

up to the attention of my colleagues one day following the publication of his book. As I say, he has been called the Sakarov of China. Many of us in our lifetime will never meet a person who has risked so much for democracy.

It is interesting to me to see leaders of our Government travel to South Africa and visit the prison at Robin Island where Nelson Mandela was incarcerated. It is like visiting a shrine. That is appropriate. Nelson Mandela is a great hero. Why, then, would these same people not even speak out in support of Wei Jingsheng, who right now is suffering the same plight that Nelson Mandela did for so many years?

Remember the name, Wei Jingsheng, the father of democratic freedoms in China, because he had the courage to stand alone.

Mr. WELDON of Florida. Mr. Speaker, will the gentlewoman yield?

Ms. PELOSI. I yield to the gentleman from Florida.

Mr. WELDON of Florida. I would like to associate myself with the gentlewoman's remarks. I have been very concerned about the status of this gentleman. Is the gentlewoman familiar with any efforts on the part of the Clinton administration to intervene on his behalf up until this point?

Ms. PELOSI. It is my understanding that in meetings from the higher levels of the Clinton administration that Mr. Wei's case has been brought to the attention of the Chinese regime. Either the attempts on Mr. Wei's behalf have not been forceful enough or, one thing is for sure, they have not been successful.

Mr. WELDON of Florida. One of the things I am concerned about, if the gentlewoman will yield further, is that while there are many Members in this body such as the gentlewoman, the gentleman from Virginia [Mr. WOLF], and the gentleman from New Jersey [Mr. SMITH], who are very concerned about this situation, the issue is not really being taken very seriously by the administration. It really is their responsibility, they run the State Department, to bring pressure to bear on the Communist Chinese.

THE AUTOMATIC CONTINUING RESOLUTION

(Mr. FOGLIETTA asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. FOGLIETTA. Mr. Speaker, people in the Midwest are making the tough and necessary choices to rebuild their own lives. Everything has been taken from them. They very much need our help right now, but they may not get that help.

Why? Because Washington is playing another one of its cynical games. Senator BYRD was just right when he called the CR an automatic pilot.

□ 1230

It would rescue us from the same public embarrassment they suffered

from last year's Government shut-downs, but it also saves us from having to make the tough choices to balance our budget.

The President has been to North Dakota and knows the need to provide assistance there as soon as possible, but he says that he will veto this bill because of the automatic pilot CR. He is right because it is bad policy, it is a gimmick. It enables us to avoid our constitutional responsibility to make budgets. And if we can lean back on automatic pilot and keep the Government going, how are we ever going to balance the budget?

Let us not play Pennsylvania Ping-Pong. Why do we not invest the time in passing a budget resolution marking up the appropriations bills and getting the job done, not on automatic pilot, but doing the hard work of hard government. That is what we are paid to do.

MFN FOR CHINA

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Florida [Mr. WELDON] is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. WELDON of Florida. Mr. Speaker, before I get into my 5 minutes I would like to yield to the gentleman from Virginia [Mr. WOLF], if I may.

Mr. WOLF. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman for that, and I just wanted to thank the gentlewoman from California [Ms. PELOSI] for speaking out on Mr. Wei and, second, to say that he was arrested after meeting with John Shattuck from the Clinton administration. After the meeting he was arrested, and I guess I would just say to my colleagues in the House this Congress ought to do something about it.

When Sakharov was under house arrest in the 1980's and Scharansky was in Perm Camp 35, we did resolutions, we did everything, and now we are in the 1990's, in a Republican Congress I might say, so I would say to the leadership on our side we should be doing something to demonstrate that we care.

So I thank the gentleman from Florida [Mr. WELDON] for taking this time, and I thank the gentlewoman from California [Ms. PELOSI] for doing it because this Congress, if we do nothing, we are going to be somewhat complicit in what the Chinese government is doing.

So hopefully the Congress will make this a point of reference and we will talk about it until Mr. Wei is released.

Mr. HOYER. Mr. Speaker, if the gentleman from Florida will yield, I want to thank the gentlewoman from California [Ms. PELOSI] and the gentleman from Florida [Mr. WELDON] for taking this time, and I associate myself with Ms. PELOSI who has been a giant in the leadership on the issue of dealings with China, human rights in China, and in the Far East generally, as someone who has been very involved with my colleague on the Helsinki Commission as we focused on the former Soviet

Union and Sakharov and other heroes of the Helsinki movement, which articulated principles of recognition of human rights in every Nation.

The former Foreign Minister, now the Prime Minister, articulated the fact that the Helsinki final act adopted a premise that it was of concern to all of us how a nation treated its own citizens. Historically, it has been the premise of nations of how they treated the other nation's citizens might be their business, but how they treated their own citizens should not be of their attention.

The fact of the matter is, of course, our world is a better place because nations, and particularly the United States, has taken a focus on how other nations treat their own citizens.

I will be voting against MFN for China, as I have in the past, with some exceptions, when I join the gentlewoman from California [Ms. PELOSI]. But the fact of the matter is we ought to say in the strongest possible terms, as we did to the Soviet Union, "If you treat your citizens badly, you will not be able to deal with us on a business-as-usual basis."

Constructive engagement was not good in South Africa, and I suggest to this administration and previous administrations that constructive engagement, as if we were dealing with nations that adopt our own standards of conduct, should not be the policy of this Government and this Nation.

Mr. WELDON of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I appreciate the comments of the gentleman from Maryland [Mr. HOYER], and the point I was trying to make with the gentlewoman from California [Ms. PELOSI] is that this is an arena or area where leadership from the White House I think is very essential, and I do not believe we are getting that kind of leadership from the administration. I think the leadership is coming from this body, Members like the gentlewoman from California, like the gentleman from Maryland, the gentleman from Virginia, and there is a vacuum in this cause of human rights, and when we have a high ranking State Department official meeting with somebody and then immediately afterward an arrest occurring and then there is really no outcry coming from the Office of the President, the President of the United States himself, that is a problem, and I think it is incumbent upon us, and particularly people within the President's party, to bring pressure to bear on him to take a more aggressive role in this issue and speaking out on it.

Mr. Speaker, the last Democrat President who occupied the White House, Jimmy Carter, had a very, very strong record on doing this, and he would aggressively move on these issues, and I believe we are not seeing the kind of leadership that we need from the White House on this, and I very much appreciate, needless to say, the comments that the gentlewoman has made because this issue is very disturbing to me when we are having a

vote coming up in the next month on MFN for China. It is going to be very difficult for people to justify this in the light of the human rights violations that are occurring in China.

RESTORE WIC PROGRAM FUNDING

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Indiana [Mr. ROEMER] is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. ROEMER. Mr. Speaker, I would just say that to start my 5 minutes I am delighted to see that we are on 5 minutes because that means that the rule for the bill that we were going to undertake has been defeated.

I think one of the reasons that the rule was defeated was because we did not allow, through the Committee on Rules, the opportunity to offer a bipartisan amendment that would have restored the entire amount of WIC funds, Women, Infant and Children Program funds to make sure that the program continues to help women that are pregnant not deliver anemic or underweight children.

Mr. Speaker, this is one of the best programs and one of the best bipartisan programs that we have in Government, yet the Committee on Rules had locked out and shut down and prohibited us from offering and discussing this bipartisan amendment with the self-executing rule.

So I am delighted that the Committee on Rules now is back to discuss ways by which to improve that bill. I think it was defeated in a bipartisan way, with 43 Republicans joining the Democrats, because we do want to discuss the importance of WIC. We also want to make sure that that bill is not loaded up like a Christmas tree with the branches sagging to the floor with pork barrel ornaments.

So there are two problems with that bill. I am hopeful that we can get that bill back to the floor right away because it does involve natural disaster relief that is very important for a number of States, including States in the Midwest, it involves funding for human disasters, which would help women and children with the restoration of \$38 million in the WIC Program.

Why do we need this funding for the WIC Program? There are a number of reasons. One is because the administration, the White House, recognized, with the help of some Republicans, that we were going to have an increased caseload, that disability payments through Social Security for children were frozen, and that we had increases in milk prices. So we needed to make sure we got this \$38 million put into the WIC Program to ensure that 180,000 children were not cut off from WIC.

