

Condit	Houghton	Nussle
Cook	Hoyer	Oberstar
Costello	Hulshof	Obey
Cox	Hunter	Ortiz
Coyne	Hutchinson	Oxley
Cramer	Hyde	Packard
Crane	Inglis	Pallone
Crapo	Istook	Pappas
Cubin	Jackson (IL)	Parker
Cummings	Jackson-Lee	Pascrell
Cunningham	(TX)	Pastor
Danner	Jefferson	Paul
Davis (FL)	Jenkins	Paxon
Davis (IL)	John	Payne
Davis (VA)	Johnson (CT)	Pease
Deal	Johnson (WI)	Petersen (MN)
DeGette	Johnson, E. B.	Peterson (PA)
DeLauro	Johnson, Sam	Petri
DeLay	Jones	Pickering
Dellums	Kanjorski	Pickett
Deutsch	Kasich	Pitts
Diaz-Balart	Kelly	Pombo
Dickey	Kennedy (MA)	Porter
Dicks	Kennelly	Portman
Dixon	Kildee	Poshard
Doggett	Kilpatrick	Price (NC)
Dooley	Kim	Pryce (OH)
Doolittle	Kind (WI)	Radanovich
Doyle	King (NY)	Rahall
Dreier	Kingston	Ramstad
Duncan	Klecza	Rangel
Dunn	Klink	Regula
Edwards	Klug	Reyes
Ehlers	Knollenberg	Riley
Ehrlich	Kolbe	Rivers
Emerson	Kucinich	Roemer
Engel	LaFalce	Rogan
English	LaHood	Rogers
Ensign	Lampson	Rohrabacher
Etheridge	Lantos	Ros-Lehtinen
Evans	Largent	Rothman
Everett	Latham	Roukema
Ewing	LaTourette	Royal-Ballard
Farr	Lazio	Royce
Fattah	Leach	Rush
Fawell	Levin	Ryun
Flake	Lewis (CA)	Salmon
Foglietta	Lewis (GA)	Sanchez
Foley	Lewis (KY)	Sanders
Forbes	Linder	Sanford
Ford	Lipinski	Sawyer
Fowler	Livingston	Saxton
Fox	LoBiondo	Scarborough
Franks (NJ)	Lofgren	Schaefer, Dan
Frelinghuysen	Lowey	Schaffer, Bob
Frost	Lucas	Schiff
Furse	Luther	Schumer
Galleghy	Maloney (CT)	Scott
Ganske	Maloney (NY)	Serrano
Gejdenson	Manton	Sessions
Gekas	Manzullo	Shadegg
Gibbons	Markey	Shaw
Gilchrest	Mascara	Shays
Gillmor	Matsui	Sherman
Gilman	McCarthy (MO)	Shimkus
Gonzalez	McCarthy (NY)	Shuster
Goode	McCollum	Sisisky
Goodlatte	McCreery	Skaggs
Goodling	McDade	Skeen
Gordon	McHale	Skelton
Goss	McHugh	Slaughter
Graham	McInnis	Smith (MI)
Granger	McIntosh	Smith (NJ)
Green	McIntyre	Smith (OR)
Greenwood	McKeon	Smith (TX)
Gutierrez	McKinney	Smith, Adam
Gutknecht	McNulty	Smith, Linda
Hall (TX)	Meehan	Snowbarger
Hamilton	Meek	Snyder
Hansen	Menendez	Solomon
Harman	Metcalfe	Souder
Hastert	Mica	Spence
Hastings (FL)	Millender-	Spratt
Hastings (WA)	McDonald	Stabenow
Hayworth	Miller (FL)	Stark
Hefley	Minge	Stearns
Hefner	Moakley	Stenholm
Herger	Molinari	Stokes
Hill	Moran (KS)	Stump
Hilleary	Moran (VA)	Stupak
Hilliard	Morella	Sununu
Hinchee	Murtha	Talent
Hinojosa	Myrick	Tanner
Hobson	Nadler	Tauscher
Hoekstra	Nethercutt	Tauzin
Holden	Neumann	Taylor (MS)
Hooley	Ney	Taylor (NC)
Horn	Northup	Thomas
Hostettler	Norwood	Thompson

Thornberry	Walsh	White
Thune	Wamp	Whitfield
Thurman	Waters	Wicker
Tiahrt	Watkins	Wise
Tierney	Watt (NC)	Wolf
Traficant	Watts (OK)	Woolsey
Turner	Waxman	Yates
Upton	Weldon (FL)	Young (AK)
Velázquez	Weldon (PA)	Young (FL)
Vento	Weller	
Visclosky	Wexler	

## NOT VOTING—14

Ackerman	Hall (OH)	Riggs
Ballenger	Kaptur	Sensenbrenner
Brown (CA)	Mollohan	Torres
Coble	Pomeroy	Weygand
Cooksey	Quinn	

□ 1213

Ms. KILPATRICK, Ms. EDDIE BERNICE JOHNSON of Texas, and Messrs. EWING, LAHOOD, SHUSTER, ROHRBACHER, HASTINGS of Washington, BOB SCHAFFER of Colorado, BECERRA, LARGENT, and FATTAH changed their vote from "yea" to "nay."

Mr. McDERMOTT and Mr. DELAHUNT changed their vote from "nay" to "yea."

So the motion to adjourn was rejected.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

## REMOVAL OF NAME OF MEMBER AS COSPONSOR OF H.R. 600

Mr. ABERCROMBIE. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that my name be removed as a cosponsor from H.R. 600.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. GILLMOR). Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Hawaii?

