PARENTAL INVOLVEMENT AND COMPETITION FOR EDUCATION

(Mr. GUTKNECHT asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. GUTKNECHT. Mr. Speaker, I find it fascinating to listen to some of the discussion over the last several weeks about education, and I think those who have been watching on C-SPAN see the real differences between Republicans and Democrats. The Democrats invariably talk about more money for education; principally, more Federal money for local education. But Mr. Speaker, if more money alone were the answer, Washington, DC, would have the best schools in America. We are spending about \$9,000 per student on the schools here in Washington, DC.

Now, we obviously need to make certain that our schools have adequate resources. What we really need, however, is to encourage parental involvement and use the miracle of the marketplace. Competition works. The best way to improve poor schools is to give poor parents the same choices that the wealthy have.

NATIONAL REHABILITATION AWARENESS WEEK

(Mr. CAPPS asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. CAPPS. Mr. Speaker, I rise to call attention to the fact that this is National Rehabilitation Awareness Week throughout the country. Across the country, we are taking time this week to thank the many therapists in the rehabilitation institutes for the good work that they do, for lives that have been brought back together because of that good work.

I am a personal testimony to this. I want to call special attention to the work of the Santa Barbara Rehabilitation Institute that put my life back together; not just my body, but heart, mind, and soul. I want to thank all of the therapists there, the drivers of the vans, and I brought my football today, because when I started that therapy, I could not throw the football, now I can. As a tribute to them and a tribute to National Rehabilitation Awareness Week, I want to show what kind of work we can do.

CONSTITUTION DAY

(Mr. DREIER asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. DREIER. Mr. Speaker, "We the people of the United States, in order to form a more perfect union, establish justice, ensure domestic tranquility, provide for the common defense, promote the general welfare, and secure the blessings of liberty to ourselves and our posterity, do ordain in this Constitution for the United States of America."

It was 210 years ago today that 39 courageous individuals signed that document and began the ratification process

It has been fascinating for me to sit here, Mr. Speaker, listening to these speeches and watching the greatest deliberative body known to man proceed with its work, and it is important that we note this very, very important Constitution Day, and it is also Peggy Sampson's birthday back in the Cloakroom.

IMPORTANT DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION INITIATIVES ARE BEING THREATENED

(Ms. DELAURO asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend her remarks.)

Ms. DELAURO. Mr. Speaker, the last Congress my colleagues on the other side of the aisle tried to close down the Department of Education. The American people said no. Democrats in this House said no.

But having failed to shut down the department in one fell swoop, my Republican colleagues are now trying to shut down the Department of Education office by office. Today, we will vote on an amendment that would take billions of dollars away from the Department of Education.

Let me tell my colleagues what this amendment would eliminate, initiatives that have proven to work: Safe and drug-free schools. The school-to-work program. Educational technology challenge grants. Goals 2000. These are programs that are working in our schools today. Instead of targeting funds to where they are needed most, this amendment would spread them around to schools whether they are needed or not.

Democrats in this House are standing up for America's children, fighting the Republican assault on this Nation's commitment to education. Reject the Hoekstra amendment.

TRIBUTE TO JIM PACE

(Mr. METCALF asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. METCALF. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to pay tribute to Jim Pace, a constituent of mine from Whatcom County who is retiring today. Jim Pace worked as a veteran service officer for the American Legion in Whatcom and Skagit Counties. Through Jimmy's efforts, innumerable veterans, ready to give up on the system, found help and the benefits they deserve.

His first action was to organize a parade in Whatcom County that served as a homecoming for Vietnam's veterans. "I had a purpose in life," Jim said. "I could not bring back any of the 158,000 soldiers who were killed in Vietnam, but I could help those who came home"

Among Jim's many accomplishments is Operation We Remember which

brought disabled veterans to the Vietnam Memorial here in Washington. The veterans of the Second District and I personally will miss Jimmy's energy and compassion, and wish him the best of luck in his retirement.

MOTION TO ADJOURN

Ms. DELAURO. Mr. Speaker, I have a privileged motion at the desk.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. CAL-VERT). The Clerk will report the privileged motion.

The Clerk read as follows:

Ms. Delauro moves that the House do now adjourn.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion to adjourn offered by the gentlewoman from Connecticut [Ms. DELAURO].

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the noes appeared to have it.

Ms. Dellauro. Mr. Speaker, I object to the vote on the ground that a quorum is not present and make the point of order that a quorum is not present.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Evidently a quorum is not present.

The Sergeant at Arms will notify absent Members.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 39, nays 364, not voting 30, as follows:

[Roll No. 401] YEAS—39

Allen Doggett Ney Olver Eshoo Andrews Berry Filner Owens Frank (MA) Bonior Pallone Brown (OH) Pelosi Gejdenson Conyers Gephardt Slaughter Covne Gutierrez Stupak Tierney Davis (FL) Kaptur DeFazio Lowey Torres McDermott Delahunt Towns McNulty Miller (CA) DeLauro Vento Deutsch Waters Dingell Mink Woolsey

NAYS-364

Brady Abercrombie Crane Brown (FL) Ackerman Crapo Aderholt Bryant Cubin Archer Bunning Cummings Bachus Cunningham Burr Burton Danner Davis (IL) Baesler Baker Buver Baldacci Callahan Davis (VA) Ballenger Calvert Deal DeGette Barcia Camp Campbell Barr DeLay Barrett (NE) Canady Dellums Barrett (WI) Cannon Dickey Bartlett Capps Dicks Cardin Dixon Barton Bass Carson Dooley Bateman Doolittle Bentsen Chambliss Doyle Chenoweth Bereuter Dreier Christensen Duncan Clay Clayton Bilbray Dunn Edwards Bilirakis Ehlers Bishop Clement Blagojevich Clyburn Ehrlich Bliley Coble Emerson Blumenauer Coburn Engel English Blunt Collins Boehlert Combest Ensign Bonilla Condit Etheridge Bono Cook Evans Borski Cooksey Everett Ewing Boswell Costello Boucher Cox Farr Cramer Fattah

Fazio Latham Roemer Flake LaTourette Rogan Foley Lazio Rogers Forbes Levin Ros-Lehtinen Rothman Lewis (CA) Ford Lewis (GA) Roukema Fowler Fox Roybal-Allard Lewis (KY) Franks (NJ) Royce Linder Lipinski Rush Frelinghuysen Frost Livingston Ryun Gallegly LoBiondo Sabo Ganske Lofgren Salmon Sanchez Gekas Lucas Luther Gibbons Sanders Gilchrest Maloney (CT) Sandlin Maloney (NY) Sanford Gillmor Gilman Manton Sawyer Goode Manzullo Saxton Goodlatte Scarborough Markey Goodling Mascara Schaefer, Dan Gordon Matsui Schaffer, Bob McCarthy (MO) Schumer Goss McCarthy (NY) Graham Scott Sensenbrenner Granger McCollum Serrano McCrerv Green Greenwood McDade Sessions Gutknecht McGovern Shadegg McHale Hall (OH) Shaw Hall (TX) McHugh Shays Hamilton McInnis Sherman McIntosh Shimkus Hansen Harman McIntyre Shuster Sisisky Hastert McKeon Hastings (FL) McKinney Skaggs Hastings (WA) Meek Skeen Menendez Skelton Havworth Hefley Smith (MI) Mica Hefner Millender-Smith (NJ) McDonald Smith (OR) Herger Miller (FL) Smith (TX) Minge Hilleary Smith, Adam Moakley Smith, Linda Hilliard Snowbarger Hinchey Mollohan Hinojosa Moran (KS) Snyder Solomon Hobson Morella Hoekstra Murtha Spence Holden Myrick Spratt Stabenow Hooley Nadler Stark Hostettler Nethercutt Stearns Stenholm Neumann Houghton Northup Stokes Strickland Hoyer Hulshof Norwood Oberstar Stump Hunter Hutchinson Sununu Obey Hvde Ortiz Tanner Tauscher Inglis Packard Istook Tauzin Taylor (MS) Pappas Jackson (IL) Parker Taylor (NC) Jackson-Lee Pascrell (TX) Pastor Thomas Jenkins Thompson Paul John Paxon Thornberry Johnson (CT) Payne Thune Johnson (WI) Thurman Pease Peterson (MN) Johnson, E. B. Tiahrt Jones Peterson (PA) Traficant Kanjorski Petri Turner Kasich Pickering Upton Kellv Pickett Velazquez Visclosky Kennedy (MA) Pitts Kennedy (RI) Pombo Walsh Wamp Watkins Kennelly Pomeroy Kildee Porter Kilpatrick Portman Watt (NC) Watts (OK) Kim Poshard Kind (WI) Price (NC) Weldon (FL) King (NY) Pryce (OH) Weldon (PA) Kingston Kleczka Quinn Weller Radanovich Wexler Klink Rahall Weygand Klug Knollenberg Ramstad White Whitfield Redmond Kucinich Wicker Regula Riggs Riley Wolf LaFalce LaHood Young (AK)

NOT VOTING-30

Johnson, Sam

Rivers

Rodriguez

Gonzalez

Jefferson

Kolbe

Largent

Martinez

Meehan

Metcalf

Leach

Armey Becerra Boehner Brown (CA) Castle Diaz-Balart Fawell Foglietta Furse

Lampson

Lantos

Moran (VA) Nussle Oxley Rangel Reves Rohrabacher

Young (FL)

Schiff Souder Talent Waxman Wise

□ 1115

Mr. KILDEE and Mr. NADLER changed their vote from ''yea'' 'nay.

Mr. OLVER changed his vote from "nay" to "yea."

So the motion to adjourn was rejected.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRY

Mr. MENENDEZ. Mr. Speaker, parliamentary inquiry.

The SPĚAKĖR pro tempore (Mr. CAL-VERT). The gentleman will state his in-

Mr. MENENDEZ. Mr. Speaker, I have a parliamentary inquiry that goes to the integrity of the House.

My question is, Could the Speaker advise the House of that provision of the rules which prohibits former Members of the House from coming onto the House floor and lobbying when they have a direct personal or pecuniary interest in a matter pending before the House?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 1 of rule XXXII, former Members have the privileges of the floor or rooms leading thereto subject to the provisions of clause 3 of that

Mr. MENENDEZ. And that is the controlling provision as it relates to former Members not lobbying in the House in that respect, Mr. Špeaker?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman is correct.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. PORTER. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days within which to revise and extend their remarks on the bill (H.R. 2264) making appropriations for the Departments of Labor, Health and Human Services, and Education, and related agencies for the fiscal year ending September 30, 1998, and for other purposes, and that I may include tabular and extraneous material.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Illinois?

There was no objection.

DEPARTMENTS OF LABOR. HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES, AND EDUCATION, AND RELATED **APPROPRIATIONS** AGENCIES ACT, 1998

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the order of the House of Thursday, July 31, 1997, and rule XXIII, the Chair declares the House in the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union for the further consideration of the bill, H.R. 2264.

IN THE COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

Accordingly the House resolved itself into the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union for the further consideration of the bill (H.R. 2264) making appropriations for the Departments of Labor, Health and Human Services, and Education, and related agencies for the fiscal year ending September 30, 1998, and for other purposes, with Mr. BARRETT of Nebraska, Chairman pro tempore, in the chair.

The Clerk read the title of the bill. The CHAIRMAN pro tempore. When the Committee of the Whole rose on Tuesday, September 16, 1997, amendment No. 41 by the gentleman from

Michigan [Mr. HOEKSTRA] had been disposed of and section 515 was open for amendment.

Are there further amendments to this section of the bill?

PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRY

Mr. MENENDEZ. Mr. Chairman, parliamentary inquiry.
The CHAIRMAN pro tempore. The

gentleman will state his inquiry.

Mr. MENENDEZ. Mr. Chairman, what rules of the House permit a former Member of the House to accost verbally another Member of the House on a matter that affects that Member?

The CHAIRMAN pro tempore. The Chair is not aware of any such rule that permits that.

Mr. MENENDEZ. Well, Mr. Chairman, what procedure does a Member of the House have when they are accosted by a former Member of the House to have that Member removed?

The CHAIRMAN pro tempore. The Chair will consult with the gentleman on that question.

Mr. MENENDEZ. Well, I would like

an answer, because I have just had Mr. Dornan, a former Member of this House, come up and verbally accost me. And I do not expect in the greatest democratic institution in the world to have to take what my foreparents did not do, in a country in which they left to avoid, is that to have to come to this body and listen to a former Member of the House proceed in that way and to use words that were both profane and at the same time to use words that were demeaning.

So I want to know, in public, what procedure do we have to not have that type of action happen on the House floor?

The CHAIRMAN pro tempore. The Chair will consult with the gentleman and the Sergeant at Arms on that ques-

Mr. MENENDEZ. Further parliamentary inquiry, Mr. Chairman.

If in fact a Member of the House, a present Member of the House, were to make comments that were inappropriate, their words could be taken down. They would not be allowed to speak. I want to know whether or not there is a procedure existing that in fact will create the opportunity to not have this type of occurrence that happened on the House floor.