

That rate of responsible growth is what is needed to, in fact, maintain the solvency of the trust fund.

We also intend to offer choices and to restore the patient-physician relationship that has been lost by a large government, third-party payer system, which is going bankrupt unless we act now to save it.

I urge my colleagues on the other side and the White House, as well, to join us in the effort.

TAX BREAKS FOR WEALTHY IS WRONG PRIORITY

(Mr. ALLEN asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. ALLEN. Mr. Speaker, Republicans are demanding an additional \$10 to \$30 billion in Medicare cuts. Why? Not to extend the life of the Medicare part A trust fund, not to improve the program for the 38 million seniors and disabled who depend on it. No, the additional cuts proposed by the majority are needed to fund tax breaks for the wealthy.

The new crown jewel, costing \$300 billion over the next 5 years, involves eliminating all estate and capital gains taxes. Some tax relief makes sense, but only after we balance the Federal budget and invest in our future.

Tax breaks for the wealthy are the wrong priority for this Congress. Our children must be our top priority. Children's health insurance, quality, affordable child care, improved education, and confronting drug and alcohol abuse, that is the heart of our future and ought to be part of our budget.

□ 1015

REPUBLICAN AGENDA TO ADDRESS CHILD ABUSE

(Mr. EWING asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. EWING. Mr. Speaker, I come here today to talk about part of the Republican agenda. Yesterday I was in a news conference with the gentlewoman from New York [Ms. MOLINARI], the Speaker of the House, the gentleman from Texas [Mr. DELAY], and others from our side talking about legislation to help protect abused children.

One of the points that came out so clearly in that legislative proposal and those who testified was that drugs and alcohol are one of the biggest causes of child abuse in America. I think, of course, of child abuse as symptomatic of the problems with our society and that is an enormous challenge that will take years to meet. But there are things we can do now. We can stop drugs from entering America. We can do a better job of it. We can beef up our border patrols. I hope that they are

doing a good job. If they are not, we ought to be investigating. We can use the best equipment to detect those bringing drugs into America. We are not doing enough. It is time that we recognized how high these stakes are and do our very best.

FREEDOM OF THE PRESS UNDER ATTACK

(Mr. HINCHEY asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. HINCHEY. Mr. Speaker, one of the most important aspects of the foundation upon which this Republic is based is freedom of the press. That freedom unfortunately is under attack by the majority in this House. Two days ago, the Speaker of the House of Representatives addressing the Georgia Chamber of Commerce called upon the advertisers in America's newspapers to attempt to influence the quality and character of news as it is being recorded by the free press in this country. This comes upon the heels of the blatant attack during the last Congress to influence in an outrageous way public broadcasting, both television and radio, in this country by cutting back on their funds. Freedom of the press is critically important to the future of this country and to the freedoms that are possessed by all Americans. That freedom is under attack by this Speaker. I call upon the majority Members in this House to repudiate those remarks of the Speaker and to reaffirm that this House stands solidly behind the right of the free press in this country to report the news as it sees fit, not based upon the advertisers that advertise in those newspapers.

PROJECT LABOR AGREEMENTS

(Mr. FAWELL asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. FAWELL. Mr. Speaker, the Clinton administration is expected to issue an Executive order regarding the use of what is called "project labor agreements" for all Federal and federally funded construction projects. This proposal is anticompetitive, it is discriminatory, and it is just basically unfair since nonunion construction companies will not be eligible to bid on Federal and federally funded construction projects.

The proposed order appears to be yet another attempt by the President to change or affect Federal laws by executive fiat rather than through the normal legislative process.

Mr. Speaker, this is a matter of basic American fairness. Republicans and Democrats alike should be concerned about this proposed Executive order. Bids to perform Government work should be based on sound, credible criteria such as quality of work, experience, and cost, not union affiliation and not whether the bidder is a union

or nonunion construction company. President Clinton's initiative is unfair and discriminatory and goes in the opposite direction of fair and merit-based competition. It will exacerbate already strained relations between management and labor in this Nation and between the Congress and the administration. I would implore the President to reconsider his intentions here.

