

the capital of the United States of America.

Mr. FARR of California. Mr. Chairman, I rise to congratulate my colleagues, Chairman ISTOOK and Ranking Member MORAN, on a fine bill that they have put together.

Though I disagree with certain portions of it—specifically those prohibiting the use of local funds for abortion and the local domestic partner law—I believe the bill is generally even handed.

There is one issue I wish to raise, however, that is not addressed in this bill and has never, to my knowledge been raised before: pit bulls.

The recent death of a veteran firefighter on the DC fire squad because of a pit bull attack during a fire run is only the latest of tragedies associated with vicious pit bull attacks.

I am an animal lover and for the most part will give animals the benefit of the doubt for their right to share this planet with us. I abhor animal cruelty and am grateful for the support I received from this House in passing a partial ban on steel-jaw leghold just traps two weeks ago.

But this city has a problem with maintaining proper control over pit bulls and Firefighter Robinson was only the most recent addition to a sad list of statistics.

According to Mary Healy, Executive Director of the Washington Humane Society, over 1/3 of all the animals that come into their animal shelters every year is a pit bull. Just think of it: of all the breeds of all the dogs out there, one breed overwhelmingly dominates like no other. These dogs are turned in or found or captured because they are not suitable as pets. It is the nature of this beast to be other-animal aggressive which leads to unprovoked attacks on other dogs and by proximity, on people. As such they pose a public health and safety threat and for this reason the Humane Society supports full ban on pit bulls.

Originally I had considered offering an amendment to this bill specifically calling on the DC Council to do something about this problem. I will refrain from doing so only because I have learned that the DC Council is moving in the right direction on this issue due to the leadership of Councilmember Carol Schwartz. Ms. Schwartz in March introduced strong legislation that would put sensible restrictions on pit bull ownership in the District. I applaud her vision and dedication to solving this troublesome aspect of life in DC. I understand from Councilmember Schwartz that she has been guaranteed a hearing in October by Sandy Allen, Councilmember from Ward 8 and Chairperson of the Council Committee on Human Services. I fully hope to see the Council enact Ms. Schwartz's legislation on an emergency basis and work toward a more permanent solution—maybe even an out-and-out ban like that enacted in Prince Georges County, Maryland—within the next several months.

We can't wait for the next headline to tell us of the next tragedy of a person hurt or maimed or even killed by these vicious dogs. Firefighter Robinson gave his life; Councilmember Schwartz has the answer. Congress should honor the memory of fireman Robinson by during the Council to pass Ms. Schwartz's bill . . . and if the Council won't act then I will see that Congress does.

Mr. PORTMAN. Mr. Chairman, I rise today to comment on the District of Columbia Appropriations legislation. I commend the sub-

committee, its Chairman [Mr. ISTOOK] and the full committee for their work on this important legislation.

As someone with a strong interest in reducing substance abuse through demand reduction—and as co-chairman of the Speaker's Working Group for a Drug-Free America—I'd like to comment on a provision of this legislation that is of particular interest to the drug prevention and education community.

DRUG TESTING FOR PRISONERS AND PAROLEES

I commend the gentleman from Oklahoma for including funding in this program for universal drug testing and screening of incarcerated prisoners and parolees. Today, 80% of incarcerated prisoners in this nation were either under the influence of drugs or alcohol, were regular drug users or violated drug and alcohol laws at the time they committed their crimes. Remarkably, in 1996, more than 1.5 million were arrested for substance abuse-related offenses. Worse yet, those who go to prison without effective treatment for their addiction tend to wind up back in the criminal justice system in the future.

Substance abuse contributes to many of our worst social ills—violence, child and spousal abuse, robbery, theft and vandalism. As a result, our judicial system is overwhelmed with substance abusers. You would think, when a criminal is locked up for a drug-related offense, the prison itself would be a drug-free environment and the prisoner would be forced to get drug treatment.

But our prisons are often bastions of drug abuse. Only 13% of prisoners receive any sort of treatment for their drug problem at all and many of those treatment programs are considered inadequate.

Unfortunately, the drug habits of thousands of these individuals continue and sometimes worsen in prison. So it's no surprise that, according to statistics from the National Center on Addiction and Substance Abuse, 50% of state parole and probation violators were under the influence of drugs, alcohol or both when they committed their new offense. In other words, these individuals continue to be a menace to society because their drug problems are not addressed behind bars.

There are a number of steps we can take to stop the revolving door of incarceration, parole and re-arrest—including the successful drug courts at the local level that use the threat of prison to get people to address their drug habits through treatment. At the national level, a recent Federal Bureau of Prisons study showed that inmates who receive treatment are 73% less likely to be re-arrested than untreated inmates.

