

DISCRETIONARY APPROPRIATIONS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2000—COMPARISON OF CURRENT LEVEL WITH SUBALLOCATIONS PURSUANT TO BUDGET ACT SECTION 302(b)—Continued

[In millions of dollars]

	Revised 302(b) suballocations				Current level reflecting action completed as of July 21, 1999				Difference			
	Discretionary		Mandatory		Discretionary		Mandatory		Discretionary		Mandatory	
	BA	O	BA	O	BA	O	BA	O	BA	O	BA	O
Transportation	12,400	43,445	721	717	0	26,007	0	0	(12,400)	(17,438)	(721)	(717)
Treasury-Postal Service	13,467	13,947	14,385	14,394	71	3,265	0	0	(13,396)	(10,682)	(14,385)	(14,394)
VA-HUD-Independent Agencies	65,300	78,937	21,319	21,136	42	48,309	0	0	(65,258)	(30,628)	(21,319)	(21,136)
Reserve/Offsets	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unassigned ¹	370	673	0	0	0	0	0	0	(370)	(673)	0	0
Grand total	538,296	578,347	321,108	303,938	10,847	256,082	0	0	(527,449)	(322,265)	(321,108)	(303,938)

¹ Unassigned refers to the allocation adjustments provided under Section 314, but not yet allocated under Section 302(b).

U.S. CONGRESS,
CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET OFFICE,
Washington, DC, July 22, 1999.

Hon. JOHN R. KASICH,
Chairman, Committee on the Budget,
House of Representatives, Washington, DC.

DEAR MR. CHAIRMAN: Pursuant to section 308(b) and in aid of section 311 of the Congressional Budget Act, as amended, this letter and supporting detail provide an up-to-date tabulation of the on-budget current lev-

els of new budget authority, estimated outlays and estimated revenues for fiscal year 2000. These estimates are compared to the appropriate levels for those items contained in House Concurrent Resolution 68, which has been revised to include the amounts provided and designated as emergency requirements in Public Law 106-31, the Emergency Supplemental Appropriations Act for fiscal year 1999, and an allocation for the Earned Income Tax Credit that is under consider-

ation in H.R. 2490, the Treasury, Postal Service, and General Government appropriations bill for fiscal year 2000. Also included, pursuant to Sec. 211 of H. Con. Res. 68, is a reduction to the aggregate level of revenues.

This my first report for fiscal year 2000 and is current through July 21, 1999.

Sincerely,

PAUL VAN DE WATER
(for Dan L. Crippen, Director).

Enclosure.

PARLIAMENTARIAN STATUS REPORT FISCAL YEAR 2000 ON-BUDGET HOUSE CURRENT LEVEL AS OF CLOSE OF BUSINESS, JULY 21, 1999

[In millions of dollars]

	Budget authority	Outlays	Revenues
Enacted in previous sessions:			
Revenues			1,408,082
Permanents and other spending legislation	869,921	833,640	
Appropriation legislation		247,144	
Offsetting receipts	-295,703	-295,703	
Total, previously enacted	574,218	785,081	1,408,082
Enacted this session:			
Education Flexibility Partnership Act of 1999, P.L. 106-25		32	
Emergency Supplemental Appropriations Act, P.L. 106-31	1,955	7,360	
Miscellaneous Trade and Technical Corrections Act, P.L. 106-36		-2	-19
Total, enacted this session	1,955	7,390	-19
Entitlements and mandates: Budget resolution baseline estimates of appropriated entitlements and other mandatory programs not yet enacted	322,252	300,416	
Totals:			
House current level	898,425	1,092,887	1,408,063
House budget resolution	1,428,745	1,415,484	1,393,684
Amount remaining:			
Under budget resolution	-530,320	-322,597	
Over budget resolution			14,379
Addendum: Revenues, 2000-2004:			
House current level			7,556,473
House budget resolution			7,399,759
Amount current level over budget resolution			156,714

Note: Estimates include \$1881 million in budget authority and \$7,258 million in outlays for the funding of emergency requirements.
Source: Congressional Budget Office.

JULY 30, 1999, IS TILLAMOOK DAY

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the Speaker's announced policy of January 6, 1999, the gentlewoman from Oregon (Ms. HOOLEY) is recognized for 60 minutes.

