Bryant Goodling Gordon Bunning Burr Goss Burton Graham Buver Granger Callahan Green Calvert Greenwood Camp Gutierrez Campbell Gutknecht Hall (OH) Hall (TX) Canady Cannon Capps Hansen Cardin Harman Carson Hastert Hastings (FL) Castle Chabot Hastings (WA) Hayworth Hefley Chambliss Chenoweth Christensen Hefner Clay Clement Herger Hill Clyburn Hilleary Coble Coburn Hilliard Hinchev Collins Hinojosa Combest Hobson Hoekstra Condit. Holden Cook Cooksey Hooley Costello Horn Hostettler Cox Coyne Houghton Hoyer Hulshof Cramer Crane Crapo Hunter Cubin Hutchinson Cummings Hvde Cunningham Inglis Danner Davis (FL) Istook Jackson (IL) Jackson-Lee Davis (IL) Davis (VA) (TX) Jefferson Deal DeFazio Jenkins DeGette John Johnson (CT) Delahunt DeLauro Johnson (WI) DeLay Deutsch Johnson, E. B. Johnson, Sam Diaz-Balart Jones Kanjorski Dickey Dicks Kaptur Dixon Kasich Kelly Doggett Kennedy (MA) Dooley Doolittle Kennedy (RI) Doyle Kennelly Dreier Kildee Kilpatrick Duncan Kim Kind (WI) Dunn Edwards King (NY) Ehlers Kingston Ehrlich Emerson Kleczka Engel Klink English Klug Knollenberg Ensign Eshoo Kolbe Etheridge LaFalce LaHood Evans Everett Lampson Ewing Fattah Lantos Largent Latham Fawell Fazio LaTourette Filner Lazio Leach Foglietta Foley Levin Lewis (CA) Forbes Ford Lewis (GA) Fowler Lewis (KY) Linder Fox Frank (MA) Lipinski Franks (N.J) LoBiondo Frelinghuysen Lofgren Frost Lowey Furse Lucas Gallegly Luther Maloney (CT) Maloney (NY) Ganske Geidenson Gekas Manton Gephardt Gibbons Manzullo Markey Gilchrest Martinez Gillmor Mascara Gilman Matsui McCarthy (MO)

Gonzalez

Goodlatte

Goode

McCarthy (NY) McCollum

Shays

McCrery McDade McGovern McHale McHugh McInnis McIntosh McIntyre McKeon McKinney McNulty Meehan Meek Menendez Metcalf Millender-McDonald Miller (CA) Miller (FL) Mink Moakley Mollohan Moran (KS) Morella Murtha Myrick Nadler Neal Nethercutt Neumann Norwood Nussle Oberstar Olver Ortiz Owens Oxley Packard Pallone Pappas Parker Pascrell Pastor Paxon Payne Peterson (MN) Peterson (PA) Pickering Pitts Pombo Pomeroy Porter Portman Poshard Price (NC) Pryce (OH) Quinn Radanovich Ramstad Rangel Redmond Regula Riggs Riley Rivers Rodriguez Roemer Rogan Rogers Rohrabacher Ros-Lehtinen Rothman Roukema Roybal-Allard Rovce Rush Ryun Sabo Salmon Sanchez Sanders Sandlin Sanford Sawyer Saxton Scarborough Schaefer, Dan Schaffer, Bob Scott Sensenbrenner Serrano Sessions Shadegg Shaw

Stenholm Sherman Vento Shimkus Stokes Visclosky Shuster Strickland Walsh Sisisky Stump Stupak Wamp Skaggs Waters Skeen Talent Watkins Watts (OK) Skelton Tanner Tauscher Waxman Weldon (FL) Slaughter Smith (MI) Tauzin Taylor (MS) Smith (N.J) Weldon (PA) Taylor (NC) Smith (OR) Weller Smith (TX) Thomas Wexler Smith, Adam Thompson Weygand Smith, Linda Thornberry Whitfield Snowbarger Thune Wicker Snyder Thurman Solomon Tiahrt Souder Tierney Wolf Woolsey Spence Torres Spratt Towns Wynn Stabenow Turner Yates Upton Young (AK) Stark Stearns Velazquez Young (FL)

# NAYS-17

Bonior Kucinich Petri McDermott Rahall Clayton Conyers Minge Sununu Moran (VA) Dellums Traficant Watt (NC) Dingell Obev Hamilton

# ANSWERED "PRESENT"-1

Bateman

# NOT VOTING-10

Blumenauer Molinari Schiff Northup Schumer Farr Flake Pelosi Livingston Pickett

## □ 1900

Mr. WATT of North Carolina and Mr. MINGE changed their vote from "yea" to "nay."