Mr. Speaker, we were able to do that defeating the rule in a bipartisan way. I am hopeful that the gentlewoman from Ohio [Ms. KAPTUR], the gentlewoman from New Jersey (Mrs. ROUKEMA), the gentleman from California [Mr. RIGGS] and whoever wants to will

go to the Committee on Rules and make sure that we get a fair rule to discuss and debate this WIC Program, which is a wonderful program to help our women and children throughout this country, and I would be happy at this time to yield to the gentlewoman from Ohio [Ms. KAPTUR] who has done a marvelous job fighting passionately for a wonderful program such as WIC.

Ms. KAPTUR. Mr. Speaker, I want to thank the gentleman from Indiana for his strong support of the WIC program, making sure that there is a funding stream for WIC that is not smoke and mirrors, one that we can depend on and one that is not just invented a few hours before a bill comes to the floor.

I can say that I serve as a member on two of the subcommittees of concern here, the Subcommittee on Agriculture, Rural Development, Food and Drug Administration, and Related Agencies, dealing with the WIC funding, and the Subcommittee on VA, HUD, and Independent Agencies as well, which includes the NASA budget. We never had any kind of hearings with NASA on taking money from that account and placing it in the WIC account.

It was very unclear to us yesterday when we went before the Committee on Rules. We were told, well, maybe they might make a rule in order where we could debate the funding issue. Then it turns out to be a self-executing rule, and when we asked the Committee on Rules yesterday when we testified, well, where is the money coming from, they said, well, we think it may be coming from a NASA account. I said which NASA account? Well, was it the wind tunnel account? They said, well, maybe it is section 8, maybe it is not NASA.

It was very confusing up in the Committee on Rules, and then today we are presented with a self-executing rule where apparently the money is being taken from some NASA account.

This was never, never talked about, as the gentleman from Georgia knows, in our Subcommittee on Agriculture, Rural Development, Food and Drug Administration, and Related Agencies, and I can assure the gentleman that as a member of the Subcommittee on VA, HUD, and Independent Agencies, which includes the NASA budget, we never talked about this and had the opportunity to deal with the agency people from NASA.

So I think for those of us who are fighting for the WIC Program and for certainty, not just after next fall, the gentleman from California [Mr. RIGGS] said he wants to hold hearings next fall. We have people being taken off the rolls today around the country, including in his own State of California, where the Governor has written us and said he needs an additional \$27 million just in California alone.

SUPPORT FULL FUNDING FOR THE WIC PROGRAM

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Florida [Mr. BILIRAKIS] is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. BILIRAKIS. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to support our Nation's future by calling for full funding for the WIC nutrition program. All too often the debate in this great House of democracy focuses on estimates, projections, baselines, adjustments, or some other technical term that we hear every day. We are asked to ponder piles of paper filled with facts and figures and then make a judgment about how those numbers or how changing those numbers will affect the everyday lives of millions of Americans.

Today I ask that instead we take a moment and focus on the foundation of our Nation, indeed, its future, our children. I think it is more important to focus on the valuable benefits and help services WIC provides to its participants rather than haggling over census numbers and terms like full participation. When discussing the WIC Program, we must remind ourselves that it has a 22-year track record of providing valuable and, in fact, critical services to some of our Nation's most vulnerable citizens. The WIC Program provides specific nutritious foods to at-risk, income-eligible, pregnant, postpartum and breast feeding women, infants and children up to five years of age. WIC gives women and young children the means to obtain highly nutritious food like iron-fortified infant formula, calcium rich milk, eggs, juice, cereal and other staple foods necessary for healthy development. More than food, WIC is designed to influence a lifetime of good nutrition and healthy behavior by providing valuable nutrition education for its participants as well as referrals to other local health and social service organizations.

During pregnancy, Mr. Speaker, one of the most fragile periods in a woman's life, WIC enhances dietary intake, which improves weight gain and the likelihood of a successful pregnancy. After birth, WIC continues to promote the health of infants and is responsible for reducing low birth rate and infant mortality. Children who participate in WIC receive immunizations against childhood diseases at a higher rate than children who are not WIC participants, and WIC also helps to reduce anemia among children.

As we know, children receiving nutritious meals are in a better position to focus on their daily studies. I recently visited an elementary school in my district and spoke with the very people providing meals to students. They, along with many others, told me that proper nutrition is an integral part of our children's educational experience. In this regard WIC has been linked to improve cognitive development among children. Stated plainly, WIC children are more prepared to learn compared to those children who lack proper nutritionally balanced diets.