MEDICARE

(Mr. WYNN asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. WYNN. Mr. Speaker, one of the things that most frustrates the American public is the failure of Congress to address the Nation's real business. Today the trustees of the Medicare system will make a report and talk about the real business, and that business is the problem of our Medicare trust fund going bankrupt in about the year 2002.

The question then becomes what are we going to do about it? Or, rather, what is the Republican majority going to do about it? We believe that we can make prudent cuts and achieve savings that will solve this problem. The President has put that proposal out on the table. We can adjust it and avoid this bankruptcy. The question becomes, what does the Republican majority want to do? So far, their crown jewel is not solving Medicare but providing tax breaks that basically benefit the wealthy. The tax breaks that they have talked about amount to \$300 billion over 5 years. Who gets that \$300 billion in tax breaks? Not the average American. Rather, the richest 5 percent, people who make over \$100,000 a year.

My suggestion is this: Let us not give those big tax breaks, let us put the crown jewel back in the drawer, let us address the Nation's real business which is solving the Medicare problem. We can do that without giving tax breaks to the wealthy, and that is what we ought to do. Take care of the Nation's business.

MEDICARE IS LIVING BEYOND ITS MEANS

(Mr. GUTKNECHT asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. GUTKNECHT. Mr. Speaker, as my colleagues have said so far this morning, today the Medicare trustees' report will be unveiled. Unfortunately, we all know what it is going to say. It is going to say that bankruptcy is closing in. That is the bad news.

For 2 years now Medicare part A, the trust fund, has been spending more than it takes in. Medicare is living beyond its means and is rapidly depleting any surplus that it may have built up. That is the bad news.

The good news is that we have a plan to protect the trust fund. We can simplify the complicated billing and paperwork. We can offer seniors a choice and

use the market system to give people a choice and let them decide what is best for them. We can aggressively fight waste and abuse, which cost billions of dollars to the Medicare fund every year.

Mr. Speaker, such a plan was successfully passed in the last Congress. Unfortunately, the President chose to veto it. We have a unique opportunity in this Congress to produce such a solution again. Let us work together on a bipartisan basis, let us seize the day, let us save Medicare.

TRIBUTE TO BRANDON K. SEARCY

(Mr. LEWIS of Georgia asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. LEWIS of Georgia. Mr. Speaker, I rise on behalf of the citizens of Atlanta to mourn the loss and celebrate the life of Brandon Searcy. Brandon Searcy was just 8 years old. He was the victim of a senseless and hideous crime.

Mr. Speaker, what kind of world do we live in when an 8-year-old child is stalked and preyed upon, when it is no longer safe for a child to walk a block to a school bus stop?

Brandon Searcy was a special child, a gifted child. He was the light and the joy of his mother Kimala Searcy. He loved school and he loved the Lord, and he was dedicated to both.

Brandon was a member of the First Norman Grove Baptist Church in Scottdale, GA. He often took notes during the pastor's sermons, and he and sister, Algerica, would sing with joy their favorite song, "Shake the Devil Off."

Brandon was a second grade student at Cleveland Avenue Elementary School where he excelled as an honor student on the principal's list. He loved to play baseball and his ambition was to go to college and then become a professional baseball player.

Mr. Speaker, Brandon Searcy's favorite passage from the Bible was the 23d Psalm. It reads in part, "Surely goodness and mercy shall follow me all the days of my life, and I will dwell in the house of the Lord forever."

Brandon Searcy, Mr. Speaker, will surely dwell in the house of the Lord forever. He will be remembered and he will be missed by all who knew him and many who never had the good fortune. God bless Brandon Searcy.

WE NEED TRUTH IN LENDING AND TRUTH IN LEGISLATING

(Mr. PAUL asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. PAUL. Mr. Speaker, I am very disappointed that so far in this Congress we have not yet seen any sincere effort to cut any spending. The latest ploy has been the Treasury report that claims the deficit is shrinking up to

nothing. In the first 6 months of this year we are in deficit of \$101 billion and this is claimed to be a victory, thus taking off the pressure to work harder to cut spending. How did they do this?

