

colleges and universities from the prohibition on sex discrimination in title X. The amendment won by a 5-vote margin of 194 to 189.

A provision (section 1007) which authorized the Civil Rights Commission to investigate the problem of sex discrimination was eliminated during the floor debate on a point of order by House Judiciary Committee Chairman Emanuel Celler, who objected to the provision because it came under the jurisdiction of his committee.

The Senate was also working on amendments to the Higher Education Act in 1971. The Senate Committee on Labor and Public Welfare reported out its bill (S. 659) without any provisions prohibiting sex discrimination.

However, during the Senate floor debate on August 6, 1971, Senator Birch Bayh offered an amendment along with Senators KENNEDY and Hart to ban sex discrimination in any public higher education institutions or graduate program receiving federal funds. Senator McGovern also submitted an amendment prohibiting sex discrimination in education, but did not offer his amendment and supported the Bayh amendment.

A point of order was raised against the Bayh amendment by Senator STROM THURMOND, on the grounds that the Bayh amendment was not germane. The point of order was sustained by the Chair, who ruled that the amendment was not germane because "The pending amendment deals with discrimination on the basis of sex. There are no provisions in the bill dealing with sex." A 50-to-32 rollcall vote sustained the ruling of the Chair that his amendment was not germane.

The Senate reconsidered its Higher Education legislation in early 1972, because the House had included provisions prohibiting the use of Federal education funds for busing which the Senate objected. Again, the bill coming out of committee did not include provisions banning sex discrimination in schools.

However, during the Senate floor debate which began on February 22, 1972, Senator Birch Bayh offered an amendment to prohibit sex discrimination in educational institutions receiving federal funds. The Bayh amendment exempted the admissions policies of private institutions, and a Bentsen amendment to the Bayh amendment provided an exemption for public single sex undergraduate institutions. Both amendments passed by voice vote.

The House Senate Conference was held in the spring of 1972. The conferees retained provisions prohibiting sex discrimination, reconciling the differences between the House and Senate version. The final version of the Education Act Amendments of 1972 included title IX which prohibits sex discrimination in all Federal education institutions receiving Federal funds, except for undergraduate admissions policies of private higher education institutions and public institutions of a traditional single-sex policy. The conference report was filed in the Senate on May 22 and in the House on May 23. The Congress approved the bill on June 8 and President Nixon signed the bill on June 23, 1972—25 years ago today.

Most people recognize the accomplishments of title IX in the area of athletics. Certainly, one of the most spectacular achievements of title IX has been the increased athletic opportunity for girls and women at every level of the educational experience. However, the impact of title IX in the sports arena was not con-

troversial at first. The most controversial items during the original title IX debate centered around admissions policies.

It wasn't until a few years later that college athletics began to experience the impact of title IX that we had our first big challenge to the law. When the coaches, and male athletes realized that they would have to share their facilities and budgets with the women, they became outraged.

In 1975, opponents of title IX's impact on athletics proposed an amendment to the education appropriations bill to prohibit the Department of Health, Education and Welfare from promulgating the title IX regulations as it applies to athletics in colleges and universities.

They paraded a number of college and professional athletes through the Committee room to testify that title IX hurt men's athletics. At the time women athletes were so few and unknown, that the only well-known athlete we had to testify was Billy Jean King. The fact that there were virtually no prominent women athletes in our country was a testament in itself of the necessity of title IX.

The amendment was included in the House appropriations bill (H.R. 5901), but stricken in conference. On July 12, 1975, I managed the House debate against a motion by Rep. Casey to insist on the House position. In the midst of the vigorous debate on the issue, I was sent word from the cloakroom that my daughter was in a life threatening car accident while in college in New York. I left the floor immediately to go to my daughter. The Casey motion carried on a vote of 212 to 211. The newspapers reported that I had left the floor "crying" in the face of defeat. But in reality I was facing a tremendous family crisis.

The next day Speaker Carl Albert took the floor and explained the circumstances of my departure from the floor. Congressman Flood offered a motion to reject the Casey position which carried by a vote of 215 to 178, preserving the regulations and title IX's application to athletes.

Mr. Speaker, as I have recounted this experience, you can see that the pursuit of title IX and its enforcement has been a personal crusade for me. Equal educational opportunities for women and girls is essential for us to achieve parity in all aspects of our society. For the last 25 years title IX has been the great defender of equity, let us celebrate its accomplishments and continue to work toward its goal of equal educational opportunity for all women and girls.

