

complex for use by the New Mexico Hispanic Cultural Center.

(H) The \$30,000 donation by the Sandia National Laboratories and Lockheed Martin Corporation to support the New Mexico Hispanic Cultural Center and the program activities of the New Mexico Hispanic Cultural Center.

(e) USE OF FUNDS FOR DESIGN, CONSTRUCTION, FURNISHING, AND EQUIPMENT.—The funds received under a grant awarded under subsection (c) shall be used only for the design, construction, management, inspection, furnishing, and equipment of the Center.

(f) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There is authorized to be appropriated to the Secretary to carry out this section a total of \$17,800,000 for fiscal year 1998 and succeeding fiscal years. Funds appropriated pursuant to the authority of the preceding sentence shall remain available until expended.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from Wisconsin [Mr. PETRI] and the gentleman from California [Mr. MARTINEZ] each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Wisconsin [Mr. PETRI].

Mr. PETRI. Madam Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Madam Speaker, today I rise in support of S. 1417, the Hispanic Cultural Center Act of 1997. This bill provides for the design, construction and equipping of a Center for Performing Arts with the complex of the New Mexico Hispanic Cultural Center.

Already, \$5.5 million has been appropriated for the center. These funds are subject to authorization, which can be provided through the passage of the bill that is before us.

Madam Speaker, I yield such time as he may consume to the gentleman from New Mexico [Mr. REDMOND].

(Mr. REDMOND asked and was given permission to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. REDMOND. Madam Speaker, I thank the gentleman from Wisconsin [Mr. PETRI] for yielding me time to speak in support of Senate bill 1417, the Hispanic Cultural Center Act.

Madam Speaker, 1998 will mark the 400th anniversary of the establishment of the Hispanic community in New Mexico. The anniversary represents a perfect time to pay tribute to the Spanish people of New Mexico, the Southwest, and the United States.

The State of New Mexico has invested over \$17.7 million toward the establishment of phase 1 of the New Mexico Hispanic Cultural Center. In addition, the city of Albuquerque has donated 10.9 acres and a historic 22,000-square-foot building. Twelve acres of bosque land near the Rio Grande have also been donated by the Middle Grande Conservancy District. Private contributors are also helping to meet the Hispanic Cultural Center goals.

This bill authorizes funding to match the New Mexico contribution. This authorization is to build a critical Hispanic performing arts center at an estimated cost of \$17.8 million.

□ 1345

This multifaceted Hispanic Cultural Center is designed to showcase, share,

archive, preserve, and enhance the rich Hispanic culture for local, regional, and national audiences. The Hispanic Cultural Center will be an Hispanic arts and humanities showcase to display the works of national and international artists and to provide for a venue of educators, scholars, artists, children, elders, and the general public.

Once built, the Hispanic Cultural Center will employ over 100 people. A whole new industry of preserving, showcasing, and enhancing the pride in Hispanic cultural roots is vital for New Mexico and for Hispanic culture.

I would like to note that New Mexico is indebted to the gentleman from New Mexico [Mr. STEVE SCHIFF], my colleague from the First District. I believe that authorizing the Federal funding for the Hispanic Performing Arts Center will be a significant step towards building a national treasure in its critical, formative stages.

I urge my colleagues to support the funding for the Hispanic Performing Arts Center in Albuquerque, NM, in honor of the 40th anniversary of Spanish culture, and in hopes of seeing the preservation and enhancement of this culture flourish to its 50th year, I urge my colleagues to pass the Senate bill, S. 1417.

Mr. MARTINEZ. Madam Speaker, I rise in support of S. 1417, an act to authorize funding for the Hispanic Performing Arts Center in Albuquerque, New Mexico. This appropriation, as the gentleman who just spoke has said, will match the \$17.8 million the State of New Mexico has appropriated for the project.

The construction of the center is being undertaken in preparation for the 40th anniversary of Spanish presence in New Mexico. The Hispanic Cultural Center, of which the Performing Arts Center is part, is designed to showcase, share, archive, preserve, and enhance the rich Hispanic culture for local, regional, and national audiences.

