Senators	Fiscal year 1997 official mail allocation	Senate quarterly mass mail volumes and costs for the quarter end- ing Mar. 31, 1997				
		Total pieces	Pieces per capita	Total cost	Cost per cap- ita	
Reed Reid Robb Roberts Rockefeller Roth Santorum Sarbanes Sessions Shelby Simpson Smith, Bob Smith, Gordon Snowe Specter Stevens Stevens Ihompson Thurmond TorricellI Warner Wellstone Wellstone Wilden Rockefeller Roth Rockefelle	32,752 50,755 109,107 47,525 53,135 40,023 176,220 90,835 63,649 94,273 44,289 94,473 44,910 53,158 46,609 176,220 37,990 37,266 96,062 96,062 76,388 94,702 109,107 85,350	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0	0	
Total		706,864	0.55683	150,768.65	0.10855•	

SENATE QUARTERLY MAIL COSTS—FIRST QUARTER

• Mr. WARNER. Mr. President, in accordance with section 318 of Public Law 101–520 as amended by Public Law 103–283, I am submitting the frank mail

allocations made to each Senator from the appropriation for official mail expenses and a summary tabulation of Senate mass mail costs for the first quarter of fiscal year 1997 to be printed in the RECORD. The first quarter of fiscal year 1997 covers the period of October 1, 1996, through December 31, 1996. The official mail allocations are available for frank mail costs, as stipulated in Public Law 104–197, the Legislative Branch Appropriations Act for fiscal year 1997.

The material follows:

Senators	Fiscal year 1997 official mail allocation	Senate quarterly mass mail volumes and costs for the quarter ending Mar. 31, 1996			
Scialus		Total pieces	Pieces per capita	Total cost	Cost per cap- ita
Abraham	\$143,028	2,750	0.00029	\$563.73	\$0.00006
Akaka	43,336	0 0		0.00	
Allard	59,148	0 0		0.00	
Ashcroft	97,617	0 0		0.00	
Baucus Departs	41,864 50,841	0 0		0.00 (
Bennett Biden	40.023	0 0		0.00 (
Bingaman	50,582	0 0		0.00	
Bond	97,617	ÕÕ		0.00	
Boxer	382,528	0 0		0.00	
Bradley	33,378	0 0		0.00	
Breaux Droup	82,527 20,625	0 0 13.000	0.00375	0.00 (3,833.68	0.00110
Brown Brownback	52,198	13,000	0.00373	0.00	
Bryan Bryan	50,755	0 0		0.00	
Bumpers	62.350	0 0		0.00	
Burn's	41,864	0 0		0.00	
Byrd	53,135	0 0		0.00	
Campbell	77,822	0 0		0.00	
Chafee	43,394 90,218	0 0		0.00 (
Coats	100.503	0 0		0.00 (
Cochran	62,491	0 0		0.00	
Cohen	12,042	ŏ ŏ		0.00	
Collins	35,217	0 0		0.00)
Conrad	38,762	0 0		0.00	
Coverdell	118,346	0 0		0.00	
Craig	44,496 232,926	0 0		0.00 (
D'Amato Daschia	232,926 39,578	0 0		0.00 (
Daschie	164,923	0 0		0.00 (
Dodd	71,425	ŏ ŏ		0.00	
Domenici	50,582	0 0		0.00	
Dorgan	38,762	0 0		0.00	
Durbin	125,121	0 0		0.00 (
Exon	13,199 28,054	0 0		0.00 (
Enzi	121,600	0 0		0.00 (
Feingold	91,527	ŏŏ		0.00	
Feinstein	382,528	0 0		0.00	
Ford	77,040	0 0		0.00	
Frahm	0, 0, 2	0 0		0.00	
Frist	96,062 164,923	0 0		0.00 (0.00 (
Glenn	97,506	0 0		0.00	
Graham Graham	230,836	0 0		0.00	
Gramm	251,855	0 0		0.00)
Grams	85,350	0 0		0.00	
Grassley	65,258	0 0		0.00	
Gregg	44,910 38,444	0 0		0.00 (
Hagel	65,258	0 0		0.00 (
Hatch	50,841	0 0		0.00	
Hatfield	18,477	0 0		0.00	
Heflin	22,240	0 0		0.00)
Helms	121,600	0 0		0.00	
Hollings	76,388	0 0		0.00	
Hutchinson	47,286	0 0		0.00 (
Hulchison	251,855 73,454	0 0		0.00 (
Inhofe	43,336	0 0		0.00 (
Jeffords	38,357	31,020	0.05442	5,689.22	0.00998
Johnson	29,826	0 0	0.00112	0.00)
Johnston	21,919	0 0		0.00)

