

Cramer	Houghton	Owens	Tiahrt	Wamp	White
Crane	Hoyer	Oxley	Tierney	Waters	Whitfield
Crapo	Hulshof	Packard	Torres	Watkins	Wicker
Cubin	Hunter	Pallone	Towns	Watt (NC)	Wise
Cummings	Hutchinson	Pappas	Trafficant	Watts (OK)	Wolf
Cunningham	Inglis	Parker	Turner	Waxman	Woolsey
Danner	Istook	Pascrell	Upton	Weldon (FL)	Wynn
Davis (FL)	Jackson (IL)	Pastor	Velazquez	Weldon (PA)	Yates
Davis (IL)	Jackson-Lee	Paul	Vento	Weller	Young (AK)
Davis (VA)	(TX)	Paxon	Visclosky	Wexler	
Deal	Jefferson	Payne	Walsh	Weygand	
DeFazio	Jenkins	Pease			
DeGette	Johnson (WI)	Pelosi			
Delahunt	Johnson, E.B.	Peterson (MN)	Baker	Gallegly	Nethercutt
DeLauro	Johnson, Sam	Peterson (PA)	Barton	Hyde	Ortiz
DeLay	Jones	Petri	Becerra	John	Price (NC)
Dellums	Kanjorski	Pickering	Berman	Johnson (CT)	Ros-Lehtinen
Deutsch	Kaptur	Pickett	Blumenauer	Kingston	Roukema
Diaz-Balart	Kasich	Pitts	Boucher	Klug	Ryun
Dickey	Kelly	Pombo	Brown (CA)	Largent	Sanchez
Dingell	Kennedy (MA)	Pomeroy	Callahan	Manton	Schaefer, Dan
Dixon	Kennedy (RI)	Porter	Clay	McCarthy (MO)	Smith (TX)
Doggett	Kennelly	Portman	Clayton	McCrery	Smith, Adam
Dooley	Kildee	Poshard	Dicks	McHugh	Young (FL)
Doolittle	Kilpatrick	Pryce (OH)	Etheridge	McIntyre	
Doyle	Kim	Quinn	Everett	Meehan	
Dreier	Kind (WI)	Radanovich			
Duncan	King (NY)	Rahall			
Dunn	Klecza	Ramstad			
Edwards	Klink	Rangel			
Ehlers	Knollenberg	Regula			
Ehrlich	Kolbe	Reyes			
Emerson	Kucinich	Riggs			
Engel	LaFalce	Riley			
English	LaHood	Rivers			
Ensign	Lampson	Roemer			
Eshoo	Lantos	Rogan			
Evans	Latham	Rogers			
Ewing	LaTourette	Rohrabacher			
Farr	Lazio	Rothman			
Fattah	Leach	Roybal-Allard			
Fawell	Levin	Royce			
Fazio	Lewis (CA)	Rush			
Filner	Lewis (GA)	Sabo			
Flake	Lewis (KY)	Salmon			
Foglietta	Linder	Sanders			
Foley	Lipinski	Sandlin			
Forbes	Livingston	Sanford			
Ford	LoBiondo	Sawyer			
Fowler	Lofgren	Saxton			
Fox	Lowey	Scarborough			
Frank (MA)	Lucas	Schaffer, Bob			
Franks (NJ)	Luther	Schiff			
Frelinghuysen	Maloney (CT)	Schumer			
Frost	Maloney (NY)	Scott			
Furse	Manzullo	Sensenbrenner			
Ganske	Markey	Serrano			
Gejdenson	Martinez	Sessions			
Gekas	Mascara	Shadegg			
Gephardt	Matsui	Shaw			
Gibbons	McCarthy (NY)	Shays			
Gilchrest	McCollum	Sherman			
Gillmor	McDade	Shimkus			
Gilman	McDermott	Shuster			
Gonzalez	McGovern	Sisisky			
Goode	McHale	Skaggs			
Goodlatte	McInnis	Skeen			
Goodling	McIntosh	Skelton			
Gordon	McKeon	Slaughter			
Goss	McKinney	Smith (MI)			
Graham	McNulty	Smith (NJ)			
Granger	Meek	Smith (OR)			
Green	Menendez	Smith, Linda			
Greenwood	Metcalf	Snowbarger			
Gutierrez	Mica	Snyder			
Gutknecht	Millender-	Solomon			
Hall (OH)	McDonald	Souder			
Hall (TX)	Miller (CA)	Spence			
Hamilton	Miller (FL)	Spratt			
Hansen	Minge	Stabenow			
Harman	Mink	Stark			
Hastert	Moakley	Stearns			
Hastings (FL)	Molinar	Stenholm			
Hastings (WA)	Mollohan	Stokes			
Hayworth	Moran (KS)	Strickland			
Hefley	Moran (VA)	Stump			
Hefner	Morella	Stupak			
Heger	Murtha	Sununu			
Hill	Myrick	Talent			
Hilleary	Nadler	Tanner			
Hilliard	Neal	Tauscher			
Hinchey	Neumann	Tauzin			
Hinojosa	Ney	Taylor (MS)			
Hobson	Northup	Taylor (NC)			
Hoekstra	Norwood	Thomas			
Holden	Nussle	Thompson			
Hooley	Oberstar	Thornberry			
Horn	Obey	Thune			
Hostettler	Olver	Thurman			

NOT VOTING—37

□ 1743

So the bill was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Ms. SANCHEZ. Mr. Speaker, please let the RECORD show that had I been present I would have voted "aye" on rollcall No. 50.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. MANZULLO. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all members may have 5 legislative days in which to revise and extend their remarks on the legislation just passed.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. THORNBERRY). Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Illinois?

