women participate in vocational technical education programs and, again, have met the vocational-technical education benchmarks established by the State." This is mandatory, not permissive or optional.

And what happens if the State fails to meet those benchmarks? Very clearly, right here, colleagues, in the bill, "If a State fails to meet the State benchmarks, the Secretary of Education may withhold from the State all or a portion of the State's allotment under this Act."

We have taken real concrete steps to address Members' concerns in this legislation. I submit to Members that the language in the bill now negates the need for the Mink amendment. I implore my colleagues, do not replace a State mandate with a local mandate, do not replace a State set-aside with a local set-aside. Support the legislation as it is presently drafted. Vote 'no' on the Mink amendment. Just say no to more mandates for local schools.

Mr. KENNEDY of Massachusetts. Mr. Chairman, I move to strike the requisite number of words.

Mr. Chairman, I listened with interest to the logic from the gentleman from California, and I wondered whether or not if we follow that logic through if the idea would be that if we built more prisons somehow we would end up with more crime. The truth of the matter is that simply because we try to solve a problem by fixing it, by assisting in the solution of the issue, by having people work in various school systems and the like to solve a problem of gender inequity does not mean that the inequity is going to be perpetuated; it means that we are trying to solve it.

I mean, the fact of the matter is that when young people in my district and across the country ask me what the great issues of the day that I believe are out there, I say, "Listen, you look at the people sitting in this room in a particular high school, look at the young women in this high school." The fact is that if they go out and get the same job, work in the same number of hours as a young man doing the same kind of activity, they are going to get paid 69 cents for every dollar that the man gets, and the fact is that it is time that we take into consideration the kind of gender prejudice that exists in America

Mr. Chairman, that is all that we have done in the Congress in the past. That is what we are asking that this bill, and I think the Mink amendment, which is supported on a bipartisan basis by the gentlewoman from Maryland [Mrs. MORELLA] and the gentlewoman from California [Ms. SANCHEZ] and the gentlewoman from California [Ms. WOOLSEY] and the like, that recognizes what we want to maintain is the effort that has been recognized by the Congress of the United States to end the kind of gender prejudice that exists throughout our country.

The fact is that anyone who has looked at where jobs are and young

women are targeted in terms of what the kinds of jobs that they are going to be able to pursue is that not only is the pay gap currently that 6 out of 10 women are employed in the traditional female roles. One reason for the pay gap that currently exists is that 6 out of 10 women are employed in the traditional female fields of service, technical jobs, sales and administrative support.

#### □ 1300

In contrast, two-thirds of the men worked as managers, operators, professionals, and craft workers. All we are trying to do in this legislation, and I think the gentlewoman from Hawaii [Mrs. MINK] deserves a great deal of credit, is to try to maintain the fact that we want to ensure that there is in fact a small set-aside to eliminate the kind of gender gap that has existed in our system, and do everything we can to make certain that that gap is eliminated on the fastest possible basis.

Mr. Chairman, I know we are running out of time.

Mrs. MALONEY of New York. Mr. Chairman, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. KENNEDY of Massachusetts. I yield to the gentlewoman from New York.

Mrs. MALONEY of New York. Mr. Chairman, I thank the gentleman for yielding.

Mr. Chairman, I rise really in support of the Mink amendment. My office keeps a scorecard on the legislative attempts to take programs and benefits away from women. Unfortunately, we are chalking up another attack today. It is not as if we are asking for new funding. All we want is continued funding at this year's level, and the continuation of programs that work. Displaced homemakers, single parents, pregnant women, and some girls in vocational schools are all populations at risk. Why shut them out? Why, at the same time we are trying to get women off welfare rolls, are we eliminating the very programs that will help them get off welfare rolls?

In Oregon a recent study documented its long-term success in increasing employment rates from 28 percent to 71 percent. Wages increased; 14 percent of the women on welfare got off welfare. There is so much to fix, Mr. Chairman, that is broken. Let us not try to fix what is not broken. Let us continue funding for this program.

Ms. EDDIE BERNICE JOHNSON of Texas. Mr. Chairman, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. KENNEDY of Massachusetts. I yield to the gentlewoman from Texas.

(Ms. EDDIE BERNICE JOHNSON of Texas asked and was given permission to revise and extend her remarks.)

Ms. EDDIE BERNICE JOHNSON of Texas. Mr. Chairman, I rise in support of the Mink amendment.

Mr. Chairman, I rise today to voice my strong support to Congresswoman MINK's amendment. I urge my colleagues to support this amendment asking for financial support

for programs that benefit girls and women. This is essential to help secure a future for millions of female citizens.

Young adults need vocational education and job training because this will provide them the skills needed to succeed in today's workplace. We must provide women with these opportunities because only then will we contribute to lowering the number of women receiving welfare assistance, enabling them to become self-sufficient and independent. Struggling homemakers, single mothers, and teenage women will have an opportunity to live productively and comfortably by having the chance to become educated in employment areas where there is high demand for skilled workers.