Senators	Fiscal year 1997 official	Senate quarterly mass mail volumes and costs for the quarter ending Mar. 31, 1996			
	mail allocation	Total pieces	Pieces per capita	Total cost	Cost per cap ita
Cassebaum	16,457	0 0		0.00	0
Kempthorne		0 0		0.00	0
(enjedy	104.638	0 0		0.00	0
Cerrey '	50.818	0 0		0.00	0
erry		0 0		0.00	0
ohĺ	91,527	0 0		0.00	0
1	00.070	0 0		0.00	0
ndrieu		0 0		0.00	0
autenberg		0 0		0.00	0
ahy		726	0.00127	1,018.31	0.0017
svin		0 0	0.00127	0.00	0
		0 0		0.00	0
eberman		0 0		0.00	0
103	100,503	0 0		0.00	0
aga ack		0 0		0.00	0
		4,398	0.00115	3,565.77	0.0009
CCain		4,396		0.00	•
COnnel	90.835	0 0		0.00	0
ikulski		0 0			
oseley-Braun	163,870			0.00	0
oynihan		0 0		0.00	0
urkowski		0 0		0.00	0
игау		0 0		0.00	0
ckles		0 0		0.00	0
unn		0 0		0.00	0
ll		0 0		0.00	0
essler	10,108	0 0		0.00	0
yor		0 0		0.00	0
ied		0 0		0.00	0
id	50,755	0 0		0.00	0
bb	109,107	0 0		0.00	0
berts	47,525	0 0		0.00	0
ockefeller	53,135	0 0		0.00	0
oth		0 0		0.00	0
intorum		0 0		0.00	0
rbanes		0 0		0.00	0
ssions		0 0		0.00	0
elby		0 0		0.00	0
non		0 0		0.00	0
npson		0 0		0.00	0
nith, Bob		0 0		0.00	0
ith, Gordon		0 0		0.00	0
lowe		0 0		0.00	0
		0 0		0.00	0
ecter		0 0		0.00	-
evens		0 0		0.00	0
OMBS		0 0		0.00	
OMPSON				0.00	-
urmond		7 7			-
ricell		0 0		0.00	0
rner		0 0		0.00	0
elistone	85,350	0 0		0.00	0
yden	70,009	0 0		0.00	0
Total		51,894	0.06088	14,670.71	0.01386

ORDERS FOR THURSDAY, MAY 15, 1997

Mr. LOTT. Madam President, I ask unanimous consent that at 9:15 a.m. on Thursday the Senate resume consideration of S. 4, the Family Friendly Workplace Act, and the time between then and 10 a.m. be equally divided between the two managers, or their designees; and, further, at 10 a.m. the Senate proceed to vote on the motion to invoke cloture on the pending committee amendment. I further ask unanimous consent that following that vote there be a period for morning business until the hour of 11 a.m. with Senator THOMAS in control of the first 20 minutes; and, Senator DASCHLE, or his designee, under the control of the next 20 minutes.

Finally, I ask unanimous consent that at 11 a.m. the Senate resume consideration of H.R. 1122, the Partial-Birth Abortion Ban Act.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. LOTT. Madam President, I yield the floor, and I observe the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. BROWNBACK. Madam President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded. objection it is so ordered.

PARTIAL-BIRTH ABORTION BAN ACT OF 1997

Mr. BROWNBACK. Madam President, I rise to speak about something of great sadness in our Nation. Tomorrow we will take a vote on partial-birth abortion. I want to speak about that particular issue if I could this evening from a particular perspective that I think might be somewhat different from folks who might look at this as a sterile procedure, a procedure that we may consider banning. I would like to talk about what it says of our culture, what this procedure that is being used today says about us. Is the loss of love in our culture actually so great that we could actually kill a child and explain it away? I think this is actually how we ought to look at this debate on this issue.

I oppose the partial-birth abortion procedure being conducted in United States other than in cases of loss of life of the mother, and then I think we need to clearly say that this is available in cases of loss of life of the mother. My wife and I have three children, and I would hate to think that she would be put in a spot where she could not have access to a medical procedure that she desperately needed for her

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without own life. But that is taken care of in this bill and there is an allowance for it. In the case where the life of the mother is at risk, this procedure is allowed, and that is proper and as it should be. We allow that to take place.

What I want to talk about more is that we have so many of these abortions happening in this country. What does it say about the culture and our own loss of care and our own loss of love? What does it say about us that this procedure is even allowed.

I want to point out to this body some of the things that have happened to American culture over the past 30 years that I think point out we have lost the care for other individuals and we have lost the compassion for others and even for babies.

Let us look at this chart, if I could share it with you. We are looking at child abuse and neglect reports in America, and this is 1976 to 1995. We are looking at numbers of reports in the millions. We are looking at about 600,000 in 1976, which is wholly too much, we are looking at 3 million, over 3 million in 1995.

The growth that has taken place during that period of time, what does that say about a loss of care and a loss of compassion in our society and in our culture?

I want to look at this next chart, violent crime offenses in our society. Look where we were in 1960. This is