Mr. DICKEY and Mr. CONDIT changed their vote from "nay" to 'yea.

So (two-thirds having voted in favor thereof) the rules were suspended and the concurrent resolution was agreed

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

# PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mrs. NORTHUP. Mr. Speaker, on rollcall No. 176, my pager malfunctioned and therefore did not alert me of the pending vote. Had I been present, I would have voted "yes."

REPORT ON RESOLUTION PROVID-ING FOR CONSIDERATION OF HOUSE JOINT RESOLUTION PROHIBITING PHYSICAL THE DESECRATION OF THE FLAG OF THE UNITED STATES

Mr. GOSS, from the Committee on Rules, submitted a privileged report (Rept. No. 105-126) on the resolution (H. Res. 163) providing for consideration of the joint resolution (H. J. Res. 54) proposing an amendment to the Constitution of the United States authorizing the Congress to prohibit the physical desecration of the flag of the United States, which was referred to the House Calendar and ordered to be printed.

REPORT ON RESOLUTION PROVID-ING FOR CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 437, NATIONAL SEA GRANT COLLEGE PROGRAM REAUTHOR-IZATION ACT OF 1997

Mr. GOSS, from the Committee on Rules, submitted a privileged resolution (Rept. No. 105-127) on the resolution (H. Res. 164) providing for consideration of the bill (H.R. 437) to reauthorize the National Sea Grant College Program Act, and for other purposes, which was referred to the House Calendar and ordered to be printed.

# COMMUNICATION FROM THE CLERK OF THE HOUSE

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. PEASE) laid before the House the following communication from the Clerk of the House of Representatives:

U.S. House of Representatives.

OFFICE OF THE CLERK, Washington, DC, June 9, 1997.

Hon. NEWT GINGRICH.

The Speaker, U.S. House of Representatives, Washington, DC.

DEAR MR. SPEAKER: Pursuant to the permission granted in Clause 5 of Rule III of the Rules of the U.S. House of Representatives, I have the honor to transmit a sealed envelope received from the White House on June 9, 1997 at 2:34 p.m. and said to contain a message from the President whereby he returns without his approval, H.R. 1469, the "1997 Supplemental Appropriations Emergency Act.'

With warm regards,

ROBIN H. CARLE, Clerk, U.S. House of Representatives.

1997 EMERGENCY SUPPLEMENTAL APPROPRIATIONS ACT FOR RE-COVERY FROM NATURAL DISAS-AND FOR **OVERSEAS** TERS. PEACEKEEPING EFFORTS, IN CLUDING THOSE BOSNIA-VETO MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES (H. DOC. NO. 105-96)

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following veto message from the President of the United States:

To the House of Representatives:

I am returning herewith without my approval H.R. 1469, the "Supplemental Appropriations and Rescissions Act, FY 1997.'' The congressional majoritydespite the obvious and urgent need to speed critical relief to people in the Dakotas, Minnesota, California, and 29 other States ravaged by flooding and other natural disasters-has chosen to weigh down this legislation with a series of unacceptable provisions that it knows will draw my veto. The time has come to stop playing politics with the lives of Americans in need and to send me a clean, unencumbered disaster relief bill that I can and will sign the moment it reaches my desk.

On March 19, 1997, I sent the Congress a request for emergency disaster assistance and urged the Congress to approve it promptly. Both the House and Senate Appropriations Committees acted

expeditiously to approve the legislation. The core of this bill, appropriately, provides \$5.8 billion of muchneeded help to people in hard-hit States and, in addition, contains \$1.8 billion for the Department of Defense related to our peacekeeping efforts in Bosnia and Southwest Asia. Regretably, the Republican leadership chose to include contentious issues totally unrelated to disaster assistance, needlessly delaying essential relief.

