

A recorded vote was ordered.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 331, noes 78, not voting, 24, as follows:

[Roll No. 439]

AYES—331

Ackerman	Evans	Lipinski
Aderholt	Ewing	Livingston
Allen	Farr	Lofgren
Andrews	Fattah	Lowey
Armedy	Fawell	Lucas
Bachus	Flake	Luther
Baesler	Foley	Maloney (CT)
Baker	Forbes	Markey
Baldacci	Ford	Martinez
Ballenger	Fowler	Mascara
Barcia	Frank (MA)	Matsui
Barr	Franks (NJ)	McCarthy (MO)
Barrett (NE)	Frelinghuysen	McCarthy (NY)
Barrett (WI)	Frost	McCollum
Bartlett	Furse	McCreery
Barton	Galleghy	McDade
Bass	Ganske	McHale
Bateman	Gekas	McHugh
Bentsen	Gilchrest	McIntyre
Bereuter	Gillmor	McKeon
Berman	Gilman	McKinney
Berry	Goode	Meehan
Bilbray	Goodlatte	Menendez
Bilirakis	Goodling	Metcalf
Bishop	Gordon	Mica
Blagojevich	Goss	Millender-
Bliley	Graham	McDonald
Blumenauer	Granger	Miller (FL)
Blunt	Greenwood	Minge
Boehlert	Hall (OH)	Mink
Boehner	Hall (TX)	Moakley
Bono	Hamilton	Mollohan
Boswell	Hansen	Moran (VA)
Boucher	Harman	Morella
Boyd	Hastert	Murtha
Brown (FL)	Hastings (WA)	Myrick
Brown (OH)	Hayworth	Nadler
Bryant	Hefner	Neal
Bunning	Hinojosa	Nethercutt
Burr	Hobson	Neumann
Burton	Hoekstra	Ney
Buyer	Holden	Northup
Callahan	Hooley	Norwood
Calvert	Horn	Nussle
Camp	Hostettler	Obey
Campbell	Hoyer	Olver
Canady	Hulshof	Ortiz
Cannon	Hunter	Owens
Capps	Hutchinson	Oxley
Cardin	Hyde	Packard
Carson	Inglis	Pappas
Castle	Istook	Parker
Chambliss	Jackson (IL)	Pascarell
Clement	Jackson-Lee	Pastor
Coble	(TX)	Paul
Coburn	Jefferson	Paxon
Collins	Jenkins	Payne
Combest	John	Pease
Condit	Johnson (CT)	Pelosi
Conyers	Johnson (WI)	Peterson (PA)
Cook	Johnson, E. B.	Petri
Cooksey	Johnson, Sam	Pitts
Coyne	Jones	Porter
Crapo	Kanjorski	Portman
Cunningham	Kaptur	Price (NC)
Danner	Kasich	Pryce (OH)
Davis (IL)	Kennedy (MA)	Quinn
Davis (VA)	Kennedy (RI)	Radanovich
Deal	Kennelly	Rahall
DeGette	Kildee	Rangel
Delahunt	Kim	Redmond
DeLay	Kind (WI)	Regula
Dellums	King (NY)	Reyes
Deutsch	Kleczka	Riggs
Diaz-Balart	Klink	Rivers
Dickey	Klug	Rodriguez
Dicks	Knollenberg	Roemer
Dingell	Kolbe	Rogers
Dooley	LaFalce	Rohrabacher
Doolittle	LaHood	Ros-Lehtinen
Doyle	Lampson	Rothman
Dreier	Lantos	Roukema
Duncan	Largent	Roybal-Allard
Dunn	Latham	Royce
Edwards	LaTourette	Rush
Ehlers	Lazio	Ryun
Ehrlich	Leach	Sanchez
Emerson	Levin	Sanders
Engel	Lewis (CA)	Sandlin
Eshoo	Lewis (KY)	Sanford
Etheridge	Linder	Saxton

Scarborough
Schaefer, Dan
Scott
Sensenbrenner
Serrano
Shaw
Shays
Sherman
Shimkus
Shuster
Sisisky
Skaggs
Skeen
Skelton
Slaughter
Smith (MI)
Smith (NJ)
Smith (OR)
Smith (TX)
Smith, Adam

Snyder
Solomon
Spence
Spratt
Stabenow
Stark
Stenholm
Stump
Sununu
Talent
Tanner
Tauscher
Tauzin
Taylor (NC)
Thomas
Thornberry
Tiahrt
Tierney
Torres
Traficant

Turner
Upton
Velazquez
Walsh
Watkins
Watt (NC)
Waxman
Weldon (FL)
Weldon (PA)
Wexler
Weygand
White
Wicker
Wise
Wolf
Woolsey
Wynn
Yates
Young (FL)

