been the victims of a widespread and welldocumented pattern and practice of discrimination by German Federal, State, local, and party officials;

Whereas the 1993, 1994, 1995, and 1996 United States Department of State Country Reports on Human Rights in Germany all noted government discrimination against members of the Church of Scientology in Germany

Whereas the German State of Baden-Wuerttemberg barred Chic Corea, the Grammy Award-winning American jazz pianist, from performing his music during the World Athletics Championship in 1993, and in 1996 the State of Bavaria declared its intention to bar Mr. Corea from all future performances at State sponsored events solely because he is a member of the Church of Scientology;

Whereas the Young Union of the Christian Democratic Union and the Social Democratic Party orchestrated boycotts of the movies "Phenomenon" and "Mission Impossible" solely because the lead actors, Americans John Travolta and Tom Cruise, are members of the Church of Scientology;

Whereas members of the Young Union of the Christian Democratic Union disrupted a 1993 performance by the American folk music group Golden Bough by storming the stage solely because the musicians are members of

the Church of Scientology;

Whereas the Evangelical Christian Church of Cologne, led by an American clergyman, Dr. Terry Jones, had its tax-exempt status revoked by the German government with the reason being that the church benefits to society were of "no spiritual, cultural, or ma-

Whereas the German government is constitutionally obligated to remain neutral on religious matters, yet has violated this neutrality by supporting and distributing information to the general public that gives the impression that "sect-experts", who are openly critical of all but the major churches, are in a position to provide the public with fair, objective, and politically neutral information about minority religions;

Whereas the Jehovah's Witnesses' application for recognition as a corporation under public law, which would have put them on equal legal status with the Catholic and Protestant churches, was denied by the Federal Administrative Court because the church's doctrine of political neutrality was considered to be antidemocratic;

Whereas government officials and "sect-experts" are using the decision denying the Jehovah's Witnesses recognition as a corporation under public law as a justification for discriminatory acts against the Jehovah's Witnesses, despite the fact that a constitutional complaint is still pending before the German Constitutional Court;

Whereas adherents of the Muslim faith have reported that they are routinely subject to police violence and intimidation because of their ethnic and religious affiliation:

Whereas the 1994 and 1995 Reports to the Human Rights Commission of the United Nations on the application of the Declaration on the Elimination of All Forms of Intolerance and of Discrimination Based on Religion and Belief by the Special Rapporteur for Religious Intolerance criticized Germany for restricting the religious liberty of certain minority religious groups;

Whereas Germany, as a signatory to the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, and the Helsinki Accords, is obliged to refrain from religious discrimination and to foster a climate of tolerance; and

Whereas Germany's policy of discrimination against minority religions violates German obligations under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, and the Helsinki Accords: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved by the House of Representatives (the Senate concurring), That the Congress-

(1) continues to hold Germany responsible for protecting the rights of United States citizens who are living, performing, doing business, or traveling in Germany, in a manner consistent with Germany's obligations under international agreements to which Germany is a signatory;

(2) deplores the actions and statements of Federal, State, local, and party officials in Germany which have fostered an atmosphere of intolerance toward certain minority religious groups;

(3) expresses concern that artists from the United States who are members of minority religious groups continue to experience German government discrimination;

(4) urges the German government to take the action necessary to protect the rights guaranteed to members of minority religious groups by international covenants to which Germany is a signatory; and

(5) calls upon the President of the United States-

(A) to assert the concern of the United States Government regarding German government discrimination against members of minority religious groups;

(B) to emphasize that the United States regards the human rights practices of the Government of Germany, particularly its treatment of American citizens who are living, performing, doing business, or traveling in Germany, as a significant factor in the United States Government's relations with the Government of Germany; and

(C) to encourage other governments to appeal to the Government of Germany, and to cooperate with other governments and international organizations, including the United Nations and its agencies, in efforts to protect the rights of foreign citizens and members of minority religious groups in Ger-

A TRIBUTE TO RUBYE GIBSON FOR 80 YEARS OF OUTSTANDING SERVICE TO VETERANS

HON. ESTEBAN EDWARD TORRES

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES Saturday, November 8, 1997

Mr. TORRES. Mr. Speaker, I rise to pay tribute to Rubye Gibson, for her 80 years of outstanding service to our veterans. On November 11, 1997, during the city of Montebello's Veterans Day ceremony, the community will honor Rubye for her lifetime of dedication to the men and women of our nation's Armed Forces.

As the last surviving president of the Ladies Auxiliary Barracks No. 5, the fifth veterans organization in the United States, Rubye demonstrated tremendous leadership during World War I. During World War II she was a mail carrier for the city of Montebello. Of the period in our Nation's history, Rubye recalls having the fortunate experience of shaking hands with Gen. Jimmy Doolittle and being invited to meet Gen. Omar Bradley. Her lifetime of experience and work with veterans has earned her the respect and admiration of her colleagues and community members.

