

COMMUNICATION FROM THE HONORABLE PETER T. KING, MEMBER OF CONGRESS

The Speaker pro tempore (Mr. NUSSLE) laid before the House the following communication from the Honorable PETER T. KING, Member of Congress:

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,
Washington, DC, July 27, 1999.

Hon. DENNIS HASTERT,
Speaker, House of Representatives,
Washington, DC.

DEAR MR. SPEAKER: This is to formally notify you pursuant to Rule VIII of the Rules of the House and I received a subpoena for documents and testimony issued by the superior Court of the District of Columbia.

After consultation with the Office of General Counsel, I will make the determinations required by Rule VIII.

PETER T. KING.

WAIVING POINTS OF ORDER AGAINST CONFERENCE REPORT ON H.R. 2465, MILITARY CONSTRUCTION APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2000

Mrs. MYRICK. Mr. Speaker, by direction of the Committee on Rules, I call up House Resolution 262 and ask for its immediate consideration.

The Clerk read the resolution, as follows:

H. RES. 262

Resolved, That upon adoption of this resolution it shall be in order to consider the conference report to accompany the bill (H.R. 2465) making appropriations for military construction, family housing, and base realignment and closure for the Department of Defense for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2000, and for other purposes. All points of order against the conference report and against its consideration are waived. The conference report shall be considered as read.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentlewoman from North Carolina (Mrs. MYRICK) is recognized for 1 hour.

Mrs. MYRICK. Mr. Speaker, for the purposes of debate only, I yield the customary 30 minutes to the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. HALL), my good friend, pending which I yield myself such time as I may consume. During consideration of this resolution, all time yielded is for the purpose of debate only.

Mr. Speaker, on Tuesday, the Committee on Rules met and granted a rule for the conference report for H.R. 2465, the Fiscal Year 2000 Military Construction Appropriations Act. The rule waives all points of order against the conference report and against its consideration. The rule also provides that the conference report shall be considered as read.

Mr. Speaker, the United States military is clearly the best in the world. The young men and women in the Navy, Army, Air Force, and Marines are thoroughly dedicated and patriotic professionals, the best our Nation has to offer. So how do we reward them? We pay them with wages that are so low that many military families are forced to eat with food stamps, and we lodge them in substandard, World War

II housing. These, among other reasons, are why we are losing good men and women who stop serving their country because the hardships on their families are too great.

This is inexcusable, and Congress has been working hard to do something about it. This year we passed a 4.8 percent military pay raise, and with this bill, we will improve military housing.

H.R. 2465 provides \$747 million for new housing construction, and \$2.8 billion for the operation and improvement of existing housing. The bill also provides \$964 million for barracks and medical facilities for troops and their families. Finally, because of an increase in two-income and single-parent families, the bill provides \$21 million for child development centers.

Mr. Speaker, H. Res. 262 is a normal conference report rule for a good, non-controversial bill. I urge my colleagues to support this rule and to support the conference report.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. HALL of Ohio. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume. I want to thank the gentlewoman from North Carolina (Mrs. MYRICK) for yielding me the time.

This rule waives all points of order for consideration against the conference report on H.R. 2465, which is the Military Construction Appropriations bill for fiscal year 2000. The bill basically funds construction projects on military bases, including barracks, housing for military families, laboratories, hospitals, training facilities, and other buildings that support our Armed Forces. The bill also funds activities necessary to carry out the last two rounds of base closings and realignments.

This conference report represents the most basic kind of compromise between the House and the Senate versions of the bill. The House bill funded \$8.5 billion in military construction, the Senate amount was \$8.3 billion, and the conferees split the difference, arriving at a total of around \$8.4 billion.

I am pleased that the bill contains \$43.6 million for Wright-Patterson Air Force Base near Dayton, Ohio, which is partially in my district and partially in the 7th Congressional District of the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. HOBSON). Since the days of Orville Wright, the Dayton area has been our Nation's center of military aviation science. Two of the Wright-Patterson projects funded in the bill are laboratories that will maintain the base's world-class research facilities.

