

I want to commend my colleague, the gentleman from Alaska [Mr. YOUNG], chairman of the Committee on Resources, and the ranking member, the gentleman from California [Mr. MILLER] for their recent efforts to craft a bipartisan bill.

This process has been supported by the involvement of my friend [Mr. DINGELL] as well as the gentleman from Louisiana [Mr. TAUZIN] and the gentleman from California [Mr. POMBO].

We must set aside partisan politics. We must set aside personal interest and do what is right for the people of this country and for the species which this legislation protects.

HONEST TAXPAYING CITIZENS SHOULD NOT HAVE TO FEAR IRS

(Mr. HEFLEY asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. HEFLEY. Mr. Speaker, how many honest American citizens are going to be terrorized by the IRS before the IRS changes the way it does business?

Everyone knows that it is not just the tax cheats who panic when the IRS comes around to conduct an audit. Ordinary American citizens who pay taxes are driven to panic as well. It should not be that way.

Tax cheats should feel the cold, unrelenting power of the IRS when their misdeeds are found out, but honest citizens who do their best to comply with an extremely complicated Tax Code should have nothing to fear from an audit.

But the IRS knows that many people who work for a living, who have family obligations, and are living from paycheck to paycheck do not have either the time or the money to do battle with the IRS when the auditors want to play hard ball. IRS agents know that and they can count on that advantage.

But an ordinary citizen who is not a tax cheat simply does not have the money to pay for all the legal leadership necessary to defend himself against the IRS. It is not a fair fight. It is a recipe for abuse and it must stop.

SUPPORT PUBLIC SCHOOL SYSTEM

(Ms. VELÁZQUEZ asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend her remarks.)

Ms. VELÁZQUEZ. Mr. Speaker, our public schools are under attack. Across the country there are students trying to learn in conditions that we should be ashamed of: crumbling walls, leaky roofs, and overcrowding.

The Republicans' response to this crisis is amazing. They want to take money out of the public school system and give it to private schools.

A recent poll shows that the vast majority of Americans oppose Republicans' attempt to use tax dollars for

private schools. The American people want to be able to provide all our children with a first class public education, but the Republicans do not. They want to allow public schools to continue to deteriorate while using taxpayers' dollars to subsidize private schools.

Mr. Speaker, we must not allow the Republicans to tear down our public school system. We must continue our commitment to providing every child in this country with a quality education.

NOW IS THE TIME FOR REAL ACTION IN REFORMING IRS

(Mr. JONES asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. JONES. Mr. Speaker, the Internal Revenue Service is bullying the American taxpayer, and it is time for this practice to stop.

I appreciate what my colleagues in both the Senate and the House have done to look into this problem with the IRS. Now it is time for real action. The Congress needs to build on the current momentum and take advantage of this opportunity for true tax reform.

To police the tax system, our government employs over 110,000 IRS agents at an annual cost of \$9.8 billion a year. A fair, simpler tax system would eliminate the need for this tremendous and unethical bureaucracy.

The American taxpayers have known this for years, that the IRS needs drastic reform. Now it is time for the Congress to help the taxpayers of America and simplify the Tax Code. Let us give the taxpayers the relief they deserve.

CONGRESS SHOULD NOT ABANDON OUR PUBLIC SCHOOLS

(Mr. RODRIGUEZ asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. RODRIGUEZ. Mr. Speaker, they are at it again. The House majority has planned to help only a select few of the students that exist. Nine out of ten students attend our public school system. Once again, 9 out of 10 students attend our public school systems. We have to be responsive to those individuals, and our obligation as elected officials is to those people that attend our public school system.

We have to assure that they have the resources. We have to make sure our teachers have the training that is required. We have to make sure that our buildings are adequate and, at the same time, we have to make sure that they have access to the latest technology.

As taxpayers, our obligation is to the public schools, not to the private or religious schools. The majority's plan to abandon our public schools is not an option. Vouchers are not the answer. Abandoning our public schools will only make it worse.