Ms. HOOLEY of Oregon. Madam Speaker, imagine a land where cows outnumber the people two to one, where the high school football team is aptly named the Cheesemakers, and where world famous cheddar cheese is produced by a cooperative of dairy farmers, many who have passed that skill on from generation to generation.

Such a place exists in a small Oregon coastal county named Tillamook. This 35,000 acre region is peppered with approximately 150 family farms that supply fresh milk to the Tillamook County Creamery Association, which in turn produces award-winning Tillamook cheese. It also markets butter, sour cream, yogurt, and ice cream. It was founded in 1909. The Tillamook

County Creamery accounts for one-third of Oregon's dairy industry.

Swiss settlers looking for an ideal location to raise dairy cattle discovered Tillamook in 1851. The name Tillamook is a native American name meaning land of many rivers, which is especially appropriate since five rivers feed into the Tillamook Bay.

The region's climate is cool and wet, averaging 80 inches of rain annually, but it is this unique environment that allows cows to graze at least 8 months each year on natural grass in open pastures, resulting in exceptionally sweet and rich milk, the cornerstone of Tillamook cheese.

Superior milk, combined with Tillamook's unique cheese culture recipe, traditional cheddaring method, and natural aging process, enables the Tillamook County Creamery to guarantee its benchmark standards for its award-winning premium cheese.

The Tillamook County Creamery association takes pride in producing blue

ribbon cheese, and firmly believes that quality cheese begins in a quality location, a place where cows still roam the open fields.

Oregon is proud of the excellence and tradition the Tillamook County Creamery Association has exemplified over the past 90 years. Tillamook has been a leader locally and nationally in enhancing the visibility of Oregon's dairy industry.

The Tillamook County Creamery is one of Oregon's most popular tourist destinations, drawing visitors from around the globe; so exemplary that Oregon's governor, Governor Kitzhaber, has proclaimed today, July 30, 1999, to be Tillamook Day.

I urge all of my colleagues and the Nation to join me in observing Tillamook Day. If you are ever in Oregon, be sure to come and visit the factory and see how Tillamook's famous cheese is made.

I am proud to represent Tillamook County and the Tillamook County

Creamery, and I want to congratulate them for 90 years of operation in making America's best cheese.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentlewoman from North Carolina (Mrs. CLAYTON) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Mrs. CLAYTON addressed the House. Her remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Texas (Mr. TURNER) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Mr. TURNER addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

THE TAX BILL AND OUR TRADE RELATIONSHIP WITH THE PEOPLES' REPUBLIC OF CHINA

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the Speaker's announced policy of January 6, 1999, the gentleman from California (Mr. SHERMAN) is recognized for 60 minutes as the designee of the minority leader.

THOUGHTS FOR THE PEOPLE OF ATLANTA

Mr. SHERMAN. Madam Speaker, our hearts go out to the people of Atlanta, especially the families of the dead and the wounded. For the next few weeks, our hearts will be troubled by the constant questions: Why? What could have been done? Frankly, I do not have any answers.

For this reason, I will ask Members to indulge me, because I came to the House to speak about other subjects, even though, as much as we would like to concentrate on the fiscal subjects that I would like to address, our hearts will still be with the people of Atlanta.

Madam Speaker, I have come to the House rather hurriedly. I became aware just a few minutes ago that I would be the designee of our side to speak for 1 hour, so I will go through my notes in an effort to comment on the tax bill that recently passed this House, and which I hope will be radically changed by the conference committee before it is resubmitted here.

Then, time permitting, I would like to talk about our trade relationship with the People's Republic of China, because when the House returns after the August break, we may be confronted with a major decision to be made with regard to whether to grant permanent most-favored-nation status or farm trade relations to the Peoples' Republic of China.

Focusing first on the tax bill, I would like to focus on two things: First, the content of the bill. So many speeches have been given on this floor talking about the size of the bill, and I do want to address that.

But there are many more differences between the Democratic position and the Republican position than their bill is three and one-half times the size of ours. Because when we look at the con-

tent of the Republican tax bill and to whom it grants relief, then we will see major differences in philosophy.

