

students in the basics and then lets them apply what they've learned. His students took that to heart, and in the last year, through their efforts, the town of Gideon helped renovate the community from the inside out by cleaning up public property and tearing down old buildings. To put a new face on this community spirit, Mr. Winchester's students erected a new welcome sign, planted flowers, and even created an Internet Web page showing off the town and school district to new businesses and other visitors.

Mr. Speaker, with teachers like Cindy Thresher and Allen Winchester guiding and teaching our students, our future looks much brighter. I commend them and all of our Nation's educators who prepare our children today to be the leaders of tomorrow.

#### REMARKS OF LEE TENG-HUI

#### HON. ALCEE L. HASTINGS

OF FLORIDA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

*Tuesday, May 6, 1997*

Mr. HASTINGS of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I recently had the privilege of traveling with the Speaker of the House on a congressional delegation trip to Asia. We had the opportunity to meet with some of the most dynamic leaders of the Pacific rim. I wanted to share with the rest of our colleagues the important remarks of Lee Teng-hui, President of the Republic of China on Taiwan.

PRESIDENT LEE TENG-HUI'S ADDRESS TO THE SPEAKER'S DELEGATION TO THE REPUBLIC OF CHINA ON TAIWAN ON APRIL 2, 1997

Honorable Speaker Gingrich, Honorable Representatives, Ladies and Gentlemen: Good morning. This is a very important moment. On behalf of the people and the government of the ROC on Taiwan, I would like to extend my heartiest welcome to all of you. Particularly, I would like to express my sincere appreciation to you for your decision to visit my country out of such a busy schedule on your Asia evaluation tour. The time of your stay is very short, but the most important thing is that you didn't forget this island ROC on Taiwan. It has at least two very significant meanings: First, the ROC on Taiwan is the best friend of the United States in the world and the symbol of American value system and idealism, Freedom and Democracy. Second, the island is geographically important for US military strategy in the West Pacific area, and particularly in North-East Asia.

Domestically, the ROC on Taiwan is now considered a fully free country by the Freedom House based in New York City following our first direct popular presidential election in March 1996. In order to improve our competitiveness, we are now in the process of streamlining the government structure through constitutional reform and establishing an Asian Pacific Regional Operations Center here.

Our mainland China policy remains unchanged. Eventual reunification of China under freedom, democracy, and social justice is still our future goal, but the fact remains: China is divided. We in the ROC in Taiwan would like to use the next thirty years to build an even more free, democratic and prosperous country, so that when the opportune time arises, we can hold talks of reunification with the other side on an equal footing.

In the interest of time, I would like to listen to you; any questions put forward to me

are welcome. As to the purposes of this trip, you already mentioned in the news conference on the 23rd of March. We have already prepared answers to those questions, and will provide the materials to you for your convenience. Thank you very much for your attention. Now, I would like to listen to your comments and advice.

#### AVOID POLITICAL TINKERING WITH THE CPI

#### HON. PAUL E. KANJORSKI

OF PENNSYLVANIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

*Tuesday, May 6, 1997*

Mr. KANJORSKI. Mr. Speaker, I rise today out of concern for all senior citizens. As you may know, I represent one of the oldest congressional districts in the country. In fact, well over 20 percent of the people living in my district are aged 65 or older. Many of my constituents consequently depend on Social Security. Because many of those receiving Social Security live near the poverty level and because Social Security often represents their only source of income in retirement, they especially depend on their annual cost-of-living adjustments COLA's to maintain their standards of living.

As my colleagues should be aware, the Federal Government currently calculates the annual COLA for Social Security recipients using the consumer price index for wage earners [CPI-W]. Because the market basket used to determine the [CPI-W] does not precisely reflect those goods and services purchased by senior citizens, some fear that the elderly may actually experience a higher rate of inflation. Therefore, the Bureau of Labor Statistics [BLS] at the request of Congress has for a number of years calculated an experimental price index for the elderly. As a result, the BLS found in 1994 that the CPI-W may actually understate the annual inflation rate for the elderly by four-tenths of a percentage point on average.

This difference comes as a consequence of two well-known factors. First, older Americans spend more of their income on out-of-pocket costs for medical care, despite coverage by Medicare. Second, medical care prices have risen faster than the average of all other prices. If the price of medical care increases faster than average, then, other things being equal, the actual inflation experienced by senior citizens will be more rapid than is described by the CPI-W.

Despite evidence that the CPI-W may understate the rate of inflation for the elderly, many people still have the perception that the measure overstates inflation. In a time of budgetary pressures, many policymakers have consequently come to view adjusting the CPI as the panacea for balancing the budget because curbing COLA's would reduce budget deficits and ease long-term entitlement funding. COLA's are such an important issue because about one-third of Federal budget outlays are automatically adjusted each year given changes in the CPI-W.

I have closely followed all of the debate about whether the BLS overestimates inflation when it calculates the consumer price index. Therefore, when the budget negotiators announced their deal late last week. I was relieved to learn that they did not agree to any

risky political tinkering with the CPI. A political determination in this matter would have compounded the efforts of Government economists to determine the accuracy of the measure. Moreover, it would have led to greater public confusion about the issue and distrust in the accuracy of Government statistics generally. Still further, any political effort to manipulate this statistic downward would have likely had a significant negative impact on those elderly individuals living close to the poverty line.

Instead of a politically motivated vote on the accuracy of the CPI, what is needed is a factual, technical determination based on sound economic analysis. Despite the well-publicized findings of the Boskin Commission, several economists have recently issued reports that the CPI may understate inflation. The BLS has the expertise, tools, resources, and experience to weigh the conflicting evidence and develop an accurate and appropriate inflation measure. Moreover, allowing the BLS to make this determination will help to maintain the integrity, objectivity, and the reliability of the Federal statistical system.

Ultimately, I believed that people should receive an accurate inflation adjustment, not one that gives them an unintended bonus or reduction. I, therefore, encourage the Bureau of Labor Statistics to continue its efforts to identify the most appropriate and accurate criteria for measuring inflation. I would also be interested in learning of the agency's recommendations on whether Congress should use the CPI for the elderly to adjust Social Security benefits and other programs for the elderly. Further, I encourage my colleagues in Congress to continue to protect our senior citizens and others on fixed incomes in any future effort to balance the budget.

My Speaker, thank you for allowing me to raise this important issue. The Bureau of Labor Statistics alone should make adjustments, if any are needed, to the methodology used to determine the Consumer Price Index. In the upcoming weeks and months, I, for one, will continue to vigilantly watch the actions of the Bureau of Labor Statistics on this issue. I am also certain that senior citizens will also continue to closely examine the actions of my colleagues on this matter.

#### TRIBUTE TO MICHAEL SCHULTE

#### HON. THOMAS M. BARRETT

OF WISCONSIN

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

*Tuesday, May 6, 1997*

Mr. BARRETT of Wisconsin. Mr. Speaker, It is a great honor for me to pay tribute today to one of Milwaukee County's truly outstanding citizens. As the Men's Club of Shorewood, WI, gathers this week to honor Michael Schulte as its 1997 Man of the Year, I would like to take a moment to reflect on the achievements of this exceptional individual.

From 1985 until last month, Mike Schulte served as a village trustee of Shorewood, serving as village president for more than 6 years. Under Mike's strong leadership, Shorewood grew and thrived as one of Wisconsin's most efficient and productive communities.

During his tenure, enhanced 911 emergency service and the North Shore Public Safety

Communication Center were established. Mike ensured that Shorewood was an active participant in the "East-West Corridor Transit Study," and formed the village's employee committees. In addition, Mike was extremely dedicated to making critical improvements to Shorewood's schools and infrastructure, while ensuring that the community's natural beauty was preserved during its development.

Mike was Shorewood's voice on the Milwaukee County Intergovernmental Cooperation Council, the Milwaukee Metropolitan Sewerage District Executive Committee, and the North Shore Fire Department Board of Directors. His unflinching dedication and tireless work on behalf of all of Shorewood's residents will be long remembered. Having worked personally with Mike on a number of occasions, I can personally attest to his leadership skills, professionalism, and generosity.

The only thing that's more important to Mike than Shorewood is the quality time he can spend with his wife Barbara, and three children Kathryn, Jon, and Eric. I am certain that in his retirement from public life that he will enjoy countless hours with his wonderful family in the years to come.

While I am sorry that the work of Congress here in Washington will prevent me from attending the banquet in Mike's honor, I ask my colleagues to join me in offering our warmest thanks to Mike. Congratulations and thank you for all that you have done.

#### TRIBUTE TO MILAM ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

#### HON. MARTIN FROST

OF TEXAS

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

*Tuesday, May 6, 1997*

Mr. FROST. Mr. Speaker, I rise to congratulate Milam Elementary School, its principal, teachers, parents, and also the incredible students who have all today earned national acclaim through the Title I Recognition Program.

The Title I Recognition Program honors 99 title I schools which have achieved success based on six very important criteria. Those criteria were: First, providing opportunity for all children to meet advanced level of performance; second, professional development; third, positive coordination with other programs; fourth, developing a curriculum and instruction methods to support a high standard of achievement; fifth, partnership among schools, parents, and communities; and sixth, 3 years of successful achievement data.

The honored schools were selected through a vigorous, competitive process coordinated and managed by the various State Education Agencies. The award was presented today, May 6, at the International Reading Association Conference in Atlanta, GA.

Title I provides funds to schools to assist them in helping at-risk children achieve a high academic standard in school. Nearly 70 percent of all children participating in title I receive reading instruction and over 40 percent receive instruction in mathematics.

Mr. Speaker, I would once again like to congratulate Vickie Overton, the Milam Elementary School principal, and everyone associated with the school's title I program. They have made Milam Elementary School a symbol of what the title I program can achieve.