The bill contains a provision that would create an automatic continuing resolution for all of fiscal year 1998. While the goal of ensuring that the Government does not shut down again is a worthy one, this provision is ill-advised. The issue here is not about shutting down the Government. Last month, I reached agreement with the Bipartisan Leadership of Congress on a plan to balance the budget by 2002. That agreement is the right way to finish the job of putting our fiscal house in order, consistent with our values and principles. Putting the Government's finances on automatic pilot is

The backbone of the Bipartisan Budget Agreement is the plan to balance the budget while providing funds for critical investments in education. the environment, and other priorities. The automatic continuing resolution would provide resources for fiscal year 1998 that are \$18 billion below the level contained in the Bipartisan Budget Agreement, threatening such investments in our future. For example: college aid would be reduced by \$1.7 billion, eliminating nearly 375,000 students from the Pell Grant program; the number of women, infants, and children receiving food and other services through WIC would be cut by an average of 500,000 per month; up to 56,000 fewer children would participate in Head Start; the number of border patrol and FBI agents would be reduced. as would the number of air traffic controllers; and our goal of cleaning up 900 Superfund sites by the year 2000 could not be accomplished.

The bill also contains a provision that would permanently prohibit the Department of Commerce from using statistical sampling techniques in the 2000 decennial census for the purpose of apportioning Representatives in Congress among the States. Without sampling, the cost of the decennial census will increase as its accuracy, especially with regard to minorities and groups that are traditionally undercounted, decreases substantially. The National Academy of Sciences and other experts have recommended the use of statistical sampling for the 2000 decennial census.

The Department of Justice, under the Carter and Bush Administrations and during my Administration, has issued three opinions regarding the constitutionality and legality of sampling in the decennial census. All three opinions concluded that the Constitution and relevant statutes permit the use of

sampling in the decennial census. Federal courts that have addressed the issue have held that the Constitution and Federal statutes allow sampling.

The enrolled bill contains an objectionable provision that would promote the conversion of certain claimed rights-of-way into paved highways across sensitive national parks, public lands, and military installations. Under the provision, a 13-member commission would study the issue and provide recommendations to resolve outstanding Revised Statute (R.S.) 2477 claims. R.S. 2477 was enacted in 1866 to grant rights-of-way for the construction of highways over public lands not already reserved for public uses. It was repealed in 1976, subject to "valid, existing rights."

This provision in the enrolled bill is objectionable because it is cumbersome, flawed, and duplicates the extensive public hearings conducted by the Department of the Interior over the last 4 years. In addition, the proposed commission excludes the Secretary of Defense, but military installations are among the Federal properties that would be affected by the recommendations of the commission. Furthermore, there is no assurance that the proposed commission would provide a balanced representation of views or proper public participation. Under the provision, the Secretary of the Interior can disapprove the commission's recommendations, preventing their submission to the Congress under "fast-track" procedures in the House and Senate. I believe—and my Administration has stated-that a better approach would be for Interior to submit a legislative proposal to the Congress within 180 days to clarify R.S. 2477 claim issues permanently, with full congressional and public consideration.

The enrolled bill contains an objectionable provision that funds the Commission for the Advancement of Federal Law Enforcement. I agree with the Fraternal Order of Police and other national law enforcement organizations that certain activities of the Commission, such as evaluating the handling of specific investigative cases, could interfere with Federal law enforcement policy and operations. This type of oversight is most properly the role of Congress, not an unelected review board. If external views about law enforcement programs are needed, a better approach would be to fund the National Commission to Support Law Enforcement.

I also object to two other items in the bill. One reduces funding for the Ounce of Prevention Council by roughly one-third. This reduction would substantially diminish the work of the Council in coordinating crime prevention efforts at the Federal level and assisting community efforts to make their neighborhoods safer. The Council is in the process of awarding \$1.8 million for grants to prevent youth substance abuse and of evaluating its ex-

isting grant programs. The Council has received over 300 applications from communities and community-based organizations from all across the country for these grants. In addition, the bill reduces funding for the Department of Defense Dual-Use Applications Program. That program helps to develop technologies used and tested by the cost-conscious commercial sector and to incorporate them into military systems. Reducing funding for this program would result in higher costs for future defense systems. The projects selected in this year's competition will save the Department of Defense an estimated \$3 billion.

Finally, by including extraneous issues in this bill, the Republican leadership has also delayed necessary funding for maintaining military readiness. The Secretary of Defense has written the Congress detailing the potential disruption of military training.

I urge the Congress to remove these extraneous provisions and to send me a straightforward disaster relief bill that I can sign promptly, so that we can help hard-hit American families and businesses as they struggle to rebuild. Americans in need should not have to endure further delay.