Once again, I commend the chairman of the Subcommittee on Military Construction, the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. HOBSON), who has done a great job; and the gentleman from Massachusetts (Mr. OLVER), the ranking minority member, for his work on this bill. This is the first of the 13 appropriations bills to go through the House-Senate conference and reach this stage. It is a

testament to their skill that they have moved so quickly to complete House action on this bill.

Mr. Speaker, I urge adoption of the rule and of the bill.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mrs. MYRICK. Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time, and I move the previous question on the resolution.

The previous question was ordered.

The resolution was agreed to.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. HOBSON. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days within which to revise and extend their remarks on the conference report to accompany H.R. 2465, and that I may include tabular and extraneous material.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Ohio?

There was no objection.

CONFERENCE REPORT ON H.R. 2465, MILITARY CONSTRUCTION APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2000

Mr. HOBSON. Mr. Speaker, pursuant to House Resolution 262, I call up the conference report on the bill (H.R. 2465), making appropriations for military construction, family housing, and base realignment and closure for the Department of Defense for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2000, and for other purposes.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to House Resolution 262, the conference report is considered as having been read.

(For conference report and statement, see proceedings of the House of Tuesday, July 7, 1999 at page H6475.)

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Ohio (Mr. HOBSON) and the gentleman from Massachusetts (Mr. OLVER) each will control 30 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. HOBSON).

Mr. HOBSON. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

The conference report we present to the House today for military construction, family housing and base closure recommends a total appropriation of \$8.4 billion. This represents a \$76 million decrease from last year. It is also \$76 million below the House-passed level.

Overall, the agreement recommends \$3.6 billion for items related to family housing, \$4.1 billion for military construction, and \$670 million for the implementation of base realignments and closures. As always, I want to express my appreciation to all of the members of the subcommittee and especially my ranking member the gentleman from Massachusetts (Mr. OLVER), for his cooperation in crafting this agreement.

This bill represents an investment and working conditions for our military personnel and their families. Mr. Speaker, at this time I will place program that has significant payback in economic terms and better living in the RECORD documentation regarding this bill.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION APPROPRIATIONS BILL (H.R. 2465)
(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 1999 Enacted	FY 2000 Request	House	Senate	Conference	Conference vs. enacted
Military construction, Army.....	865,726	656,003	1,223,405	1,067,422	1,042,033	+176,307
Emergency appropriations (P.L. 105-277)	118,000	-118,000
Advance appropriations, FY 2001	659,536
Total	983,726	1,315,539	1,223,405	1,067,422	1,042,033	+58,307
Military construction, Navy	602,593	319,786	968,862	884,883	901,531	+298,938
Emergency appropriations (P.L. 105-277)	5,860	-5,860
Advance appropriations, FY 2001	502,812
Total	608,453	822,598	968,862	884,883	901,531	+293,078
Military construction, Air Force.....	612,809	179,479	752,367	783,710	777,238	+164,429
Emergency appropriations (P.L. 105-277)	29,200	-29,200
Advance appropriations, FY 2001	379,867
Total	642,009	559,346	752,367	783,710	777,238	+135,229
Military construction, Defense-wide	551,114	193,005	755,718	770,690	593,615	+42,501
Advance appropriations, FY 2001	337,900
Total	551,114	530,905	755,718	770,690	593,615	+42,501
Total, Active components	2,785,302	3,228,388	3,700,352	3,506,705	3,314,417	+529,115
Department of Defense Military Unaccompanied Housing Improvement Fund: Rescission (FY 1997, P.L. 104-196)	-5,000	+5,000
Military construction, Army National Guard	148,803	16,045	135,129	226,734	227,456	+78,653
Emergency appropriations (P.L. 105-277)	2,500	-2,500
Advance appropriations, FY 2001	41,357
Total	151,303	57,402	135,129	226,734	227,456	+76,153
Military construction, Air National Guard	189,801	21,319	180,870	238,545	263,724	+83,923
Emergency appropriations (P.L. 105-277)	15,900	-15,900
Advance appropriations, FY 2001	51,981
Total	185,701	73,300	180,870	238,545	263,724	+78,023
Military construction, Army Reserve	102,119	23,120	92,515	105,817	111,340	+9,221
Advance appropriations, FY 2001	54,506
Total	102,119	77,626	92,515	105,817	111,340	+9,221
Military construction, Naval Reserve	31,621	4,933	21,574	31,475	28,457	-3,164
Advance appropriations, FY 2001	10,020
Total	31,621	14,953	21,574	31,475	28,457	-3,164
Military construction, Air Force Reserve	34,371	12,155	66,549	35,864	64,404	+30,033
Advance appropriations, FY 2001	15,165
Total	34,371	27,320	66,549	35,864	64,404	+30,033
Total, Reserve components	505,115	250,601	496,637	638,435	695,381	+190,266
Military construction transfer fund (emergency appropriations) (P.L. 106-31).....	475,000	-475,000
Total, Military construction	3,760,417	3,478,989	4,196,989	4,145,140	4,009,798	+249,381
Appropriations	(3,118,957)	(1,425,845)	(4,196,989)	(4,145,140)	(4,009,798)	(+890,841)
Rescissions	(-5,000)	(+5,000)
Emergency appropriations	(646,460)	(-646,460)
Advance appropriations	(2,053,144)
NATO Security Investment Program	155,000	191,000	81,000	100,000	81,000	-74,000
Revised economic assumptions	-1,000	+1,000
Total, NATO	154,000	191,000	81,000	100,000	81,000	-73,000
Family housing, Army:						
New construction	107,100	4,400	49,500	24,000	41,000	-66,100
Construction improvements	48,479	5,303	35,400	32,600	35,400	-13,079
Planning and design	6,350	4,300	4,300	4,300	4,300	-2,050
General reduction	-2,639	+2,639
Advance appropriations, FY 2001	43,991
Subtotal, construction	159,290	57,994	89,200	60,900	80,700	-78,590
Operation and maintenance	1,087,697	1,098,080	1,089,812	1,098,080	1,086,312	-1,385
Emergency appropriations (P.L. 105-277)	5,200	-5,200
Total, Family housing, Army	1,252,187	1,156,074	1,179,012	1,158,980	1,167,012	-85,175

