Sessions

Shadegg

contributions and his attentiveness to these measures, three measures previously that we passed and H.R. 79 that is now up for consideration. I certainly thank the ranking Democrat on this side of the aisle, the gentleman from California [Mr. MILLER].

It is my understanding, Mr. Speaker, that this is the first opportunity that the gentlewoman from Idaho [Mrs. CHENOWETH], the chairman of the subcommittee, has had to manage these four pieces of legislation, and I want to add my commendation to the gentlewoman for her leadership and certainly for successfully bringing these four pieces of legislation to fruition. Certainly I have a very strong feeling that it will have the support of our colleagues here on the floor of the House.

Again, I commend the gentlewoman for her fine leadership in bringing these pieces of legislation for consideration. Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance

of my time

Mrs. CHENOWETH. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume

I want to thank the gentleman from American Samoa [Mr. FALEOMAVAEGA] for his fine comments and also thank him for his time and his efforts in helping our committee be successful in ushering these bills through. Without his good work, it could not have happened.

I also want to thank the gentleman from New York [Mr. HINCHEY], our ranking minority member, for his good

Mr. Speaker, I have no further requests for time, and I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEĂKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentlewoman from Idaho [Mrs. CHENOWETH] that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, H.R. 79, as amended.

The question was taken; and (twothirds having voted in favor thereof) the rules were suspended and the bill, as amended, was passed.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

# GENERAL LEAVE

Mrs. CHENOWETH. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days within which to revise and extend their remarks and to include extraneous material on H.R. 1019, H.R. 1020, H.R. 1439, H.R. 79, the bills just passed, and on H.R. 1420, considered earlier.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. MIL-LER of Florida). Is there objection to the request of the gentlewoman from Idaho?

There was no objection.

## RECESS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 12 of rule I, the Chair declares the House in recess until approximately 5 p.m. today.

Accordingly (at 3 o'clock and 39 minutes p.m.), the House stood in recess until approximately 5 p.m.

#### □ 1700

#### AFTER RECESS

The recess having expired, the House was called to order by the Speaker pro tempore [Mr. STEARNS] at 5 o'clock

## NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE SYS-TEM IMPROVEMENT ACT OF 1997

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The pending business is the question of suspending the rules and passing the bill, H.R. 1420, as amended.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Alaska YOUNG] that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, H.R. 1420, as amended, on which the yeas and nays are ordered.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 407, nays 1, not voting 26, as follows:

[Roll No. 156]

### YEAS-407

	1 EAS-407	
Abercrombie	Coble	Gallegly
Ackerman	Coburn	Ganske
Aderholt	Collins	Gejdenson
Allen	Combest	Gekas
Archer	Condit	Gephardt
Armey	Conyers	Gibbons
Baesler	Cook	Gilchrest
Baker	Cooksey	Gillmor
Baldacci	Costello	Gilman
Ballenger	Cox	Gonzalez
Barcia Barr	Coyne	Goode Goodlatte
Barrett (NE)	Cramer Crane	Goodling
Barrett (WI)	Crapo	Gordon
Bartlett	Cubin	Goss
Bass	Cummings	Graham
Bateman	Cunningham	Granger
Becerra	Danner	Green
Bentsen	Davis (FL)	Greenwood
Bereuter	Davis (IL)	Gutierrez
Berman	Davis (VA)	Gutknecht
Berry	Deal	Hall (OH)
Bilirakis	DeGette	Hall (TX)
Bishop	Delahunt	Hamilton
Bliley	DeLauro	Hansen
Blumenauer	DeLay	Harman
Blunt	Dellums	Hastert
Boehlert	Deutsch	Hastings (FL)
Boehner	Diaz-Balart	Hastings (WA)
Bonilla Bonior	Dickey	Hayworth
Bono	Dingell Dooley	Hefley Hefner
Borski	Doolittle	Herger
Boswell	Doyle	Hill
Boucher	Dreier	Hilliard
Boyd	Duncan	Hinchey
Brady	Dunn	Hinojosa
Brown (CA)	Edwards	Hobson
Brown (FL)	Ehlers	Hoekstra
Brown (OH)	Ehrlich	Holden
Bryant	Emerson	Hooley
Bunning	Engel	Horn
Burr	English	Hostettler
Burton	Eshoo	Houghton
Buyer	Etheridge	Hoyer
Callahan	Evans	Hulshof
Calvert Camp	Everett	Hutchinson Hyde
Campbell	Ewing Fattah	Inglis
Canady	Fawell	Istook
Cannon	Fazio	Jackson (IL)
Capps	Filner	Jackson-Lee
Cardin	Flake	(TX)
Carson	Foglietta	Jefferson
Castle	Foley	Jenkins
Chabot	Forbes	John
Chambliss	Fowler	Johnson (CT)
Chenoweth	Fox	Johnson (WI)
Christensen	Frank (MA)	Johnson, E. B.
Clay	Franks (NJ)	Johnson, Sam
Clement	Frelinghuysen	Jones
Clyburn	Frost	Kanjorski