WILLIAM J. CLINTON.

THE WHITE HOUSE, June 9, 1997.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The objections of the President will be spread at large upon the Journal, and the message and bill will be printed as a House document.

MOTION OFFERED BY MR. MCDADE

Mr. McDADE. Mr. Speaker, I move that the message together with the accompanying bill be referred to the Committee on Appropriations.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gen-

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Pennsylvania [Mr. McDade] is recognized for 1 hour.

Mr. McDADE. Mr. Speaker, by prior agreement with my distinguished friend, the gentleman from Wisconsin [Mr. OBEY], I yield 15 minutes to the gentleman from Wisconsin [Mr. OBEY], and I yield back 30 minutes of the 1 hour.

## GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. McDADE. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days in which to revise and extend their remarks on the veto message of the President to the bill, H.R. 1469, and that I may include tabular material and extraneous material.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Pennsylvania?

There was no objection.

Mr. McDADE. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

The effort that we knowledge making tonight is an effort to speed to the disaster victims of the country as quickly as we can the assistance which they so direly need. All of us know that there has been a stalemate between the two bodies, between the White House and between the Congress, and this motion which refers this bill back to committee is the beginning of the process,

once again, to pass this bill, hopefully in a way that the President will sign it.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. OBEY. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself 3 minutes and 30 seconds.

Mr. Speaker, 90 days ago the President sent the Congress an emergency message asking that we appropriate supplemental funds to help flood victims and to help meet the costs of our activities in Bosnia. Last week, instead of responding to that request, the Congress in essence decided to load up that proposal with a series of unrelated riders. One related to roads on public lands, another related to census sampling, and a third created a change in budget rules which would allow Congress to pass appropriations which it prefers but bottle up the passage of the President's budget priorities. That is not the way to establish a bipartisan relationship with the other branch of government.

The President vetoed that proposal. He told us ahead of time he would.

#### □ 1915

And he has told the Congress to do it right. He said, in essence, do not try to gain political leverage by using the distress of innocent Americans.

Now, I do not hesitate to speak out publicly when I think the President is wrong. I think people on this floor understand that. But the fact is the President is not wrong in this instance. He is absolutely correct.

He recognizes that farmers need this money to get on with their planting. He recognizes that they need it to replace livestock that were killed in the floods. He recognizes that local communities need the community development money in order to plan for their communities' futures. And he recognizes that the Joint Chiefs of Staff have indicated that they will have to stand down in terms of a number of important training exercises and other military activities unless Congress quits fiddling and sends the President the package that he has asked for.

So, very simply, what will happen here tonight is this. At the end of this discussion, when the motion comes to refer this matter to committee, I will ask Members to vote no on the previous question so that, in the event the previous question fails, we can immediately ask unanimous consent to bring up H.R. 1796, which would have the effect of stripping from this proposal the three riders that caused the President to veto the bill and sending a clean bill back to the White House.

It would contain every other provision that was fashioned by the majority in this House except those three political riders. That is all our motion would seek to do.

What we are asking people to do is to recognize that for the people in the affected areas, who we are trying to help with this supplemental, for them, refusal of the Congress to provide needed assistance in a timely fashion is noth-

ing but a second government shutdown. That is what it represents in those

So I ask my colleagues to end that second government shutdown for those purposes by voting no on this proposal to send it to the committee tonight and get on with doing this week what we should have done last week, which is to pass a clean supplemental appropriation.

Mr. McDADE. Mr. Speaker, I yield 2½ minutes to the gentleman from South Dakota [Mr. THUNE].

Mr. THUNE. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman from Pennsylvania for yielding me this time, and I say to my colleagues on the floor this evening, "I told you so," because I have been suggesting to Members on both sides for some time now that this is where ultimately we would end up.

We have a bill that has been under consideration for several weeks, and the people in this country, one thing they are not missing is that what is delaying consideration of this bill, what is delaying disaster relief, is politics. I am not sure that everybody understands exactly all the intricacies of the continuing resolution or of the census and what is trying to be accomplished there, but one thing they do know is that this institution, Washington, DC, is playing politics with disaster assistance.