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION APPROPRIATIONS BILL (H.R. 2465) — continued
(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 1999 Enacted	FY 2000 Request	House	Senate	Conference	Conference vs. enacted
Family housing, Navy and Marine Corps:						
New construction	58,504	15,182	118,174	115,589	134,674	+76,170
Construction improvements	227,791	31,708	176,670	165,050	189,682	-38,109
Planning and design	15,618	17,715	17,715	17,715	17,715	+2,097
General reduction and revised economic assumptions	-7,323	-1,000	+6,323
Advance appropriations, FY 2001	171,167
Subtotal, construction	294,590	235,772	312,559	298,354	341,071	+46,481
Operation and maintenance	910,293	895,070	895,070	895,070	891,470	-18,823
Emergency appropriations (P.L. 105-277)	10,599	-10,599
Total, Family housing, Navy	1,215,482	1,130,842	1,207,629	1,193,424	1,232,541	+17,059
Family housing, Air Force:						
New construction	175,099	50,418	203,411	187,611	203,411	+28,312
Construction improvements	104,108	34,280	124,492	129,952	129,952	+25,844
Planning and design	11,342	17,093	17,093	17,471	17,093	+5,751
General reduction and revised economic assumptions	-10,584	-1,000	+9,584
Advance appropriations, FY 2001	215,222
Subtotal, construction	279,965	317,013	344,996	335,034	349,456	+69,491
Operation and maintenance	780,204	821,892	821,892	821,892	818,392	+38,188
Emergency appropriations (P.L. 105-277)	22,233	-22,233
Total, Family housing, Air Force	1,082,402	1,138,905	1,166,888	1,156,926	1,167,848	+85,446
Family housing, Defense-wide:						
Construction improvements	345	50	50	50	50	-295
Operation and maintenance	36,899	41,440	41,440	41,440	41,440	+4,541
Total, Family housing, Defense-wide	37,244	41,490	41,490	41,490	41,490	+4,246
Family housing revitalization:						
Department of Defense Family Housing Improvement Fund	2,000	78,756	2,000	25,000	2,000
Total, Family housing	3,589,315	3,546,067	3,597,019	3,600,820	3,610,891	+21,576
New construction	(340,703)	(70,000)	(371,085)	(327,200)	(379,085)	(+38,382)
Construction improvements	(380,723)	(71,341)	(336,612)	(327,652)	(355,084)	(-25,639)
Planning and design	(33,310)	(39,108)	(39,108)	(39,486)	(39,108)	(+5,798)
General reduction	(-20,546)	(-2,000)	(+18,546)
Operation and maintenance	(2,815,093)	(2,856,482)	(2,848,214)	(2,856,482)	(2,837,614)	(+22,521)
Family Housing Improvement Fund	(2,000)	(78,756)	(2,000)	(25,000)	(2,000)
Emergency appropriations	(38,032)	(-38,032)
Advance appropriations	(430,380)
Base realignment and closure accounts:						
Part III	427,164	-427,164
Part IV	1,197,338	705,911	705,911	705,911	672,311	-525,027
Advance appropriations, FY 2001	577,306
Total, Base realignment and closure accounts	1,624,502	1,283,217	705,911	705,911	672,311	-952,191
General Provisions						
Family housing, Navy and Marine Corps (FY99 Sec. 125)	6,000	-6,000
Contingency reduction (sec. 125)	-131,177	-278,051
Grand total:						
New budget (obligational) authority	9,134,234	8,499,273	8,449,742	8,273,820	8,374,000	-780,234
Appropriations	(8,454,742)	(5,438,443)	(8,449,742)	(8,273,820)	(8,374,000)	(-80,742)
Rescissions	(-5,000)	(+5,000)
Emergency appropriations	(684,492)	(-684,492)
Advance appropriations	(3,060,830)

