

As a resident of Flagstaff, AZ, Gene invented the Branch of Astrogeology within the U.S. Geological Survey and established the Field Center in Flagstaff in 1965. After retiring from the USGS in 1993, he joined Lowell Observatory in Flagstaff. The culmination of his work came in 1993 when Gene was recognized worldwide for discovering, with his wife Carolyn and colleague David Levy, a comet near Jupiter. Comet Shoemaker-Levy 9 was broken up by tidal forces from Jupiter, and fragments collided with the planet in July 1994.

Gene and his wife, Carolyn, a planetary astronomer, were a close devout couple. Their work together was recently captured in a 1997 National Geographic documentary "Asteroids: Deadly Impact." As a unique team, they initiated the Palomar Planet-crossing Asteroid Survey in 1973, and the Palomar Asteroid and Comet Survey in 1983. They were the leading discoverers of comets in this century.

Dr. Edward Bowell, an astronomer at Lowell Observatory in Flagstaff, AZ, said: "Gene practically single-handedly 'invented' our knowledge of the impacts of comets and asteroids on Earth and in the solar system in general. He was a renaissance man, having one of the broadest grasps of any scientist I know, working as a geologist, training to be an astronaut, dating the surfaces of the Moon and other satellites, and helping, with his wife Carolyn, discover more interesting comets and asteroids than any other person. I am stunned to think of the store of unique knowledge that has perished with him. As a scientific colleague and friend, his guidance was unerring and will be irreplaceable."

As Senator from the State of Arizona and chairman of the Senate Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation, I would like to express my sorrow on the loss of this great man and scientist. His contributions to the field of science are duly noted by myself and others in the science community.●

#### ANNIE CAMPBELL, A 79-YEAR-OLD NURSE VOLUNTEER FOR MANNA MEAL

● Mr. ROCKEFELLER. Mr. President, I would like to take this moment to praise a citizen of West Virginia, Annie Campbell. Annie has recently received the J.C. Penney Golden Rule award for her outstanding volunteer community service.

Annie has been volunteering her time for Manna Meal for the past 20 years, and has seen it expand considerably. Even though she is nearing 80 years old, Annie pursues her service with confidence and generosity. She drives to pick up food at local businesses and hospitals and sometimes helps to serve the food to the people who come to Manna Meal. She loves to give a helping hand to those in need. She says, "You feel good to know you've done something to alleviate hunger."

Annie's life is built around helping people. She is a registered nurse at the Charleston Area Medical Center's General Division, and a leader in her church, where she is the secretary, a circle leader, on the mission committee, and on the kitchen committee. Annie is a committed woman to her community.

Manna Meal provides food for the hungry. Annie says, "A lot of people who come to Manna Meal are not food hungry, they are companionship hungry." She helps with both. She provides food and friendship for those who attend the meals. Manna Meal is run by volunteers and donations. Annie has watched Manna Meal expand from a tiny soup kitchen serving 40 to large service providing for 300.

Volunteer service is vital to West Virginia and America because it is done on a personal and natural level. It is comforting to hear that there are people who willingly dedicate their lives to helping those in need. West Virginia is extremely lucky to have Annie in the State, and I am proud to make this statement regarding her award today.

The J.C. Penney Golden Rule award had several other recipients in different categories. The other local winners included Sue Meadows, Ernest Matthew Stone, and the Volunteers of PRO-KIDS. They are now going to step up to the National Golden Rule Awards, and are eligible for a \$10,000 donation to their organization. All of these volunteers need to be congratulated for their effort and generosity, and I wish them luck in the next round of competition.●

#### COSPONSORSHIP OF AMENDMENT 885 TO S. 955

● Mr. ABRAHAM. Mr. President, I rise today to offer my support as a cosponsor to Amendment 885 to S. 955, the Foreign Operations Appropriations Act. This amendment restores the \$2.1 billion earmark for assistance to Egypt.

Ever since the signing of the Camp David Accords, Egypt has been a key ally of the United States in the Middle East. The first Arab country to make peace with Israel, Egypt has been a steadfast leader and supporter of peace in the Middle East. Indeed, I feel it is safe to say that it is because Egypt signed the peace agreement with Israel in 1979 that there has not been an Arab-Israeli War since. What is more, since 1979 both Israel and Egypt have experienced significant economic growth. Peace between these two nations has brought success and prosperity that has benefitted the entire region.

The chairman of the Subcommittee has stated his reasons for not including the earmark to Egypt in the Foreign Operations bill in either the subcommittee nor committee. He believed the relationship between Egypt and the United States has suffered over the past year. Thus, the message he wished

to send to Egypt was clear disappointment with Egypt's actions and policies in connection with the stalled peace process in the Middle East.