Kaptur Kasich Kelly Kennedy (MA) Kennedy (RI) Kennelly Kildee Kilpatrick Kim Kind (WI) King (NY) Kingston Kleczka Klink Klug Knollenberg Kolbe Kucinich LaFalce LaHood Lampson Largent LaTourette Lazio Leach Levin Lewis (GA) Lewis (KY) Linder Lipinski Livingston LoBiondo Lofgren Lowey Lucas Luther Maloney (CT) Malonev (NY) Manton Manzullo Markev Martinez Mascara Matsui McCarthy (MO) McCarthy (NY) McCollum McCrery McDade McDermott McGovern McHale McHugh McInnis McIntosh McIntyre McKeon McKinney McNulty Meehan Meek Menendez Metcalf Mica Millender-McDonald Miller (CA) Miller (FL) Minge Mink Moakley Molinari Mollohan

Morella Murtha Myrick Nådler Neal Nethercutt Neumann Ney Northup Norwood Nussle Oberstan Obey Olver Ortiz Owens Oxley Packard Pallone Pappas Parker Pascrell Pastor Paxon Pease Pelosi Peterson (MN) Peterson (PA) Petri Pickett Pitts Pombo Pomeroy Porter Portman Poshard Price (NC) Pryce (OH) Quinn Radanovich Rahall Ramstad Rangel Redmond Regula Reyes Riggs Riley Rivers Rodriguez Roemer Rogan Rogers Ros-Lehtinen Rothman Roukema Roybal-Allard Royce Rush Ryun Sabo Salmon Sanchez Sanders Sandlin Sawyer Saxton Scarborough Schaefer, Dan Schaffer, Bob Schumer Scott Sensenbrenner Serrano

Moran (VA)

Shaw Shays Sherman Shimkus Shuster Sisisky Skaggs Skeen Skelton Slaughter Smith (MI) Smith (NJ) Smith (OR) Smith (TX) Smith. Adam Snowbarger Snyder Solomon Souder Spence Spratt Stabenow Stark Stearns Stenholm Stokes Strickland Stupak Sununu Talent Tanner Tauscher Tauzin Taylor (MS) Taylor (NC) Thomas Thornberry Thune Thurman Tiahrt Tierney Torres Towns Traficant Turner Upton Velazquez Vento Visclosky Walsh Wamp Waters Watkins Watt (NC) Watts (OK) Waxman Weldon (FL) Weldon (PA) Weller Wexler Weygand White Whitfield Wicker Wise Wolf Woolsey Wynn Yates Young (AK) Young (FL)

# NAYS-1

Paul

Moran (KS)

## NOT VOTING-26

Doggett Andrews Payne Pickering Bachus Ensign Barton Farr Rohrabacher Bilbray Ford Sanford Blagojevich Schiff Furse Clayton DeFazio Smith, Linda Hilleary Hunter Stump Dicks Thompson Lewis (CA) Dixon

## □ 1735

So (two-thirds having voted in favor thereof) the rules were suspended and the bill, as amended, was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on APPOINTMENT OF CONFEREES ON the table.

### PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mr. FORD. Mr. Speaker, due to a delay in the flight from my congressional district, I was unavoidably detained and thus was unable to vote on rollcall vote 156. Had I been present. I would have voted "aye."

# PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mr. SANFORD. Mr. Speaker, unfortunately my plane was delayed and I missed the vote on H.R. 1420, the National Wildlife Refuge System Improvement Act. Had I been here to vote, I would have supported the bill.

### PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mr. PICKERING. Mr. Speaker, I was unable to return to Washington, DC, today due to a death in my family and missed the following

Rollcall vote No. 156, passage of the National Wildlife Refuge System Improvement Act (H.R. 1420). Had I been present, I would have voted "ave."

## REMOVAL OF NAME OF MEMBER AS COSPONSOR OF H.R. 1438

Ms. CHRISTIAN-GREEN. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to have my name removed as a cosponsor of the bill, H.R. 1438.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. STEARNS). Is there objection to the request of the gentlewoman from the Virgin Islands?

There was no objection.

## MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE

A message from the Senate by Mr. Lundregan, one of its clerks, announced that the Senate had passed with an amendment in which the concurrence of the House is requested, a concurrent resolution of the House of the following title:

H. Con. Res. 84. Concurrent Resolution establishing the congressional budget for the United States Government for fiscal year 1998 and setting forth appropriate budgetary levels for fiscal years 1999, 2000, 2001, and

The message also announced that the Senate insists upon its amendment to the resolution (H. Con. Res. 84) "A concurrent resolution establishing the congressional budget for the United States Government for fiscal year 1998 and setting forth appropriate budgetary levels for fiscal years 1999, 2000, 2001, and 2002." and requests a conference with the House on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses thereon and appoints Mr. DOMENICI, Mr. GRASS-LEY, and Mr. LAUTENBERG to be the conferees on the part of the Senate.