H. CON. RES. —

Whereas 25 years ago, on June 23, 1972, title IX of the Education Act Amendments of 1972 was signed into law by the President of the United States;

Whereas title IX prohibits discrimination on the basis of sex in the administration of any education program in any educational institution receiving Federal aid;

Whereas remarkable gains have been made to ensure equal opportunity for girls and women under the inspiration and mandate of title IX;

Whereas title IX serves as the non-discrimination principle in education;

Whereas title IX has moved this Nation closer to the fulfillment of access and opportunities for women and girls in all aspects of life;

Whereas title IX has increased educational opportunities for women and girls, resulting in improved graduation rates, increased ac-

cess to professional schools and nontraditional fields of study such as math and science, and improved employment opportunities;

Whereas title IX has increased opportunities for women and girls in sports, leading to greater access to competitive sports, and building strong values such as teamwork, leadership, discipline, work ethic, self-sacrifice, pride in accomplishment, and strength of character;

Whereas 25 years of progress under title IX is widely acknowledged, but there is still much work to be done if the promise of title IX is to be fulfilled: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved by the House of Representatives (the Senate concurring), That the Congress celebrates—

(1) the accomplishments of title IX of the Education Act Amendments of 1972 in increasing opportunities for women and girls in all facets of education; and

(2) the magnificent accomplishments of women and girls in sports.

Mr. TOWNS. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to join my colleagues in celebrating the 25th anniversary of title IX of the Education Act Amendments of 1972.

With the passage of this landmark civil rights law, millions of women and girls in our Nation have enjoyed increased social and economic opportunities. There is no doubt that Title IX has made it possible for them to become important players in the world of sports and in other arenas. Today, 2.4 million American girls participate in high school sports, a tenfold increase from two decades ago. It is much better today, and it will be much better 25 years from now.

However, we must not forget that the struggle continues. Sexual harassment and discrimination against women in our schools has not been obliterated. Yes, we still have much to accomplish—as a recent NCAA report made abundantly clear—and we must aggressively continue to pursue equality. Give women fair playing time and opportunity and the trends indicate they will show the same levels of desire and ability in athletics as men.

Mr. Speaker, as Members of Congress, we must continue to support title IX. Our future generations are counting on us to uphold the mantle of equal rights for all Americans.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from New Jersey [Mr. PALLONE] is recognized for 5 minutes.

[Mr. PALLONE addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.]

LEAVE OF ABSENCE

By unanimous consent, leave of absence was granted to:

Mr. YATES (at the request of Mr. GEPHARDT) for today, on account of personal reasons.

Mr. MCINTOSH (at the request of Mr. ARMEY) for today, on account of official business.

Mr. COX of California (at the request of Mr. ARMEY) for today, on account of medical reasons.

SPECIAL ORDERS GRANTED

By unanimous consent, permission to address the House, following the legislative program and any special orders heretofore entered, was granted to:

(The following Members (at the request of Mr. KLINK) to revise and extend their remarks and include extraneous material:)

Mr. BONIOR, for 5 minutes, today.

Mr. KLINK, for 5 minutes, today.

Mr. PASCRELL, for 5 minutes, today.

Mr. RANGEL, for 5 minutes, today.

Mrs. Mink of Hawaii, for 5 minutes, today.

(The following Members (at the request of Mr. DREIER) to revise and extend their remarks and include extraneous material:)

Mr. PAUL, for 5 minutes each day, on June 24 and 25.

Mr. UPTON, for 5 minutes, today.

Mr. SMITH of Michigan, for 5 minutes, on June 24.

Mr. FOX of Pennsylvania, for 5 minutes, today.

Mr. DREIER, for 5 minutes, today.

EXTENSION OF REMARKS

By unanimous consent, permission to revise and extend remarks was granted to:

(The following Members (at the request of Mr. DREIER) and to include extraneous matter:)

Mrs. KELLY.

Mr. RADANOVICH.

Mr. EWING.

Mr. PITTS.

Mr. WICKER.

Mr. PACKARD.

Mr. KNOLLENBERG.

Mrs. LINDA SMITH of Washington.

Mr. BOB SCHAFFER of Colorado.

Mr. GINGRICH.

(The following Members (at the request of Mr. KLINK) and to include extraneous matter:)

Ms. DANNER.

Mr. POSHARD.

Mr. TORRES.

Mr. DAVIS of Florida.

Mr. CAPPS.

Mr. BARRETT of Wisconsin.

Mr. RAHALL.

Mr. KLINK.

(The following Members (at the request of Mr. FOX) and to include extraneous matter:)

Mr. POMEROY.

Mrs. KELLY.

Mr. BALLENGER.

Mr. PACKARD.

Mr. MEEHAN.

ADJOURNMENT

Mr. FOX of Pennsylvania. Mr. Speaker, I move that the House do now adjourn.

The motion was agreed to; accordingly (at 11 o'clock and 50 minutes p.m.), under its previous order, the House adjourned until tomorrow, Tuesday, June 24, 1997, at 9 a.m.

EXECUTIVE COMMUNICATIONS, ETC.

Under clause 2 of rule XXIV, executive communications were taken from

the Speaker's table and referred as follows:

3906. A letter from the Secretary of Defense, transmitting notification that the Department proposes to obligate FY 1997 funds to implement the Cooperative Threat Reduction (CTR) Program under the FY 1997 Defense Appropriations Act, Public Law 104-208, pursuant to 22 U.S.C. 5955; to the Committee on National Security.