I do not believe, however, that it is either productive or responsible to send such a message at this delicate time in the Middle East peace process. The peace process is at its most critical stage. Along with the United States, Egypt is a key player in convincing parties to that process to come back to the negotiating table. Moreover, Egypt has played a key role in securing agreements reached between Israel and Jordan and the Palestinians. It is in the best interest of the United States to keep our key allies in the Middle East engaged in a process needed to produce a just and lasting peace—a goal which will benefit America's strategic, economic and political interests.

Equally important, Egypt is a strategic ally of the United States irrespective of the peace process. We all remember how Egypt provided the leadership needed to form the American/Arab coalition that liberated Kuwait. No other country in the Arab World could have done that. Moreover, more than 35,000 Egyptian soldiers fought alongside our troops. Without access to the Suez Canal and to Egyptian airspace and facilities, supporting our troops in the Gulf would have been significantly more difficult and much more costly.

Egypt's strategic importance should not be underestimated. With the Suez Canal and its location on both the Red Sea and the Mediterranean Sea, Egypt is the gateway to Africa, the Near East and Southwest Asia. Our strategic interests in all three regions are furthered significantly by Egypt's willing cooperation.

Egypt's cooperation with our military has a global impact. As our strategic ally, Egypt routinely cooperates with our military in providing hundreds of overflight and transit rights for U.S. military logistics aircraft supporting American forces in the region. Our naval vessels travel through the Suez Canal—a practice critical to our ability to protect U.S. vital interests in the region. Without the ability to use the Suez routinely, an advantage we now enjoy, our Navy's operating costs and personnel operating requirements would soon rise to unsustainable levels.

I agree with the Chairman of the Subcommittee that foreign aid is not an entitlement. It is my sincere hope that one day in the near future Egypt will find that U.S. aid is not necessary. Signs of this are already apparent within Egypt's booming economy and burgeoning private sector. We in the United States should encourage this path of independence, growing capitalism and economic reform. But until Egypt becomes economically self-sufficient, we should continue to live up to our promises as dictated in the Camp David Accords. Any future reduction of assistance should follow consultations

and discussions with the government of Egypt. Unilateral actions will only harm relationships important to the American national interest.

In summary, Egypt has played and continues to play a key role in ensuring the success of the Middle East Peace Process. Equally important, Egypt has proven to be a staunch ally, willing to face danger to protect our shared interest in the region and to support us as our armed forces contribute to global stability. As such, I am supportive of the Committee's amendment to reinstall the earmark for assistance to Egypt.●

#### INTERSTATE TRANSPORTATION AND FLOW OF SOLID WASTE

● Mr. LEVIN. Mr. President, I ask that the text of a letter from the Governors of Michigan, Ohio, New Jersey, Indiana, and Pennsylvania, to the Chairman of the House Commerce Committee be printed in the RECORD.

The Governors correctly urge the House Commerce Committee to swiftly move forward on comprehensive legislation to provide states and local governments with the authority to regulate the interstate transportation and flow of solid waste.

Mr. President, the Senate has repeatedly passed such legislation and it is my hope that we will do so again before the end of this year. The majority leadership in the House has certainly given the impression that this important matter is not a priority item, despite the pleas for help from state and local governments around the country who are besieged by out-of-state waste or find their local waste management investments becoming increasingly uneconomical. I join with the Governors in urging the House Commerce Committee and the Congress to quickly pass legislation to provide more control over solid waste planning decisions to state and local governments.

The letter follows:

JULY 9, 1997.

Hon. THOMAS J. BLILEY, Jr.,  
Chairman, The House Commerce Committee,  
Rayburn House Office Building, Washington,  
DC.

DEAR CHAIRMAN BLILEY: We are writing to urge you to move a comprehensive interstate waste and flow control bill this year. In recent conversations with Governor Voinovich, you encouraged our five states to reach an agreement on interstate waste provisions in order to move comprehensive legislation that will help both importing and exporting states.

We strongly believe that the lack of federal interstate waste and flow control legislation undermines states' abilities to implement environmentally sound waste disposal plans and to protect our own natural resources. Without federal authority to place reasonable limits on the amount of out-of-state wastes, states like Ohio, Pennsylvania, Indiana and Michigan have become dumping grounds for trash from other states. Without flow control, states like New Jersey are limited in their ability to manage effectively the disposal of municipal solid waste within their own borders, and would face an enormous financial liability.

