Tom Sawyer of Ohio, to rank directly below Eliot Engel of New York.

The resolution was agreed to.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

HOUR OF MEETING FOR MORNING HOUR DEBATE

Mr. BOEHNER. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that on Mondays and Tuesdays of each week through the second session of the 105th Congress, the House shall convene 90 minutes earlier than the time otherwise established by order of the House solely for the purpose of conducting morning hour debate and that the time for such debate shall be limited to 30 minutes allocated to each party; except that on Tuesdays of each week after the first Tuesday in May of a session the House shall convene for morning hour debate 1 hour earlier than the time otherwise established by order of the House, that the time for such debate shall be limited to 25 minutes allocated to each party, and that in no event shall morning hour debate continue beyond 10 minutes before the hour appointed for the resumption of the House session; and that all morning hour debate shall be conducted under the following conditions:

First, the prayer by the Chaplain, the approval of the Journal, and the Pledge of Allegiance to the flag shall be postponed until resumption of the House session following morning hour debate; second, initial and subsequent recognition for debate shall alternate between parties; third, recognition shall be conferred by the Speaker only pursuant to lists submitted by the majority leader or the minority leader; fourth, no Member may address the House for more than 5 minutes except for the majority leader, the minority leader, or the minority whip; and, fifth, pursuant to clause 12 of rule I the Speaker shall declare a recess following morning hour debate until the hour appointed for the resumption of the House session.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Ohio?

There was no objection.

□ 1415

JOINT SESSION OF CONGRESS-STATE OF THE UNION ADDRESS

Mr. BOEHNER. Mr. Speaker, I offer a privileged concurrent resolution (H. Con. Res. 9) and ask for its immediate consideration.

The Clerk read the resolution, as follows:

H. CON. RES. 9

Resolved by the House of Representatives (the Senate concurring), That the two Houses of Congress assemble in the Hall of the House of Representatives on Tuesday, February 4, 1997, at 9 p.m., for the purpose of receiving such communication as the President of the United States shall be pleased to make to them.

The concurrent resolution was agreed to.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

CONTINUATION OF NATIONAL EMERGENCY WITH RESPECT TO MIDDLE EAST VIOLENCE-MES-SAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES (H. DOC. NO. 105-28)

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House a message from the President of the United States; which was read and, together with the accompanying papers, without objection, referred to the Committee on International Relations and ordered to be

To the Congress of the United States:

Section 202(d) of the National Emergencies Act (50 U.S.C. 1622(d)) provides for the automatic termination of a national emergency unless, prior to the anniversary date of its declaration, the President publishes in the Federal Register and transmits to the Congress a notice stating that the emergency is to continue in effect beyond the anniversary date. In accordance with this provision. I have sent the enclosed notice to the Federal Register for publication, stating that the emergency declared with respect to grave acts of violence committed by foreign terrorists that disrupt the Middle East peace process, is to continue in effect beyond January 23, 1997. The first notice continuing this emergency was published in the Federal Register last year on January 22, 1996.

The crisis with respect to the grave acts of violence committed by foreign terrorists that threaten to disrupt the Middle East peace process that led to the declaration of a national emergency, on January 23, 1995, has not been resolved. Terrorist groups continue to engage in activities with the purpose or effect of threatening the Middle East peace process, and which are hostile to U.S. interests in the region. Such actions threaten vital interests of the national security, foreign policy, and economy of the United States. For these reasons, I have determined that it is necessary to maintain in force the broad authorities necessary to deny any financial support from the United States for foreign terrorists that threaten to disrupt the Middle East peace process.

WILLIAM J. CLINTON. THE WHITE HOUSE, January 21, 1997.

REPORT OF FEDERAL AGENCIES REGARDING WEAPONS OF MASS DESTRUCTION—MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES (H. DOC. NO. 105-)

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House a message from the President of the United States; which was read and, together with the accompanying papers, without objection, referred to the Committee on National Security and ordered to be printed.

To the Congress of the United States:

As required by section 1416 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1997 (Public Law 104-201), I transmit herewith a report describing the respective policy functions and operational roles of Federal agencies in countering the threat posed by the use or potential use of biological and chemical weapons of mass destruction (WMD) within the United States.

WILLIAM J. CLINTON. THE WHITE HOUSE, January 21, 1997.

