Scott	Stenholm	Turner
Serrano	Stokes	Velazquez
Sherman	Strickland	Vento
Sisisky	Stupak	Visclosky
Skaggs	Tanner	Waters
Skelton	Tauscher	Watt (NC)
Slaughter	Taylor (MS)	Waxman
Smith, Adam	Thompson	Wexler
Snyder	Thurman	Weygand
Spratt	Tierney	Wise
Stabenow	Torres	Woolsey
Stark	Towns	Wynn

#### ANSWERED "PRESENT"-2

Sanchez Wamp

### NOT VOTING-24

Goodling Blumenauer Neumann Borski Holden Riley Ros-Lehtinen Clayton Klug Lantos Cubin Sanders Flake Manton Schiff Taylor (NC) Walsh Frank (MA) McDermott Gillmor McIntosh Gonzalez Yates

#### □ 1813

Mr. CRAPO changed his vote from "no" to "aye."

So the motion to table was agreed to. The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

#### FAST TRACK

(Mr. PASCRELL asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. PASCRELL. Mr. Speaker, I have in my left hand a document which is the bill we will be voting on tomorrow. It is called the reciprocal trade agreement, not unlike the reciprocal trade agreement in the early 1930's. The only problem is that our trade policies have not been reciprocal in particularly the last 3 years.

NAFTA has caused job losses in the hundreds of thousands for the last 34 months. In our area in north Jersey, approximately 15,000 jobs have been lost since NAFTA's inception. And in Mexico and Canada, it is graphic evidence that NAFTA is not working over the long haul. We have tripled, we have quadrupled the imbalance in trade with Mexico, 2½ times the imbalance in trade with Canada. This is not a record of accomplishment but rather of failure. Please vote "no" on fast track.

Mr. Speaker, today I rise to discuss a matter of great importance to my district and to the Nation as a whole—the issue of the renewal of fast-track trade negotiating authority.

As the debate moves to a close, and as supporters and detractors of the measure voice their positions, I rise today for the purpose of clarification. And to share the conclusions that I have come to regarding this important issue.

The measure seeks to extend fast-track authority for 4 years. As such, it sets our national trade policy as we approach—and then enter—the 21st century.

No one doubts the fact that we live in a global economy—and that nation's are more interconnected then ever before. No one doubts that if we are to retain our preeminent position in the world—we must lead from strength—both economically and morally.

And for me, global leadership in the arena of international trade means that fair trade should not be subordinated to the notion of free trade.

We must trade with other nations on equal footing—and not sacrifice American jobs to those earning a lower wage—particularly when that nation has not yet achieved our level of social, economic, and environmental development.

The bill that I am holding—the Reciprocal Trade Agreement Authorities Act of 1997—commonly referred to as fast track—states very clearly its objectives and scope.

Section 102(b)7(B) of the bill states that:

The principle negotiating objectives of the United States is to ensure that foreign governments do not derogate from or waive existing domestic environmental, health, safety, or labor measures . . . as an encouragement to gain competitive advantage in international trade.

The key word in this section is "existing." No country that fast track is designed to facilitate trade with, has adequate existing environmental and labor structures. Nothing in the legislation before us enables the United States to negotiate for higher standards. That is unacceptable and workers, business owners, and consumers in the United States have paid the price for this disparity in standards.

And, just as importantly, the fast-tract authority that past Presidents have had—including President's Bush and Reagan—allowed them to negotiate weak side agreements for labor and the environment; this measure does not even allow that.

Basically, we are throwing up our hands and saying let those with whom we trade improve on their own—and in their own time.

We are saying: Let them pay their workers a bowl of rice a day, let them not give their workers the right to organize, let their factories dump sewage into the rivers, let them pollute the air, let them ship tainted food across our borders to be consumed at dinner tables across the country, and on and on and on.

And make no mistake about it—this debate is not about labor versus business or Republican versus Democrat—this debate is about jobs. Its about the environment and environmental degradation. Its about consumer safety in areas like imported food. Its about the viability of small businesses who struggle to be competitive. And finally its about consumers who today are paying more now than ever before for imported apparel at the clothing store.

The proponents of fast track argue that the administration deserves this ability based on what they perceive as a successful NAFTA policy. They point to the creation of 311,000 new jobs.

I take exception to this figure and cite an alternative one from the Economic Policy Institute which states that 600,000 jobs have been lost during NAFTA's first 34 months.

In northern New Jersey alone, statistics show that approximately 15,000 jobs have been lost since 1993. Many companies in my district specifically point to NAFTA as the proximate cause of their reduction in business. In fact, the small businesses who have contacted me have had to cut jobs—and have not created a single new one since 1993.

Trade policy needs to be inclusive regarding these important elements, not exclusive. Labor and environmental provisions need to be in the core agreement. If we do not lead from the

high ground we will relinquish all that we have accomplished in our long progress to achieving the society that we now live in.

The argument that this fast-track legislation represents forward progress rings hollow to my ears and to many of my colleagues. I urge my colleagues to vote "no" on this flawed measure.