When I was out there this week, and I guess I would urge my other colleagues, because many of them have not seen what I have seen, but when they have looked at the mud-filled basements and seen the disastrous effects the floods and the blizzards have had on the cattle and the livestock industry of my State and the people who are waiting for assistance, when we have said in Washington help is on the way, and we have made a commitment that we are going to deliver, and yet we have failed to do it, what I heard repeatedly this last week was, "Can you in Washington not get it right? You do not seem to get it.'

These people want the Republicans and the Democrats and the White House and the Congress to work together in a way that will get a consensus so that we can get this process on the way.

I was on Highway 281, Federal Highway 281 this last week, north of Tulare, SD, just south of Redfield, and there was a gentleman sitting on the center line of Highway 281 fishing for northerns. Highway 281 is completely under water, and with it is the railroad that transports the grain commodities on which our State depends for its economic survival.

We have railroad assistance in this bill. We have several things that are going to be important for agriculture to recover. So I urge this body and our colleagues in the Senate and the White House to get together and to work something out to get this job done.

I believe the message has been sent. Whatever that message was, and it still eludes me, but the fact of the matter is people are waiting, patience is wearing thin, and temperatures are on the rise all over the country. And I am glad to say not just in South Dakota, I think people elsewhere around the country are getting the message we need to do something. Congress needs to act, the White House needs to act, Republicans and Democrats need to develop a consensus in order to get this done. I hope we will get that process underway tonight.

Mr. OBEY. Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from California [Mr. FAZIO].

Mr. FAZIO of California. Mr. Speaker, we have had an opportunity for 83 days, since the administration sent an urgent disaster relief package to Congress, to work out the details and send it on for Presidential signature so we could really address the overwhelming needs of people in 35 different States around the country, some of whom, as in the upper Midwest, continue to suffer as we speak.

We have played around, we have squabbled over details that, frankly, did not even need to be included in this bill, and we have allowed a number of extraneous matters to become an impediment to getting it signed into law. It is time we bring an end to this charade. The public expects us to deliver on fundamental promises we make people, and that is if we have people suffering in this country, we will all get together to help them address it.

The President has indicated that there are two particular amendments he cannot live with. At the moment, it seems we are dead set on sending them right back to him, prolonging the gridlock, bringing down additional disrespect on this institution. We have an opportunity in a few minutes to offer our support for a clean bill that can be signed within several days that will let us restore public trust in this institution and get about the business of doing what we were elected to do, and that is deal with basic problems.

My district suffered in January. We are concerned that we will not be able to prevent another disaster next winter in northern California because we do not have the funds to go about improving our levee system, bringing it back to a level of protection we thought we had last January. It is unconscionable that we continue to argue about the census or about some automatic mechanism by which we could pass all appropriations bills when we all know what we have to do is stick to the business of appropriating funds for disaster relief.

Mr. Speaker, I hope we will act tonight to support this motion which will be made that will give us an opportunity to pass a clean disaster relief bill.

Mr. OBEY. Mr. Speaker, I yield 3½ minutes to the distinguished gentleman from North Dakota [Mr. POMEROY].

Mr. POMEROY. Mr. Speaker, I believe that each and every one of us is

here as a Member of the House of Representatives because the bottom line is we care about people. We believe differently as to how we best help people, but we are here to help people. Let us remember that this bill is about helping people.

Six and a half weeks ago the levees broke on the Red River, inundating Grand Forks and East Grand Forks. This is a photograph that appeared in the newspaper, of a woman being told in the dead of night that she has to get out of her home, leave all her possessions, because the water is about to take everything she knows and holds dear.

The trauma of such an event in such a middle America place like Grand Forks, ND, is beyond my ability to describe to my colleagues, but I was there and, believe me, it was God awful. Now the people are being traumatized by another occurrence, this one not a natural disaster but a Congress-made one.

We need help. It is very clear. It is very clear to any American that has watched the news footage about what we have gone through just how badly we need help. People from around the country have responded in wonderful ways, small ways, like the 7-year-old that dropped off some canned goods so I could send them back to the people I represent; and, large ways, like the woman who gave \$15 million in individual grants of assistance.

But they expect fundamentally their government to respond, and we have been unable to respond, unable to respond because we have played to our worst instincts in this body, putting shallow, crass partisan politics in the middle of an effort to get help to people who need it.

This clipping says it all. It says what so many are saying to me as I go back to Grand Forks every weekend: "You are playing with our lives."

My colleagues have to understand that there are people that are not in homes tonight, there are families that are not together, and they cannot make a fundamental decision about even where they are going to live until we pass this bill.