SPECIAL ORDERS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the Speaker's announced policy of January 7, 1997, and under a previous order of the House, the following Members will be recognized for 5 minutes each.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from New Jersev Mr. MENENDEZ] is recognized for 5 minutes.

MENENDEZ addressed House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.]

COMMUNICATION FROM CHAIRMAN OF THE COMMITTEE ON THE BUDGET REGARDING CURRENT LEVELS OF SPENDING AND REVENUES REFLECTING AC-TION COMPLETED AS OF OCTO-BER 4, 1996, FOR FISCAL YEARS 1997-2001

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Ohio [Mr. KASICH] is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. KASICH. Mr. Speaker, on behalf of the Committee on the Budget and pursuant to sections 302 and 311 of the Congressional Budget Act, I am submitting for printing in the CONGRESSIONAL RECORD an updated report on the current levels of on-budget spending and revenues for fiscal year 1997 and for the 5year period fiscal year 1997 through fiscal year 2001.

This report is to be used in applying the fiscal year 1997 budget resolution (H. Con. Res. 178), for legislation having spending or revenue effects in fiscal years 1997 through 2001.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,

COMMITTEE ON THE BUDGET, Washington, DC, January 20, 1997. Hon. NEWT GINGRICH,

Speaker, House of Representatives,

Ŵashington, DC.

DEAR MR. SPEAKER: To facilitate application of sections 302 and 311 of the Congressional Budget Act, I am transmitting a status report on the current levels of on-budget spending and revenues for fiscal year 1997 and for the 5-year period fiscal year 1997 through fiscal year 2001.

The term "current level" refers to the

amounts of spending and revenues estimated for each fiscal year based on laws enacted or awaiting the President's signature as of October 4, 1996.

The first table in the report compares the current level of total budget authority, outlays, and revenues with the aggregate levels set by H. Con. Res. 178, the concurrent resolution on the budget for fiscal year 1997. This

comparison is needed to implement section 311(a) of the Budget Act, which creates a point of order against measures that would breach the budget resolution's aggregate levels. The table does not show budget authority and outlays for years after fiscal year 1997 because appropriations for those years have not yet been considered.

The second table compares the current levels of total budget authority, outlays, and new entitlement authority of each direct spending committee with the "section 602(a)" allocations for discretionary action made under H. Con. Res. 178 for fiscal year 1997 and for fiscal years 1997 through 2001. "Discretionary action" refers to legislation enacted after adoption of the budget resolution. This comparison is needed to implement section 302(f) of the Budget Act, which creates a point of order against measures that would breach the section 602(a) discretionary action allocation of new budget authority or entitlement authority for the committee that reported the measure. It is also needed to implement section 311(b), which exempts committees that comply with their allocations from the point of order under section 311(a).

The third table compares the current levels of discretionary appropriations for fiscal year 1997 with the revised "section 602(b)" sub-allocations of discretionary budget authority and outlays among Appropriations subcommittees. This comparison is also

needed to implement section 302(f) of the Budget Act, because the point of order under that section also applies to measures that would breach the applicable section 602(b) sub-allocation. The revised section 602(b) sub-allocations were filed by the Appropriations Committee on September 27, 1996.

Sincerely,

JOHN R. KASICH, Chairman.

Enclosures.

REPORT TO THE SPEAKER FROM THE COMMITTEE ON THE BUDGET—STATUS OF THE FISCAL YEAR 1997 CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET ADOPTED IN HOUSE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION 178

[Reflecting action completed as of October 4, 1996] [On-budget amounts, in millions of dollars]

	Fiscal year 1997	Fiscal year 1997–2001
Appropriate Level (as set by H. Con. Res. 178):		
Budget authority	1,314,785	6,956,507
Outlays	1,311,171	6,898,627
Revenues	1,083,728	5,913,303
Current Level:		
Budget authority	1,331,836	(1)
Outlays	1,323,900	(')
Revenues	1,101,533	5,973,242
Current Level over(+)/under(-) Appropriate Level:		
Budget authority	17,051	(1)
Outlays	12,729	(1)
Revenues	17,805	59,939

¹Not applicable because annual appropriations Acts for Fiscal Years 1997 through 2001 will not be considered until future sessions of Congress.

BUDGET AUTHORITY

FY 1997 budget authority exceeds the appropriate level set by H. Con. Res. 178. Enactment of measures providing any new budget authority for FY 1997 would be subject to point of order under section 311(a) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974.