□ 1515

Madam Speaker, I spent over 20 years as a CPA, as a tax attorney, and as a tax court judge. I know tax fraud when I see it. The statements made in support of the Republican tax bill rise to the level of tax fraud.

We are told that we are giving people their money back. Yet, we take money from working men and women and provide in this Republican tax bill huge tax breaks to the rich and the special interests.

At least a dozen speakers have risen on this floor to claim that the Republican tax bill eliminates the marriage penalty; and, yet, it provides only minor relief. We are told that it provides tax cuts for working families, but it gives only a few crumbs to those in the bottom two-thirds of income in this country. It is a bill that we are told provides for school construction; and, yet, it provides very little. Likewise, with providing incentives for research.

Madam Speaker, Winston Churchill once remarked in talking about the pilots who saved Britain from the Nazi bombers, "never have so many owed so much to so few." If we enact the Republican tax bill, then it will be said of us as a people "never have so many given so much to so few", because we are asked, as a people of over a quarter billion in number, to give huge tax relief to the top 1 percent of our population.

I see that I am joined by the gentleman from Texas (Mr. TURNER) who would also like to talk about the tax bills that have recently passed this House.

Madam Speaker, I yield to the gentleman from Texas (Mr. TURNER).

Mr. TURNER. Madam Speaker, I want to join with the gentleman from California (Mr. SHERMAN) on this hour of debate, this time that is set aside at the end of the day, to talk about the issues facing us.

I would like to spend just a moment addressing the tax cut proposal that was before the House in the last few days.

The Republican tax message is one cannot trust the Congress to act responsibly with the surplus. They say get the money out of town before it even arrives here yet. It is a little bit ironic to think their theme is one cannot trust the Congress to manage the money wisely when, in fact, the last time I checked, they were in the majority in this House.

Their bill spends a trillion dollars, giving a \$794 billion tax cut that is based on a future guesstimate of a trillion dollar on-budget surplus that is so far in the future that, if one looks at the tax cut year by year over the next 10 years, the tax cut planned in that \$794 billion for next year is only \$5 billion, six-tenths of 1 percent of the total tax cut.

The Federal Government, as my colleagues know, ran annual deficits for 29 years straight and ran up a \$5.6 trillion national debt. The annual interest on that debt exceeds the annual spending, if one can believe this, on all of national security.

The interest on the national debt takes 25 percent of all individual income taxes collected by the Federal Government every year.

Do my colleagues not think that we could be disciplined enough just to run one true budget surplus before we spend what we do not even have yet? If a business had borrowed money from a bank to operate for 29 years straight and, for the first time in 29 years, it showed a small profit, would the business declare a dividend to the stockholders; or would it try to pay down that huge debt they had accumulated? I think the answer is obvious.

Last week, the House had a historic opportunity to do what every businessman or woman, every family in America would do when faced with the choice of paying down debt or passing on that debt to our children, our grandchildren.

By a margin of 9 votes, this House defeated a responsible Democratic alternative that was designed to ensure that we had a reasonable tax cut while preserving Social Security and Medicare. We even had on the floor of the House a motion to recommit that provided that 50 percent of the on-budget surplus would go to paying down the debt, 25 percent for tax cuts, and 25 percent for priority spending needs, such as Medicare and Social Security.

Every Democrat on the floor of this House voted for that responsible alternative. Only one Republican joined us. All the remainder voted against that alternative.

I ask, where have all the fiscal conservatives in the Republican Party gone? Fiscal conservatives do not spend money that we do not even have yet. Fiscal conservatives do not ignore the advice of the Federal Reserve Chairman, Alan Greenspan, who has said over and over again before committees in this House that the best use of the surplus is to pay down debt.

Fiscal conservatives do not gamble with our economic security, our health security, or our retirement security. Fiscal conservatives understand that reducing the national debt lowers interest rates. For example, a 2 percentage point reduction in interest rates on the purchase of a \$90,000 home means a savings of almost \$1,500 a year in mortgage payments for American families. That is \$1,200 more than a family with an income of \$50,000 a year would get from the Republican tax cut plan. That family, under their plan, only gets \$300 a year.

Fiscal conservatives do not gamble with our economic security. They understand that our health security, our retirement security, our economic security is the important thing that must be preserved by the Congress.