marched for fairness, and they marched for justice.

Why do they march, we may ask? They march because the USDA has a documented history of discrimination against blacks and other minority farmers. Over the last 32 years there have been numerous reports declaring and describing the problems of deliberate discrimination by the USDA against black farmers, by agencies such as the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, the inspector general, and our very own Committee on Government Operations, as it was known then.

Farmers and ranchers are invaluable resources to all of us. American producers, who represent less than 3 percent of the total population, provide more than enough food and fiber to meet the needs of our Nation, as well as many nations overseas. By now it should be clear that it is not in our national interest to accept the elimination of small farmers, family farmers, nor minority farmers and the limited resource farmers in the name of progress.

From 1910 to 1993 the number of American farms have declined from a little more than 6.4 million to less than 2.1 million, roughly a 70-percent decline and decrease. This decline is even greater in the year 1997. The decline in minority farmers is even sharper.

In my home State of North Carolina, there has been a 64-percent decline in minority farmers just over the last 15 years, from 6,996 farms in 1978 to 2,498 farms in 1992. There are several reasons why the number of minority and limited-resource farmers are declining so rapidly, but the one that has been documented time and time again is the discriminatory environment present in the Department of Agriculture, the very agency established by the U.S. Government to accommodate and assist special needs of all farmers and all ranchers.

The General Accounting Office found instances of discrimination in fiscal years 1995 and 1996. GAO also found the disapproval rates of loans were 6 percent higher for minority farmers than the 10 percent rate for the nonminority farmers. The very next month two related reports were released: the Office of Inspector General's Evaluation Report for the Secretary on civil rights issues and the Civil Rights Action Team Report.

The authors of these hard-hitting reports came to the identical conclusion that those who had looked at this issue some 32 years before did. There are significant problems of discrimination within the Department of Agriculture. The very same conclusion, 32 years later.

The farmers and ranchers of America, including minority and limited-resource producers, through their labor and sustained effort, sustain each and every one of us and maintain the lifeblood of our Nation through providing food to us. Without these hard-working men and women, how could we be fed and clothed, regardless of their race?

These people do not discriminate with their product. That is why, Mr. Speaker, each of us should commit ourselves that we should not have the extra burden of discrimination or racism rearing its ugly head. Secretary Glickman has said he is personally committed to returning USDA to its original status as a people's department, to serve all the people, all the people, without regard to their racial identification.

I am equally committed in that effort to achieve that goal. I introduced H.R. 2185, the USDA Accountability and Equity Act of 1997, in conjunction with the gentleman from Georgia, Mr. SANFORD BISHOP, the gentleman from Alabama, Mr. EARL HILLIARD, and the gentleman from Mississippi, Mr. BENNY THOMPSON.

Mr. Speaker, we all should have the goal that discrimination has no basis for the farmers and producers for all of America. Please, Mr. Speaker, I urge all my colleagues to join with me in that goal.

WE MUST REMEMBER OUR POW'S AND MIA'S

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the Speaker's announced policy of January 21, 1997, the gentlewoman from California [Ms. SANCHEZ] is recognized during morning hour debates for 2 minutes.

Ms. SANCHEZ. Mr. Speaker, last Friday I joined the Veterans of Foreign Wars from my district in recognizing remembering Prisoner-of-War, Missing-in-Action day. POW-MIA day offers us an opportunity not only to remember and recognize those that we have lost, but also to rededicate ourselves to the cause of finding these men or their remains and bringing them home to their family and to their grateful Nation. We must work together to ensure the fullest possible accounting of these men, for their families and for all Americans who have benefitted from their fight for liberty and freedom

There are still over 164 POW's and MIA's from California from the Vietnam war unaccounted for. This means that these 164 men will not walk home tonight to their wives and children, 164 men who will not be able to enjoy the freedom for which they gave the last full measure of devotion.