OUTLAYS

FY 1997 outlays exceed the appropriate level set by H. Con. Res. 178. Enactment of measures providing any new outlays for FY 1997 would be subject to point of order under section 311(a) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974

REVENUES

Enactment of any measure that would result in any revenue loss in excess of \$17,805,000,000 for FY 1997 (if not already included in the current level estimate) or in excess of \$59,939,000,000 for FY 1997 through 2001 (if not already included in the current level) would increase the amount by which revenues are less than the recommended levels of revenue set by H. Con. Res. 178.

DIRECT SPENDING LEGISLATION—COMPARISON OF CURRENT LEVEL WITH COMMITTEE ALLOCATIONS PURSUANT TO BUDGET ACT SECTION 602(a) REFLECTING ACTION COMPLETED AS
OF NOVEMBER 15, 1996

[Fiscal years, in millions of dollars]

	1007 1007 2001						
		1997			1997–2001		
	BA	Outlays	NEA	BA	Outlays	NEA	
e Committee:							
Agriculture:	_	_	_	_	_		
Allocation	0	ō	ō	0	0	4,	
Current level		5	5	55	55		
Difference	5	5	5	55	55	-4	
National Security:	1 570	1 570	0	///	///		
Allocation	– 1,579	- 1,579 - 102	0	- 664	- 664		
Current level		- 102 1,477	- 21 - 21	289 375	- 289 375		
Difference	1,477	1,477	-21	3/3	3/3		
	– 128	-3.700	0	-711	-4.004		
Aflocation		- 3,700 - 6	0	- / 11	- 4,004 N		
Current level	128	3,694	0	711	4,004		
Economic and Educational Opportunities:	120	3,074	U	711	4,004		
Allocation	912	- 800	- 152	-3.465	-3,153		
Current level		1.635	1,816	11,135	10,296		
Difference		2,435	1,968	14,600	13,449		
Commerce:	2,017	2,.00	.,,50	,030	.0,.17		
Allocation	0	0	370	-14,540	-14,540	- 4	
Current level	3	3	492	242	195		
Difference		3	122	14,782	14,735	4	
nternational Relations:							
Allocation	0	0	0	0	0		
Current level		-1	0	-1	-1		
Difference	1	-1	0	-1	-1		
Government Reform and Oversight:							
Allocation	1,078	-1,078	-289	-4,605	-4,605	— .	
Current level	0	0	0	0	0		
Difference	1,078	1,078	289	4,605	4,605		
House Oversight:							
Allocation		0	0	0	0		
Current level	0	0	0	0	0		
Difference	0	0	0	0	0		
Resources:							
Allocation		- 90	- 12	- 1,401	- 1,460		
Current level		- 20	0	- 144	- 167		
Difference	72	70	12	1,257	1,293		
udiciary:	0	0	0	257	257		
Allocation		0	0	- 357	- 357		
Current level			0	45	45		
Difference	3	3	0	402	402		
fransportation and Infrastructure:	2.280	0	0	125 000	F21		
Allocation			12	125,989 4.748	521 121		
Current level		65 65	12	- 121,241	- 400		
Differenceicience:	65	00	12	- 121,241	- 400		
	0	0	0	-13	- 13		
Allocation		0	0	- I3 0	- 13 0		
		0	0	13	13		
Difference	0	U	U	13	13		
	0	0	0	0	0		
Allocation		0	0	0	0		
Current level		0	0	0	0		
leterans' Affairs:	0	U	U	U	U		
Allocation	90	-90	224	- 919	-919		
Ailocation Current level		- 90 0	3	- 919	- 919 0		
Difference		90	- 221	919	919	_	
lays and Means:	90	90	- 221	919	719	_	
	8.973	- 9,132	- 2.057	- 134,211	- 134.618	-1	
Allocation		- 9,132 8,302	- 2,057 - 2,840		- 134,618 73,476	- 1 - 3	
Current level				73,457			
Difference	17,311	17,434	− 783	207,668	208,094	-2	

DIRECT SPENDING LEGISLATION—COMPARISON OF CURRENT LEVEL WITH COMMITTEE ALLOCATIONS PURSUANT TO BUDGET ACT SECTION 602(a) REFLECTING ACTION COMPLETED AS

OF NOVEMBER 15, 1996—Continued

[Fiscal years, in millions of dollars]

