateral includes, at present, Treasury and Federal agency securities, gold certificates, special drawing rights certificates, and foreign currencies. In addition, the legally eligible backing for currency includes discount window loans made under Section 13 of the Federal Reserve Act.

Over the years, Congress has added a new section to the law to permit lending by the Federal Reserve to depository institutions under provisions other than section 13 and against a broader range of collateral. However, section 16 has not been similarly amended to accommodate these new sections, thus limiting the types of loans the Federal can use to back currency. For example, certain discount window loans made by the Federal under 10B of the Act and secured by mortgages on one-to-four family residences cannot be used to back currency.

The bill before us today, H.R. 1094, simply seeks to update the currency collateral provisions in section 16 to reflect the broader range of collateral accepted for discounted window loans under section 10A, section 10B and section 13A of the Federal Reserve Act.

Finally, I would like to point out the reason for bringing this measure to the floor today as a stand-alone proposal is one of timing. According to the Federal Reserve Board, the existing limits on currency collateral are becoming a potential problem because of the increased use of retail sweep accounts over the past 5 years and the corresponding decline in reserve balances that can be used as excess collateral for currency. The small margin of available currency collateral could pose a potential problem should there be a substantial increase in the demand for discount window loans due to temporary, or unusual, circumstances, such as might occur around the year 2000 date change.

Mr. Speaker, as I explained earlier, this is not a new proposal, but given the issues of timing and the need to ensure that our bank agencies have all the necessary tools at their disposal to smooth the transition to the year 2000, I believe it is important for this body to act separately on this bill. I appreciate the great courtesies extended by the minority in this regard.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. LAFALCE. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I join the gentleman from Iowa (Chairman LEACH) of the

Committee on Banking and Financial Services in supporting this much needed measure. It will ensure that the public has available any and all cash it might demand near the end of the year as the country's computer systems make their changeover to the new millennium. Although we expect few if any problems with our Nation's banks at that time, this is a prudent move to help relieve any doubt that the public will have access to hard currency.

H.R. 1094 provides for a technical change in the Federal Reserve Act to facilitate the Federal Reserve's ability to distribute as much as \$50 billion in currency during this period, if needed. Under current law, every unit of currency issued by the Federal Reserve must be collateralized by certain assets held by the Federal Reserve. The assets on the current list have always been adequate to collateralize currency in circulation. However, should there be a surge in currency demand at the end of 1999 and the beginning of the year 2000, the current list could be inadequate.

The list, therefore, needs to be expanded to include other assets which the Federal Reserve already owns but which, largely due to historical oversight, are not now included.

Chairman Greenspan in a letter to me dated July 30, 1998, suggested language comparable to that contained in H.R. 1094. Federal Reserve Governor Edward Kelly in testimony before the Committee on Banking and financial services on April 13 of this year specifically endorsed H.R. 1094.

Mr. Speaker, I fully support H.R. 1094 and wish to express my appreciation to the chairman of our committee for the bipartisan attitude which has been able in all circumstances to approach Y2K problems. I also wish to thank especially the ranking minority member of the financial institutions subcommittee, the gentleman from Minnesota (Mr. VENTO), for his great work on this legislation. This legislation is merely the latest example of that general tremendous bipartisan spirit.

Mr. Speaker, I yield such time as he may consume to the gentleman from Minnesota (Mr. VENTO).

Mr. VENTO. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman ranking Banking Member LAFALCE for yielding me time, as well as the gentleman from Iowa (Chairman LEACH) for his comments.

Mr. Speaker, I concur in their statements. I think this is an appropriate bill to forestall any emerging problems with regard to the issuance of Federal Reserve Board paper, the one dollar bills and larger bills that some of us have an opportunity to spend.

Two things have happened. One is, obviously as has been pointed out by the chairman and ranking member, the types of credit paper available have changed and evolved and we have not kept up with them with regard to the provisions of law to be used as collateral to back up the Federal Reserve Board notes the dollar bills.

The other, as pointed out by our staff and research folks, is in fact the Fed,