Rubye comes from a long line of family members dedicated to serving our country. It was at the age of 13, when her brother, while

fighting in France received wounds that would keep him hospitalized for 2 years, that Rubye decided the only way she could help her brother was to work with veterans. For the past 80 years, Rubye has kept her commitment to helping our Nation's veterans through her volunteer work with the Veterans of foreign Wars. To this day, she remains relentless in her effort to sell "buddy poppies" to help hospitalized and indigent veterans.

Along with an unwavering dedication to help our veterans, Rubye has displayed a genuine interest and concern for our community's children. In rural South Dakota. Rubve's career as a school teacher was cut short because, in that day in age, it was unacceptable for a married woman to teach. For 18 years, Rubye volunteered her time to the Dorothy Kirby Center and to the Foster Grandparent Program. where she worked with mentally disturbed chil-

Mr. Speaker, it is with pride that I rise today to pay tribute to Rubye Gibson for her lifetime of service to our Nation's veterans. I ask my colleagues to join me in saluting Rubye for her 80 years of selfless commitment to the men and women who have proudly served our country in the Armed Forces.

CAMPAIGN FINANCE REFORM

HON. RON KIND

OF WISCONSIN

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Saturday, November 8, 1997

Mr. KIND, Mr. Speaker, another day and still no campaign finance reform. We are here on a Saturday trying to finish our legislative business. We have made an extraordinary effort to finish our work so that Members may be able to go home before Veterans Day for the rest of the year. Yet we haven't considered campaign finance reform.

With the possibility of only 1 day left in this session it is obvious that the leadership has no desire to allow a vote. This is too bad. A majority of the Members of this House have signed on to campaign finance reform legislation. A majority of the public wants to see an end to the abuses of the system. The leadership has said no. The public knows that there will be no reform passed next year, during an election year. The leadership of this House has failed the people it is sworn to represent.

AGRICULTURE RESEARCH **AUTHORIZATION ACT**

HON. EVA M. CLAYTON

OF NORTH CAROLINA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Saturday, November 8, 1997

Mrs. CLAYTON. Mr. Speaker, I intend to vote for this bill. I look forward to research funding that can assist in finding out the cause of the fish kills in my State, and the origin of the Pfisteria that has plaqued our waterways. I also look forward to those provisions that will be of benefit to the 1890 land grant Institutions. But, I rise to express my deep concern with the fate of this bill in conference.

Last year, this Congress pushed through major welfare reform legislation. While I supported welfare reform, I did not support those

provisions that will leave many Americans without food, without basic nutrition, hungry. Under the Senate bill, we will cut another \$1.2 billion, over 5 years, from the Food Stamp Program. The savings from this new cut in food stamps will go to other agriculture programs.

Mr. Speaker, I do not oppose more funding for those agriculture programs, however, I do oppose further cuts in the Food Stamp Program.

Over 877,000 North Carolinians live in poverty. Of those poor North Carolinians, over 600,000 of them, on average, receive food stamps. Many are senior citizens and children. Last year's welfare reform bill significantly affected food stamp recipients in several ways by: cutting \$27 billion from the Food Stamp Program; freezing the standard deduction, the vehicle deduction, the shelter cap and the minimum allotment; setting strict time limits on the eligibility of so-called able-bodied people between the ages of 18 and 50. These persons will only be eligible 3 months out of 36, unless they are enrolled in a work placement or training program-exceptions are made for areas of high unemployment, but only if the governor of the State requests a waiver.

Our Governor did not see fit to ask for a waiver that included all 37 areas that qualified. Our Governor only asked for a waiver that served seven areas and disqualifying most legal immigrants from receiving benefits until they become actual citizens—even though they pay taxes.

The Senate bill continues to take funds from a program for the poor. The projects that will be funded are worthy. Those who felt the brunt of last year's welfare reform bill, should now feel the relief of these savings. I hope we will provide that relief in the conference agreement on this bill.

TRIBUTE TO HYSTERCINE RANKIN

HON. BENNIE G. THOMPSON

OF MISSISSIPPI

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Saturday, November 8, 1997

Mr. THOMPSON. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to pay tribute to Mrs. Hystercine Rankin. Mrs. Rankin, a quilter, received the 1997 National Heritage Fellowship. The award is the National Endowment for the Arts' most prestigious honor in folk and traditional arts.

Mrs. Rankin, a native of Port Gibson, MS, has been a quilter all of her life. She has taught many workshops throughout the State and worked with quilters to help them improve their skill. Mrs. Rankin has also influenced others to become more involved in the quilting community. She is truly an asset to the State of Mississippi.

During her trip to Washington, she had the opportunity to meet with First Lady Hillary Clinton. When asked about her new found acquaintance, Mrs. Rankin simply stated that she never knew that a needle would take her this far from home.

Mr. Speaker, it gives me great pleasure to pay tribute today to Mrs. Hystercine Rankin, one of Mississippi's precious jewels.

HELP FOR THE NATION'S COMMUNITY HEALTH CENTERS

HON. CHARLES B. RANGEL

OF NEW YORK

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Saturday, November 8, 1997

Mr. RANGEL. Mr. Speaker, I am today sponsoring legislation to help the Nation's frontline health delivery organizations survive the move to managed care. The bill I am introducing today will provide Medicare wraparound payments to federally qualified health centers [FQHC's] and parallels a provision in this summer's Balanced Budget Act which provided Medicaid wraparound payments to FOHC's.

FQHC's, such as community health centers [CHC's], receive about 8 percent of their revenues—or about \$200 million annually—in payments for care furnished to Medicare beneficiaries. For the services they provide, health centers are on a so-called reasonable cost basis, which is designed to ensure that sufficient funds are provided to cover the costs of care.

As Medicare patients choose to move into managed care plans which include FQHC's as providers, the payment rates that the health maintenance organizations [HMO's] have been willing to pay the centers is often less than the FQHC payment described in the previous paragraph. My legislation is designed to correct this payment shortfall by providing that each FQHC will receive a supplemental wraparound payment from Medicare in an amount equal to the difference—if any—between the FQHC rate and the amount the FQHC receives from the HMO. This type of wraparound provision was included in the Balanced Budget Act for Medicaid payments, but not for Medicare. Today's bill provides parallel treatment for Medicare and Medicaid payments to these frontline health delivery organizations.

Why do these centers need an additional payment? Why can't they live with the managed care payment rate? Basically, these centers do so much additional, uncompensated care and outreach in their neighborhoods that they need what is the equivalent of a disproportionate share payment to help them finance these essential, extra services—and HMO's are unlikely to contract with providers who have these extra disproportionate share costs. If CHC's are to be able to continue their mission of service, they will need Medicare's help in financing these extra costs.

Following is a memo from the National Association of Community Health Centers elaborating on the essential work of the Nation's CHC's and explaining why these extra wraparound payments are so necessary.

WHY HEALTH CENTERS MERIT A SPECIAL WRAPAROUND PAYMENT

The current reasonable-cost reimbursement provisions for health centers were established by Congress to ensure that Medicare and Medicaid cover the reasonable cost of furnishing covered services to their beneficiaries. Underpayment to these centers is particularly onerous because the revenue to cover unreimbursed costs can only come from federal and state grants intended to support services for the uninsured and essential, non-covered services for others. Health centers cannot absorb risk for several reasons:

Their Patients: Health center patients comprise the most vulnerable populations in

America today—persons who, even when insured, remain isolated from traditional forms of medical care because of where they live, who they are, and their frequently far greater levels of complex health care needs. Because of factors such as poverty or hopelessness (not to mention the social-environmental threats that permeate low income/underserved communities), health center patients are at higher risk for serious and costly conditions (diabetes, hypertension, TB, high-risk, pregnancies, HIV) than the general population.

Their History and Mission: Health centers were founded to make their services available to all in their communities, and particularly to those who can't get care elsewhere (again because of who they are and their often complex health and social problems). They have already proven their efficiency, but their fundamental mission and purpose should not be compromised by placing them at risk for the care their patients need. On the contrary, because they serve disproportionate numbers of high-risk patients, adequately compensating the health centers for their care can serve to make risk levels more reasonable for other providers.

Their Services: Health centers offer comprehensive, "one-stop" primary care rather than a traditional medical model for chronic and acture care. Prevention is the focus. These services need to be promoted, not restricted or reduced, as would be the case under risk based contracting. For their patients and communities, in particular, expanding the availability of preventive and primary care services will be vital in increasing access and reducing costs. Here, too, the success of managed care will depend on this.

Improving Access: As has been noted, health center patients-whose health problems are typically more serious and more complicated than it true of other Americans-frequently need special services that may not be recognized as reimbursable, but which are essential to ensure that effectiveness of the medical care provided. These services, such as multilingual/translation services, health/nutrition education, patient case management services, outreach and transportation, will need to be provided, even if they are not covered and reimbursable; thus, the centers cannot rely on their other funding sources to cover them against excessive risk.

No Reserves. Because of their historic mission and the restrictions placed on them by their funding sources, health centers have no available capital, limited marketing capability, poor and sicker patients and thus no leverage in the marketplace. Moreover, all revenues received by health centers (all of which are either public or not-for-profit organizations) are reinvested in patient care services—there are no "profits," and they have no reserves to protect them against risk. Consequently placing too much risk on health centers would force them to remain outside the managed care system rather than being centrally involved.

Perhaps most importantly, development of primary and preventive care in underserved communities has been particularly effective in reducing unnecessary and inappropriate use of other settings such as emergency rooms which are much more costly. This is especially true of public-private partnerships such as the federally-assisted health center programs, which today provide care to nearly 10 million low income people in underserved rural and urban communities across the nation. Because of their experience, the health centers-together with other key community providers-form the backbone of the local health care system for most underserved people and communities, and have