FEMA does not fund the initial buyout program that Grand Forks is going to launch. That is funded by the community development block grant funds in this bill. There is not money in the pipeline to help these people on these home buy-out decisions. We have to pass the bill first. And so until we pass the bill, these people are stuck. They are in limbo.

Again and again and again, when one goes back to our districts, we hear about how we are in limbo. I would invite any Member of this body to come with me to Grand Forks. If my colleagues do not believe it, come with me to Grand Forks. We will go tomorrow. If Members do not want to miss votes to do that, we will get on the phone. Come with me to my office. We will call Democrats in Grand Forks, we will

call Republicans in Grand Forks, we will call anyone my colleagues want to in Grand Forks to hear from the people themselves.

Sometimes maybe in our partisan warfare we forget what this is all about, but it is about helping people. And the people in our area are in a state of tremendous need tonight. Do not play with the lives of those we represent. These are Americans, they need our help. This is our Government, they deserve no less.

Let us act now and, for that reason, take precisely the action the gentleman from Wisconsin is suggesting. Do not go to committee. We have had enough of committees. Let us, as a body tonight, strip off the extra provisions and get the aid out of the House.

Mr. McDADE. Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from Pennsylvania [Mr. GEKAS].

Mr. GEKAS. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman for yielding me this time. Had the President signed this bill, the aid which the gentleman who just appeared in the well wanted to see flow back to his region would have started. We would have had 3 days of moneys out of this bill flowing already into the distressed areas.

So who is playing with whose lives? Could not the President have signed that and understood that to prevent the Government shutdown is another good measure that would have been swept into the mix of providing this relief for the distress of the Middle West?

I have been trying, and everybody knows it, for 10 years now to produce an automatic methodology by which we could prevent Government shutdowns. It has nothing to do with politics. It has nothing to do with trying to get the President to succumb to some political pressure, because I did it when President Bush was President. I did it when President Reagan was President. I did it with a Democrat controlled Congress and a Republican President, and now the reverse, a Republican Congress and a Democrat President.

It merely says that, if we fail as a Congress, which we have done 50-some times in the last 10 years, to come to an agreement on a budget within the budget deadline, that automatically, the next day, last year's appropriations would go into being until the full budget can be completed.

The President in his veto message says, "While the goal of preventing a Government shutdown is a worthy one". That is his language, "is a worthy one"; he proceeds to veto a vehicle that would provide for a method to prevent Government shutdown.

## □ 1950

That is politics. That is game playing. He says, on the one hand, it is bad to shut the Government down. Then when the Government was shut down, he blamed the Republicans. Now the Republicans fashion a bill that would prevent the Government shutdown, and he vetoes it, saying we want to see the

possibility of a shutdown occur again. That is politics.

Mr. OBEY. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself 1¼ minutes.

Mr. Speaker, that is precisely the same line of argument we heard from the majority party last year when they announced ahead of time that they were going to shut down the Government in order to leverage the President to swallow things that he did not feel he ought to swallow. And then after he stood up for principle, then they said, see, you caused the problem, you caused the problem, after they told the country for 3 months ahead of time they were going to shut the Government down.

What my colleagues have to recognize on that side of the aisle is that for the people in the areas affected by these floods, their refusal to let this legislation go to the White House in shape that can be signed is tantamount to a second Government shutdown. Now it is time that they put their own subjective judgments second to the needs of the people in the affected areas and deliver the aid that they have a right to expect.

Government is either going to be on their side or it is going to be against them. In this case, unless we let this legislation go, they have a perfect right to conclude that Government is against them, and that is not where it ought to be tonight.

Mr. McDADE. Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. OBEY. Mr. Speaker, I yield 3 minutes to the distinguished minority whip, the gentleman from Michigan [Mr. BONIOR].

Mr. BONIOR. The gentleman from Wisconsin [Mr. OBEY] is absolutely right, Mr. Speaker. For millions of people across this country, this amounts to another Government shutdown. It amounts to the Government turning their back on them, not being there for them when they need the help.

Week after week, we have urged our Republican colleagues to pass a disaster relief bill that would rush help to families struggling to recover from the worst floods to hit the northern plains in 500 years. Disaster relief, emergency relief, nothing more, nothing less, disaster relief; this is help that people desperately need. As the gentlemen from South Dakota and North Dakota so eloquently said this evening, they need to rebuild their homes, to reopen their businesses, to replant their fields, to resuscitate their economy.