Vocational education and job training are directly linked to the reduction of welfare. If we want women to get off welfare, we need to provide meaningful job programs to train them. The participation in these programs results in higher wages and an increased number of work hours for women. I am asking you to support programs that train women for non-traditional jobs—like masons, computer programmers, and plumbers.

Displaced homemakers and single parent programs are crucial to the well-being of the disadvantaged. It is crucial that we provide funding for these programs. Displaced homemakers and single parent programs specialize in individually targeted pre-employment training and counseling services. Women will benefit from life skills development, career exploration, job training and placement, and support services.

In my State of Texas, 52 percent of displaced women rated the displaced homemaker or single parent program as much better than any other government program in which they have participated. Texas needs financial support of these programs. These programs help all women:

There are 1.2 million displaced homemakers in Texas: 47 percent of displaced homemakers are under 50 years old; and 39 percent of displaced homemakers are African-American, Asian, and Hispanic.

There are 561,342 single mothers in Texas: 61 percent of Texan single mothers are between the ages of 25–44; 47 percent of single mothers are African-American and Hispanic; and 53 percent are nonminority.

I urge all of you to support this amendment: you will be building the foundation for displaced homemakers, single parents, and individuals training for nontraditional occupations.

Mr. GOOĎLING. Mr. Chairman, I move that the Committee do now rise. The motion was agreed to.

Accordingly the Committee rose; and the Speaker pro tempore (Mr. KLUG) having assumed the chair, Mr. EWING, Chairman of the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union, reported that that Committee, having had under consideration the bill (H.R. 1853) to amend the Carl D. Perkins Vocational and Applied Technology Education Act, had come to no resolution thereon.

## GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. SKEEN. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days within which to revise and extend their remarks on the

Neal

further consideration of H.R. 2160, and that I may include tabular and extraneous material.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from New Mexico?

There was no objection.

AGRICULTURE, RURAL DEVELOP-MENT, FOOD AND DRUG ADMIN-ISTRATION. AND RELATED APPROPRIATIONS AGENCIES ACT, 1998

Mr. SKEEN. Mr. Chairman, I move that the House resolve itself into the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union for the further consideration of the bill (H.R. 2160) making appropriations for Agriculture, Rural Development, the Food and Drug Administration, and Related Agencies programs for the fiscal year ending September 30, 1998, and for other purposes.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from New Mexico [Mr. SKEEN1.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Ms. PELOSI. Mr. Speaker, I object to the vote on the ground that a quorum is not present and make a point of order that a quorum is not present.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Evidently a quorum is not present.

The Sergeant at Arms will notify absent Members.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were-yeas 259, nays 165, not voting 10, as follows:

[Roll No. 281]

YEAS-259 Aderholt Chenoweth Franks (NJ) Archer Christensen Frelinghuvsen Coble Gallegly Armey Bachus Coburn Ganske Baesler Collins Gekas Baker Combest Gephardt Gibbons Baldacci Cook Ballenger Cooksey Gilchrest Barr Gillmor Cox Barrett (NE) Crane Gilman Bartlett Crapo Goode Goodlatte Barton Cubin Bass Cunningham Goodling Bateman Danner Goss Davis (FL) Graham Bereuter Bilbray Davis (VA) Granger Greenwood Bilirakis Deal Bliley DeLay Gutknecht Blunt Dellums Hall (OH) Diaz-Balart Hall (TX) Boehner Bonilla Dickey Hamilton Doolittle Bono Hansen Borski Hastert Doyle Hastings (WA) Boucher Dreier Brady Duncan Havworth Hefley Bryant Dunn Bunning Ehlers Herger Hill Burr Ehrlich Hilleary Burton Emersor Engel Hobson Callahan English Hoekstra Calvert Ensign Holden Camp Campbell Evans Horn Hostettler Everett Canady Ewing Hulshof Cannon Fawell Hunter Cardin Foley Hutchinson Fowler Castle Hvde Chabot Inglis Frank (MA) Chambliss Istook

Jenkins Johnson (CT) Johnson, Sam Jones Kanjorski Kasich Kelly Kim King (NY) Kingston Klink Klug Knollenberg Kolbe LaFalce LaHood Largent Latham LaTourette Lazio Leach Lewis (CA) Lewis (KY) Linder Lipinski Livingston LoBiondo Lucas Manzullo Matsui McCollum McCrery McDade McHugh McInnis McIntosh McIntyre McKeon Metcalf Mica Miller (FL) Moakley Mollohan Moran (KS) Morella Myrick

Abercrombie

Barrett (WI)

Ackerman

Allen

Andrews

Barcia

Becerra

Bentsen

Berman

Berry

Bishop

Bonior

Boyd

Capps

Clay

Carson

Clayton

Clement

Clyburn

Costello

Condit

Coyne

Cramer

Cummings

Davis (IL)

DeFazio

DeGette

Delahunt

DeLauro

Deutsch

Dicks

Dingell

Doggett

Edwards

Eshoo Etheridge

Dooley

Farr

Fattah

Fazio

Filner

Flake

Foglietta

Dixon

Boswell

Blagojevich

Blumenauer

Brown (CA)

