

Radanovich	Shays	Thune
Rahall	Sherman	Thurman
Ramstad	Sherwood	Tiaht
Rangel	Shimkus	Tierney
Regula	Shows	Toomey
Reyes	Shuster	Traficant
Reynolds	Simpson	Turner
Riley	Sisisky	Udall (CO)
Rivers	Skeen	Udall (NM)
Rodriguez	Skelton	Upton
Roemer	Slaughter	Velazquez
Rogan	Smith (MI)	Vento
Rogers	Smith (NJ)	Visclosky
Rohrabacher	Smith (TX)	Vitter
Ros-Lehtinen	Smith (WA)	Walden
Rothman	Snyder	Walsh
Roukema	Souder	Wamp
Royal-Allard	Spence	Waters
Royce	Spratt	Watkins
Rush	Stabenow	Watt (NC)
Ryan (WI)	Stearns	Watts (OK)
Ryun (KS)	Stenholm	Waxman
Sabo	Strickland	Weiner
Salmon	Stump	Weldon (FL)
Sanchez	Stupak	Weldon (PA)
Sanders	Sununu	Weller
Sandlin	Sweeney	Wexler
Sanford	Talent	Weygand
Sawyer	Tancredo	Whitfield
Saxton	Tanner	Wicker
Scarborough	Tauscher	Wilson
Schaffer	Tauzin	Wise
Schakowsky	Taylor (MS)	Wolf
Scott	Taylor (NC)	Woolsey
Sensenbrenner	Terry	Wu
Serrano	Thomas	Wynn
Sessions	Thompson (CA)	Young (AK)
Shadegg	Thompson (MS)	Young (FL)
Shaw	Thornberry	

NOT VOTING—17

Abercrombie	Fattah	McDermott
Baker	Hinchey	Ortiz
Coble	Holden	Peterson (PA)
Combest	Jefferson	Stark
Danner	Kennedy	Towns
English	Lewis (GA)	

□ 1127

So (two-thirds having voted in favor thereof), the rules were suspended and the concurrent resolution, as amended, was agreed to.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

Stated for:

Mr. COMBEST. Mr. Speaker, on rollcall No. 311, I was inadvertently detained. Had I been present, I would have voted "yes."

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. FRANKS of New Jersey. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days within which to revise and extend their remarks and include extraneous material on H. Con. Res. 158, as amended, the measure just passed by the House.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from New Jersey?

There was no objection.

AMERICAN EMBASSY SECURITY ACT OF 1999

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. LAHOOD). Pursuant to House Resolution 247 and rule XVIII, the Chair declares the House in the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union for the further consideration of the bill, H.R. 2415.

□ 1128

IN THE COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

Accordingly, the House resolved itself into the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union for the further consideration of the bill (H.R. 2415) to enhance security of United States missions and personnel overseas, to authorize appropriations for the Department of State for fiscal year 2000, and for other purposes, with Mr. CALVERT (Chairman pro tempore) in the chair.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

□ 1130

The CHAIRMAN pro tempore (Mr. CALVERT). When the Committee of the Whole rose on Monday, July 19, 1999, amendment No. 13 printed in Part B of House Report 106-235 offered by the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. KUCINICH) had been disposed of.

SEQUENTIAL VOTES POSTPONED IN COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

The CHAIRMAN pro tempore. Pursuant to House Resolution 247, proceedings will now resume on those amendments on which further proceedings were postponed in the following order: Amendment No. 3 printed in Part A offered by the gentleman from California (Mr. CAMPBELL) as a substitute for amendment No. 2 printed in Part A offered by the gentleman from New Jersey (Mr. SMITH); amendment No. 6 printed in Part B offered by the gentleman from South Carolina (Mr. SANFORD); amendment No. 8 printed in Part B offered by the gentleman from Texas (Mr. PAUL).

The Chair will reduce to 5 minutes the time for any electronic vote after the first vote in this series.

AMENDMENT NO. 3 OFFERED BY MR. CAMPBELL AS A SUBSTITUTE FOR AMENDMENT NO. 2 OFFERED BY MR. SMITH OF NEW JERSEY

The CHAIRMAN pro tempore. The unfinished business is the demand for a recorded vote on the amendment No. 3 offered by the gentleman from California (Mr. CAMPBELL) on which further proceedings were postponed and on which the ayes prevailed by voice vote.

The Clerk will redesignate the amendment.

