

grant by \$30 million in fiscal year 1998 and by an additional \$10 million annually through the year 2002.

I am appalled by the fact that this agreement between the White House and the Republican leadership has not been honored in the budget act that we will consider today. I would hope that the commitment made by the congressional leadership with the White House is honored, as all commitments should be.

IMPUTED INCOME

(Mr. HUTCHINSON asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. HUTCHINSON. Mr. Speaker, listening to the other side characterize our tax bill as "tax cuts for the wealthy" has led me to come up with a perfect letter for my constituents. It would go like this:

Dear Middle Class Taxpayer: For tax purposes only, you are hereby declared rich. I know this must come as quite a shock to you, but that is not even the good part.

Although you and your wife live quite modestly and think that when you add your \$25,000 salary to her \$20,000 salary you make \$45,000. Well, think again. This includes all of your overtime. But whenever you earn \$45,000 per year, the liberals in Congress have decided that you actually make over \$75,000 a year. It is called imputed income.

I am not sure exactly how they do that. But the bottom line is, you are hereby declared rich. This means, among other things, that you are now evil and that you are no longer paying your fair share.

Oh, yes, the tax cut you thought you were going to get, well forget it. Being rich has never been so fun, has it?

A FULL COURT PRESS AGAINST DRUGS

(Mr. BLAGOJEVICH asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. BLAGOJEVICH. Mr. Speaker, let me begin by quoting a sports hero from my hometown of Chicago:

I've missed more than 9,000 shots in my career. I've lost almost 300 games. Twenty-six times I've been entrusted to take the game-winning shot and missed. I've failed over and over and over again in my life. And that is why I succeed.

Mr. Speaker, the other day in the Committee on Rules, I, like Michael Jordan, took a shot and I missed. I filed an amendment that would have given additional money to our military for our fight to interdict drugs before they cross our borders and reach our neighborhoods.

Mr. Speaker, we met the challenge of the cold war by providing the necessary resources to win that war. Now it is time we invested some of those same resources to fight and win the war on drugs, a war being waged in every neighborhood and every community in our country. Because, Mr. Speaker, in the final analysis, it is only with a full court press that we can ultimately turn failure into success.

TAX CUTS WILL KEEP THE ECONOMY GROWING

(Mr. HEFLEY asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. HEFLEY. Mr. Speaker, do you find it amusing that liberals in this House, people who have never created a job in their lives, spend all of their time attacking the very policies that help create jobs?

Do you find it downright hilarious that liberals spend their entire lives attacking corporate America, the very same corporate America that is responsible for creating 30 million jobs in the U.S. economy over the last 15 years?

Do you find it positively insane, yes, Mr. Speaker, insane that liberals dismiss out of hand the relevance of the very same factors in the economy that are the single most relevant factors that job creators take into account when thinking about starting up or expanding a business?

Just why is it, Mr. Speaker, that the liberals simply refuse to learn any lessons from fast-growing economies and refuse to avoid the mistakes of no-growth European economies?

Keep the American economic system growing, Mr. Speaker. Let us pass the tax cuts in the balanced budget agreement so that there are more jobs for everyone.

IRS EMPLOYEE CRIMES

(Mr. TRAFICANT asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. TRAFICANT. Mr. Speaker, there is a new management board to clean up the IRS. The GAO said the IRS cannot even handle their own money, and that IRS employees have illegally snooped into unsuspecting taxpayers' accounts.

Now, if that is not enough to seize your tooth fairy money, check this out. An IRS bankruptcy specialist, Reva Vanzijl, stole social security numbers, then ripped off \$10,000 from the credit cards of unsuspecting taxpayers.

"But don't worry," the IRS said, "we got Reva and we convicted her and we threw the book of justice at her." Check this out: Reva got 6 months of home arrest and a \$3,000 fine.

Beam me up, Mr. Speaker. I say the IRS does not need a management board. The IRS needs a parole board. As for this proverbial book of justice, I say the IRS should shove that book of justice up their audit. I yield back all their crimes.