Brown (FL)

Brown (OH)

Nethercutt Neumann Shaw Ney Northup Shavs Norwood Shuster Nussle Sisisky Oberstar Skeen Skelton Ortiz Oxley Packard Pappas Parker Paul Paxon Souder Pease Peterson (PA) Spence Petri Stearns Pickering Pickett Stump Pitts Sununu Talent Pombo Porter Tauzin Portman Price (NC) Pryce (OH) Thomas Quinn Radanovich Rahall Thune Ramstad Tiahrt Redmond Regula Upton Walsh Riggs Riley Wamp Rogan Watkins Rogers Rohrabacher Ros-Lehtinen Weller Royce White Ryun Salmon Wicker Sanford Saxton Scarborough Wolf Young (FL) Schaefer, Dan Schaffer, Bob Sensenbrenner

#### NAYS-165

Ford McNulty Frost Meehan Furse Meek Menendez Geidenson Millender-Gordon McDonald Green Miller (CA) Gutierrez Harman Hastings (FL) Mink Hefner Moran (VA) Hilliard Nadler Hinchey Obey Olver Hinojosa Owens Hooley Hover Pallone Jackson (IL) Pascrell Jackson-Lee Pastor (TX) Pavne Jefferson Pelosi Peterson (MN) John Johnson (WI) Pomerov Johnson, E. B. Poshard Kaptur Rangel Kennedy (MA) Reves Rivers Kennedy (RI) Kennelly Rodriguez Kildee Roemer Kilpatrick Rothman Roybal-Allard Kind (WI) Kleczka Rush Kucinich Sabo Lampson Sanchez Sanders Lantos Sandlin Levin Lewis (GA) Sawver Lofgren Schumer Lowey Scott Luther Serrano Maloney (CT) Sherman Maloney (NY) Skaggs Slaughter Manton Markey Smith. Adam Snyder Martinez Mascara Spratt McCarthy (MO) Stabenow McCarthy (NY) Stark McDermott Stokes McGovern Strickland McHale Stupak

Tanner

McKinney

Sessions Shadegg Shimkus Smith (MI) Smith (NJ) Smith (OR) Smith, Linda Snowbarger Solomon Stenholm Taylor (MS) Taylor (NC) Thompson Thornberry Traficant Watts (OK) Weldon (FL) Weldon (PA) Whitfield

Velazquez Tauscher Thurman Vento Tierney Visclosky Torres Waters Watt (NC) Towns Turner Waxman

Wexler Weygand Woolsey Wynn Yates

#### NOT VOTING-10

Boehlert Houghton Smith (TX) Conyers Molinari Forbes Roukema Gonzalez Schiff

Messrs. SKAGGS, HILLIARD, RUSH, ROTHMAN, OWENS, DICKS and Ms. JACKSON-LEE of Texas changed their vote from "yea" to "nay.

Mr. FRANK of Massachusetts and Mr. MOLLOHAN changed their vote from "nay" to "yea."

So the motion was agreed to.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

MOTION TO RECONSIDER THE VOTE OFFERED BY MR. FRANK OF MASSACHUSETTS

Mr. FRANK of Massachusetts. Mr. Speaker, I move to reconsider the vote. MOTION TO TABLE OFFERED BY MR. KINGSTON

Mr. KINGSTON. Mr. Speaker, I move to lay the motion to reconsider on the table.

SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. KLUG). The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Georgia [Mr. KINGSTON] to lay on the table the motion to reconsider the vote offered by the gentleman from Massachusetts (Mr. Frank).

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

### RECORDED VOTE

Mr. FRANK of Massachusetts. Mr. Speaker, I demand a recorded vote.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 238, noes 188, not voting 8, as follows:

# [Roll No. 282]

AYES-238 Chenoweth Aderholt Archer Christensen Armey Coble Bachus Coburn Baker Collins Ballenger Combest Barr Cook Barrett (NE) Cooksey Bartlett Cox Crane Barton Bass Crapo Bateman Cubin Bereuter Cunningham Bilbray Davis (VA) Bilirakis Deal Bliley DeLay Diaz-Balart Blunt Boehlert Dickey Boehner Doggett Bonilla Doolittle Doyle Bono Brady Dreier Bryant Duncan

Dunn

Ehlers

Ehrlich

Emerson

English

Ensign

Everett

Ewing Fawell

Foley

Fowler

Fox Franks (NJ)

Bunning

Burton

Callahan

Campbell

Canady

Cannon

Castle

Chabot

Chambliss

Calvert

Camp

Buyer

Burr

Ganske Gekas Gibbons Gilchrest Gillmor Gilman Goode Goodlatte Goodling Goss Graham Granger Greenwood Gutknecht Hamilton Hansen Hastings (WA) Havworth Hefley Herger Hill Hilleary Hobson Hoekstra Holden Horn Hostettler Houghton Hulshof Hunter Hutchinson Hyde Inglis

Istook

Frelinghuysen

Gallegly