I urge my colleagues to support this conference report.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. OLVER. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

As I think all of the Members understand, the military construction appropriations bill serving as a guardian of the quality of life of men and women who serve in America's military. Both the Chairman and I would have preferred to do more. We have a serious backlog with respect to the quality of life facilities barracks, housing, and other things that come under our jurisdiction. Yet, we find ourselves ultimately in this bill, \$76 million, as the Chairman has already said, below last year's funding level. That funding level has allowed us to address only the most pressing workplace and housing needs that we can see. Yet, that has been done, I think, in a very effective manner, given the constraints.

Mr. Speaker, I want to thank the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. HOBSON), the chairman of the subcommittee, for his fair-minded and outstanding leadership in putting this bill together at all stages along the way. All of the members of the subcommittee, along with the gentleman from Florida (Mr. YOUNG), the chairman of the full committee, and the gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. OBEY), the ranking member of the full committee, as well as all of the members of the subcommittee, have taken an active role from day 1 in the construction of this legislation as it made its way to where we are today.

Of course, we all realize that the staff puts in enormously long hours and hard work, and I especially want to thank the clerk of the subcommittee, Liz Dawson and her staff, Brian Potts and Cindi Britten for their work, as well as thanking Tom Forhan, the staff member for the minority.

This is a solid bipartisan agreement that deserves the support of all Members of the House.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. HOBSON. Mr. Speaker, I yield such time as he may consume to the gentleman from Nebraska (Mr. BEREUTER).

(Mr. BEREUTER asked and was given permission to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. BEREUTER. Mr. Speaker, I rise in strong support of the conference report.

Mr. Speaker, this Member rises to thank the distinguished gentleman from Ohio (Mr. HOBSON), Chairman of the Military Construction Appropriations Subcommittee, the distinguished gentleman from Massachusetts (Mr. OLVER), Ranking Member of the Subcommittee, and the other members of the conference committee for including funding for a new Army Reserve Center in Lincoln, Nebraska in the Military Construction conference report.