MORE TAX RELIEF, LESS GOVERNMENT WASTE

(Mr. TIAHRT asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. TIAHRT. Mr. Speaker, one way to think about our tax policy is to consider two opposite cases. Under a tax

regime that took every penny of what you earned, that would certainly be considered tyranny. Under a tax regime that allowed you freedom to do whatever you wished to do with that money that you earned, that would be considered maximum freedom.

Well, today we can move our tax regime toward the direction of more freedom and away from the direction of more tyranny. "Tyranny" means absence of freedom.

It means that a truck driver who earns \$100 after driving 100 miles finds that he is not free to do what he wishes with that money because the Government takes a quarter to a half of it. He is taxed on the coffee he drinks. He is taxed on the fuel that he burns in his truck. He pays taxes on the truck. He pays income taxes. No wonder he feels ripped off.

It is time to move to more freedom and less Government tyranny. It is time for more tax relief and less Government waste.

REPUBLICAN TAX PLAN

(Ms. VELÁZQUEZ asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Ms. VELÁZQUEZ. Mr. Speaker, today we will vote on the first of two Republican budget bills. The Republicans will say that they are giving tax relief to working families. Do not believe it for one minute. What the Republicans are doing is waging war on working families.

The Republican tax plan will give \$27,000 in tax breaks to the wealthiest 1 percent. Is this fairness? I will say it again. They want to give \$27,000 in tax breaks to the wealthiest 1 percent.

For families trying to move from welfare to work, they want to deny worker protections, they want to deny family and medical leave, and they refuse to guarantee protections for 10 million uninsured children.

Do not be fooled. The Republican budget is a big gift to wealthy campaign contributors, and the Republican budget is an attack on working families.

TAX RELIEF BILL

(Mr. GIBBONS asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. GIBBONS. Mr. Speaker, in the preamble to the Constitution, the people of the United States declared that one of the purposes of having a constitution was to "promote the general welfare, and to secure the blessings and liberty to ourselves and our posterity."

Mr. Speaker, one of the best ways to promote the general welfare and secure the blessings of liberty to ourselves and our children would be to pass the Republican tax relief plan. Letting people who earn between \$20,000 and \$75,000 a year keep more of what they earn means that their standard of living

goes up. It means that these people can better provide for their families, better save for their children's education, and better save for their own retirement.

The Constitution speaks of providing the blessings of liberty to ourselves and our posterity, and reducing death taxes means the family farms can stay in the family, the family business can remain in the hands of those who helped build it.

I urge my colleagues to support the tax relief bill when it comes before the House.

MEDICARE CUTS FINANCE TAX RELIEF FOR THE WEALTHY

(Mr. DEFAZIO asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. DEFAZIO. Mr. Speaker, the Democrats would tax the very air we breathe, or so the Republicans would have us believe. But the Republicans would actually take oxygen from the lungs of senior citizens and disabled around this country to finance tax cuts for the wealthy. And I am not making this up.

The measure before us today cuts the payments for oxygen and oxygen equipment by 20 percent and freezes payments through 2002 to save \$1.6 billion. Eighty percent of the cuts in the bill before us today come from Medicare, not to reform Medicare and stabilize its finances, but to finance tomorrow's bill, the bill that will extend tax cuts to the wealthy, tax cuts that will average \$27,132 a year for families that earn over \$400,000. And for those families who earn \$25,000 they will average zero, nothing.

Is this fair? I do not think so.

TAX RELIEF FOR WORKING AMERICANS

(Mr. HAYWORTH asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. HAYWORTH. Mr. Speaker, well, there they go again. It is tragic, in a sense, to come to the floor of this House and hear such extreme, shrill and, yes, I am saddened to say, false rhetoric from liberals who constantly apologize for expansion of government and higher taxes for the American people.

The sad fact is that the Treasury Department and other partisans, such as my good friend from Oregon [Mr. DEFAZIO], are using books that have been cooked so much they are charcoal broiled. How many families do my colleagues know who pay rent to themselves, owning their own homes?