#### INTRODUCTION OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA POLICE COORDINATION ACT OF 1997

#### HON. ELEANOR HOLMES NORTON

OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA  
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

*Tuesday, May 6, 1997*

Ms. NORTON. Mr. Speaker, today, I am introducing a bill that will introduce rationality and cost efficiency into the almost totally uncoordinated, extraordinarily inefficient and wasteful use of Federal agency police power in Washington, DC. My bill will free 30 or more Federal law enforcement agencies to give direct assistance to the Metropolitan Police Department [MPD] and will get a much increased bang for the Federal buck as well as additional police protection for District residents, commuters, and tourists. The point of my bill is the highest and best use of their valuable police powers.

Few are aware of the great number of overlapping Federal law enforcement agencies in the District. A CRS survey that I requested shows that there are at least 30 agencies with the authority to arrest and to carry firearms. Many of these agencies get state-of-the-art training at the Federal Law Enforcement Training Center [FLETC] in Brunswick, GA, considered the best police training facility in the country. The MPD does not receive this superior level of training because the District cannot afford to send our officers to the Georgia facility. Yet the MPD is left to respond to calls for assistance not only from residents but also from the Federal officers while responding to one of the highest crime cities in the country without their assistance.

Examples of Federal law enforcement agencies that would be affected are Federal Protection Services, Library of Congress Police, U.S. Park Police, Government Printing Office Police, Naval Observatory and Walter Reed Army Medical Center.

Despite often excellent training, these and other Federal law enforcement agencies are pitifully constrained in their ability to utilize this costly training. The Federal taxpayer is getting very little protection at a very high price because the Federal law enforcement agencies are treated essentially as private police forces. Most of these officers are unable to make arrests except in the building or on the immediate grounds. Most do not patrol in the immediate vicinity surrounding their agencies, but leave that job to the overburdened MPD. On the few occasions when they do intervene in an unlawful activity, many can only call 911 as if they were citizens without arrest powers. By phone, they inform the MPD of the crime that may be occurring within sight of the agency because Federal agencies and the MPD do not share the same radio frequencies. To its credit, Park Police Officers in Rock Creek Park overcame the obstacle by simply loaning their counterpart officers in the MPD a police radio and vice versa. Federal officers who do make an occasional arrest merely hand over the suspect to the MPD and do not do any of the paperwork, leaving the MPD with hours of processing that keep officers off the streets. Although they work in the same city, there is little, if any, routine sharing and donation of equipment between Federal agencies and the MPD at a time when the President has called on Federal agencies to help the District. What

little coordination that is done comes on an ad hoc basis, without any central entity or authority to coordinate agency efforts.

Therefore, today I am introducing the District of Columbia Police Coordination Act of 1997. The bill directs Federal law enforcement agencies to enter into cooperative agreements with the MPD to assist the department in crime prevention and law enforcement activities in the District. Matters that must be included in these agreements include sending agency personnel on patrol in areas immediately surrounding their respective agencies, sharing and donating equipment and supplies, sharing radio frequencies, and streamlining the processing of suspects. The U.S. attorney will act as the coordination entity for purposes of implementing the bill.

Federal police officers usually earn more than D.C. police, have access to better training, have better benefits and working conditions, yet perform almost none of the services that the MPD officers perform. The District has had to cut other vital services to raise the number of officers while Federal officers are treated like high-priced night watchmen. Yet high crime rates and police assassinations are quick to get the attention of Congress. Stinging criticism of the MPD and death penalty rhetoric will do nothing to assist D.C. police officers. Federal law enforcement officers should not be left underperforming only because they lack the authority to render service commensurate with their police power and arrest authority.

I have had success with a similar bill. In 1992 Congress passed Public Law 102-397, my bill that now allows the Capitol Police to patrol an area three times greater than previously. Instead of patrolling only adjacent to the Capitol grounds, where there is virtually no crime, the Capitol Police now patrol the neighborhood. Under my bill, Federal law enforcement agencies would accomplish the same results through cooperative agreements that take into account their own agency needs, assist the MPD officers in avoiding duplicate actions, and coordinate their work for maximum efficiency.

I believe most Members of the House and Senate would be alarmed that Federal tax dollars contribute so little to the protection of areas around Federal facilities and want a more cost-efficient system. The Congress came to this same conclusion when it reacted to crime in the Capitol Hill neighborhood by freeing its own Capitol Police to patrol beyond the immediate area of the Capitol. The District of Columbia Police Coordination Act of 1997 will give the Congress the opportunity to apply the same approach to Federal law enforcement officers assigned to other Federal facilities.

#### IN RECOGNITION OF THE 30TH ANNIVERSARY OF THE FLANDERS ELEMENTARY SCHOOL IN SOUTHINGTON, CT

#### HON. NANCY L. JOHNSON

OF CONNECTICUT

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

*Tuesday, May 6, 1997*

Mrs. JOHNSON of Connecticut. Mr. Speaker, it is with great pride and admiration that I