The text of the amendment offered as a substitute for the amendment is as follows:

Part A amendment No. 3 offered by Mr. CAMPBELL as a substitute for Part A amendment No. 2 offered by Mr. SMITH of New Jersey:

Page 19, strike line 1, and all that follows through line 17 on page 21, and insert the following:

(d) CONTRIBUTIONS TO UNITED NATIONS POPULATION FUND.—

(1) LIMITATIONS ON AMOUNT OF CONTRIBUTION.—Of the amounts made available under subsection (a), not more than \$25,000,000 for fiscal year 2000 shall be available for the United Nations Population Fund (hereinafter in this subsection referred to as the "UNFPA").

(2) PROHIBITION ON USE OF FUNDS IN CHINA.—None of the funds made available under subsection (a) may be made available for the UNFPA for a country program in the People's Republic of China.

(3) CONDITIONS ON AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS.—Amounts made available under subsection (a) for fiscal year 2000 for the UNFPA may not be made available to the UNFPA unless—

(A) the UNFPA maintains amounts made available to the UNFPA under this section in an account separate from other accounts of the UNFPA;

(B) the UNFPA does not commingle amounts made available to the UNFPA under this section with other sums; and

(C) the UNFPA does not fund abortions.

(4) REPORT TO CONGRESS AND WITHHOLDING OF FUNDS.—

(A) Not later than February 15, 2000, the Secretary of State shall submit a report to the appropriate congressional committees indicating the amount of funds that the United Nations Population Fund is budgeting for the years in which the report is submitted for a country program in the People's Republic of China.

(B) If a report under subparagraph (A) indicates that the United Nations Population Fund plans to spend funds for a country program in the People's Republic of China in the year covered by the report, then the amount of such funds that the UNFPA plans to spend in the People's Republic of China shall be deducted from the funds made available to the UNFPA after March 1 for obligation for the remainder of the fiscal year in which the report is submitted.

RECORDED VOTE

The CHAIRMAN pro tempore. A recorded vote has been demanded.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 221, noes 198, not voting 14, as follows:

[Roll No. 312]

AYES—221

Abercrombie	DeFazio	Hoyer
Ackerman	DeGette	Inslee
Allen	Delahunt	Isakson
Andrews	DeLauro	Jackson (IL)
Baird	Deutsch	Jackson-Lee
Baldacci	Dicks	(TX)
Baldwin	Dingell	Johnson (CT)
Barrett (WI)	Dixon	Johnson, E. B.
Bass	Doggett	Jones (OH)
Becerra	Dooley	Kanjorski
Bentsen	Doyle	Kaptur
Bereuter	Edwards	Kelly
Berkley	Ehrlich	Kilpatrick
Berman	Engel	Kind (WI)
Berry	Eshoo	Kleczka
Biggert	Etheridge	Klink
Bilbray	Evans	Kolbe
Bishop	Farr	Kuykendall
Blagojevich	Fattah	Lampson
Blumenauer	Filner	Lantos
Boehlert	Foley	Larson
Bonior	Ford	LaTourette
Borski	Fowler	Lazio
Boswell	Frank (MA)	Leach
Boucher	Franks (NJ)	Lee
Boyd	Frelinghuysen	Levin
Brady (PA)	Frost	Lewis (CA)
Brown (FL)	Ganske	Lofgren
Brown (OH)	Gedelson	Lowey
Campbell	Gephart	Luther
Capps	Gibbons	Maloney (CT)
Capuano	Gilchrest	Maloney (NY)
Cardin	Gilman	Markey
Carson	Gonzalez	Martinez
Castle	Gordon	Matsui
Clay	Granger	McCarthy (MO)
Clayton	Green (TX)	McCarthy (NY)
Clement	Greenwood	McGovern
Clyburn	Gutierrez	McKinney
Condit	Hastings (FL)	McNulty
Conyers	Hill (IN)	Meehan
Cooksey	Hilliard	Meek (FL)
Coyne	Hinojosa	Meeks (NY)
Cramer	Hobson	Menendez
Crowley	Hoefel	Millender-
Cummings	Holt	McDonald
Davis (FL)	Hooley	Miller (FL)
Davis (IL)	Horn	Miller, George
Davis (VA)	Houghton	Minge

Mink
Moakley
Moore
Moran (VA)
Morella
Murtha
Nadler
Napolitano
Neal
Oberstar
Obey
Olver
Ose
Owens
Pallone
Pascrell
Pastor
Payne
Pelosi
Pomeroy
Porter
Price (NC)
Pryce (OH)
Ramstad
Rangel
Regula

Reyes
Rivers
Rodriguez
Rothman
Roukema
Roybal-Allard
Rush
Sabo
Sanchez
Sanders
Sandlin
Sawyer
Schakowsky
Scott
Serrano
Shaw
Shays
Sherman
Sisisky
Slaughter
Smith (WA)
Snyder
Spratt
Stabenow
Strickland
Sweeney

Tanner
Tauscher
Thomas
Thompson (CA)
Thompson (MS)
Thurman
Tierney
Turner
Udall (CO)
Udall (NM)
Upton
Velazquez
Vento
Visclosky
Waters
Watt (NC)
Waxman
Weiner
Wexler
Wilson
Wise
Woolsey
Wu
Wynn

Lewis (GA)
McDermott
Ortiz
Peterson (PA)
Stark
Towns

□ 1148

Mr. WATKINS changed his vote from "aye" to "no."

So the amendment offered as a substitute for the amendment was agreed to.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

Stated against:

Mr. COMBEST. Mr. Chairman, on rollcall No. 312, the Campbell amendment in the nature of a substitute to the Smith of New Jersey amendment, I was inadvertently detained. Had I been present, I would have voted "no."

AMENDMENT NO. 2 OFFERED BY MR. SMITH OF NEW JERSEY, AS AMENDED

The CHAIRMAN pro tempore (Mr. CALVERT). The unfinished business is on amendment No. 2 offered by the gentleman from New Jersey (Mr. SMITH), as amended by the Campbell substitute, on which further proceedings were postponed.

The Clerk will redesignate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

Part A amendment No. 2 offered by Mr. SMITH of New Jersey:

Page 19, strike line 1 and all the follows through line 17, on page 21, and insert the following:

(d) CONTRIBUTION TO UNITED NATIONS POPULATION FUND.—

(1) LIMITATION.—Of the amounts made available under subsection (a) for United States voluntary contributions no funds may be made available to the United Nations Population Fund (UNFPA) unless the president submits to the appropriate congressional committees the certification described in paragraph (2).

(2) CERTIFICATION.—The certification referred to in paragraph (2) is a certification by the President that—

(A) the UNFPA has terminated all activities in the People's Republic of China, and the United States has received assurances that UNFPA will conduct no such activities during the fiscal year for which the funds are to be made available; or

(B) during the 12 months preceding such certification there have been no abortions as the result of coercion associated with the family planning policies of the national government or other governmental entities within the People's Republic of China.

(3) DEFINITION.—As used in this subsection, the term "coercion" includes physical duress or abuse, destruction or confiscation of property, loss of means of livelihood, and severe psychological pressure.

The CHAIRMAN pro tempore. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentleman from New Jersey (Mr. SMITH), as amended.

The amendment, as amended, was agreed to.

AMENDMENT NO. 6 OFFERED BY MR. SANFORD

The CHAIRMAN pro tempore. The unfinished business is the demand for a recorded vote on the amendment No. 6 offered by the gentleman from South Carolina (Mr. SANFORD), on which further proceedings were postponed in which the noes prevailed by voice vote.

The Clerk will redesignate the amendment.

The text of the amendment is as follows:

Part B amendment No. 6 offered by Mr. SANFORD:

Page 14, line 23, strike "\$17,500,000" and insert "\$12,000,000".

Page 15, strike lines 19 and 20, and insert "\$1,500,000 for the fiscal year 2000."

Page 21, line 25, strike "\$15,000,000" and insert "\$8,000,000".

RECORDED VOTE

The CHAIRMAN pro tempore. A recorded vote has been demanded.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The CHAIRMAN pro tempore. This is a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 180, noes 237, answered "present" 1, not voting 15, as follows:

[Roll No. 313]

AYES—180

Aderholt	Graham	Pombo
Archer	Granger	Portman
Arney	Green (WI)	Radanovich
Bachus	Gutknecht	Ramstad
Barr	Hall (TX)	Riley
Barrett (NE)	Hansen	Rivers
Barrett (WI)	Hastings (WA)	Rogan
Bartlett	Hayes	Rogers
Bass	Hayworth	Rohrabacher
Berry	Hefley	Roukema
Bilirakis	Herger	Royce
Bliley	Hill (MT)	Ryan (WI)
Blunt	Hilleary	Ryun (KS)
Boehner	Hoekstra	Salmon
Bonilla	Hostettler	Sanford
Brady (TX)	Hulshof	Scarborough
Bryant	Hunter	Schaffer
Burr	Hutchinson	Sensenbrenner
Burton	Hyde	Sessions
Buyer	Inslee	Shadegg
Callahan	Isakson	Shays
Camp	Istook	Sherwood
Cannon	Jenkins	Shimkus
Chabot	Johnson, Sam	Shows
Chambliss	Jones (NC)	Shuster
Chenoweth	Kanjorski	Simpson
Coburn	Kasich	Skeen
Collins	Kelly	Skelton
Cook	Kingston	Smith (MI)
Costello	Klink	Smith (TX)
Cox	Largent	Smith (WA)
Crane	LaTourette	Souder
Cubin	Lewis (KY)	Spence
Cunningham	Mascara	Tancredo
Danner	Shuster	Tauzin
Deal	Simpson	Taylor (NC)
DeLay	Skeen	Terry
DeMint	Stenholm	Thornberry
Diaz-Balart	Stenzel	Thune
Dickey	Taylor (MS)	Tiaht
Doolittle	Taylor (NC)	Toomey
Dreier	Terry	Traficant
Duncan	Thornberry	Turner
Dunn	Thune	Upton
Ehlers	Tiaht	Vitter
Emerson	Toomey	Walden
Everett	Traficant	Wamp
Ewing	Watts (OK)	Weldon (FL)
Fletcher	Wethercutt	Whitfield
Forbes	Watts (OK)	Wicker
Fossella	Werner	Wilson
Gekas	Watkins	Young (AK)
Gekas	Watkins	Young (FL)
Gillmor	Watts (OK)	
Goode	Weldon (FL)	
Goodlatte	Weldon (PA)	
Goodling	Weller	
Goss	Weygand	
Graham	Whitfield	
Green (WI)	Wicks	
Gutknecht	Wicks	
Hall (OH)	Young (AK)	
	Young (FL)	

NOT VOTING—14

Baker	English	Jefferson	Borski
Coble	Hinchey	Kennedy	Boswell
Combest	Holden		Boucher
			Boyd
			Brady (PA)
			Brown (FL)
			Brown (OH)
			Calvert
			Canady
			Capps
			Capuano
			Cardin

Mr. COMBEST. Mr. Chairman, on rollcall No. 314, the Paul of Texas amendment, I was inadvertently detained. Had I been present, I would have voted "yes."

Ms. PRYCE of Ohio. Mr. Chairman, I move that the Committee do now rise.

The motion was agreed to.

Accordingly, the Committee rose; and the Speaker pro tempore (Mr. FOLEY) having assumed the Chair, Mr. CALVERT, Chairman pro tempore of the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union, reported that that Committee, having had under consideration the bill (H.R. 2415) to enhance security of United States missions and personnel overseas, to authorize appropriations for the Department of State for fiscal year 2000, and for other purposes, had come to no resolution thereon.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Ms. JACKSON-LEE of Texas. Mr. Speaker, on Monday, July 12, 1999, because of weather conditions, my plane was detained, and I would like the RECORD to reflect how I would have voted on the following votes had I been present:

On rollcall vote 277, a vote on the approval of the Journal, I would have voted "yea."

On rollcall vote 278, on House Current Resolution 107, dealing with rejecting the conclusions by the American Psychological Association, I would have voted "yea."

On rollcall vote 279, concerning the United Nations, I would have voted "yea."

TEACHER EMPOWERMENT ACT

Ms. PRYCE of Ohio. Mr. Speaker, by the direction of the Committee on Rules, I call up House Resolution 253 and ask for its immediate consideration.

The Clerk read the resolution, as follows:

H. RES. 253

Resolved, That at any time after the adoption of this resolution the Speaker may, pursuant to clause 2(b) of rule XVIII, declare the House resolved into the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union for consideration of the bill (H.R. 1995) to amend the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 to empower teachers, improve student achievement through high-quality professional development for teachers, reauthorize the Reading Excellence Act, and for other purposes. The first reading of the bill shall be dispensed with. General debate shall be confined to the bill and shall not exceed one hour equally divided and controlled by the chairman and ranking minority member of the Committee on Education and the Workforce. After general debate the bill shall be considered for amendment under the five-minute rule. It shall be in order to consider as an original bill for the purpose of amendment under the five-minute rule the amendment in the nature of a substitute recommended by the Committee on Education and the Workforce now printed in the bill. The committee amendment in the nature of a substitute shall be considered as read. No

amendment to the committee amendment in the nature of a substitute shall be in order except those printed in the report of the Committee on Rules accompanying this resolution. Each amendment may be offered only in the order printed in the report, may be offered only by a Member designated in the report, shall be considered as read, shall be debatable for the time specified in the report equally divided and controlled by the proponent and an opponent, shall not be subject to amendment, and shall not be subject to a demand for division of the question in the House or in the Committee of the Whole. All points of order against the amendments printed in the report are waived. The chairman of the Committee of the Whole may: (1) postpone until a time during further consideration in the Committee of the Whole a request for a recorded vote on any amendment; and (2) reduce to five minutes the minimum time for electronic voting on any postponed question that follows another electronic vote without intervening business, provided that the minimum time for electronic voting on the first in any series of questions shall be 15 minutes. At the conclusion of consideration of the bill for amendment the Committee shall rise and report the bill to the House with such amendments as may have been adopted. Any Member may demand a separate vote in the House on any amendment adopted in the Committee of the Whole to the bill or to the committee amendment in the nature of a substitute. The previous question shall be considered as ordered on the bill and amendments thereto to final passage without intervening motion except one motion to recommit with or without instructions.

Ms. PRYCE of Ohio. Mr. Speaker, for the purpose of debate only, I yield the customary 30 minutes to the distinguished gentleman from Massachusetts (Mr. MOAKLEY), the ranking member of the Committee on Rules, pending which I yield myself such time as I may consume. During consideration of this resolution, all time yielded is for the purpose of debate only.

Mr. Speaker, House Resolution 253 is a structured rule providing for the consideration of H.R. 1995, the Teacher Empowerment Act. The rule provides for 1 hour of general debate equally divided and controlled by the chairman and ranking member of the Committee on Education and the Workforce. For the purpose of amendment, the rule makes in order, as an original bill, the committee's amendment in the nature of a substitute now printed in the bill.

Under this fair and balanced rule, 12 amendments are made in order, 6 offered by Democrats and 6 offered by Republicans. That means Members from both sides of the aisle will have equal opportunity to amend this bill.

The rule makes in order a number of minor amendments as well as an amendment offered by the gentleman from Pennsylvania (Chairman GOODLING) which reflects bipartisan compromise on a number of issues and a substitute amendment offered by a Democrat member on the Committee on Education and the Workforce.

All 12 amendments are printed in the Committee on Rules report and may be offered only by a Member designated in the report.

The amendments shall be considered as read and shall be debatable for the

time specified in the report. These amendments are not subject to amendment or a demand for a division of the question.

□ 1215

All points of order against the amendments are waived.

In addition to the amendment process, the minority will have another opportunity to change the Teacher Empowerment Act through the customary motion to recommit, with or without instructions.

Finally, the rule allows for orderly and timely consideration of the bill by allowing the Chair to postpone votes and reduce voting time to 5 minutes on a postponed question, as long as it follows a 15-minute vote.

Mr. Speaker, we can all remember our favorite teacher who made school more interesting and learning more exciting. These special individuals had a lasting impact on us and contributed in a major way to our attitudes toward school and our development as young people.

We cannot underestimate the value and influence of a good teacher, and our investment in teachers should reflect their worth.

The Teacher Empowerment Act recognizes teachers as perhaps the most important determinant in our children's academic success, and the bill seeks to enhance student performance through funding programs to improve teachers' skills.

Specifically, H.R. 1995 streamlines the Eisenhower Professional Development Program, Goals 2000, and the "100,000 New Teachers" program to give States and localities more flexibility in their use of these funds to advance teachers' professional development.

Ninety-five percent of these funds will be distributed to local districts where those who are most familiar with the needs of their local schools will play a greater role in determining how the money is used to provide teachers with the tools to improve student learning.

Some of my colleagues oppose the consolidation of government programs and may fear local control. But given the failure of a bloated education bureaucracy and the micromanagement of education by the Federal Government, it is hard to understand any aversion to the reasonable changes this legislation envisions. It is time to challenge the status quo and move our education dollars to the local level to give school boards, principals, and teachers some flexibility to use these dollars as they see fit.

That does not mean we are giving away Federal dollars, turning our heads the other way and hoping for the best. The Teacher Empowerment Act actually increases accountability to parents and taxpayers by providing public access to information about the qualification of teachers and the average statewide class size. Additionally,