	1997			1997–2001		
	BA	Outlays	NEA	BA	Outlays	NEA
Select Committee on Intelligence: Allocation Current level Difference Total authorized:	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	0	0	2	2	0
	0	0	0	2	2	0
Allocation Current level Difference	- 10,571	- 16,469	- 1,916	- 34,897	- 163,812	- 38,038
	12,539	9,884	- 533	89,250	83,733	- 28,410
	23,110	26,353	1,383	124,147	247,545	9,628

DISCRETIONARY APPROPRIATIONS FOR FISCAL YEAR 1997—COMPARISON OF CURRENT LEVEL WITH SUBALLOCATIONS PURSUANT TO BUDGET ACT SECTION 602(b)

	Rev	vised 602(b)	suballocations 27, 1996)	5	Current level reflecting action completed as of October 4, 1996		Defense																	
							General purpose Violent crime		· ·						-				-		General p	ourpose	Violent c	rime
	BA	0	BA	0	BA	0	BA	0	BA	0	BA	0												
Agriculture, Rural Development Commerce, Justice, State	12,960 24,493	13,380 24,939	0 4,525	0 2,951	13,009 24,838	13,373 25,065	0 4,526	0 2,954	49 345	-7 126	0 1	0 3												
Defense District of Columbia Energy & Water Development	245,065 719 19,421	243,372 719 19,652	0	0	243,851 719 19,973	242,875 719 19.923	0	0	- 1,214 0 552	- 497 0 271	0 0	0												
Foreign Operations	11,950 12,118	13,311 12,920	0	0	12,267 12,503	13,310 13,178	0	0	317 385	— 1 258	0	0												
Labor, HHS & Education Legislative Branch Military Construction	65,775 2,180 9,983	69,842 2,148 10.360	61 0	38 0	71,026 2,170 9,982	71,517 2,132 10.344	61 0	39 0	5,251 10 1	1,675 16 16	0 0	1 0												
Transportation	12,190 11,016	35,453 10,971	0 97	0 84	12,080 11,620	35,482 11,292	0 97	0 83	- 110 604	29 321	0	0 -1												
VA-HUD-Independent Agencies Reserve/Offsets	64,354 618	78,803 69	0	0	64,522 2,750	79,196 5,850	0	0	168 - 3,368	393 5,919	0	0												
Grand total	492,842	535,939	4,683	3,073	495,810	532,556	4,684	3,076	2,968	- 3,383	1	3												

Note.—Amounts in Current Level column for Reserve/Offsets are for Spectrum sales and BIF/SAIF. Those items are credited to the Appropriations Committee for FY 1997 only.

U.S. Congress, Congressional Budget Office, Washington, DC, January 9, 1997.

Hon. JOHN KASICH, Chairman, Committee on the Budget, House of

Representatives, Washington, DC.
DEAR MR. CHAIRMAN: Pursuant to section 308(b) and in aid of section 311 of the Congressional Budget Act, as amended, this letter and supporting detail provide an up-to-date tabulation of the on-budget current levels of new budget authority, estimated outlays, and estimated revenues for fiscal year 1997. These estimates are compared to the

appropriate levels for those items contained in the 1977 Concurrent Resolution on the Budget (H. Con. Res. 178) and are current through January 8, 1997. A summary of this tabulation follows:

[In millions of dollars]

	House cur- rent level	Budget res- olution (H. Con. Res. 178)	Current level +/— resolution
Budget Authority Outlays Revenues:	1,331,836 1,323,900	1,314,785 1,311,171	+17,051 +12,729
1997	1,101,533	1,083,728	+17,805

[In millions of dollars]

	House cur- rent level	Budget res- olution (H. Con. Res. 178)	Current level +/— resolution
1997–2001	5,973,242	5,913,303	+59,939

This is my first report for the first session of the 105th Congress.