There was no objection.

LEGISLATIVE PROGRAM

(Mr. BONIOR asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. BONIOR. Mr. Speaker, I rise to engage in a colloquy with my friend from New York [Mr. SOLOMON] about the schedule for the remainder of the week and for next week.

Mr. SOLOMON. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. BONIOR. I yield to my friend from New York.

Mr. SOLOMON. My good friend, the minority whip, I would say to him, Mr. Speaker, that we are pleased to announce the House has completed its work for the week and there will be no more votes today or for the rest of the week.

The House will next meet at 2 p.m. on the infamous day of Monday, March 17; I think some others than the Scotch that I am would refer to that as St. Patrick's Day; for a pro forma session. Of course there will be no legislative business and no votes on that day.

On Tuesday, March 18, we will meet at 12:30 p.m. for morning hour and 2 p.m. for legislative business. Members

should note that any recorded votes will be postponed until 5 p.m. on Tuesday, March 18.

Mr. Speaker, on Tuesday we hope to consider the following five bills under suspension of the rules. They are:

H.R. 924, the Victim Allocation Clarification Act of 1997; H.R. 927, the U.S. Marshals Improvement Act; H.R. 672, a bill containing technical amendments to copyright laws; H.R. 908, a bill to establish a commission on structural alternatives for the Federal Court of Appeals, and H.R. 514, a bill to permit the waiver of D.C. residency requirements for certain employees of the office of the D.C. Inspector General.

Also on Tuesday, March 18, the House will consider under an open rule H.R. 412, the Oroville-Tonasket Claims Settlement Act; that is under an open rule.

The House will meet for legislative business at 11 a.m. on Wednesday, March 19, and at 10 a.m. on Thursday, March 20. We plan to take up the following measures, all of which will be subject to rules:

H.R. 1, the Working Families Flexibility Act of 1997; H.R. 929, a bill to ban partial-birth abortions, and H.Res. 91, a resolution providing amounts for the expenses of certain House committees for the 105th Congress.

We hope to conclude business and begin the spring district work period by 6 p.m. on Thursday, March 20, and I would thank the gentleman for yielding to me to explain this to the membership.

Mr. BONIOR. Mr. Speaker, I thank my colleague for his explanation. I have just a couple of questions I would like to pose to him if he would indulge me for a second here.

On Tuesday H.R. 412, the bill that follows the suspension, the Oroville-Tonasket Claim Settlement Act; that is under an open rule on the floor. Does the gentleman from New York expect to complete that bill on Tuesday?

Mr. SOLOMON. Yes, we do.

Mr. BONIOR. So it could be into the evening on Tuesday?

Mr. SOLOMON. I do not expect we would go—that is not a very controversial bill, and I would expect we would be out sixish or even sooner perhaps.

Mr. BONIOR. Just so that the gentleman is aware, there is opposition to it on our side of the aisle, and I just want the gentleman—

Mr. SOLOMON. I know of one significant amendment that we discussed in the Committee on Rules.

Mr. BONIOR. So it may take a while and Members might be apprised that it may run a little bit beyond 6 o'clock. I just want the gentleman to know that.

And on Wednesday and Thursday, 19 and 20, my colleague mentioned the three bills. Does he know which day he is going to bring them up yet? H.R. 1?

Mr. SOLOMON. I say to the minority whip that he is a former member of the Committee on Rules and served there with me for many years. We expect to take up on the floor the Working Families Flexibility Act. It will be under a

fair structured rule. That will certainly be the first taken up.

Mr. BONIOR. Wednesday maybe for that bill?

Mr. SOLOMON. Excuse me?

Mr. BONIOR. Is the gentleman from New York anticipating maybe Wednesday for that particular bill?

Mr. SOLOMON. Yes.

Mr. BONIOR. OK.

Mr. SOLOMON. And we are uncertain as to which of the next two would be brought up first, the ban on partial-birth abortions and the resolution providing amounts for the expenses of certain House committees for the 105th Congress. They both will most likely be brought up on Thursday.

Mr. FRANK of Massachusetts. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman from Michigan yield to me.

Mr. BONIOR. I yield to the gentleman from Massachusetts.

Mr. FRANK of Massachusetts. I was delighted to hear my friend from New York say that the flexibility bill would be brought up under a fair structured rule, obviously meaning amendments would be allowed.

May I safely assume that the other two important bills would also be brought up under fair structured rules and allowing amendments that week?

Mr. SOLOMON. I can assure the gentleman. He knows that I made a personal commitment, as did Speaker GINGRICH, that we would be at least as fair as the Democrats were always to us and probably much fairer.