3907. A letter from the Director, Office of Regulatory Management and Information, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule—Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Maryland 1990 Base Year Emission Inventory; Correction [MD033-7157; FRL-5844-3] received June 23, 1997, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Commerce.

3908. A letter from the Director, Office of Regulatory Management and Information, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule—Approval and Promulgation of State Implementation Plan; Indiana [IN79-1A; FRL-5848-4] received June 23, 1997, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Commerce.

3909. A letter from the Director, Office of Regulatory Management and Information, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's Final Rule—Correction of Implementation Plans; American Samoa, Arizona, California, Hawaii, and Nevada State Implementation Plans [AS-AZ-CA-HW-NV-000-0002; FRL-5847-8] received June 23, 1997, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Commerce.

3910. A letter from the Director, Regulations Policy Management Staff, Office of Policy, Food and Drug Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule—Thermally Processed Low-Acid Foods Packaged in Hermetically Sealed Containers; Technical Amendment [Docket No. 75N-0333] received June 23, 1997, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Commerce.

3911. A communication from the President of the United States, transmitting his supplemental report on the deployment of combat-equipped U.S. Armed Forces to Bosnia and other states in the region in order to participate in and support the North Atlantic Treaty Organization-led Stabilization Force (SFOR), and on the beginning of the withdrawal of the NATO-led Implementation Force (IFOR), which completed its mission and transferred authority to the SFOR on December 20, 1996; (H. Doc. No. 105-100); to the Committee on International Relations and ordered to be printed.

3912. A letter from the General Counsel, Federal Retirement Thrift Investment Board, transmitting the Board's final rule—Periodic Participant Statements [5 CFR Part 1640] received June 23, 1997, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Government Reform and Oversight.

3913. A letter from the General Counsel, Federal Retirement Thrift Investment Board, transmitting the Board's final rule—Thrift Savings Plan Vesting [5 CFR Part 1603] received June 23, 1997, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Government Reform and Oversight.

3914. A letter from the Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer, National Railroad Passenger Corporation (Amtrak), transmitting the semiannual report on the activities of the Office of Inspector General for the period October 1, 1996, through March 31, 1997; and the semiannual management report for the same period, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. app. (Insp. Gen. Act) section 5(b); to the Committee on Government Reform and Oversight.

3915. A letter from the Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule—Fisheries of the Northeastern United States; Scup Fishery; Commercial Quota Harvested for North Carolina [Docket No. 960805216-7111-06; I.D. 061797B] received June 23, 1997, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Resources.

3916. A letter from the Assistant Administrator for Fisheries, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, transmitting the Administration's final rule—Fisheries Off West Coast States and in the Western Pacific; Western Pacific Crustacean Fisheries; 1997 Harvest Guideline [Docket No. 970612136-7136-01; I.D. 060297B] (RIN: 0648-AJ61) received June 23, 1997, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Resources.

3917. A letter from the General Counsel, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule—Modification of Class E Airspace; Spearfish, SD, Black Hills—Clyde Ice Field; Correction (Federal Aviation Administration) [Airspace Docket No. 97-AGL-6] (RIN: 2120-AA66) received June 23, 1997, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

3918. A letter from the General Counsel, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule—Amendment of Class E Airspace; Santa Ynez, CA (Federal Aviation Administration) [Airspace Docket No. 97-AWP-19] (RIN: 2120-AA66) received June 23, 1997, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

3919. A letter from the General Counsel, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule—Airworthiness Directives; Saab Model SAAB 340B and SAAB 2000 Series Airplanes (Federal Aviation Administration) [Docket No. 97-NM-76-AD; Amendment 39-10052; AD 97-13-06] (RIN: 2120-AA64) received June 23, 1997, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

3920. A letter from the General Counsel, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule—Airworthiness Directives; Gulfstream American (Frakes Aviation) Model G-73 (Mallard) Series Airplanes Modified in Accordance with Supplemental Type Certificate (STC) SA2323WE (Federal Aviation Administration) [Docket No. 96-NM-282-AD; Amendment 39-10049; AD 97-13-03] (RIN: 2120-AA64) received June 23, 1997, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

3921. A letter from the General Counsel, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule—Airworthiness Directives; Saab Model SAAB 340B and Model SAAB 2000 Series Airplanes [Docket No. 96-NM-177-AD; Amendment 39-10048; AD 97-13-01] (RIN: 2120-AA64) received June 23, 1997, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

3922. A letter from the General Counsel, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule—Standard Instrument Approach Procedures; Miscellaneous Amendments (Federal Aviation Administration) [Docket No. 28922; Amdt. No. 1801] (RIN: 2120-AA65) received June 23, 1997, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.

3923. A letter from the General Counsel, Department of Transportation, transmitting the Department's final rule—Standard Instrument Approach Procedures; Miscellaneous Amendments (Federal Aviation Administration) [Docket No. 28923; Amdt. No. 1802] (RIN: 2120-AA65) received June 23, 1997, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure.