Beware, Democrats alike, do not take China lightly and do not take John Huang lightly. Huang just did not have friends at the Commerce Department, Huang has friends in high Communist places.

I yield back the balance of some problems here.

## ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. HASTINGS of Washington). The Chair would remind Members to refrain from using anything close to profanity in their remarks.

## HELP THE POOR, SUPPORT ECONOMIC GROWTH

(Mr. PAPPAS asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. PAPPAS. Mr. Speaker, what do the poorest Americans think of our tax relief proposal? What do those forgotten Americans who face great obstacles in life think about a tax plan that begins with the idea that Americans should be allowed to keep more of their own money? If it were up to them to design the tax bill, what would it look like?

I suspect what many of the poorest among us lack most is hope, so the question is, which tax relief measure would give those folks the most hope? Which tax bill would do the most for economic growth? Which tax bill would do the most to encourage job creation?

I know that economic growth is not something that liberals like to talk about, but economic growth is what would give the most hope for the future. That is why the tax on savings and investment needs to be reduced. If Members disagree, then I have but one question: Would lower economic growth help the poor?

### REPUBLICAN TAX PLAN: HUGE TAX BREAKS FOR THE WEALTHY AND A BALLOONING DEFICIT

(Mr. PALLONE asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. PALLONE. Mr. Speaker, we found out this morning that the Republicans have come together on a unified budget bill that is far worse for the average working American than the previous versions that passed the House and Senate. Their unified tax bill is even more unfair to working families and deeply skewed to help the wealthy. In particular, the Republicans have refused to scale back on one of their prize tax breaks for the wealthy, allowing investors to index their capital assets to inflation and thereby reducing their taxes.

Of course, the media and the American people are waking up to this Re-

publican proposal. Today in the Washington Post the headline in the editorial said "A Dismal Budget Prospect." If I could read from a section, it says:

The tax provisions remain the worst aspect of the legislation. Why? The President has stated two great objections to them: They are tilted hugely toward the very rich, and in the long run, would be a far larger drain on the Treasury than their authors acknowledge. The Republicans today in this unified tax plan have given no ground on either count;

again, huge tax breaks for the wealthy and a ballooning of the deficit.

# THE REPUBLICAN TAX BILL IS RIGHT, FAIR, AND TIMELY

(Mr. SUNUNU asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks)

Mr. SUNUNU. Mr. Speaker, this week Congress will finalize its proposal for tax relief for all Americans and begin discussions on that tax proposal with the President. During the past month Republicans have worked consistently to lower taxes for all Americans, with a \$500-per-child tax credit, relief for families sending their children to college, providing death tax relief for small businesses and family farms. In fact, 75 percent of the tax relief in this proposal goes to those earning less than \$75.000.

I hope the President will avoid the class warfare rhetoric we have heard today, but I am not optimistic. This administration's record falls short. In 1993 they pushed through the largest tax increase in America's history. This administration has proposed higher taxes on health care, taxes on energy, even taxes on camping equipment. They have called the reduction in the capital gains tax as being unnecessary and suggested that relief from death taxes is selfish.

The administration's record is one of higher and higher taxes. This is wrong. Americans deserve this tax relief. This bill is right, it is fair, and the time is now.

REPUBLICANS SHOULD COME BACK WITH A TAX PROPOSAL THAT HELPS AVERAGE WORKING FAMILIES

(Mr. BLAGOJEVICH asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. BLAGÓJEVICH. Mr. Speaker, let me give an example of who benefits under the Republican tax plan. Sandy Weill is a CEO who last year earned \$94 million. Under the Republican plan, he would enjoy a capital gains tax cut adding up to \$7 million. The average American family earns a little more than \$32,000 per year. Their entire annual income is, now get this, only four one-thousandths as big as the capital gains tax cut Sandy Weill would get under the Republican plan.

America has been good to people like Sandy Weill. With \$94 million in income last year, I think he can wait for his tax cut. But most Americans cannot wait. They can use a tax cut now. Working families need it to pay their rent or mortgage, buy their groceries, raise their children, and maybe have a little left over for a rainy day.

I implore my Republican colleagues to take a second look at their plan and come back with a proposal that actually helps average working families. I know they can do it. They just have to want to.

TIME FOR THE LEFT TO STOP TWISTING THE TRUTH ABOUT TAX RELIEF

(Mr. BOB SCHAFFER of Colorado asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. BOB SCHAFFER of Colorado. Mr. Speaker, it is time for the left to stop twisting the truth about tax relief. That is the headline of the editorial in this week's U.S. News & World Report.