In Pennsylvania, Indiana, Michigan and Ohio, where out-of-state waste imports are continuously and unreasonably high, citizens repeatedly ask why they should recycle in order to conserve disposal space for other states' waste. New Jersey has taken aggressive steps to try to manage all of its trash within its borders by the year 2000. New Jersey communities have acted responsibly to build disposal facilities to help meet that goal. However, if Congress fails to protect existing flow control authorities, repayment of the outstanding \$1.6 billion investment will be jeopardized.

We are deeply concerned that our efforts to make responsible decisions have been undermined by federal courts, have put potentially large financial burdens on our communities and have encouraged exporting states to pass their trash problems onto the backs of others. Our citizens are making sacrifices and they need assurances that we have the tools necessary to manage our own waste and limit imports from other states so that we have the space to handle our own garbage.

You have asked our five states to try to work through regional differences on interstate waste provisions that would allow an interstate waste and flow control bill to move forward. Last year, importing states and New Jersey were able to quickly reach a consensus on interstate waste provisions, provided that New Jersey receives flow control authority. We respectfully resubmit that agreement and urge prompt consideration by your committee and the House.

We support this package as a fair and reasonable compromise between importing and exporting states. It provides the ability for importing states to reduce the current amount of out-of-state waste and limit future interstate waste flows. States also would be able to place reasonable restrictions on construction and demolition debris. In addition, it gives local communities the ability to decide whether or not they want to accept other states' trash. And, communities would have reasonable ability to implement flow control authorities. While this package does not include everything that we would like, we believe it is a fair package that we can support without amendments.

Unfortunately, efforts to place reasonable restrictions on out-of-state waste shipment have been perceived by some as an attempt to ban all out-of-state trash. On the contrary, importing states—like Michigan, Indiana, Ohio and Pennsylvania—are not asking for outright authority to prohibit all out-of-state waste, nor are we seeking to prohibit waste from any one state. We are asking for reasonable tools that will enable state and local governments to act responsibly to manage their own waste and limit unreasonable waste imports from other states. Such measures would give substantial authority to limit imports and plan facilities around our own states' needs.

Effective legislation is supported throughout the country. Twenty-four governors and the Western Governors' Association previously have written to you and the House leadership urging passage of effective legislation.

Thank you for your personal consideration of our agreement. We urge you to move forward with comprehensive interstate waste and flow control legislation this year.

Sincerely,

GEORGE V. VOINOVICH,  
Governor of Ohio.

JOHN ENGLER,  
Governor of Michigan.

TOM RIDGE,  
Governor of Pennsylvania.

CHRISTINE TODD WHITMAN,  
Governor of New Jersey.

FRANK O'BANNON,  
Governor of Indiana.●

#### GENETIC DISCRIMINATION

● Mr. FRIST. Mr. President, I rise today to address a critical issue that we, as a nation, must face—the fear of discrimination in health insurance practices based on our increasing ability to gather genetic information about ourselves and our families.

The tremendous advances in genetics research spawned by the Human Genome project are opening the door to a greater understanding of the underlying causes of human disease. The revolution in genetics is giving hope to millions of Americans that we will see eventual treatments, and ultimately cures, for some of the most devastating genetic diseases. Yet, our ability to predict what diseases individuals may be at risk for in the future has caused great concern that this powerful information—the information we all carry in our genes—may be used against us.

I am deeply troubled when I hear from the Tennessee Breast Cancer Coalition that genetic counselors are facing women every day who are afraid of the consequences of genetic testing. Women are avoiding genetic testing due to concerns about loss of health insurance coverage for themselves or their families—even though a genetic test might reveal that a woman is not at high risk and therefore allow her to make more informed health care choices.

As a physician and researcher, I am particularly concerned that the fear of discrimination will prevent individuals from participating in research studies or taking advantage of new genetic technologies to improve their medical care.

Scientific advances hold the promise of higher quality medical care, yet only Federal legislation can reassure the public that learning this information is safe. I was encouraged by President Clinton's recent press conference on genetic discrimination, July 14, 1997 which assisted in elevating this issue to the public's attention. While I am currently not a cosponsor of any specific legislative proposal, I am committed to developing a bipartisan legislative solution. I look forward to working with Senator JEFFORDS and my fellow colleagues on the Senate Labor and Human Resources Committee—as well as Senators MACK, SNOWE, DOMENICI, and the many other Members who have been dedicated to this issue.

In my role as chairman of the Subcommittee on Public Health and Safety, I strongly support the intent of legislation which would prohibit discrimination in health insurance against healthy individuals and their families based on their genetic information. We all carry genetic mutations that may place us at risk for future disease—therefore we are all at risk for discrimination. If I receive a genetic test which shows I am at risk for cancer, diabetes, or heart disease, should this