The first thing we did was we sent the IRS agents out and hounded the American people and collected \$28 billion more than they did in the first 6 months of the last fiscal year. But they did something else. They keep borrowing from the trust funds. They borrow from the Social Security fund, further jeopardizing that whole program. Looking at the statistics more carefully, they claim the deficit is \$111, but during the past 12 months our national debt went up \$241 billion. There is no way to predict what the next 6 months will bring. Interest rates may rise, revenues may dwindle if the markets and the economy slumps.

I think that we ought to have some truth in lending and truth in legislating here by honestly telling the American people that there is something wrong here that could and should be adjusted with decreased spending, not raising taxes and not further robbing the Social Security trust fund.

MEDICARE TRUSTEES REPORT DUE TODAY

(Mr. PETERSON of Pennsylvania asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. PETERSON of Pennsylvania. Today, the Medicare trustees are due to report on the projected solvency of the Medicare part A trust fund. As we all recall, last year's report predicted the part A trust fund would be insolvent by the year 2001 without reform. We also know that in 1996 the trust fund lost \$25 million a day and is now losing over \$30 million a day.

Ladies and gentlemen of this House, this is unacceptable. The state of the Medicare Program warrants serious discussion proposing genuine solutions. A recent proposal introduced in the House would add provider-sponsored organizations to the managed care options available to Medicare beneficiaries. By allowing groups of affiliated providers to organize and deliver a broad base of health care services, we can offer new choices for quality care that is community based. For a rural district like mine, increased choice is a welcome opportunity. Whether your district is rural, urban, or suburban, we all know that localized solutions work best.

I ask Members to support that measure.

DEFENSE DIVERSIFICATION ON DISPLAY IN NEW FILM

(Mr. GEJDENSON asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. GEJDENSON. Mr. Speaker, this last Friday we celebrated Steven

Spielberg's filming at a former defense facility, not a war movie but a movie about slaves who revolted and freed themselves. As exciting as the topic of the story is, it was exciting to see defense diversification at work. Sonalyst Studios has the best sound stage in America. It used the sound technology it developed during the cold war with submarines and submarine quieting to build a sound stage.

□ 1030

Now a company is diversified, helping the economy of eastern Connecticut, providing jobs and then entertainment for the country. While we are still suffering some of the effects of the defense downsizing and the bad economy of the early nineties, small companies like Sonalyst Studios Ship Analysis and Technologies are taking their defense technologies and diversifying, expanding our economy and building the economy of the entire country. This event Friday night was spectacular to see some of the best in the entertainment industry coming to eastern Connecticut. Using our facilities at Sonalyst Studios is hopefully going to set a pattern for years to come.

Mr. Speaker, we have still got pains in defense downsizing but it is exciting to see these companies using their own resources and investment to broaden their economic activity, benefiting the entire community.

Mr. DREIER. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield for one moment?

Mr. GEJDENSON. I yield to the gentleman from California.

Mr. DREIER. Mr. Speaker, I would just like to say to my friend that we are happy to see the entertainment industry moving to Connecticut partially, but we want them to know that their home continues to be in southern California, and we hope very much they will continue to make base there.

Mr. GEJDENSON. Mr. Speaker, reclaiming my time, I would say that we are happy at this stage to just have a small piece of what is happening in southern California, and we will fight over the larger share later.

DEPUTY TREASURY SECRETARY COMPLETELY MISSES THE POINT ON DEATH TAXES

(Mr. PAXON asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. PAXON. Mr. Speaker, earlier this week the Deputy Treasury Secretary, Lawrence Summers, condemned efforts to ease Federal death taxes saying these were motivated by, and I am quoting him here, selfishness. I believe it is nothing short of an outrage for an administrative official who has such important influence over tax policy to make a statement like this.

Secretary Summers completely misses the point on death taxes. The fact is whether it is small business or family farmers or others, they spend