The Army Reserve in Lincoln, Nebraska, currently leases a building assigned to the Agriculture Campus of the University of Nebraska

in Lincoln. The University's plans for expanding its classroom space are being hindered by the Army Reserve's occupancy. Of late, the desire of the University to reclaim the facility has become more pressing. The Nebraska Army Reserve needs to construct a new building to serve as its Center.

The Nebraska Army Reserve has identified an alternative to the current situation, but it lacks the funding needed to get it out of the starting blocks. Therefore, \$1.3 million is needed to proceed with land acquisition and to develop preliminary design specifications. This Member is pleased that the Nebraska Army Reserve's request for "seed money" in the amount of \$1.3 million to fund the planning and acquisition of land for this relocated Center is included in the conference agreement.

Our colleges and universities have enough challenges. Forcing them to delay, or work around, improvements to and expansion of their programs should not be unnecessarily adding to those challenges. We ask our military personnel to make enough sacrifices. Depriving them of modern, badly needed facilities should not be one of them.

Mr. Speaker, in closing, this Member thanks the Subcommittee for these much needed funds and urges his colleagues to support the conference report.

Mr. WELLER. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to lend my strong support for passage of H.R. 2465, the Fiscal Year 2000 Military Construction Appropriations Act Conference Report.

This \$8.4 billion measure recognizes the needs of our military infrastructure, continues our efforts at base closure and realignment, and most importantly puts military families first. One of the much needed items in this bill to improve the quality of life for our people in uniform is the \$10.952 million appropriation for the construction of the Marseilles National Guard Training Facility in my Congressional District.

The Marseilles complex has been requested by the Illinois Department of Military Affairs and the Pentagon since 1994. Not until this year did the President recognize the need for this facility and I am pleased that President Clinton included funding for this project in his FY 2000 budget. This facility would be the first permanent training complex for the National Guard in the State of Illinois, serving all of the 10,245 members of the Guard in Illinois. Currently, members of the Illinois National Guard are forced to travel to bases in Wisconsin and Kentucky some as far as 350 miles away to conduct routine maneuvers. As you can imagine, this places a severe stress on the scope and timing of military operations, and even greater stress on the members of the Guard and their families.

Marseilles site is easily accessible from Interstate 80 and it is in close proximity to Interstates 39 & 55, Chicago, Joliet, and Springfield. The Marseilles site is currently used by the Guard for small training exercises that are conducted out of tents and military vehicles with restrooms facilities consisting of portable toilets that are of an unacceptable condition for these troops. The proposed complex in Marseilles would reduce travel time and from training for most Illinois Guard members and would include barracks and dining facilities that would help to boost morale and retention within the ranks. The immediate construction of the Marseilles complex would provide the multiple benefits of substantially help-

ing local business, spurring development in the undeveloped area south of the Illinois River, while providing a convenient training site that will help to ensure troop readiness and an acceptable quality of life.

Mr. Speaker, I extend my deep appreciation to Chairman HOBSON of the Military Construction Subcommittee, and on behalf of the residents and small business owners of Marseilles and the over 10,000 members of the Illinois National Guard I say thank you for helping to get this important project underway.

Mr. OLVER. Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. HOBSON. Mr. Speaker, I have no further requests for time, I yield back the balance of my time, and I move the previous question on the conference report.

The previous question was ordered. The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the conference report. Pursuant to clause 10 of rule XX, the yeas and nays are ordered.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 412, nays 8, not voting 13, as follows:

[Roll No. 343]