### SENATE BILLS REFERRED

Bills of the Senate of the following titles were taken form the Speaker's table and, under the rule, referred as follows:

S. 170. An act to provide for a process to authorize the use of clone pagers, and for other purposes; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

S. 1079. An act to permit the mineral leasing of Indian land located within the Fort Berthold Indian Reservation in any case in which there is consent from a majority interest in the parcel of land under consideration for lease; to the Committee on Resources.

S. 1455. An act to provide financial assistance for the relocation and expansion of Haffenreffer Museum of Anthropology, Providence, Rhode Island; to the Committee on Resources.

S. 1456. An act to authorize an interpretive center at Fort Peek Dam, Montana; to the Committee on Resources.

#### ENROLLED BILLS SIGNED

Mr. THOMAS, from the Committee on House Oversight, reported that that committee had examined and found truly enrolled a bill of the House of the following title, which was thereupon signed by the Speaker:

H.R. 2264. An act making appropriations for the Departments of Labor, Health and Human Services, and Education, and related agencies for the fiscal year ending September 30, 1998, and for other purposes.

# SENATE ENROLLED BILL SIGNED

The SPEAKER announced his signature to an enrolled bill of the Senate of the following title:

S. 858. An act to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 1998 for intelligence and intelligence-related activities of the United States Government, the Community Management Account, and the Central Intelligence Agency Retirement and Disability System, and for other purposes.

### ADJOURNMENT

Mr. ARMEY. Mr. Speaker, I move that the House do now adjourn.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion to adjourn offered by the gentleman from Texas [Mr. ARMEY].

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Mr. DOGGETT. Mr. Speaker, on that, I demand the yeas and nays.

The yeas and nays were ordered.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 233, nays 170, not voting 30, as follows:

# CONGRESSIONAL RECORD—HOUSE

# H10411

	[Roll No. 621]		Pastor Paul	Royce Ryun	Stump Sununu	Maloney (CT) Maloney (NY)	Pascrell Payne	Snyder Spratt	
	YEAS—233		Paxon	Salmon	Talent	Markey	Pelosi	Stabenow	
Abercrombie	Deal	Hyde	Pease	Sanford	Tauzin	Martinez	Peterson (MN)	Stark	
Aderholt	DeLay	Inglis	Peterson (PA)	Saxton	Taylor (MS)	Mascara	Pomeroy	Stenholm	
Archer	Diaz-Balart	Istook	Petri	Scarborough	Thomas	Matsui	Poshard	Stokes	
Armey	Dickey	Jenkins	Pickering	Schaefer, Dan	Thornberry	McCarthy (MO)	Price (NC)	Strickland	
Bachus	Doolittle	John	Pickett	Sensenbrenner	Thune	McGovern	Rangel	Stupak	
Baker	Dreier	Johnson, Sam	Pitts	Sessions	Tiahrt	McHale	Reyes	Tanner	
Ballenger	Duncan	Jones	Pombo	Shadegg	Towns	McIntyre	Rivers	Tauscher	
Barr	Dunn	Kanjorski	Porter	Shaw	Traficant	McKinney	Rodriguez	Thompson	
Barrett (NE)	Ehlers	Kasich	Portman	Shays	Upton	McNulty	Roemer	Thurman	
Barrett (WI)	Ehrlich	Kelly	Pryce (OH)	Shimkus	Watkins	Meehan	Rothman	Tierney	
Bartlett	Emerson	Kim	Quinn	Shuster	Watts (OK)	Menendez	Roybal-Allard	Torres	
Barton	English	King (NY)	Radanovich	Skeen	Weldon (FL)	Millender-	Rush	Turner	
Bass	Ensign	Kingston	Rahall	Smith (NJ)	Weldon (PA)	McDonald	Sabo	Velazquez	
Bateman	Everett	Klink	Ramstad	Smith (OR)	Weller	Miller (CA)	Sanchez	Vento	
Bereuter	Ewing	Knollenberg	Redmond	Smith (TX)	White	Minge	Sandlin	Visclosky	
Berman	Fawell	Kolbe	Regula	Smith, Linda	Whitfield	Mink	Sawyer	Wamp	
Bilirakis	Foley	LaFalce	Riggs	Snowbarger	Wicker	Moakley	Schaffer, Bob	Waters	
Bliley	Forbes	LaHood	Rogan	Solomon	Wolf	Mollohan	Schumer	Watt (NC)	
Blunt	Fossella	Largent	Rogers	Souder	Young (AK)	Moran (VA)	Scott	Wexler	
Boehlert	Fowler	Latham	Rohrabacher	Spence	Young (FL)	Nadler	Serrano	Weygand	
Boehner	Fox	LaTourette	Roukema	Stearns		Neal	Sherman	Wise	
Bonilla	Franks (NJ)	Lazio				Oberstar	Sisisky	Woolsey	
Bono	Frelinghuysen	Leach		NAYS-170		Obey	Skaggs	Wynn	
Boucher	Gallegly	Lewis (CA)				Olver	Slaughter		
Brady	Gekas	Lewis (KY)	Ackerman	DeFazio	Hall (TX)	Pallone	Smith, Adam		
Bryant	Gibbons	Linder	Allen	DeGette	Hastings (FL)		NOT VOTING—	30	
Bunning	Gilchrest	Livingston	Andrews	Delahunt	Hefner				
Burr	Gilman	LoBiondo	Baesler	DeLauro	Hilliard	Blumenauer	Harman	Riley	
Burton	Goodlatte	Lucas	Baldacci	Dellums	Hinojosa	Borski	Holden	Ros-Lehtinen	
Buyer	Goodling	Manzullo	Barcia	Deutsch	Hooley	Clayton	Kleczka	Sanders	
Callahan	Goss	McCollum	Becerra	Dicks	Hoyer	Cubin	Klug	Schiff	
Calvert	Graham	McCrery	Bentsen	Dingell	Jackson (IL)	Flake	Manton	Skelton	
Camp	Granger	McDade	Berry	Dixon	Jackson-Lee	Frank (MA)	McCarthy (NY)	Smith (MI)	
Campbell Canady	Greenwood Gutknecht	McHugh McInnis	Bilbray Bishop	Doggett Dooley	(TX) Jefferson	Ganske	McDermott	Taylor (NC)	
Cannon	Hall (OH)	McKeon	Blagojevich	Doyle	Johnson (CT)	Gillmor	McIntosh	Walsh	
Castle	Hamilton	Meek	Bonior	Edwards	Johnson (WI)	Gonzalez	Myrick	Waxman	
Chabot	Hansen	Metcalf	Boswell	Engel	Johnson, E. B.	Gutierrez	Neumann	Yates	
Chambliss	Hastert	Mica	Boyd	Eshoo	Kaptur				
Chenoweth	Hastings (WA)	Miller (FL)	Brown (CA)	Etheridge	Kennedy (MA)		□ 1831		
Christensen	Hayworth	Moran (KS)	Brown (FL)	Evans	Kennedy (RI)				
Coble	Hefley	Morella	Brown (OH)	Farr	Kennelly	Mr. MING	EE changed h	is vote from	
Coburn	Herger	Murtha	Cardin	Fattah	Kildee	"yea" to "nay."			
Collins	Hill	Nethercutt	Carson	Fazio	Kilpatrick				
Combest	Hilleary	Ney	Clay	Filner	Kind (WI)	So the mo	tion to adjou	rn was agreed	
Conyers	Hinchey	Northup	Clement	Foglietta	Kucinich	to.			
Cook	Hobson	Norwood	Clyburn	Ford	Lampson	The result	of the vote w	as announced	
Cooksey	Hoekstra	Nussle	Condit	Frost	Lantos			as announced	
Cox	Horn	Ortiz	Costello	Furse	Levin	as above rec			
Crane	Hostettler	Owens	Coyne	Gejdenson	Lewis (GA)	According	ly (at 6 o'cloc	k and 33 min-	
Crapo	Houghton	Oxley	Cramer	Gephardt	Lipinski	utes n.m.) II	nder its previ	ous order, the	
Cunningham	Hulshof	Packard	Cummings	Goode	Lofgren				
Davis (FL)									
	Hunter	Pappas	Danner	Gordon	Lowey	9		morrow, Sun-	
Davis (VA)	Hunter Hutchinson	Pappas Parker	Danner Davis (IL)	Gordon Green	Lowey Luther	9	oer 9, 1997, at 2		

# EXPENDITURE REPORTS CONCERNING OFFICIAL FOREIGN TRAVEL

Reports and amended reports concerning the foreign currencies and U.S. dollars utilized for official foreign travel during the 4th quarter of 1996, and the 1st, 2nd and 3rd quarters of 1997, by various committees, U.S. House of Representatives, pursuant to Public Law 95–384, as well as a consolidated report of foreign currencies and U.S. dollars utilized for Speakerauthorized official foreign travel in the 3rd quarter of 1997, are as follows:

AMENDED REPORT OF EXPENDITURES FOR OFFICIAL FOREIGN TRAVEL, COMMITTEE ON NATIONAL SECURITY, HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES, EXPENDED BETWEEN OCT. 1 AND DEC. 31,

	Date			Per diem <sup>1</sup>		Transportation		Other purposes		Total	
Name of Member or employee	Arrival	Departure	Country	Foreign cur- rency	U.S. dollar equivalent or U.S. cur- rency <sup>2</sup>	Foreign cur- rency	U.S. dollar equivalent or U.S. cur- rency <sup>2</sup>	Foreign cur- rency	U.S. dollar equivalent or U.S. cur- rency <sup>2</sup>	Foreign cur- rency	U.S. dollar equivalent or U.S. cur- rency <sup>2</sup>
Visit to Korea and Japan, December 13–19, 1996: Delegation expenses	12/16	12/19	Japan						455.07		455.07
Committee total									455.07		455.07

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Per diem constitutes lodging and meals. <sup>2</sup>If foreign currency is used, enter U.S. dollar equivalent; if U.S. currency is used, enter amount expended.