I understand this measure has bipartisan support, both here and in the Senate. I urge support for this important cultural initiative.

Madam Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. PETRI. Madam Speaker, I have no further requests for time, and I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Michigan [Mr. PETRI] that the House suspend the rules and pass the Senate bill, S. 1417.

The question was taken; and (two-thirds having voted in favor thereof) the rules were suspended and the Senate bill was passed.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

AMENDING FEDERAL CHARTER FOR GROUP HOSPITALIZATION AND MEDICAL SERVICES, INC.

Mr. DAVIS of Virginia. Madam Speaker, I move to suspend the rules

and pass the bill (H.R. 3025) to amend the Federal charter for Group Hospitalization and Medical Services, Inc., and for other purposes.

The Clerk read as follows:

H.R. 3025

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. CHARTER FOR GROUP HOSPITALIZATION AND MEDICAL SERVICES, INC.

The Act entitled "An Act providing for the incorporation of certain persons as Group Hospitalization and Medical Services, Inc.", approved August 11, 1939 (53 Stat. 1412), is amended—

(1) by inserting after section 9 the following new section:

"SEC. 10. The corporation may have 1 class of members, consisting of at least 1 member and not more than 30 members, as determined appropriate by the board of trustees. The bylaws for the corporation shall prescribe the designation of such class as well as the rights, privileges and qualifications of such class, which may include, but shall not be limited to—

"(1) the manner of election, appointment or removal of a member of the corporation;

"(2) matters on which a member of the corporation has the right to vote; and

"(3) meeting, notice, quorum, voting and proxy requirements and procedures.

If a member of the corporation is a corporation, such member shall be a nonprofit corporation.";

(2) by redesignating section 10 as section 11; and

(3) by adding at the end of section 11 (as so redesignated) the following: "The corporation may not be dissolved without approval by Congress.";

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mrs. EMERSON). Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from Virginia, Mr. DAVIS and the gentlewoman from the District of Columbia, Ms. ELEANOR HOLMES NORTON, will each control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Virginia [Mr. DAVIS].

Mr. DAVIS of Virginia. Madam Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

(Mr. DAVIS of Virginia asked and was given permission to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. DAVIS of Virginia. Madam Speaker, this bill amends the Federal Charter of GHSMI, the Blue Cross/Blue Shield Plan of the National Capital Area. This bill is necessary in order to enable a letter of intent between the parties to combine to be subject to regulatory approval in Maryland and the District of Columbia.

GHSMI will continue to be subject to the District's Nonprofit Corporation Act and is under the jurisdiction of the insurance superintendent. GHSMI will continue to be bound by its existing certificates of authority and licenses and will continue to be bound by applicable laws and regulations.

H.R. 497, which passed this House in February, would have repealed the Federal charter. This bill reflects concerns which were subsequently raised. All other Blue Cross plans in the country are State-chartered corporations operating under State regulatory oversight. Due to a 1939 pre-Home Rule statute,

GHMSI alone needs congressional approval to change its corporate structure.

Madam Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Ms. NORTON. Madam Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

(Ms. NORTON asked and was given permission to revise and extend her remarks.)

Ms. NORTON. Madam Speaker, I rise in support of H.R. 3025, a bill which simply adds a new section to the Federal charter of Group Hospitalization and Medical Services, Inc., the organization licensed to operate as Blue Cross and Blue Shield of the National Capital Area, to permit it to enter into a business combination with Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Maryland.

This new arrangement is designed to improve both companies' service delivery and to reduce their operating costs. By combining operations, the two hospital plans will be able to offer their enrollees a larger provider network offering greater portability and broader product options. In addition, economies of scale should lead to more affordable premiums.

Should the combination go forward, a new nonprofit holding company would be established, and the two Blue Cross plans would become its subsidiaries. H.R. 3025 would give D.C. Blue the requisite legal and corporate authority to have one class of members whose rights and privileges would be set out in the plan's bylaws. Only one member will be authorized, which would be the holding company.