YEAS—412

Abercrombie	Chabot	Foley
Ackerman	Chambliss	Forbes
Aderholt	Chenoweth	Ford
Allen	Clay	Fossella
Andrews	Clayton	Fowler
Archer	Clement	Frank (MA)
Armeny	Clyburn	Franks (NJ)
Bachus	Coble	Frelinghuysen
Baird	Coburn	Frost
Baker	Collins	Gallegly
Baldacci	Combest	Ganske
Baldwin	Condit	Gejdenson
Ballenger	Conyers	Gekas
Barcia	Cook	Gephardt
Barr	Cooksey	Gibbons
Barrett (NE)	Costello	Gilchrest
Bartlett	Cox	Gillmor
Barton	Coyne	Gilman
Bass	Cramer	Gonzalez
Bateman	Crane	Goode
Bentsen	Crowley	Goodlatte
Bereuter	Cummings	Goodling
Berkley	Cunningham	Gordon
Berman	Danner	Goss
Berry	Davis (FL)	Graham
Biggert	Davis (IL)	Granger
Bilbray	Davis (VA)	Green (TX)
Bilirakis	Deal	Green (WI)
Bishop	DeFazio	Greenwood
Blagojevich	DeGette	Gutierrez
Biley	Delahunt	Gutknecht
Blumenauer	DeLauro	Hall (OH)
Blunt	DeLay	Hall (TX)
Boehlert	DeMint	Hansen
Boehner	Deutsch	Hastings (FL)
Bonilla	Diaz-Balart	Hastings (WA)
Bonior	Dicks	Hayes
Bono	Dingell	Hayworth
Borski	Dixon	Herger
Boswell	Doggett	Hill (IN)
Boucher	Doolley	Hill (MT)
Boyd	Doolittle	Hilleary
Brady (PA)	Doyle	Hilliard
Brady (TX)	Dreier	Hinchey
Brown (FL)	Duncan	Hinojosa
Brown (OH)	Dunn	Hobson
Bryant	Edwards	Hoeffel
Burr	Ehlers	Hoekstra
Burton	Ehrlich	Holden
Buyer	Emerson	Holt
Callahan	Engel	Hooley
Calvert	English	Horn
Camp	Eshoo	Hostettler
Campbell	Etheridge	Houghton
Canady	Evans	Hoyer
Cannon	Everett	Hulshof
Capps	Ewing	Hunter
Capuano	Farr	Hutchinson
Cardin	Fattah	Hyde
Carson	Filner	Inslee
Castle	Fletcher	Isakson

Istook	Maloney (CT)	Olver	Sanchez	Spence	Udall (CO)
Jackson (IL)	Maloney (NY)	Ortiz	Sanders	Spratt	Udall (NM)
Jackson-Lee	Manzullo	Ose	Sandlin	Stabenow	Upton
(TX)	Markey	Owens	Sanford	Stearns	Velazquez
Jefferson	Martinez	Oxley	Sawyer	Stenholm	Vento
Jenkins	Mascara	Packard	Saxton	Strickland	Visclosky
John	Matsui	Pallone	Scarborough	Stump	Vitter
Johnson (CT)	McCarthy (MO)	Pascrell	Schaffer	Stupak	Walden
Johnson, E. B.	McCarthy (NY)	Pastor	Schakowsky	Scott	Walsh
Johnson, Sam	McCollum	Payne	Serrano	Sweeney	Wamp
Jones (NC)	McCrary	Pease	Sessions	Talent	Waters
Kanjorski	McGovern	Pelosi	Shadegg	Tancredo	Watkins
Kaptur	McHugh	Peterson (MN)	Shaw	Tanner	Watt (NC)
Kasich	McInnis	Petri	Shays	Tauscher	Watts (OK)
Kelly	McIntosh	Phelps	Sherman	Tauzin	Waxman
Kennedy	McIntyre	Pickering	Pickett	Taylor (MS)	Weldon (FL)
Kildee	McKeon	Pitts	Shimkus	Taylor (NC)	Weldon (PA)
Kilpatrick	McKinney	Pombo	Shows	Terry	Weller
Kind (WI)	McNulty	Price (NC)	Shuster	Thomas	Wexler
King (NY)	Meehan	Pomeroy	Simpson	Thompson (MS)	Weygand
Kingston	Meek (FL)	Porter	Sisisky	Thornberry	Whitfield
Klink	Meeks (NY)	Portman	Skeen	Thune	Wilson
Knollenberg	Menendez	Pryce (OH)	Slaughter	Thurman	Wise
Colbe	Metcalf	Quinn	Smith (MI)	Tiahrt	Wolf
Kucinich	Mica	Reyes	Smith (NJ)	Tierney	Woolsey
Kuykendall	Millender-	Reynolds	Smith (TX)	Toomey	Wu
LaFalce	McDonald	Rahall	Smith (WA)	Towns	Wynn
LaHood	Miller (FL)	Ramstad	Snyder	Traficant	Young (AK)
Lampson	Miller, Gary	Rangel	Souder	Turner	Young (FL)
Lantos	Miller, George	Regula			
Largent	Minge	Reyes			
Larson	Mink	Barrett (WI)			
Latham	Moakley	Hefley			
LaTourette	Mollohan	Kleczka			
Lazio	Moore				
Leach	Moran (KS)				
Lee	Moran (VA)				
Levin	Morella				
Lewis (CA)	Murtha				
Lewis (GA)	Myrick				
Lewis (KY)	Nadler				
Linder	Napolitano				
LoBiondo	Nethercutt				
Lofgren	Ney				
Lowey	Northup				
Lucas (KY)	Nussle				
Lucas (OK)	Oberstar				
Luther	Obey				