Sincerely,

JUNE E. O'NEILL, *Director.*

PARLIAMENTARIAN STATUS REPORT—105TH CONGRESS, 1ST SESSION, HOUSE ON-BUDGET SUPPORTING DETAIL FOR FISCAL YEAR 1997, AS OF CLOSE OF BUSINESS OCTOBER 4, 1996

[In millions of dollars]

	Budget authority	Outlays	Revenues
PREVIOUSLY ENACTED			
evenues	843.140	804.154	1,100,35
ppropriation legislation		238,523	
ffsetting receipts	199,772	- 199,772	
Total previously enacted	643,368	842,905	1,100,35
ENACTED IN 104TH CONGRESS, 2D SESSION			
ppropriation Bills: griculture (P.L. 104–180)	52.345	44.922	
istrict of Columbia (P.L. 104–194)	719	719	
nergy and Water Development (P.L. 104–206)	19,973	13,090	
egisfative Branch (P.L. 104–197) ilitary Construction (P.L. 104–196)	2,166 9,982	1,917 3.140	
mila) distriction (P.L. 104–205)	12.599	12,270	
eterans, HUD, Independent Agencies (P.L. 104–204)		49,666	
mnibus Consolidated Appropriations Act (P.L. 104–208) ¹	499,841	352,017	
uthorization Bills: axpayer Bill of Rights 2 (P.L. 104–168)			_
ederal Oil & Gas Royalty Simplification & Fairness Act, 1996 (P.L. 104–185)	2	-2	
mall Business Job Protection Act of 1996 (P.L. 104–188)	76	-76	5
thorize Voluntary Separation Incentives at the A.I.D. (P.L. 104–190)	–1	-1	
aalth Insurance Portability & Accountability Act of 1996 (P.L. 104–191) vergoal Descriptibility and West Opportunity, Descriptibility Act (J. 104–193).	305 10.080	315 9.702	5
rsonal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act (P.L. 104–193) ational Defense Authorization Act (P.L. 104–201)	10,000	- 102	
airoad unemployment insurance amendments act of 1996 (P.L. 104–251)	12	12	
deral Aviation Administration Authorization Act of 1996 (P.L. 104–264)	2,330	50	
entral Utah Project Completion Act (P.L. 104–296)	72	- 72	
schnical Corrections and Amendments to Trade Laws (P.L. 104–295)	1	-1	-
ustainable Fisheries Act (P.L. 104–297) avajo-Hopi Land Dispute Settlement Act of 1996 (P.L. 104–301)		-1	
countable Pipeline Safety & Partnership Act of 1996 (P.L. 104–304)	40	3	
irness in Compensating Owners of Patents Used by the U.S. (P.L. 104–308)	3	3	
nergency Drought Relief Act of 1996 (P.L. 104–318)	7	7	
past Guard Authorization Act of 1995 (P.L. 104–324)	3	3	
nited States Commemorative Coin Act of 1996 (P.L. 104–329)		-6	

PARLIAMENTARIAN STATUS REPORT—105TH CONGRESS, 1ST SESSION, HOUSE ON-BUDGET SUPPORTING DETAIL FOR FISCAL YEAR 1997, AS OF CLOSE OF BUSINESS OCTOBER 4, 1996—Continued

[In millions of dollars]

	Budget authority	Outlays	Revenues
Total enacted this session	694,467	487,625	1,178
APPROPRIATED ENTITLEMENT AND MANDATORIES Budget resolution baseline estimates of appropriated entitlements and other mandatory programs not yet enacted	- 5,999	-6,630	
Total Current Level Total Budget Resolution Amount remaining: Linder Resolution	1,331,836 1,314,785	1,323,900 1,311,171	1,101,533 1,083,728
Under Budget Resolution Over Budget Resolution	17,051	12,729	17,805
ADDENDUM			
Emergencies: Funding that has been designated as an emergency requirement by the President and the Congress	1,550 364	1,205 323	
Total emergencies Total current level including emergencies	1,914 1,333,750	1,528 1,325,428	1,101,533

¹This act includes 1997 funding for six appropriation bills (Commerce/Justice, Defense, Foreign Operations, Interior, Labor/HHS/Education, and Treasury) and additional appropriations for hurricane and flood recovery, firefighting and antiterrorism. There are also several provisions that affect the following direct spending programs: FCC auction receipts, Bank Insurance Funds, the Food Stamp program, and the Small Business Administration loan program account.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Pennsylvania [Mr. GEKAS] this legislative leader who showed by is recognized for 5 minutes. dolences and sympathy. And, again, for a sterling example, we should turn to this legislative leader who showed by example that the people's business can

[Mr. $\overline{G}EKAS$ addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.]