What we need is a commitment of repairing our buildings, a commitment to our students that are out there, commitment to our teachers, a commitment to our communities. We must work for all our students that are out there. Let us not abandon our schools.

CAMPAIGN FINANCE REFORM IS ALIVE

(Mr. HUTCHINSON asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. HUTCHINSON. Mr. Speaker, some individuals have misinterpreted yesterday's vote in the Senate as an indication that campaign finance reform is dead. I think they are deceiving themselves. There was no knockout punch. It was a draw.

Campaign finance reform is alive and it is a golden opportunity for the House and our House colleagues to prove that. The spotlight will turn to the House and whether we can follow through on our promises to take the electoral process out of the hands of the highest bidder and put it back in the hands of the American bidder.

I applaud Speaker GINGRICH's comments that he will give campaign finance reform its due in the House, and the gentleman from California [Mr. THOMAS] for asserting that he will hold hearings on the reform effort and examine the different campaign finance bills, including the bill introduced by myself and my friend, the gentleman from Maine, [Mr. TOM ALLEN].

Mr. Speaker, there has been some heated debate on this issue. Let us take that debate out of the pages of the newspapers and put it on the floor of the House of Representatives. Let us make it an open debate, hear all the sides, hear the viewpoints, and decide which direction we are going; and, Mr. Speaker, after that debate, then we can decide just how our campaigns should be run, by the highest bidder or by the American public.

VOTE "YES" ON FARR AMENDMENT TO H.R. 901

(Mr. FARR of California asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. FARR of California. Mr. Speaker, I rise this morning because the second vote we are going to take today is on H.R. 901, and I have an amendment to that bill that is on the floor. It is the second vote we will take. It amends the Land Sovereignty Act.

I rise as a private landowner to urge my colleagues to protect private property rights. I rise as a former county supervisor to ask my colleagues to protect local control. I rise as a former member of the State legislature to ask my colleagues to protect State rights. And I rise as a Member of Congress to ask my colleagues to vote for that amendment to protect our information sources.

Vote "yes" on the Farr amendment, the second vote this morning.

PRESIDENT CLINTON READY TO IMPOSE NEW ENERGY TAXES

(Mr. KNOLLENBERG asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. Mr. Speaker, there he goes again. President Clinton has stepped up efforts to force compliance with the treaty limiting greenhouse gas emissions. And with a knee-jerk reaction, how does he propose to accomplish this goal? Simply by raising taxes. That is right, a tax increase. A tax increase on energy.

The ink is not even dry on the newly enacted tax cut package and the President is proposing a new tax, a green tax, that will place an unbearable burden on our most vulnerable citizens. And for what? The treaty exempts 132 of the 166 nations of this world. This places the entire burden of reducing greenhouse emissions on the industrialized nations. That is us. This will not eliminate greenhouse gases, it merely changes the point of origin, the point of production.

By itself the Clinton-Gore-Browner Treaty will have a devastating effect on the American workers, but now the President wants to add insult to injury with his green tax. I ask the President to think hard about his ill-conceived green tax.

EVERY AMERICAN CHILD DESERVES A QUALITY EDUCATION

(Mr. GREEN asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. GREEN. Mr. Speaker, I want to disassociate myself with any of this green tax stuff, but what I am here for this morning is to talk about the fact that every American child deserves a quality education. Quality education needs to be available to all students whether or not they can afford it.

Many families in our districts cannot afford to send their children to private schools even with vouchers. School vouchers only address a small percentage of the children and they take away scarce dollars from public education.

It is not our American heritage to make quality education only available to a few select children and then forget the rest. Unlike other countries, we strive to educate everyone, not just the elite. Education needs to be available to all Americans, not just the ones who can afford it. That is why in our Nation's recent history public education is for everyone.

In a recent poll, 71 percent of Americans want to reform public education. Almost the same number support public education. We need to listen to the American people. They want to improve our public schools. There are problems in public schools and we need

to address these problems, but let us fix public education, not experiment with our Nation's future.