NAYS—8

NOT VOTING—13

□ 1119

Messrs. SENSENBRENNER, KLECKZKA and BARRETT of Wisconsin changed their vote from "yea" to "nay."

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. ISTOOK. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days within which to revise and extend their remarks on the bill (H.R. 2587), making appropriations for the government of the District of Columbia and other activities chargeable in whole or in part against revenues of said District for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2000, and for other purposes, and that I may include tabular and extraneous material.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. NUSSLE). Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Oklahoma?

There was no objection.

NOTICE

Incomplete record of House proceedings. Except for concluding business which follows, today's House proceedings will be continued in the next issue of the Record.

LEAVE OF ABSENCE

By unanimous consent, leave of absence was granted to:

Mr. SKELTON (at the request of Mr. GEPHARDT) for today and July 30 on account of official business.

SPECIAL ORDERS GRANTED

By unanimous consent, permission to address the House, following the legislative program and any special orders heretofore entered, was granted to:

(The following Members (at the request of Mr. HAYWORTH) to revise and extend their remarks and include extraneous material:)

Mr. GOSS, for 5 minutes, July 30.

Mrs. MORELLA, for 5 minutes, today.

Mr. ISAKSON, for 5 minutes, today.

Mr. SCHAFER, for 5 minutes, today.

Mr. PAUL, for 5 minutes, August 2.

SENATE BILLS REFERRED

Bills of the Senate of the following titles were taken from the Speaker's table and, under the rule, referred as follows:

S. 305. An act to reform unfair and anti-competitive practices in the professional

boxing industry; to the Committee on Commerce; in addition to the Committee on Education and the Workforce for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

S. 918. An act to authorize the Small Business Administration to provide financial and business development assistance to military reservists' small businesses, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Small Business.

ENROLLED BILL SIGNED

Mr. THOMAS, from the Committee on House Administration, reported that that committee had examined and found truly enrolled a bill of the House of the following title, which was theretofore signed by the Speaker:

H.R. 66. An act to preserve the cultural resources of the Route 66 corridor and to authorize the Secretary of the Interior to provide assistance.

BILL PRESENTED TO THE PRESIDENT

Mr. THOMAS, from the Committee on House Administration, reported that that committee did on this day present to the President, for his ap-

proval, a bill of the House of the following title:

H.R. 66. An act to preserve the cultural resources of the Route 66 corridor and to authorize the Secretary of the Interior to provide assistance.

ADJOURNMENT

Mr. HAYWORTH. Mr. Speaker, I move that the House do now adjourn.

The motion was agreed to; accordingly (at 12 o'clock and 14 minutes a.m.), the House adjourned until today, Friday, July 30, 1999, at 9 a.m.

EXECUTIVE COMMUNICATIONS, ETC.

Under clause 8 of rule XII, executive communications were taken from the Speaker's table and referred as follows:

3254. A letter from the Acting Executive Director, Commodity Futures Trading Commission, transmitting the Commission's final rule—Chicago Board of Trade Petition for Exemption From the Statutory Dual Trading Prohibition in the Ten-Year U.S. Treasury Note Futures Contract Traded on the Project A Electronic Trading System—received June 28, 1999, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Agriculture.