TRIBUTE TO THE HONORABLE BURTON BARR

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Arizona [Mr. HAYWORTH] is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. HAYWORTH. Mr. Speaker, I rise this afternoon to honor the memory of one from my home State who qualifies as a legislative legend. His name was Burton Barr, and for more than 20 years he served with distinction in the Arizona House of Representatives.

Indeed, Mr. Speaker, as we embark in this 105th Congress to do the people's business, we are confronted by a curious paradox. It is one that surrounds every legislative body, and it principally centers on this challenge: How do we, in the spirit of bipartisanship, at the same time recognize legitimate differences of opinion and work for the common good?

Mr. Speaker, I submit that for a sterling example of how to move forward in a bipartisan way, we need look only so far as to the legislative career of the late Burton Barr.

Burton Barr in his role as Speaker of the Arizona statehouse worked effectively with members of that body from all different walks of life and from both major political parties. Indeed, Mr. Speaker, no less a person than the current Secretary of the Interior and former Arizona Governor Bruce Babbitt attests to the legislative ability of Burton Barr.

There were those who were cynics and critics who referred to Mr. Barr as the great salesman, but he was more than that. For in recognizing legitimate differences, and yet trying to achieve a consensus, Burton Barr went about the people's business. He was a public servant in the truest sense of the word.

To his family and to the people of Arizona, this House should offer our con-

dolences and sympathy. And, again, for a sterling example, we should turn to this legislative leader who showed by example that the people's business can be done, that we can work together constructively, at times championing our differences, at times legitimately discussing those challenges at hand.

Burton Barr was more than simply a legislative leader. He was a husband and devoted father, and he was a hero of World War II. He earned two Silver Stars for gallantry. But for the people of Arizona, his star in the firmament will be his dedication to the people of the Grand Canyon State and his record of accomplishment in leading a legislative body to success in a bipartisan manner.

LEAVE OF ABSENCE

By unanimous consent, leave of absence was granted to:

Mrs. Carson (at the request of Mr. Gephardt), for today, on account of illness.

SPECIAL ORDERS GRANTED

By unanimous consent, permission to address the House, following the legislative program and any special orders heretofore entered, was granted to:

(The following Member (at the request of Mr. Pallone) to revise and extend their remarks and include extraneous material:)

Mr. Menendez, for 5 minutes, today. (The following Members (at the request of Mr. Hulshof) to revise and extend their remarks and include extraneous material:)

Mr. KASICH, for 5 minutes, today.

Mr. GEKAS, for 5 minutes, today.

Mr. HAYWORTH, for 5 minutes, today.

EXTENSION OF REMARKS

By unanimous consent, permission to revise and extend remarks was granted to:

(The following Members (at the request of Mr. PALLONE) and to include extraneous material:)

Mr. CARDIN.

Mr. Costello.

Mr. Capps.

Mr. SERRANO.

Mr. VISCLOSKY.

Mrs. Meek of Florida.

Mr. Bentsen.

Mr. Berman.

Mr. Kleczka. Mr. Martinez.

Mr. WAXMAN.

(The following Members (at the request of Mr. HULSHOF) and to include extraneous material:)

Mr. Young of Florida.

Mr. GALLEGLY.

Ms. Ros-Lehtinen in two instances.

Mr. KING.

Mr. BASS.

Mr. Solomon in three instances.

Mr. EHRLICH.

Mr. Hastert.

Mr. CAMP.

Mr. SAXTON.

Mr. RADANOVICH. Mr. BILIRAKIS.

Mr. SMITH of Michigan.

Mr. PACKARD.

Mr. CUNNINGHAM.

ADJOURNMENT

Mr. HAYWORTH. Mr. Speaker, I move that the House do now adjourn.

The motion was agreed to.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the provisions of Senate Concurrent Resolution 3, 105th Congress, the House stands adjourned until 12:30 p.m. on Tuesday, February 4, 1997, for morning hour debate.

Thereupon (at 2 o'clock and 24 minutes p.m.), pursuant to Senate Concurrent Resolution 3, the House adjourned until Tuesday, February 4, 1997, at 12:30 p.m.

EXECUTIVE COMMUNICATIONS ETC.

Under clause 2 of rule XXIV, executive communications were taken from the Speaker's table and referred as follows:

1209. A letter from the Administrator, Agricultural Marketing Service, transmitting the Service's final rule—Regulations Issued Under the Export Apple and Pear Act; Relaxation of Grade Requirements for Apples and