NOES—78

Abercrombie
Becerra
Bonior
Borski
Brady
Brown (CA)
Chabot
Christensen
Clay
Clayton
Clyburn
Costello
Cramer
DeFazio
DeLauro
Doggett
English
Ensign
Everett
Fazio
Filner
Fox
Gejdenson
Gephardt
Green
Gutierrez

Gutknecht
Hefley
Hill
Hilleary
Hinchey
Kelly
Kilpatrick
Kingston
Kucinich
Lewis (GA)
LoBiondo
Maloney (NY)
Manzullo
McDermott
McGovern
McNulty
Meek
Miller (CA)
Moran (KS)
Oberstar
Pallone
Pickering
Pickett
Pombo
Pomeroy
Poshard

Ramstad
Riley
Sabo
Salmon
Sawyer
Schaffer, Bob
Sessions
Shadegg
Smith, Linda
Snowbarger
Souder
Stearns
Stokes
Strickland
Stupak
Taylor (MS)
Thompson
Thune
Thurman
Townsend
Vento
Visclosky
Wamp
Waters
Watts (OK)
Weller

NOT VOTING—24

Archer
Bonilla
Chenoweth
Cox
Crane
Cubin
Cummings
Davis (FL)

Dixon
Foglietta
Gibbons
Gonzalez
Hastings (FL)
Herger
Hilliard
Houghton

Manton
McInnis
McIntosh
Peterson (MN)
Rogan
Schiff
Schumer
Young (AK)

□ 1043

Mr. NEAL of Massachusetts changed his vote from "no" to "aye."

So the Journal was approved.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

□ 1045

WHITE HOUSE THREATENS TO KEEP CONGRESS IN SESSION

(Mr. DELAY asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. DELAY. Madam Speaker, the White House has threatened to keep the Congress in session until it brings up legislation to reform the current campaign laws. Well, that is fine with me. It will give both the House and Senate more time to examine in detail every campaign law that this administration broke during the last election.

There are laws on the books against soliciting campaign funds from Federal property. There are laws on the books that prohibit campaign contributions from foreign services, especially the Communist Chinese. There are laws on the books that prohibit campaign

events from occurring at Buddhist temples. These are just some of the abuses that we have already found in the Clinton-Gore reelection campaign.

The President can keep us in session as long as he wants. It will give us much more time to examine in detail the emerging Clinton-Gore campaign scandal.

RALPH ELLISON'S "INVISIBLE MAN"

(Mr. SAWYER asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. SAWYER. Madam Speaker, today is the 40th anniversary of Little Rock, AR. It is also the 45th anniversary year of the publication of a novel that changed America, Ralph Ellison's "Invisible Man."

The central conceit in that compelling piece of literature was the invisibility of African-Americans in American society. It began, "I am an invisible man. I am invisible, understand, simply because people refuse to see me."

Five years later, Central High School was on every television in America and millions of Americans were invisible no more. Today, it is a deep irony that if we fail to conduct the most complete census we are capable of, we will make millions of Americans of a color disappear from the public rolls of the Nation.

At the same time, in Orange County, in an attempt to change the outcome of an election, a former Member of this House is trying to manufacture people of color to suggest a fraud, manufacture people of color out of thin air.

JENNIFER DAVIS IMPRISONED IN PERU

(Mr. EWING asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. EWING. Madam Speaker, September 25, 1996, 1 year ago, Jennifer Davis was in Peru. She was arrested on drug charges. She has admitted her guilt and has cooperated with authorities. For this, she has been put in an inhumane prison, has never received her rights under Peruvian law. While she has been there for 1 year, a Russian arrested is in and out in 6 months; a policeman that she put the finger on is in and out in 6 months.

This Peruvian Government has refused to consider the sense-of-Congress resolutions passed in both Houses to extend our human rights to this young lady and 24 other Americans. It is time to do something about it.

ACT NOW ON CAMPAIGN FINANCE REFORM

(Mr. DOGETT asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. DOGGETT. Madam Speaker, with daily speeches, repeated requests for recorded votes, motions to adjourn, and objections, we are attempting to convince the Republican leadership that it is less trouble to schedule a vote on campaign finance reform than to not schedule one. With a motion to adjourn, we are saying adjourn the special interests that corrupt the political process. With a motion to approve the Journal, we are saying approve a Journal that reflects real campaign finance reform.

The announcement of the gentleman from Texas [Mr. ARMEY] yesterday that in response to these Democratic procedural moves he would schedule something sometime on this issue I suppose is a step forward. It has taken 9 months, but we finally appear to have moved the Republican leadership from "definitely no" to "maybe sometime on something."

House Republicans should now give us a specific time and should work out the terms of debate. Only then will we have a true "yes" to real campaign finance reform. To clean up the campaigns for 1998, we must act now.

DOES ANYONE HAVE ANY ANSWERS?

(Mr. BALLENGER asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. BALLENGER. Madam Speaker, I have been following these accusations of campaign finance scandals and reform and outrageous political corruption with great interest. But I must admit, I am having an awfully hard time keeping up.

My Democrat friends insist that it is just political and that there is really nothing to them. Since my good friends on the liberal side of the aisle have such a sterling reputation for fairness and their own ironclad commitment to nonpartisanship, I would like them to clear up a few questions I have, questions I am sure they are just as eager to have answered as I am.

So, who did hire Craig Livingston at the White House? You know, the former bouncer put in charge of security at the White House and who somehow ended up with 900 FBI files on us Republicans?

Another question I have is, what is the difference between a fund-raiser and a finance-related event? I would like to know so that I too can get around the same laws which restrict such activities.

Why did John Huang hide for several days from a Federal judge in order to keep embarrassing fund-raising revelations quiet until after the 1996 election?

Does anyone have those answers?

MAJORITY LEADER ANNOUNCES CONSIDERATION SOMETIME THIS YEAR OF CAMPAIGN FINANCE REFORM

(Mr. MILLER of California asked and was given permission to address the

House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. MILLER of California. Madam Speaker, the House of Representatives must be allowed to debate and vote on substantive bipartisan campaign finance reform. The question is, will the Republican leadership allow this to happen?

The press is reporting today that the gentleman from Texas [Mr. ARMEY], majority leader, has said that there will be consideration sometime this year. I am encouraged by these comments. The time has come now for the majority leader and the minority leader to sit down and work out the terms of these debates, just as the majority leader and the minority leader in the Senate sat down and discussed how debate will be conducted later this year.

When that is done, the House can go back to business as usual and the country can receive the debate that it is entitled to. I encourage the majority leader to sit down with the minority leader and work out the terms of a bipartisan debate and legislation on campaign finance reform.

CAMPAIGN FUND-RAISING INVESTIGATIONS

(Mr. HEFLEY asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. HEFLEY. Madam Speaker, liberals are calling congressional investigations in the White House fund-raising scandals and political corruption a partisan witch hunt. Does anyone take this accusation seriously?

The fact is, the only thing we are hunting for are the 6 foreign nationals that fled the country, the 10 foreign witnesses refusing interviews by the Thompson Committee and the 31 witnesses who have pled the fifth amendment.

The same administration that claims to be cooperating fully with investigators has got a list of noncooperating witnesses that grows daily. They have a very strange notion of cooperation indeed.

I am beginning to think that the liberal idea of campaign finance reform is to pass a law that says these crimes should not be investigated, political corruption should go unpunished, and lawbreaking should be overlooked if it involves foreigners of any kind, especially if those foreigners are from Communist countries.

One wonders if the 50 fugitive friends of Bill are what the President had in mind when he pledged to have the most ethical administration in history.

THE IRS IS BEING PICKED ON

(Mr. TRAFICANT asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. TRAFICANT. Madam Speaker, former IRS commissioner said, "Congressman Traficant for years has

worked to turn the American people against the IRS." He said, "It is unfair, and the IRS is not a two-headed monster."

The IRS is being picked on. How about a pity party. Do I hear violins? Let us tell it like it is. If the IRS is not a two-headed monster, why are American citizens literally wearing bags over their heads afraid to death to tell the Government how they feel about the IRS? The truth is, the American people know the IRS, the Congress knows the IRS, and the IRS knows the IRS.

I want to say one last thing. I am going to advise IRS spokespeople to stop mentioning my name on national television. I yield back the balance of their abuses.

ELIMINATE IRS CODE

(Mr. BLUNT asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. BLUNT. Madam Speaker, as I was walking out of the revolving door here yesterday after what I think was our fourth vote to adjourn, I was reminded of that Bill Murray movie "Groundhog Day." Here we are again, right back in the same day, voting to adjourn, voting on the Journal, voting on the Suspension Calendar, and not doing the business of the American people.

Yesterday, we also announced an effort to abolish the IRS Code, I say to the gentleman from Ohio [Mr. TRAFICANT], by December 31, 2000, abolish the Code, end the IRS as we know it, end those abuses, end the constant harassment of Americans by an agency that is out of control.

The Declaration of Independence has 1,300 words. The Bible has 73,000 words. The IRS code has 2.8 million words. It needs to be eliminated.

LORETTA SANCHEZ IS HERE TO STAY

(Mr. SERRANO asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. SERRANO. Madam Speaker, let me see if I get this straight. The gentlewoman from California [Ms. SANCHEZ] wins an election in California, she is certified by a Republican Secretary of State, she comes to the House and takes her seat, and she begins to do her district's work. Then all of a sudden Republicans launch an unprecedented attack not only on the Hispanic voters in her district, but on Hispanic voters throughout the Nation.

This is the same party that is telling us that they want to bring Hispanics into their party and invite them in. Well, they must have hired the same consultant to do this advice that told them to close down the Government a couple years ago.

I suggest they are getting ripped off. Let the gentlewoman from California