That's why I introduced the Drug-Free Prisons and Jails Act last year, which established a model program for comprehensive substance abuse treatment in the criminal justice system to reduce drug abuse, drug-related crime and the costs associated with incarceration.

And that's why I'm pleased to support the drug testing program in this legislation before us today. By identifying criminals and parolees in the District of Columbia with drug addiction problems, we will help to reduce crime in our nation's capital—and we will stop the costly revolving door of drug addiction and incarceration in the DC prison system.

Mr. ISTOOK. Mr. Chairman, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Committee do now rise.

The motion was agreed to.

Accordingly, the Committee rose; and the Speaker pro tempore (Mr. HILL of Montana) having assumed the chair, Mr. BEREUTER, Chairman of the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union, reported that that Committee, having had under consideration the bill (H.R. 2587) making appropriations for the government of the District of Columbia and other activities chargeable in whole or in part against revenues of said District for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2000, and for other purposes, had come to no resolution thereon.

□ 2145

COMMUNICATION FROM THE HONORABLE GARY L. ACKERMAN, MEMBER OF CONGRESS

The Speaker pro tempore (Mr. Hill of Montana) laid before the House the following communication from the Honorable Gary L. ACKERMAN, Member of Congress:

JULY 23, 1999.

Hon. J. DENNIS HASTERT,
Speaker, House of Representatives,
Washington, DC.

DEAR MR. SPEAKER: This is to formally notify you pursuant to Rule VIII of the Rules of the House that I received a subpoena for documents and testimony issued by the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York.

After consultation with the Office of General Counsel, I have determined to comply with the subpoena to the extent that it is consistent with Rule VIII.

Sincerely,

GARY L. ACKERMAN,
Member of Congress.

APPOINTMENT OF MEMBERS TO ATTEND THE FUNERAL OF THE LATE HONORABLE GEORGE E. BROWN, JR.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the provisions of House Resolution 252, the Chair announces the Speaker's appointment of the following Members of the House to the committee to attend the funeral of the late George E. Brown, Jr.

Mr. STARK, California.
Mr. HASTERT, Illinois.
Mr. GEPHARDT, Missouri.
Mr. BONIOR, Michigan.
Mr. GEORGE MILLER, California.
Mr. WAXMAN, California.
Mr. DIXON, California.
Mr. LEWIS, California.
Mr. MATSUI, California.
Mr. THOMAS, California.
Mr. DREIER, California.
Mr. HUNTER, California.
Mr. LANTOS, California.
Mr. MARTINEZ, California.
Mr. BERMAN, California.
Mr. PACKARD, California.
Mr. GALLEGLY, California.
Mr. HERGER, California.
Ms. PELOSI, California.
Mr. COX, California.
Mr. ROHRABACHER, California.
Mr. CONDIT, California.

Mr. CUNNINGHAM, California.
 Mr. DOOLEY, California.
 Mr. DOOLITTLE, California.
 Ms. WATERS, California.
 Mr. BECERRA, California.
 Mr. CALVERT, California.
 Ms. ESHOO, California.
 Mr. FILNER, California.
 Mr. HORN, California.
 Mr. MCKEON, California.
 Mr. POMBO, California.
 Ms. ROYBAL-ALLARD, California.
 Mr. ROYCE, California.
 Ms. WOOLSEY, California.
 Mr. FARR, California.
 Mr. BILBRAY, California.
 Ms. LOFGREN, California.
 Mr. RADANOVICH, California.
 Mr. CAMPBELL, California.
 Ms. MILLENDER-MCDONALD, California.
 Mr. ROGAN, California.
 Mr. SHERMAN, California.
 Ms. SANCHEZ, California.
 Mrs. TAUSCHER, California.
 Mrs. CAPPIS, California.
 Mrs. BONO, California.
 Ms. LEE, California.
 Mr. KUYKENDALL, California.
 Mr. GARY MILLER, California.
 Mrs. NAPOLITANO, California.
 Mr. OSE, California.
 Mr. THOMPSON, California.
 Mr. OBEY, Wisconsin.
 Mr. KILDEE, Michigan.
 Mr. SENENBRENNER, Wisconsin.
 Mr. KILDEE, Michigan.
 Mr. SENENBRENNER, Wisconsin.
 Mr. HALL, Texas.
 Mr. BOEHLERT, New York.
 Mr. BARTON, Texas.
 Mr. GORDON, Tennessee.
 Mr. COSTELLO, Illinois.
 Mr. FALEOMAVAEGA, American Samoa.
 Mr. McNULTY, New York.
 Mr. ROEMER, Indiana.
 Mr. BARCIA, Michigan.
 Ms. EDDIE BERNICE JOHNSON, Texas.
 Mr. EHLERS, Michigan.
 Ms. RIVERS, Michigan.
 Mr. LAMPSON, Texas.
 Mr. HOLT, New Jersey.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. SMITH of Michigan. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days within which to revise and extend their remarks and to include extraneous material on the subject of the special order today by the gentleman from Florida (Mr. BILIRAKIS).