HOUSE CONCURRENT RESOLU-TION 84, CONCURRENT RESOLU-TION ON THE BUDGET. FISCAL YEAR 1998

Mr. KASICH. Mr. Speaker, pursuant to clause 1 of rule XX and at the direction of the Committee on the Budget, I move to take from the Speaker's table the concurrent resolution (H. Con. Res. 84) establishing the congressional budget for the U.S. Government for fiscal year 1998 and setting forth appropriate budgetary levels for fiscal years 1999, 2000, 2001, and 2002, with a Senate amendment thereto, disagree to the Senate amendment, and agree to the conference asked by the Senate.

The Clerk read the title of the concurrent resolution.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Ohio (Mr. KASICH) is recognized for 1 hour.

Mr. KASICH. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

In an effort to try to move this along, Mr. Speaker, there really is not a reason, I do not believe, to get into any kind of protracted debate or discussion here. This is just no more than an effort to go to a conference, a conference that I have labeled the fait accompli conference.

There is not a whole lot that has to be done. We have an agreement between the administration and the Congress of the United States, and frankly we ought to get about it. We ought to get it done this week, which we will get done this week.

Just in a nutshell, I think we do need to know that this will provide for us the first balanced budget since 1969, since Neal Armstrong walked on the Moon. It will be the largest amount of entitlement savings. It will be the first balanced budget since 1969. It would also contain over the next decade about \$700 billion in savings in mandatory spending, including very significant reforms of Medicare. The Medicare savings will be approximately the same amount of savings that the Republicans proposed in 1995.

It will also have some structural changes. It is not just about dollars. There will be some adjustment between the rural and urban reimbursements as part of the ability to give our senior citizens more choice.

Furthermore, it will now begin to pay the skilled nursing facilities and home health care providers a prospective amount, similar to how the hospitals work, in an effort to try to contain the costs of Medicare. We think these are obviously significant, combined with the fact that the shift of home health care from part A to part B will be kept in the premium, which will mean that beneficiaries in fact will bear a part of the burden, with the poorest beneficiaries continuing to have some relief.

It is a structural change of Medicare with far more yet to come, and we will be unrelenting in the idea of developing ultimately a voucher program for

Medicare that will keep it sound during the period of time when the baby boomers start to retire.

But what is also contained in this budget resolution is an agreement to fundamentally have growth in the nondefense discretionary programs, the programs that operate the agencies and departments of the Federal Government. They will grow at a rate of about half a percent a year, as compared to a 6-percent growth over the last 10 years.

Frankly, I am still checking the numbers, but I believe this will be the smallest level of growth in nondefense discretionary spending that we have seen at least over the last 10 years, and we are going back to find out if it may be the smallest level of growth that we have ever seen; significant progress.

Let me also suggest the economic foundation of this program. It is interesting to note that during the Reagan years, the Reagan economic plan was underlaid by a growth in the economy that forecast somewhere in the vicinity of 4.3 to 4.4 percent. That is a growth rate we dream about today and we would hope to achieve, but not one that has been achieved for a long time.

Mr. Speaker, contained in this agreement is not a 4.4-percent projection of economic growth that would make it somewhat unrealistic. What is contained in this agreement is a 2.1-percent economic growth pattern. As we all know, the economy in this last quarter has grown at about 5.6 percent. Certainly we will not achieve those levels of growth in this agreement, but what is important to note is that 2.1percent presumes that at some times the economy will grow faster and at other times it will not grow as fast. We believe this is a conservative foundation, a conservative economic forecast, much more conservative than the blue chip estimators across this country.

So what we have, Mr. Speaker, is we have the largest amount of mandatory savings in history, a significant slowdown of the nondefense discretionary, the programs that run the Government to a half a percent a year, conservative economics underlying this program, the first balanced budget since 1969, and, Mr. Speaker, the much desired and fought for tax cuts that we believe will help the American family and will also

help to grow this economy.

Let me just make a point. The capital gains tax cut in our judgment is one of the things that can help build an infrastructure for America that will allow this economy to grow faster in the absence of inflation. We think that

is very, very significant.

We also believe that a child tax credit is very important because it begins to send the right signals to that institution most under attack in the United States, the American family. We believe it will also restore a little justice in the area of estate relief, so as people work a lifetime to grow a business, they should not have these high levels of taxation.

Mr. Speaker, let me also make it clear that this is not the end of the