I wish to emphasize that H.R. 3025 does not create or mandate the plans' combination. That arrangement would first have to be approved by the District of Columbia and Maryland insurance commissioners before taking effect.

Madam Speaker, I can support H.R. 3025 because of ironclad safeguards. No conversion of tax-exempt assets will be allowed under the language of this bill. As I speak, the District and Maryland both have been holding hearings on this affiliation. There have been 4 days of hearings by the D.C. insurance commissioner.

There are three safeguards that are most important to my support.

One, for a substantial change to occur, there must be an 80 percent vote. This assures that the District of Columbia will not be overwhelmed by the larger Maryland company. This House is aware that in the District we are jealous in guarding our jurisdictional rights. The 80 percent vote is very appropriate in that regard.

Secondly, no conversion can take place without review and approval by the respective insurance commissioners. They, of course, would have every reason not to want to see the tax-exempt assets squandered, and therefore to guard against that on their own accord.

Third and perhaps most important, any conversion could have to come be-

fore this body before it could be approved.

Madam Speaker, I support this bill with these safeguards, because I want this corporation to live. I am not sure that it will do so without this combination. As recently as 1993, Blue Cross of Washington was almost out of business. The competitive landscape does not make it easy for a health care provider to remain in business.

What Blue Cross/Blue Shield is up against in this jurisdiction, for example, are combinations between Humana and Kaiser, Aetna's acquisition of U.S. Health, and to name just one more, United Health Care has bought Chesapeake Health Plan. In the face of these combinations, there is every reason for Blue Cross, which has had very severe problems, to want to consolidate to get efficiencies of scale, such as one computer center, as it begins to rebuild its computer operation, for example.

Ironically, the best shot at keeping this a nonprofit company is to allow this combination. That is why I can support it. The D.C. "Blue" can make no change in its nature, purpose, or structure without the Congress taking further action on its charter, and, again, I emphasize that.

I want to say how much I appreciate the concern of other Members who have had experiences with such combinations that have not been at all productive. Their experience and their advice have been instructive and helpful.

Congressional action on this legislation must be taken before adjournment for the year, because the agreement between the plans to pursue the combination expires at the end of next month.

Madam Speaker, I strongly support H.R. 3025 because I believe that the proposed combination between the District and Maryland Blue Cross plans will benefit the people I represent. I am pleased to point out that the bill also enjoys the support of other Members in this region whose constituents will be benefited as well. All of us are confident that our local regulators will ensure that the public interest is well protected, should they approve this combination. I ask that Members give H.R. 3025 their support.

Madam Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from Maryland [Mr. CARDIN].

Mr. CARDIN. Madam Speaker, let me thank my friend, the gentlewoman from the District of Columbia, for yielding me this time, and join the gentlewoman from the District of Columbia [Ms. NORTON] and the gentleman from Virginia [Mr. DAVIS] in support of H.R. 3025. I think it is important to point out that this bill will not repeal the Federal charter for the D.C. Blue Cross/Blue Shield plan. It amends the charter. It makes it possible for the merger to take place. It does not mandate anything to occur.

The bill makes it clear that the benevolent and charitable status of the D.C. Blue Cross plan remains in place. As the gentlewoman from the District

of Columbia [Ms. NORTON] has pointed out, by passing this bill, we ensure that the D.C. Blue Cross plan will remain a benevolent and charitable organization.

The bill allows the local regulators, and that is where the venue should be, to debate the issues of the merger. As to whether it should take place and what conditions it should be ordered to comply with, it is the local regulators who should make that judgment, not the Congress of the United States.

This bill makes it clear that the merger can move forward, but it is subject to the normal regulatory process. I think H.R. 3025 is the appropriate action for us to take. I applaud my colleagues for bringing it to the floor. I hope we can act on it today so it can be enacted before Congress adjourns for the year.

Mr. CUMMINGS. Mr. Speaker, the proposal that we are considering today will help bring improved services and benefits to the many Blue Cross/Blue Shield subscribers in my district in Baltimore and to many of the constituents of representatives from suburban Maryland, Northern Virginia, and Washington, D.C.