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Michigan?

There was no objection.

TRIBUTE TO PARKER HIGH SCHOOL, BIRMINGHAM, ALABAMA

(Mrs. JONES of Ohio asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend her remarks.)

Mrs. JONES of Ohio. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to pay tribute to Parker

High School for its efforts in eliminating color barriers in public education in Birmingham, Alabama, and across the United States. I would like to thank my colleague, the gentleman from Alabama (Mr. HILLIARD), for joining me in this tribute to recognize Parker High School.

Mr. Speaker, it is important to salute Parker for the significant contributions it has made in educating African Americans. My father, Andrew Tubbs, and my uncles, William Burns and Bernard Sherrell, are graduates of Parker High School.

Parker High School was, at one time, considered the world's largest historically African American high school. The school was named after Arthur H. Parker, a teacher in Birmingham, who established the first school in 1899.

Mr. Speaker, I have heard many good things from my family members about how this school has done an excellent job in preparing its students to be leaders in their respective fields.

Parker High School boasts many firsts, for example, graduated the largest number of students at an African-American high school in U.S. history. And also boasts of an enrollment of 3,702 students fifty years ago. Many of their students participated in the Civil Rights Movement and have become well-known business, professional, and civic leaders in cities across our great Nation.

During the 1950s, Parker High School raised its academic standard above all other schools in the State, which gave its students what many considered the best education in Alabama. Some of its graduates include Arthur Shores, the first African American admitted to the Alabama Bar; Bernice Spraggs, Chicago Defender Washington correspondent; James W. Ford, Communist candidate for Vice President in 1936; Shelton "Sead" Hemphill, the trumpet player for Duke Ellington; and Laura Washington, vocalist with Erskine Hawkins.

Many of their alumni have been respected community leaders in New York, Chicago, and my hometown of Cleveland, which is part of the 11th Congressional District that I represent.

I congratulate Parker's class of 1951, who will hold its reunion on Friday, July 30, in Cleveland, Ohio. As a guest speaker, I will help the class celebrate its history and discuss their theme of "Crossing the Bridge to the 21st Century, By Passing our Legacy on to our Heirs."

SPECIAL ORDERS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the Speaker's announced policy of January 6, 1999, and under a previous order of the House, the following Members will be recognized for 5 minutes each.

IN RECOGNITION OF DEDICATED SERVICE BY MR. ROBERT TOBIAS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Maryland (Mr. HOYER) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. HOYER. Mr. Speaker, I rise this evening to recognize the outstanding efforts of Robert Tobias on behalf of Federal employees. After 31 years of service to the National Treasury Employees Union and 16 years as its president, Bob is retiring to spend more time with his family.

Words alone cannot adequately explain the impact Bob Tobias has had over the past 31 years. To say that he is a leader in the Federal employee community simply does not do him or the contributions that he has made justice.

Bob has built NTEU from a union of 22,000 members located solely in the Treasury Department to a union of 155,000 employees representing Federal employees in 22 agencies. Legislatively, I cannot think of one major gain that Federal employees have made since I was elected to Congress in 1981 that has not had Bob Tobias' hand in it.

The list of accomplishments is impressive: helping to create the Federal Employee Retirement System; suing the Nixon administration and recovering \$533 million of back pay owed to Federal employees; allowing CSRS-covered Federal employee to have another FERS open season when he won a Supreme Court case challenging the President's use of the line item veto power; IRS restructuring; assisting me in passing the Federal Employees Pay Comparability Act; working to institute alternative work schedules; telecommuting; and on-site child care for Federal employees.

The one area where I think Bob's influence was most deeply felt was the creation of partnership in the workplace and in the reinvention of government. When Vice President Gore's reinvention efforts began, the Federal workplace was at a crossroads. The old adversarial relationship between labor and management simply was not working. Government needed to be more efficient and accomplish more with less resources and personnel.

Participating with the reinvention effort was not easy. It took courage and vision, because, Mr. Speaker, part of the effort called for downsizing the Federal work force to its lowest level since the Kennedy administration. At that time, reinvention and partnership had a lot of detractors, but Bob Tobias and the late AFGE president, John Sturdivant, had a vision and took the risk. They took the risk, and I believe for the first time the talent of the rank-and-file employees started to be harnessed.

It paid off, Mr. Speaker, because bargaining unit employees for the first time got a seat at the table. They got a say in how their agency was run. This risk did not only benefit the members that Bob represented but ultimately paid off for the American taxpayer, who benefited from a more efficient and responsive government.

In his letter to chapter presidents in February, Bob wrote, and I quote: