

vote of 213 to 213 the measure failed to endorse that policy.

Many of those of us who voted against the policy made a deliberate, considered vote of protest against incessant bombings that have not accomplished much of anything except to kill innocent civilians and destroy the infrastructure of Yugoslavia that in the end the U.S. will likely be asked to spend billions of dollars to rebuild.

Forty-one days of intensive bombings have not been successful in removing Milosevic's forces from Kosova, nor has it achieved the stated purpose of the bombing and that is to stop the ethnic cleansing of the Kosovars. Even our own NATO commanders have stated clearly that, except for weakening the air defense system in Yugoslavia, the air strikes have not been successful; and Serb forces continue to commit atrocities; and hundreds of civilians, men, women and children, are being killed by these bombs.

Contrary to the wishful thinking of those who supported that resolution, the bombing has not stopped the murders. It has not stopped the violence. Instead, the bombings have exacerbated both.

Thus, the question is, how long will the world support a war in which the only victims are civilian men, women and children?

Now, Reverend Jessie Jackson returned from Yugoslavia and was successful in obtaining the release of three servicemen, and he brought a letter from Mr. Milosevic to give to President Clinton asking that they meet and talk about this issue. So I would say, Mr. President, the time has come to take a decisive action by stopping the bombs and initiate a committed, comprehensive effort to find a diplomatic solution to what is going on in Yugoslavia.

CHINA WANTS ACCESSION INTO THE WORLD TRADE ORGANIZATION, BUT WITHOUT PLAYING BY THE RULES

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the Speaker's announced policy of January 19, 1999, the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. BROWN) is recognized during morning hour debates for 5 minutes.

Mr. BROWN of Ohio. Mr. Speaker, I would like to associate myself also with the remarks of the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. REGULA), the gentleman from West Virginia (Mr. WISE), the gentleman from New York (Mr. QUINN) and the gentleman from Arkansas (Mr. BERRY) in imploring the ITC to rule for the United States steel industry.

There is another trade issue that soon will be in front of Congress. Corporate jets are starting to land at National Airport one after another after another, filled with CEOs coming, descending on Capitol Hill to lobby on behalf of the Chinese Communist Government's accession to the World Trade Organization.

One prominent Chinese dissident who had spent many years in a Chinese jail

simply for exercising what he considered his right to speak out about oppression and speak out against the Chinese Government and its policies, this dissident said that American corporate executives were in the vanguard of the Chinese Communist Party revolution, arguing in this body for special trade advantages, so-called Most Favored Nation status for China, arguing in this body that China should be admitted to the World Trade Organization.

Let us step back for a moment, Mr. Speaker, and look at a little bit of the history of China's attempt to join this world trade body and play by the rules that the United States and other countries around the world play by.

For 5 years, the People's Republic of China has courted the United States, trying to convince the United States that China, the Chinese Communist Government, should be admitted, acceded into the World Trade Organization, but look what they have done in those 5 years as they in a sense have been courting the United States: illegal sales of nuclear technology to Pakistan; smuggling of AK-47s into the harbor at San Francisco; child labor; slave labor; shooting missiles into the Straits of Taiwan when Taiwan was holding its first free election, something that the People's Republic of China is very unfamiliar with.

As China has been courting the United States, this is the way they have been acting. They have violated every norm, every reasonable standard that is accepted in the international community, standards that our country lives by, standards that the great majority of countries around the world live by.

China, while she has been courting the United States, has acted this way, yet they want accession into the World Trade Organization.

At the same time, China has exported last year \$75 billion worth of goods to the United States. We have sold to China, exported to China, only about \$12 billion worth of goods. We sell to Belgium more than we do to China, because China simply will not let most of our goods and services in their country.

China takes that \$60 billion trade deficit, that surplus for them, in a sense that gift of \$60 billion, turns around and buys more or less \$60 billion worth of goods from Western Europe; generally, our western European allies. Then when we have a problem with China, when there is a human rights violation or some sort of theft of property rights or something that clearly China has acted not according to the rules of international trade, those European countries never are on our side in those trade disputes because they are such a big customer for China.

Understand that China has a \$60 billion trade surplus with us. They make \$60 billion in goods and services from us, turn around and spend that \$60 billion in Western Europe; in a sense, buying allies in their quest around the world in the trade arena.

□ 1300

Mr. Speaker, what we need to do before granting China World Trade Organization is not listen to what they say, because they always make promise after promise after promise saying that they will behave, that they will play fair, they will stop the human rights abuses, they will stop the forced abortions, they will stop the religious discrimination, they will stop their war against the Tibetans, they will stop what they do against Taiwan, they will stop the child labor, their slave labor.

They promise that every year. Every year this country gives them Most-Favored-Nation status. Every year they break those promises. Mao Zedong liked to quote his ideological communist mentor, Vladimir Lenin, the Soviet leader. He said, promises are like pie crust, they are made to be broken. That is what has happened with China as they have courted the United States to join the World Trade Organization.

Mr. Speaker, I ask the administration, I ask the President, I ask Republican leadership in this body, I ask the American business community, which is so strongly supportive of World Trade Organization entry for China immediately, I ask them to step back and let us see if China can behave for one year, if it can stop the human rights abuses, stop the slave labor and the child labor, can stop shooting missiles at Taiwan, can stop the nuclear sales to Pakistan, can stop the human rights violations.

Let us see if China can stop for 1 year and join the community of nations in its behavior for 1 year. Then let us talk about World Trade Organization accession. Do not let them in based on their promises, let them in based on their actions.

MARKING THE 25TH ANNIVERSARY OF THE WIC PROGRAM

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. RADANOVICH). Under the Speaker's announced policy of January 19, 1999, the gentleman from Texas (Mr. STENHOLM) is recognized during morning hour debates for 2 minutes.

Mr. STENHOLM. Mr. Speaker, it is a pleasure today to rise to mark the 25th anniversary of the WIC program, the women, infants and children. I am proud to join my colleagues in support of this very valuable and extremely successful program.

Several years ago when I served on the Committee on the Budget I had the opportunity to hear several CEOs of Fortune 500 companies testify in support of the WIC program. These executives talked about the difficulties they had in finding a qualified work force and the amount of money they had to spend to educate and retrain their employees.

They told us that while improving our educational system was an important part of the solution, our educational system can only do so much if

the child is not prepared to learn by the time they reach school age.

These executives came to the conclusion that in order to find solutions to the problems they were facing and other problems facing society, we had to begin at the beginning and make sure children start out their lives with the nutrition they need to develop.

That conclusion is what brought these CEOs to the Committee on the Budget, and it is what brings me to the floor today. We continue to learn more each day about the importance of the first 3 years of life in the development of the brain. Common sense tells us that ensuring that children have proper nutrition at this critical period in their lives will reap benefits for all of us as these children grow into adulthood.

A child who has the proper nutrition at the beginning of his or her life in the womb through the first 3 years of its life is more likely to succeed in school, less likely to become involved in the criminal justice system, and more likely to become a productive member of society.

There have been numerous studies showing the effectiveness of the WIC program in improving health of newborn children. From a fiscal standpoint, studies have found that Medicaid costs for women and children participating in WIC were reduced by between \$1.77 and \$3.13 for every dollar spent on WIC.

But more important than any of these statistics or studies about the effectiveness of the WIC program is this: The WIC program helps give all children a fair start in life. That is why I am proud to support the WIC program, and encourage our colleagues to continue to support and expand upon this very valuable program.

ETHIOPIA AND ERITREA

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the Speaker's announced policy of January 19, 1999, the gentleman from Arkansas (Mr. SNYDER) is recognized during morning hour debates for 1½ minutes.

Mr. SNYDER. Mr. Speaker, recently I met with representatives of the Ethiopian and Eritrean embassies. The two countries are involved in a horrific border war that since May, 1998, has resulted in tens of thousands of casualties.

As family doctor who worked in a refugee camp near Kassala, Sudan, in 1985, and treated refugees from both Tigre and Eritrea, it is heartbreaking to see this war continue. Just a few years ago, the Horn of Africa was one of the most promising development storise on the continent. There was great hope for both Eritrea and Ethiopia in 1991, two countries with a great deal in common. Now, tragically, that promise is gone, swept away in war.

Mr. Speaker, I do not rise to ask the United States to take sides militarily in this war. It is not in our interests, or

in those of the warring parties, that we do. What I do ask is for the two warring nations, Ethiopia and Eritrea, to agree to a cease-fire and peace settlement. The OAU proposal seems to be acceptable to both countries, but for unclear reasons has not been signed.

A cease-fire and peace treaty must be agreed to. The war must end. New enemies must again become old friends.

PROBLEMS AMERICA IS CONFRONTING IN THE STEEL INDUSTRY

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the Speaker's announced policy of January 19, 1999, the gentleman from Maryland (Mr. CARDIN) is recognized during morning hour debates for 2 minutes.

Mr. CARDIN. Mr. Speaker, I join with the other Members who have been on the floor today to talk about the problems we are confronting in steel.

I recently had a chance to visit Bethlehem Steel's Sparrows Point division. I had a chance to meet with many of the 4,000 dedicated workers at this facility. I also had a chance to talk with management, to go over the investment that management is making in the most modern steel equipment, hundreds of millions of dollars.

Mr. Speaker, at Sparrows Point our workers can compete with any worker around the world. All they ask from us is a level playing field. They are not asking us to protect the steel industry from competition, but they are asking us to protect the steel industry from illegally dumped steel that is still coming into this country.

Yes, what we need to do, we need to enact the legislation, that passed, that rolls back the level of steel imports to the pre-crisis level. We need to reform our antidumping and countervailing duty laws to protect from the surge of illegal steel or any product coming into this country, so we can act decisively. The gentleman from Pennsylvania (Mr. ENGLISH) and I have filed such legislation. We also need the ITC to take decisive action in their meetings today.

This is sort of like a Whack-a-Mole game, where you hit one country on the head that is dealing with illegal steel and another country pops up. But for the 10,000 steel workers' jobs that we have lost, this is not a game. It is time for us to take decisive action.

THE CRISIS IN STEEL IS NOT OVER

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the Speaker's announced policy of January 19, 1999, the gentleman from Minnesota (Mr. OBERSTAR) is recognized during morning hour debates for 2 minutes.

Mr. OBERSTAR. Mr. Speaker, the crisis in steel is not over. The International Trade Commission of the U.S. Department of Commerce has ruled that foreign steel imports are coming

into this country at below-cost production in many cases, below cost of U.S. products, and are being, in the technical terms, dumped in the U.S. marketplace.

The Department of Commerce is now proceeding in the second phase of this unfair trade practice determining injury. The Clinton administration, through the Secretary of Commerce, Secretary Daley, and Secretary Rubin at Treasury, have moved smartly to impose countervailing duties and put companies on notice in this country to post bond or cash to cover the cost between the unfair price and the U.S. market price.

We are now in the injury phase of this proceeding, an excruciating fair, time-consuming process, the most fair process of any country in the world trade community for determining unfair trade. In fact, it is so fair that I am afraid that American steel mills and in Minnesota taconite plants will be out of business before they come to the conclusion, the Department of Commerce, that there is injury, that these countervailing duties should be imposed, and the level trading field re-established in steel.

We ought to act decisively now. The Senate ought to pass the bipartisan Steel Recovery Act, because imports from Japan in March were up 36 percent, Brazil up 54 percent, Korea up 11 percent, and Indonesia tripled its exports in March to the United States. Korea has increased their exports to the U.S. so much that they are up 77 percent over a year ago.

The crisis in steel is not over. More countries are finding that the most open, fair market in the world is the United States, and are dumping their unemployment on our marketplace. It is not fair.

AMERICAN STEEL COMPANIES AND STEEL FAMILIES REMAIN IN GRAVE DANGER FROM STEEL DUMPING

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the Speaker's announced policy of January 19, 1999, the gentleman from West Virginia (Mr. MOLLOHAN) is recognized during morning hour debates for 2 minutes.

Mr. MOLLOHAN. Mr. Speaker, as my colleagues today are point out, the latest trade figures are in and they confirm what we feared but also what we expected. They confirm, Mr. Speaker, that the steel dumping crisis is not over. In fact, just the opposite, they confirm that our American steel companies and our American steel families remain in grave danger.

It turns out that the recent drop in imports was not the start of a trend, it was only our trading partners catching their breath and then pumping up their March shipments by 25 percent. That includes a 39 percent increase from Japan and a 54 percent increase from Brazil, two of the main targets of complaints filed by our U.S. steelmakers.