I commend the gentleman from Virginia and the gentle lady from the District of Columbia for their leadership in this area.

A merger between the National Capital Area Blue Cross/Blue Shield and Maryland Blue Cross/Blue Shield will create a 3 billion-a-year nonprofit company—providing health care coverage to 25 percent of the 8 million residents of Maryland, the District, and the Northern Virginia suburbs and employ 5,000 people.

Just as importantly, my constituents in Baltimore that are enrolled in the Blue Cross/Blue Shield plan will receive tangible results from the merger. It will increase competition, which will result in better service, more options and access to a larger number of doctors, hospitals and pharmacies at a lower cost for its customers.

The passage of this bill is essential to giving my constituents in Baltimore, and the constituents of the members of Maryland, Virginia, and Washington, D.C. the type of comprehensive, quality health care they deserve.

I am glad to know that we in Congress are doing all that we can to give health care providers greater flexibility to meet our constituents health care needs.

Again, I congratulate the gentleman from Virginia [Mr. DAVIS] for introducing this meaningful legislation and for working with the minority in such a bipartisan fashion.

Mr. CARDIN. Madam Speaker, I have no further requests for time, and I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. DAVIS of Virginia. Madam Speaker, I have no further requests for time, and I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Virginia [Mr. DAVIS] that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, H.R. 3025.

The question was taken; and (two-thirds having voted in favor thereof) the rules were suspended and the bill was passed.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. DAVIS of Virginia. Madam Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days within which to revise and extend their remarks on the matter just considered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Virginia?

There was no objection.

EXPRESSING SENSE OF HOUSE CONCERNING NEED FOR INTERNATIONAL CRIMINAL TRIBUNAL TO TRY MEMBERS OF IRAQI REGIME

Mr. GILMAN. Madam Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and agree to the concurrent resolution (H. Con. Res. 137) expressing the sense of the House of Representatives concerning the urgent need for an international criminal tribunal to try members of the Iraqi regime for crimes against humanity.

The Clerk read as follows:

H. CON. RES. 137

Whereas the regime of Saddam Hussein has perpetrated a litany of human rights abuses against the citizens of Iraq and other peoples of the region, including summary and arbitrary executions, torture, cruel and inhumane treatment, arbitrary arrest and imprisonment, disappearances and the repression of freedom of speech, thought, expression, assembly and association;

Whereas Saddam Hussein and his associates have systematically attempted to destroy the Kurdish population in Iraq through the use of chemical weapons against civilian Kurds, the Anfal campaigns of 1987-1988 that resulted in the disappearance of more than 182,000 persons and the destruction of more than 4,000 villages, the placement of more than ten million landmines in Iraqi Kurdistan, and the continued ethnic cleansing of the city of Kirkuk;

Whereas the Iraqi Government, under Saddam Hussein's leadership, has repressed the Sunni tribes in western Iraq, destroyed Assyro-Chaldean churches and villages, deported and executed Turkomen, massacred Shi-ites, and destroyed the ancient Marsh Arab civilization through a massive act of ecocide;

Whereas the status of more than six hundred Kuwaitis who were taken prisoner during the Gulf War remain unknown and the whereabouts of these persons are unaccounted for by the Iraqi Government, Kuwait continues to be plagued by unexploded landmines six years after the end of the Gulf War, and the destruction of Kuwait by departing Iraqi troops has yet to be redressed by the Iraqi Government;

Whereas the Republic of Iraq is a signatory to the Universal Declaration on Human Rights, the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, the Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide and other human rights instruments, and the Geneva Convention on the Treatment of Prisoners of War of August 12, 1949, and is obligated to comply with these international agreements;

Whereas Saddam Hussein and his regime have created an environment of terror and fear within Iraq and throughout the region through a concerted policy of violations of international customary and conventional law; and

Whereas the Congress is deeply disturbed by the continuing gross violations of human

rights by the Iraqi Government under the direction and control of Saddam Hussein: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved by the House of Representatives (the Senate concurring), That it is the sense of the House of Representatives that—