There was no objection.

## PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRY

Mr. SOLOMON. Mr. Speaker, is it true that there will not be another vote for about an hour on the floor, and that we are about to take up a rule which will consume about an hour?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The House is about to take up a rule, on which an hour's time is allocated, so that would be a likely conclusion.

Mr. SOLOMON. The reason I inquire, Mr. Speaker, is to get some order in the House so that Members can either leave the Chamber or take seats.

## REQUESTING THE PRESIDENT SUBMIT A BALANCED BUDGET

Mr. SOLOMON. Mr. Speaker, by direction of the Committee on Rules, I call up House Resolution 90 and ask for its immediate consideration.

The Clerk read the resolution, as follows:

H. RES. 90

*Resolved*, That upon the adoption of this resolution it shall be in order to consider in the House the Resolution (H. Res. 89) requesting the President to submit a budget for fiscal year 1998 that would balance the Federal budget by fiscal year 2002 without relying on budgetary contingencies. The resolution shall be considered as read for

amendment. The resolution shall be debatable for two hours equally divided and controlled by the chairman and ranking minority member of the Committee on the Budget or their designees. The previous question shall be considered as ordered on the resolution to final adoption without intervening motion except one motion to recommit. The motion to recommit may include instructions only if offered by the minority leader or a designee. If including instructions, the motion to recommit shall be debatable for five minutes by its proponent and five minutes by an opponent.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from New York [Mr. SOLOMON] is recognized for 1 hour.

Mr. SOLOMON. Mr. Speaker, for the purposes of debate only, I yield the customary 30 minutes to the gentleman from Massachusetts [Mr. MOAKLEY] pending which I yield myself such time as I might consume. During consideration of this resolution, all time yielded is for the purpose of debate only.

Mr. Speaker, House Resolution 90 provides for consideration in the House of House Resolution 89, which is a resolution requesting the President to submit a balanced budget under a structured rule. The rule provides for 2 hours of debate, divided equally between the chairman and ranking minority members of the Committee on the Budget or their designees.

Mr. Speaker, in trying to be as fair as possible, the rule also provides for one motion to recommit, which may contain instructions if offered by the minority leader or his designee. If it includes instructions, the motion to recommit is debatable for 5 minutes by a proponent and 5 minutes by an opponent, keeping in mind that there will have already been 2 hours of debate on this entire issue.

Under the rules of the House, a motion to recommit is not required to be given to the minority for the consideration of a House resolution. However, the Committee on Rules sought to provide such a motion to the minority for the purpose of the consideration of this bill to be, again, as fair as possible.

Mr. Speaker, after the 1996 elections when the American people returned bipartisan political leadership to Washington, the Republican Congress offered to begin budget negotiations right away. As a result of this bipartisan spirit, formal and informal discussions between the Congress and the White House on reaching a balanced budget has been ongoing. While these talks have been productive, they are not yet complete, and that is the way it has been year in and year out. It takes time.

As we all know, on February 6 of this year, President Clinton sent his budget to Congress, a budget which, according to the President, produced a surplus of \$17 billion in the year 2002, 5 years from now. Upon the receipt of that budget, the Republican Congress reacted in the same spirit of bipartisan cooperation. The budget was not declared dead on arrival, as was so often the case when Republican Presidents would present

their budget. Even though many of the budget specifics do not meet the expectation of many in this Congress, we still have kept an open mind on it.

Furthermore, Mr. Speaker, the Republican Congress sought to give the administration every opportunity to explain and sell that budget to Congress and to sell it to the American people through the regular committee process, and that is as it should be.

After a thorough analysis by the committees, the bipartisan membership, and the Congressional Budget Office, the President's budget fails four specific tests, and I think that all Members in their offices, or wherever they might be, should pay particular attention to this, because it is what they were sent here to do, and that is bring some fiscal sanity to this body.

First, it does not achieve a balance in the year 2002; it actually leaves a deficit of almost \$70 billion. So what have we succeeded in doing? The truth is nothing in dealing with this terribly important issue.

Second, it does not specifically reduce spending in the first 3 years. It actually allows, listen to this, it actually allows the 1998 deficit to increase; not decrease but to increase. That is this coming year, to increase by \$24 billion. And even more so important, listen to this, it saves 98 percent of the deficit reduction in this whole 5-year period, 98 percent of any cuts, for the last 2 years.

Well, we all know what that means. It means we will not get there.

Third, it does not save Medicare from bankruptcy. It actually does less to save Medicare than even the last Clinton budget of last year.

Fourth, it does not provide permanent tax relief for American families. It actually increases taxes in the last 2 years. Imagine that. We are going to be coming down here and voting to increase taxes when the American people are already the most heavily taxed people in the world. As a result, the President's budget is found, believe me, found wanting.

Mr. Speaker, while we as the Congress are committed to negotiating a balanced budget agreement with the White House, there is one nonnegotiable item determined by the American people, by the American taxpayer: Any budget agreement must achieve balance in the year 2002 using the same deck of cards; in other words, comparing apples to apples. And that means using the Congressional Budget Office scoring so that we all can be playing with that same deck, as I said before.

This is a goal both the President and the Congress have embraced publicly and privately, and was perhaps the only item agreed upon during the budget negotiations of the last 2 years. Mr. Speaker, without an agreement on the parameters of the numbers, no real discussion on specifics can begin because no one will believe what we are talking about.

The President committed to this last year by submitting two budgets scored