Mr. FRANK of Massachusetts. If the gentleman would yield again, I am glad to know he is flexible. May I ask my question again? The gentleman said there would be a fair structured rule. Does that mean that there would also be a fair structured rule of the same sort to the other bills?

Mr. SOLOMON. I would think so, although we have a fair Committee on Rules and we always take the minority in consultation, and we will have to make that decision. I certainly do not want to speak for all nine of them.

Mr. FRANK of Massachusetts. We will settle for the gentleman's commitment.

Mr. SOLOMON. My commitment is always to be fair.

Mr. BONIOR. I just want the gentleman to understand on the committee funding bill there is an immense amount of controversy on that bill and concern on our side with respect to the division of funding, and I hope it is not the last thing we do before we break for spring because I just want the gentleman to be aware that there are very strong feelings by our ranking and senior Members with respect to the funding of that bill.

So I hope we can work some things out next week on it, but if we cannot, I do not think it would be wise to make that the last order of business.

Finally, Mr. Speaker, let me just mention that the gentleman was patient in the debate we had this afternoon with respect to the paperwork re-

duction bill, specifically the previous question that dealt with campaign finance reform. I just want the gentleman to know with the deepest amount of respect how strongly we feel on our side of the aisle about that bill, about having—not that bill, that process, and having something coming to the floor. We can discuss a variety of bills and approaches, and we will be pressing that—as the gentleman probably was able to ascertain from our efforts today, we will be pressing that on a regular basis, and we are hopeful that in a fair, bipartisan manner we can have this out on the floor where we get a full debate and we can do it in a timely fashion.

Now we do not expect it to be done next week or perhaps within the next month. We expect some idea of when we as a body can address this issue, which is a growing cancer on the Democratic institution that we love so much here.

So I just want the gentleman to know up front where we are coming from, if he has not figured it out, and I am sure he has by now, about how strongly we feel about this, and I thank him so much.

Mr. SOLOMON. Mr. Speaker, if the gentleman will yield, first of all I want to thank him for thanking me for being patient this afternoon. Sometimes that is hard to do, but we certainly are trying to have some comity in the House that will allow us to have meaningful work produced, and, as the gentleman knows, campaign finance reform is a very complex and important issue.

Unfortunately, or fortunately, whichever way one looks at it, we are bound by the U.S. Constitution. There are people like me that would like to bring a bill to the floor yesterday for full financial disclosure on everything, and I feel very strongly about that, just as strongly as the gentleman does on other aspects. But because it is complex, because we are bound by the Constitution, we have to make sure that what we do is going to stand the constitutional test, and that is going to take some time, but I do believe that this issue is going to be dealt with, and the gentleman has my assurances to help him make sure that we bring a meaningful bill to the floor that can be enforced, not like the present laws, which have been broken, as the gentleman knows, and which need to be enforced.

Mr. BONIOR. Mr. Speaker, I thank my colleague, and I would say in just brief response that it is just not a bill that we are interested in. We are interested in having their idea come to the floor as well as the myriad of ideas that we have out here to resolve this. The Senate is already moving on dealing with a constitutional amendment, and it seems to me that we ought to be at least discussing when, in fact, we will have our day. I frankly think this needs a week, a full week at least, of discussion on the floor because of its importance to the Democratic process

and our lives, and our lives, which in many ways are out of control because of what we have got to go through, the hurdles that all of us have to go through, to compete in this insane system that we are living in.

So I thank my colleague, and I want to make sure that his disclosure bill has an opportunity, and I may indeed support it, but I think other opportunities ought to be available as well, and we wish the gentleman from New York a good weekend.

Mr. FRANK of Massachusetts. Mr. Speaker, if the gentleman would yield, I also want to say this is my day to be delighted at the resolution of my friend from New York. I was particularly pleased to hear him pledge absolute fealty to the Supreme Court's interpretation of the Constitution as governing what we do, and I look forward to our being very closely governed by what the Supreme Court says we can and cannot do for the rest of the year.

Mr. SOLOMON. Mr. Speaker, if the gentleman will just yield further, I know Members probably want to go home for the evening, but I am just sure that no American expects a doctor to prescribe surgery until he has done a thorough examination, and I am going to tell the gentleman my colleagues all know I have been very much involved in what has been going on with what I consider scandals on not only breaking campaign laws. Those are very important laws. As my colleague knows, one single little violation is subject to a \$5,000 fine and/or 5 years in jail and, lord knows, there have been so many violations, and we really need to get to the bottom of those, see what it is, and I think that will lead us into maybe some meaningful legislation to perhaps correct some of those illegal activities that have been going on.

And I thank the gentleman, and I wish him a very happy weekend as well.

ADJOURNMENT TO MONDAY, MARCH 17, 1997

Mr. SOLOMON. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that when the House adjourns today it adjourn to meet at 2 p.m. on Monday next.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from New York?

There was no objection.

HOURLY OF MEETING ON TUESDAY, MARCH 18, 1997

Mr. SOLOMON. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that when the House adjourns on Monday, March 17, 1997, it adjourn to meet at 12:30 p.m. on Tuesday, March 18, 1997, for morning hour debates.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from New York?

There was no objection.