That is what our friends say the American people do. That is why our friends would say that a middle-income family earning about \$40,000 a year somehow makes in excess of \$75,000 a year and somehow is wealthy. It does not add up. Indeed, when we come to saving Medicare, we worked out com-

monsense reforms with the very administration that I think my colleague from Oregon [Mr. DEFAZIO] would champion.

So let us return to a voice of reason, common sense, giving tax relief to working Americans. That is the key. That is what our plan does, 76 percent to families making between \$20,000 and \$75,000; and that is why the American people will prevail.

□ 1015

TRAGIC EXAMPLE OF WELFARE REFORM

(Mr. HINOJOSA asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. HINOJOSA. Mr. Speaker, I come before Members today to tell them about the drastic effects of the welfare reform law passed by the 104th Congress. Specifically, I want to share with Members an example of someone who has been tragically affected by the welfare reform law.

Mr. Rosendo Tijerina is a legal immigrant who has worked in Texas for 11 years. Last November he was involved in a serious auto accident. His legs and pelvis were crushed and his heart was injured as well. He is now totally disabled.

Yet under the welfare reform law, Mr. Tijerina is not eligible for supplemental security income. He has worked hard, paid his taxes, integrated himself and his family into his community and has been a contributor to our country's economy. He deserves better treatment than this.

Mr. Tijerina and the other 125,000 legal immigrants who will be denied benefits under the law need to have these benefits restored. In my own State of Texas more than 34,000 legal immigrants are expected to be denied benefits.

Mr. Speaker, I ask that these people be given some consideration.

INTRODUCTION OF TECHNOLOGY FOR TEACHERS ACT

(Mrs. MORELLA asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend her remarks.)

Mrs. MORELLA. Mr. Speaker, in an effort to address the challenges of technology in our Nation's classrooms, I am joining with the gentleman from Minnesota [Mr. VENTO] in introducing the Technology for Teachers Act. This bill would establish two competitive grant programs under the auspices of the Department of Education. One program would promote the inclusion of education technology in the initial undergraduate preparation of new teachers. The other would promote education technology as part of the ongoing professional development of current teachers. The Office of Technology Assessment recently released a study

that shows that most new teachers graduate from teacher preparation institutions with limited knowledge of the way technology can be used in their professional practice. The study also revealed that a majority of classroom teachers feel they need additional training in order to adequately use a personal computer. Yet a review of the data on teacher training and technology reveals that school districts across the country spend very little of their technology budgets on teacher training.

Mr. Speaker, advanced technology has improved America's economic competitiveness and improved the quality of life for millions of our citizens. By the year 2000, just 3 years away, 60 percent of American jobs will require technological skills. This bill is going to enhance and give teachers the training they need to meet the classroom challenge of the future.

THE MEAN-SPIRITED WELFARE BILL

(Mr. REYES asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. REYES. Mr. Speaker, this morning I want to put a human face on one of the hundreds of thousands of elderly and disabled legal immigrants who are the targets of a mean-spirited welfare bill.

Piedad Gonzalez entered the United States as a lawful permanent resident in 1986, then a healthy woman with no history of any physical or mental illness. Like countless other immigrants, she came to this country willing to work hard and contribute.

She soon found employment and had worked for 4 years before she began experiencing severe back pain. She became too disabled to continue working, having contracted arthritis.

In 1994, Ms. Gonzalez applied for SSI benefits and in November 1996 received a favorable decision. However, 1 month later, this was overturned, denying her SSI benefits due to the restrictions of the welfare bill.

Ms. Gonzalez should not be punished for coming to this country legally and working hard and playing by the rules. Instead, this bill wants to punish her and leave her with no means of support.

The gentleman from California [Mr. BECERRA] had an amendment to the spending bill which would have provided men and women like Ms. Gonzalez the means to survive. It was not made a part of the manager's amendment. I urge my colleagues to vote against the rule.

TAX CUTS IN BUDGET AGREEMENT HONOR WORK

(Ms. PRYCE of Ohio asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend her remarks.)

Ms. PRYCE of Ohio. Mr. Speaker, it is painfully obvious by now how painful