WHITE HOUSE SHOULD FORGET ABOUT ENERGY TAX INCREASE

(Mr. CHABOT asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. CHABOT. Mr. Speaker, just when we think our pocketbook is safe for a while, those folks over at the White House begin to dream up yet another new tax for the American people. Apparently, those same liberal economists who gave us the largest single peacetime tax increase in American history back in 1993 are now hard at work putting together an energy tax scheme that will increase the price of gasoline by up to 25 cents per gallon and the cost of home heating and electricity by hundreds of dollars a year.

Here is what one anonymous Clinton administration official reportedly said about this possible new energy tax. Obviously, we would want to do it in a way that is least obvious to consumers, but, any way we do it, consumers are going to pay the cost. That is scary.

Mr. Speaker, working Americans are finally going to benefit from some tax relief next year, thanks to the work of this Congress. Let us let them enjoy it and let us urge President Clinton to forget about any new taxes.

VOUCHERS PROVIDE PARENTS WITH A FALSE CHOICE

(Ms. DELAURO asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend her remarks.)

Ms. DELAURO. Mr. Speaker, our Republican friends are promoting a plan to take taxpayers' dollars out of the public school system and to put it into private schools, benefiting the few and the wealthy. The majority of the American people oppose this idea for a very good reason. This proposal would steal money from the public schools, money that could be used to fix leaky roofs or buying new textbooks or computers for our kids.

My Republican colleagues like to use the term "school choice." But vouchers provide parents with a false choice. Vouchers do not even come close to covering the high cost of tuition at the best private schools, making the voucher useless for working families and providing the greatest benefit for wealthy families who can already afford the cost of that tuition.

Democrats will oppose Republican efforts to try out this new experiment, because our children are not guinea pigs. We are not going to experiment with their lives and with their future. I urge my colleagues to oppose the Republican voucher plan.

AWESOME POWER OF IRS HAS CORRUPTING INFLUENCE ON ITS AGENTS

(Mr. GIBBONS asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. GIBBONS. Mr. Speaker, what does it say about the IRS when its own agents fear the IRS? The IRS is an agency that puts extraordinary pressure on good people to do the wrong thing, even to the point of using criminal intimidation tactics to break the hard working men and women of America.

There is an old saying out there that power corrupts and absolute power corrupts absolutely. Well, the awesome power of the IRS has had a corrupting influence on its own agents and everyone knows that unchecked power that is accountable to no one is a guarantee of abuse.

Notice how IRS agents who have had the courage to come forward and expose the outrage never say they are talking about a few bad apples or even the occasional rogue acts who give everyone a bad name. No, these courageous agents have all willingly said the IRS has a corporate culture that gives a green light to bullies, gives free rein to intimidation tactics and positively institutionalizes a quota mentality where success is not defined by honest work but by how much money can be seized. This agency is a national disgrace.

CAMPAIGN FINANCE REFORM

(Mr. SNYDER asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. SNYDER. Mr. Speaker, yesterday the Republican leadership in the Senate successfully blocked meaningful campaign finance reform. So what do the rules now mean? It means that it is perfectly legal for us to donate, or a corporation or a union, this amount of money to the political party of our choice.

That might create some confusion in our minds about what that means for us. Does that mean that if we are an individual making \$24,000, \$25,000 a year, is it legal to donate \$1 billion to the political party of our choice? Yes, it is. If we are a small business that grosses \$100,000 a year, is it legal to donate \$1 billion to the political party of our choice? Yes, it is. If we are a retiree living on a fixed income, is it legal for us to donate \$1 billion to the political party of our choice? Yes, it is.

Those are the rules. Those are the rules the Republican leadership blocked from being changed yesterday.

□ 1030

WHERE ARE THE KEY WITNESSES?

(Mr. KINGSTON asked and was given permission to address the House for 1