And what did my Republican colleagues do? Ignoring President Clinton's promised veto, they loaded up the disaster bill with extraneous provisions, provisions that had nothing whatsoever to do with flood relief, provisions aimed at undermining the accuracy of the U.S. census in the year 2000.

People need help now. We are arguing about a problem in the year 2000. It took the President all of 19 minutes to veto the bill. Now we are back where we were 2 weeks ago. Meanwhile, flood

victims are still waiting. They have waited for 83 days. They waited while Congress went on vacation. They waited all weekend. And they are still waiting. They are waiting for some sign of hope. They are waiting without their homes, in trailers. They are waiting without jobs. They are waiting without the ability to work in their fields. They are waiting without their businesses.

I stand ready with my Democratic colleagues to pass a disaster relief bill that just does that, it provides disaster relief to working people who are struggling to get on with their lives and provide it today, now, in a few minutes. Disaster relief. Nothing more. Nothing less. No census formulas. No Government shutdown clauses. Disaster relief.
It is not complicated. It should not

be controversial. Enough is enough. The flood victims have run out of patience. Let us vote on disaster relief and do it now. Nothing more. Nothing less. Stay with the proposal that the gentleman from Wisconsin [Mr. OBEY] will be offering on the previous question to vote it down to bring a clean bill to the floor. Stay with the gentleman from South Dakota ĺΜr. THUNE], who got up here and gave an eloquent statement about the misery of the people that he represents. Stay with your colleague, who wants a clean bill. My colleagues would want no less if they were in his shoes.

Mr. McDADE. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume. I shall speak for just a few seconds, Mr.

Speaker.

The one way to begin to bring relief tonight to the people who are affected in this disaster is to vote to send this back to committee so the process can be rejuvenated and worked out. If my colleagues vote for the previous question, Mr. Speaker, it creates chaos in this body. I urge my colleagues to assist the people in our country who are crying out for relief in the disaster by voting to send this bill to committee.

Mr. McDADE. Mr. Speaker, I have no further requests for time, I yield back the balance of my time, and I move the previous question on the motion to refer.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. PEASE). The question is on ordering the previous question.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Mr. OBEY. Mr. Speaker, I object to the vote on the ground that a quorum is not present and make the point of order that a quorum is not present.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Evidently a quorum is not present.

The Sergeant at Arms will notify absent Members.

Pursuant to the provisions of clause 5 of rule XV, the Chair will reduce to a minimum of 5 minutes the period of time within which a vote by electronic device, if ordered, will be taken on the question of the motion to refer.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 216, nays 205, not voting 13, as follows:

# [Roll No. 177]

YEAS-216

Aderholt

Archer

Armey

Bachus

Ballenger

Bartlett

Bateman

Bereuter

Bilbray Bilirakis

Boehlert

Bonilla

Bono

Brady

Burr

Bryant

Bunning

Burton

Callahan

Campbell

Canady

Cannon

Castle

Chabot

Coburn

Collins

Cook

Crane

Crapo Cubin

Deal

DeLav

Cunningham

Davis (VA)

Diaz-Balart

Dickey Doolittle

Dreier

Dunn

Ehlers

Ehrlich

English

Ensign

Everett

Ewing

Foley

Forbes

Fowler

Gallegly

Ganske

Franks (NJ)

Abercrombie

Ackerman

Andrews

Baesler

Baldacci

Bentsen

Berman

Berry

Bishop

Bonior

Borski

Boyd

Cardin

Boswell

Barrett (WI)

Blagojevich

Blumenauer

Brown (CA)

Brown (FL)

Brown (OH)