Here is what the editorial says. It says that "The middle-class families that benefit from the Republican tax plan should hardly be considered rich." The editorial goes on: "The way the left is trying to twist the tax debate, boldly ragging successful Americans as a way to achieve political points, trivializes the real issues and divides us as a people." That is what the U.S. News & World Report says.

The editorial suggests that the Democrat approach to this tax debate is a lot like the phony get-rich-quick schemes we often see on television, suggesting that somehow you magically become wealthy overnight. If Members are inclined to believe that kind of baloney, I would direct their attention to the get-rich-quick scheme presented here on my left.

All the Democrats, call the U.S. Treasury Department, 202-622-0120, and they can find out how, by applying their philosophy on taxes and income, their middle-class income actually makes them wealthy overnight, overnight. All Members have to do is call the Treasury Department, and they, too, can find out how the Democrats believe they are rich. Call the Treasury now, 202-622-0120. Democrat operators are standing by.

## AN UNJUST AND UNFAIR REPUBLICAN TAX PLAN

(Mr. DAVIS of Illinois asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. DAVIS of Illinois. Mr. Speaker, one purpose of Government is to suppress injustice. The Republican tax plan does just the opposite. This plan unjustly benefits the top 5 percent of income earners by giving them over 50 percent of the cuts. This plan unjustly

Hunter

Inglis

Istook

(TX)

Jenkins

John

Jones

Kasich

Kelly

Kildee

King (NY)

Kingston

Knollenberg

Kleczka

Klug

Kolbe

Kucinich

LaFalce

LaHood

Largent

Latham

Lazio

Leach

Levin

Linder

Lipinski

LaTourette

Lewis (CA)

Lewis (KY)

Livingston

Maloney (CT)

Maloney (NY)

LoBiondo

Lofgren

Lucas

Luther

Manton

Manzullo

Martinez

Mascara

McCrery

McDade

McHale

McHugh

McInnis

McIntosh

McIntvre

McKinney

Menendez

Miller (FL)

Mollohan

Morella

Murtha

Myrick

Nadler

Ney

Moran (KS)

Nethercutt

Neumann

Northup

Oberstar

Packard

Pappas

Parker

Pastor

Pavne

Pease

Petri

Pitts

Pickering

Pickett

Pombo

Porter

Peterson (MN)

Peterson (PA)

Paul

Nussle

Oxley

Metcalf

McKeon

Meehan

Meek

Mica

Minge

McCollum

McGovern

Kim

Hutchinson

Jackson (IL)

Jackson-Lee

Johnson (CT)

Johnson (WI)

Kennedy (MA)

Kanjorski

excludes working and middle-income students trying to pay for an edu-

Take, for example, Tina, a single parent, mother of four, and student at Malcolm X College. With an income of \$25,000, she pays \$1,400 in tuition and fees. She would receive no break under the Republican plan. That is unjust. Under the Democratic plan, Tina would receive a \$400 tax break. That is justice for a single mother of four attempting to get an education.

In addition to Tina, 4.8 million other Americans are left out by the Republican plan: Police officers, schoolteachers, dental assistants, and carpenters would not receive a break. The Republican plan can be summed up in three words: Unjust and unfair. Give America a break. Let us support a fair and just plan.

### □ 1030

### **SUBPOENA** ТО **CHAIRMAN** HOUSE COMMITTEE ON GOVERN-MENT REFORM AND OVERSIGHT

(Mr. DUNCAN asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. DUNCAN. Mr. Speaker, something is wrong. How can it be that a House committee serves a subpoena on a Federal agency one day and 3 days later that same agency subpoenas the campaign records of that committee's chairman? Talk about politicizing the Justice Department.

Yes, it is curious but that is exactly what happened 2 weeks ago. The gentleman from Indiana [Mr. BURTON] sent a subpoena about campaign fundraising to the Justice Department on July 8. Bingo. On July 11, an FBI agent walks into his Indianapolis campaign office with a subpoena for all "Burton for Congress' records. We are not the only ones to think this is strange. Even Dan Rather on CBS News raised it on his program.

This is not what good government should be about, Mr. Speaker. It should not be a game of tit for tat. The gentleman from Indiana [Mr. BURTON] should not have to face a political prosecution or persecution just because he is trying to do his job. The Attorney General should not politicize our system of justice in this way.

### CUTTING AMERICAN WORKERS

(Mr. GREEN asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. GREEN. Mr. Speaker, the Republican majority has placed a provision in the budget bill to expand the definition of independent contractor. Because of the negotiation on tax cuts and health insurance for children and Medicare, not much has been said about this issue.

Mr. Speaker, this provision goes a long way toward taking away many of the benefits that employees need. We are not talking about personal parking spaces or perks. We are talking about health insurance coverage, pensions, and employer contributions to Social Security and unemployment insurance.

Employers say they want clear rules on how to classify an independent contractor. We can clarify those rules very easily without leaving a hole that one can drive a Mack truck through.

If this provision passes, perhaps millions of workers will lose their benefits and be classified as working for themselves, even though this is not the case. Outside of Washington people are concerned about and oppose this systematic downsizing and lowering of our standard of living. That is what this provision will do.

There is lots in that tax bill to be concerned about, but one of the things I am concerned about is the complaint of the American people that their standard of living is being lowered. They are doing it with this Republican

### MOTION TO ADJOURN

Mr. OBEY. Mr. Speaker, I move that the House do now adjourn.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. HASTINGS of Washington). The question is on motion offered by the gentleman from Wisconsin [Mr. OBEY].

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the noes appeared to have it.

Mr. OBĖY. Mr. Speaker, I object to the vote on the ground that a quorum is not present and make the point of order that a quorum is not present

The SPEAKER pro tempore. dently a quorum is not present.

The Sergeant at Arms will notify absent Members.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 64, nays 322, not voting 48, as follows:

## [Roll No. 307]

YEAS—64	
Filner	Moakley
Frank (MA)	Moran (VA)
Frost	Obey
Furse	Olver
Gejdenson	Owens
Gephardt	Pallone
Hastings (FL)	Pascrell
Hefner	Pelosi
Hinchey	Sabo
Jefferson	Sanders
Kennedy (RI)	Sandlin
Kilpatrick	Serrano
Klink	Slaughter
Lantos	Thompson
Lewis (GA)	Torres
Lowey	Vento
McCarthy (NY)	Waters
McNulty	Waxman
Millender-	Weygand
McDonald	Woolsey
Miller (CA)	Yates
Mink	
	Frank (MA) Frost Frurse Gejdenson Gephardt Hastings (FL) Hefner Hinchey Jefferson Kennedy (RI) Kilpatrick Klink Lantos Lewis (GA) Lowey McCarthy (NY) McNulty Millender- McDonald Miller (CA)

Aderholt

Allen

Archer

Armey

Bachus

Baesler

Ballenger

Barrett (NE)

Barrett (WI)

Baker

Barcia

NAYS—322	
Bartlett	Boehner
Bass	Bonilla
Bateman	Bono
Becerra	Borski
Bentsen	Boucher
Bereuter	Boyd
Bilbray	Bryant
Bilirakis	Bunning
Bishop	Burr
Blagojevich	Burton
Bliley	Buyer
Blunt	Callahan
Boehlert	Calvert

Camp Campbell Canady Cannon Capps Castle Chabot Chambliss Christensen Clayton Clement Clvburn Coble Coburn Collins Combest Condit Cook Cooksey Costello Cramer Crapo Cubin Cummings Cunningham Danner Davis (VA) Deal DeLay Deutsch Dickey Dicks Dixon Doolittle Doyle Dreier Duncan Dunn Edwards Ehlers Ehrlich Emerson English Ensign Etheridge Everett Ewing Fattah Fawell Flake Foley Forbes Ford Fowler Fox Franks (NJ) Frelinghuysen Gallegly Ganske Gekas Gibbons Gillmor Gilman Gonzalez Goode Goodlatte Goodling Gordon Goss Granger Greenwood Gutierrez Gutknecht Hall (OH) Hall (TX) Hamilton Hansen Harman Hastert Hastings (WA) Havworth Hefley Herger Hill Hilleary Hilliard Hinojosa Hobson Hoekstra Holden Hostettler Houghton Hulshof

Portman Poshard Price (NC) Pryce (OH) Quinn Rahall Ramstad Redmond Regula Reyes Riggs Rilev Rivers Rodriguez Rogan Rogers Rohrabacher Ros-Lehtinen Rothman Roukema Roybal-Allard Royce Rush Ryun Salmon Sanchez Sanford Saxton Scarborough Schaefer, Dan Schaffer, Bob Schumer Scott Sensenbrenner Sessions Shadegg Shaw Shays Sherman Shimkus Shuster Sisisky Skeen Skelton Smith (MI) Smith (NJ) Smith (OR) Smith (TX) Smith, Adam Smith, Linda Snowbarger Snyder Solomon Souder Spence Spratt Stabenow Stearns Stenholm Strickland Stump Stupak Talent Tanner Tauscher Tauzin Taylor (MS) Taylor (NC) Thomas Thornberry Thune Tiahrt Tierney Towns Traficant Turner Upton Velazquez Visclosky Walsh Wamp Watkins Watt (NC) Watts (OK) Weldon (FL) Weldon (PA) Weller Wexler White Wicker Wise Wolf Wvnn Young (FL)