(1) the Congress—

(A) deplores the Iraqi Government's pattern of gross violation of human rights which has resulted in a pervasive system of repression, sustained by the widespread use of terror and intimidation;

(B) condemns the Iraqi Government's repeated use of force and weapons of mass destruction against its own citizens, as well as neighboring states;

(C) denounces the refusal of the Iraqi Government to comply with international human rights instruments to which it is a party and cooperate with international monitoring bodies and compliance mechanisms, including accounting of missing Kuwaiti prisoners; and

(2) the President and the Secretary of State should—

(A) endorse the formation of an international criminal tribunal for the purpose of prosecuting Saddam Hussein and all other Iraqi officials who are responsible for crimes against humanity, including unlawful use of force, crimes against the peace, crimes committed in contravention of the Geneva Convention on POW's and the crime of genocide; and

(B) work actively and urgently within the international community for the adoption of a United Nations Security Council resolution establishing an International Criminal Court for Iraq.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from New York [Mr. GILMAN] and the gentleman from Florida [Mr. HASTINGS] each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from New York [Mr. GILMAN].

Mr. GILMAN. Madam Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

(Mr. GILMAN asked and was given permission to revise and extend his remarks.)

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. GILMAN. Madam Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days within which to revise and extend their remarks on this measure.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from New York?

There was no objection.

□ 1400

Madam Speaker, the resolution before us today, House Concurrent Resolution 137, which I introduced, along with our colleague the gentleman from Illinois [Mr. PORTER], cochairman of the Human Rights Caucus, expresses a sense of the House concerning urgent need for an international war crimes tribunal to try Saddam Hussein and members of his Iraqi regime for crimes against humanity.

I want to thank the gentleman from Illinois [Mr. PORTER] for his leadership on this important issue. The critical need for this measure is highlighted by the events taking place just as we speak. House Concurrent Resolution 137 notes that dictator Saddam Hussein

has perpetrated a litany of human rights abuses against the citizens of Iraq, including arbitrary executions, torture, cruel and inhumane treatment, arbitrary arrest and imprisonment, and disappearances.

Saddam Hussein has attempted to destroy the Kurdish population in Iraq through the use of chemical weapons. He has repressed Sunni tribes in western Iraq, destroyed Assyro-Chaldean churches and villages, executed Turkomen, and massacred Shiites. Saddam Hussein has also continued to commit ecocide against the ancient Marsh Arab civilization.

Saddam Hussein's brutality is not limited only to his fellow Iraqis. We recall the dark days of the Gulf War, which witnessed Saddam's holding Kuwait and its innocent citizens hostage for so many months. The whereabouts of more than 600 Kuwaitis who were taken prisoner during the Gulf War still remains unknown and unaccounted for by the Iraqi Government.

House Concurrent Resolution 137, therefore, expresses a sense of Congress deploring the Iraqi Government's pattern of gross violations of human rights and denounces Saddam's refusal to comply with international human rights documents to which Iraqi is signatory. This bill also endorses the creation of an international criminal tribunal to prosecute Saddam Hussein and his henchmen and urges the President and Secretary of State to work actively toward the adoption of a United Nations Security Council resolution establishing an international criminal court for Iraq.

Accordingly, Mr. Speaker, I urge our colleagues' strong support for the adoption of House Concurrent Resolution 137.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. HASTINGS of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I commend the Chair and the gentleman from Illinois [Mr. PORTER] for their efforts on this timely resolution. And I know that I speak for my colleagues, particularly the ranking member, the gentleman from Indiana [Mr. HAMILTON], in indicating our feelings with reference to this particular resolution.

We do not oppose this resolution. I join the chairman at this time in condemning Iraq's gross violation of human rights. Those who commit such crimes should be brought before an international criminal court, as this resolution correctly states. I do question, however, and several of us do, whether this resolution is likely to have much impact.

The resolution calls for an international court to bring Saddam Hussein to justice. But this resolution does not tell us how we get from here to there. The chief concern that I wish to express is that this resolution will raise expectations, especially in Kuwait, that such an international court