Fox

Duncan

Combest

Cooksey

Chambliss

Chenoweth

Christensen

Calvert

Camp

Buyer

Blilev

Blunt

Barton

Baker

Barr

Gekas Nussle Gibbons Oxley Gilchrest Pappas Parker Gillmor Gilman Paul Goodlatte Paxon Pease Goodling Barrett (NE) Goss Peterson (PA) Graham Petri Pickering Granger Greenwood Pitts Pombo Gutknecht Hansen Porter Hastert Portman Hastings (WA) Pryce (OH) Hayworth Quinn Radanovich Redmond Hefley Herger Hill Regula Riggs Riley Hilleary Hobson Hoekstra Rogan Rogers Rohrabacher Horn Hostettler Ros-Lehtinen Houghton Hulshof Royce Ryun Hunter Hutchinson Salmon Hyde Sanford Saxton Inglis Istook Scarborough Jenkins. Schaefer, Dan Johnson (CT) Schaffer, Bob Johnson, Sam Sensenbrenner Jones Sessions Shadegg Kasich Kelly Shaw Kim Shavs King (NY) Shimkus Kingston Shuster Klug Knollenberg Skeen Smith (MI) Kolbe Smith (NJ) LaHood Smith (OR) Largent Smith (TX) Latham Smith Linda LaTourette Snowbarger Solomon Lazio Lewis (CA) Souder Lewis (KY) Spence Linder Stearns Livingston Stump LoBiondo Sununu Talent Lucas Manzullo Taylor (NC) McCollum Thomas McCrery McDade Thornberry Tiahrt McHugh Upton McInnis Walsh Wamp McIntosh McKeon Watkins Watts (OK) Mica Miller (FL) Weldon (FL) Moran (KS) Weldon (PA) Morella Weller Myrick White Nethercutt Whitfield Neumann Wicker Ney Wolf Frelinghuysen Northup Young (AK) Norwood Young (FL)

## NAYS-205

Carson Dixon Doggett Clav Clayton Dooley Clement Dovle Edwards Clyburn Condit Emerson Convers Engel Costello Eshoo Coyne Etheridge Cramer Evans Cummings Fazio Danner Davis (FL) Filner Foglietta Davis (IL) Ford DeFazio Frank (MA) DeGette Frost Delahunt Furse DeLauro Gejdenson Dellums Gephardt Deutsch Gonzalez Dicks Goode Dingell Gordon

Roukema Green Mascara Gutierrez Matsui Roybal-Allard Hall (OH) McCarthy (MO) Rush Hall (TX) McCarthy (NY) Sabo Sanchez Hamilton McDermott Harman McGovern Sanders Hastings (FL) McHale Sandlin Hefner McIntyre Sawyer Hilliard McKinney Scott McNulty Serrano Hinchey Hinojosa Meehan Sherman Holden Meek Sisisky Menendez Hooley Skaggs Millender Skelton Hoyer Jackson (IL) Jackson-Lee McDonald Slaughter Miller (CA) Smith, Adam (TX) Minge Snyder Jefferson Mink Spratt Stabenow Moakley John Johnson (WI) Mollohan Stark Moran (VA) Stenholm Johnson E B Kanjorski Murtha Stokes Strickland Kaptur Kennedy (MA) Neal Stupak Kennedy (RI) Oberstar Tanner Obey Kennelly Tauscher Taylor (MS) Kildee Olver Kilpatrick Thompson Ortiz Kind (WI) Owens Thune Pallone Thurman Kleczka Klink Pascrell Tierney Kucinich Pastor Torres LaFalce Pavne Towns Lampson Pelosi Traficant Peterson (MN) Lantos Turner Leach Pickett Velazquez Levin Pomeroy Vento Visclosky Lewis (GA) Poshard Price (NC) Lipinski Waters Lofgren Rahall Watt (NC) Lowey Luther Ramstad Waxman Wexler Rangel Maloney (CT) Reyes Weygand Maloney (NY) Rivers Wise Manton Woolsey Rodriguez Markey Martinez Rothman Yates

## NOT VOTING-

Barcia Fawell Schiff Becerra Flake Schumer Metcalf Boucher Tauzin Molinari Farr Fattah Packard

# □ 1956

Messrs. MARTINEZ. HALL of Texas. and McDERMOTT changed their vote

from "yea" to "nay."
Mr. BILBRAY changed his vote from "nay" to "yea."

So the previous question was ordered. The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

The SPEAKER pro tempore [Mr. PEASE]. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Pennsylvania [Mr. McDADE].

The motion was agreed to.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

# COMMUNICATION FROM THE CLERK OF THE HOUSE

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following communication from the Clerk of the House of Representatives:

> OFFICE OF THE CLERK, HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES, Washington, DC, June 9, 1997.

Hon. NEWT GINGRICH, House of Representatives, Washington, DC.

DEAR MR. SPEAKER: Pursuant to the permission granted in Clause 5 of Rule III of the Rules of the U.S. House of Representatives. I have the honor to transmit a sealed envelope received from the White House on June 9,