I urge my colleagues to join me in recognizing and in remembering these men. We must continue to fight and remember those we have lost in battle for freedom. Until all of these men from throughout this country have been accounted for, we must not rest in our efforts.

RECESS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 12 of rule I, the Chair declares the House in recess until 2 p.m. Accordingly (at 1 o'clock and 8 min-

Accordingly (at 1 o clock and 8 minutes p.m.), the House stood in recess until 2 p.m.

□ 1400

AFTER RECESS

The recess having expired, the House was called to order by the Speaker protempore [Mr. PEASE] at 2 p.m.

PRAYER

The Chaplain, Rev. James David Ford, D.D., offered the following prayer:

As we offer our thanks and praise to You, O gracious God, we listen to Your word of faith, Your message of hope, and Your gift of love. Enable us as best we can to hear Your word even with the clamor of the world about us compelling our attention and demanding our allegiance. Help us to distinguish Your message of justice and mercy and humility from the cries of any false prophet who prescribes words of selfishness or arrogance. We are grateful for Your favor to us, O God, by which You bless us and our Nation, and we pray for Your benediction upon us, now and evermore. Amen.

THE JOURNAL

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair has examined the Journal of the last day's proceedings and announces to the House his approval thereof.

Pursuant to clause 1, rule I, the Journal stands approved.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Will the gentleman from Ohio [Mr. CHABOT] come forward and lead the House in the Pledge of Allegiance.

Mr. CHABOT led the Pledge of Allegiance as follows:

I pledge allegiance to the Flag of the United States of America, and to the Republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all.

EDUCATION AT THE HEART OF THE AMERICAN DREAM

(Mr. BALLENGER asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. BALLENGER. Mr. Speaker, if any issue goes to the heart of the concept that every child should have a shot at the American dream, education is it. Without a good education, many dreams will lie forever beyond the reach of those who wish to get ahead in life.

But there are many difficult obstacles standing in the way of a good education, especially for those born to disadvantage and hardship. Of course, it is easy for the liberal elite, safely ensconced in their suburban homes and enclaves, to send their own children to exclusive private school. But the disadvantaged, the very same people they pretend to champion, do not have that luxury.

That is why it is particularly distressing to see that the liberal elite is opposed to giving kids a chance to go to a better school, all because the special interests would see their power threatened.

This issue cries for some kind of fairness. It is not fair that some kids have to start out with two strikes against them. Let us give parents a choice so more kids will have a chance. Parental choice means more kids will realize their dreams.

IRS HAS A QUOTA SYSTEM

(Mr. TRAFICANT asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. TRAFICANT. Mr. Speaker, according to news reports, the IRS has a quota system. IRS agents got bonuses for ripping off taxpayers. And many times taxpayers settled their cases even though they were innocent.

What is so shocking about all that? The American people have known this for years, and the American people have been telling us the IRS is incompetent, the IRS is arrogant, the IRS has abused their powers. It has gotten so bad the IRS is even above the law.

That is right, in America the accuser has the burden of proof, but not in a civil tax case. The IRS accuses, the taxpayer must prove their case. Beam me up.

Let me say this. There can be no true reform in American tax law without changing the burden of proof. It is time to handcuff them to a chain link fence and flog them with their own hefty Tax Code.

I yield back their unauthorized seizures and excessive penalties.

THE MEMORY PROBLEM AT THE WHITE HOUSE

(Mr. CHABOT asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. CHABOT. Mr. Speaker, I think I am beginning to understand what the problem in education is today. It is pretty clear that everyone in the White House was educated in the 1960's style feel-good mushiness where rote memorization was taboo. How else to explain the astounding number of times the White House officials say "I don't recall, I can't remember" whenever they are asked to testify about all the various White House scandals under investigation.

Mr. Speaker, what else are we to conclude if even the so-called best and brightest seem to have no capacity whatsoever to recall simple facts about the misdeeds of their employees? Is this perhaps a medical condition that we should be aware of, some kind of environmental problem in the water over at the White House that strikes at the very heart of the memory process?

Mr. Speaker, the "I do not recall" problem over at the White House should not be allowed to infect the rest

of the Nation, especially as children everywhere are heading back to school. Children should be taught that memory skills are important, too, that rote memorization that many of us had to do in schools, even if they are in short supply down at the White House.

MEMORY PROBLEM EXTENDS ACROSS AMERICA

(Mr. DOGGETT asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. DOGGETT. Mr. Speaker, I find that memory problem not limited to the White House. Indeed, I find it extending across America. If you ask the people of America when is the last time that this Congress did anything to reform the problems of campaign finance, most people will have to scratch their head and say, "Gee, I can't remember. I don't recall the Congress doing anything."

Yes, there were a lot of hot speeches about wrong here on one party or wrong here on another, but in terms of getting down to constructive reform to do something about changing the system in which special interests dump millions and millions of dollars into the coffers of both parties, nothing has been done because Speaker GINGRICH refuses to schedule a ban, backed by Republicans and Democrat, a ban on soft money.

At least some Members of this Congress in the other body have moved from investigating to legislating. It is time for this House to do the same thing. Then no one in America will have a memory problem because not only will we prosecute and enforce existing laws, but we will clean up a system that has gone bad.

FURTHER TAX REFORM NEEDED

(Mr. NEUMANN asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. NEUMANN. Mr. Speaker, I would like to begin by just briefly responding to my colleague from the other side of the aisle and suggest that with the laws currently on the books, millions and millions of dollars came in illegally, and I think we need to enforce those laws that are on the books where there are clear-cut violations at this time

But I rise today really to call attention to the part of the recently passed plan that balances the budget for the first time since 1969, reduces taxes for the first time in 16 years, and restores Medicare to that part of the plan that specifically addresses education, because education is extremely important in this Nation.

As a former math teacher, I know that without a proper education, our students do not have a shot at the American dream. In this tax cut package we have \$1,500 for most freshmen

and sophomores in college. That is, when their parents fill out their tax forms next year, they will simply subtract \$1,500 of the bottom line and keep that in their own homes, instead of sending it to Washington, DC. For most juniors and seniors that number is \$1.000.

For grandparents and parents who would like to start saving for their children's education in the future, we have established an account called an Education Savings Account, and it works like this: You can put up to \$500 per year per child into the account to prepare for their education.

CAMPAIGN FINANCE REFORM NEEDED NOW

(Ms. JACKSON-LEE of Texas asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend her remarks.)

Ms. JACKSON-LEE of Texas. Mr. Speaker, this weekend over a variety of morning news shows the comment was raised as to where are the defenders of the President and Vice President of the United States.

I think, first of all, we should hold those offices, as we have done in years past, with great respect. But I do think that we will find in the wallowing of partisan politics those two individuals used as the most recent scapegoats in the refusal by the majority of this Congress to deal realistically and forthrightly with campaign finance reform.

Over 50 percent of Americans have said "The heck with both of you, Republicans and Democrats. You are both involved." So the real issue is not whether the President and Vice President acted within laws of which they did, but it is a question of addressing forthrightly the idea of cleaning up this mess called getting elected, through campaign finance reform.

We believe that we should have campaign finance reform. The real issue is will the Republican leadership bring campaign finance reform to the floor of the House for us to address it realistically, or will they wallow in the continued accusations that make no sense, because one can easily point the finger at the other side of the room, and do nothing.

THE TAXPAYER RELIEF AND PROTECTION ACT

(Mr. KNOLLENBERG asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. KNOLLENBERG. Mr. Speaker, I just want to remind the gentlewoman who just spoke, it is enforcing the existing laws that really should be looked at, enforcing the laws in effect now.

Mr. Speaker, while the recently enacted tax relief package represents a major step in the right direction, tax cutters cannot stop and rest on their laurels.

Taxes are still too high, and many Americans, primarily single adults