

CONGRATULATIONS J. MICHAEL  
WILLIAMS

**HON. JAMES A. BARCIA**

OF MICHIGAN

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

*Thursday, October 23, 1997*

Mr. BARCIA. Mr. Speaker, the ability for our manufacturing industry to continue as the world's leader depends upon the knowledge and inventiveness of the professionals who devote their lives to their respective industries. The automotive industry, which is so important in my congressional district, is fortunate to have dedicated individuals such as J. Michael Williams, who this year received the James P. Keating Founders' Freedom Award from the American Foundrymen's Society.

His award from the American Foundrymen's Society is to recognize the many contributions he has made to the foundry industry, and his active involvement in government affairs, human resource management, safety, health and education. He leads a team of 13,000 people at five manufacturing sites and two development facilities. He was directly responsible for an outstanding safety record at these facilities, with only four tenths of one work day lost per 100 employees, while according to the National Safety Council iron and steel foundries generally lost 8.3 work days per 100 employees. Several technological advances were achieved under Mike's direction. He instituted the first plasma cupola in North America, high volume casting of aluminum into greensand, and the use of GMBond, a new environmentally friendly core sand binder.

Mike Williams is the components manufacturing manager for the General Motors Powertrain Group, working in Saginaw, MI. He has been a leader for GM in the development of many advances in casting technology which help make our cars both more durable and more efficient. Having started at Delco Remy as an hourly General Motors Institute student, he rose to several supervisory positions, including superintendent of Delco Remy's plant 10 in 1980, and divisional production manager in 1981. He was director of production control at the Oldsmobile Division in Lansing in August, 1984, and then for the Buick-Oldsmobile-Cadillac J/N product team. He also worked at the Central Foundry Division as director of materials management. In 1990 he was appointed manager of the chassis and transmissions strategic business unit. And in 1992 he became director of manufacturing-casting operations for GM powertrain.

Michael Williams has most definitely had a career of success and advancement, culminating in this richly deserved award from his peers in the foundry industry. I urge you and all of our colleagues, Mr. Speaker, to join me in congratulating him for his award, and in wishing him every continued success.

REPEAL OF PRIVATE FEE-FOR-SERVICE PLANS IN MEDICARE

**HON. FORTNEY PETE STARK**

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

*Thursday, October 23, 1997*

Mr. STARK. Mr. Speaker, I am today introducing legislation to repeal the option of pri-

vate fee-for-service plans under Medicare+Choice which was enacted this summer as part of the Balanced Budget Act.

These plans make no sense whatsoever. The CBO did not assign any costs to them, because they basically assumed no one would be foolish enough to join one. But the plans hold some potential for further risk segmentation and consumer abuse and should therefore be repealed.

This proposal, which came from the Senate, provides an individual with the equivalent of an amount of money equal to the amount that would be spent on them if they joined an HMO in their area and allows them to use that voucher to buy into an unmanaged fee-for-service plan, which has none of Medicare's billing or utilization protections. In terms of out-of-pocket expenses, the sky would be the limit and the insurance nature of Medicare would be gone.

Who would be nutty enough to want to buy into one of these plans, you ask? The very, very rich who don't have to think about medical bills might be interested. It could be a sort of boutique status symbol and sold as a plan which attracts the very best doctors who would like to charge more. If this Beverly Hills policy were the only danger, one could look the other way. But there is the danger that salespersons could convince some vulnerable senior to join such a plan without understanding the tremendous extra liability they would face. There is a danger that in certain rural or isolated communities a group of doctors could force patients to accept this plan as the only option—thus increasing their income while destroying Medicare's protections.

This proposal is the brainchild of some in the right-to-life community who believe that Medicare payment rates are so strict that some doctors may not provide adequate care under traditional Medicare. Therefore, to avoid euthanasia one can join one of these plans and let your doctor charge you extra. It is too bad that those who care about killing seniors spend so much time helping the rich find fire escapes, and so little time helping the uninsured and those who are not rich live in a good system.

Mr. Speaker, there is no evidence of access or quality problems in the current Medicare system. Indeed, the latest data from the Physician Payment Assessment Commission shows that the major access problem facing seniors is lack of money to pay the 20 percent co-payment—not the fact that we pay doctors on a fee schedule.

The private fee-for-service option is a mischievous amendment that does not good and has potential for harm. Repeal would remove a wart from the Medicare Program and ensure that we all—rich and poor—seek to keep the system a quality system.

SCHOOL LUNCH WEEK:  
SUSTENANCE FOR OUR CHILDREN

**HON. BOB FILNER**

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

*Thursday, October 23, 1997*

Mr. FILNER. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize the importance of a vital and often overlooked national program. The week of October 20 through October 24 marks the 51st

anniversary of the National School Lunch Program, which has served to provide nutritional meals to three generations of American schoolchildren.

In California's 50th Congressional District, as in many other communities across the country, school lunches provide the only balanced meal that many of our children can count on. Sadly, their young voices are not always heard as Congress debates the necessity of this program.

The National School Lunch Program provides the most basic need of our young people—a healthy, balanced meal to give them the nutrition and energy necessary to learn and succeed in school. School lunches provide the sustenance for the body that enables children to learn and provide sustenance for their developing minds.

Incredibly, this beneficial and cost-effective program is under constant attack. Such short-sighted logic is a threat to the health and welfare of our children and our Nation as a whole. Many of my colleagues remember attempts to designate catsup as a vegetable and other attempts to eliminate the program entirely. These are not examples of cost-effective government, they are not examples of leadership, they are examples of child neglect—both criminal in intent and cold at heart.

Mr. Speaker, in honor of National School Lunch Week, I ask that my colleagues rise and join with me in support of the National School Lunch Program to continue this important nutritional lifeline to our children.

IS THE IRS A ROGUE AGENCY?  
FEDERAL EMPLOYEES ARE  
AGAIN THE SCAPEGOAT

**HON. TOM LANTOS**

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

*Thursday, October 23, 1997*

Mr. LANTOS. Mr. Speaker, recently we have heard horror stories from a Senate Finance Committee about Federal employees of the Internal Revenue Agency, the IRS. As these charges have echoed and reechoed across the political spectrum, there have been few voices willing to express a contrary point of view.

I fear, Mr. Speaker, that we are again witnessing an effort to score political points by scapegoating Federal employees. While I do not condone wrongdoing by any Government employee, the recent charges have been blown completely out of proportion and have tainted all employees of the IRS. This is absolutely appalling.

Mr. Speaker, in this atmosphere National Public Radio has again demonstrated that it is a national treasure, a vital information resource that provides critically needed alternate points of view. NPR is not just another "me-too" media outlet reflecting, but not thinking about, the so-called news.

In an excellent commentary broadcast by NPR's "All Things Considered" on Tuesday, October 21, Jacob Weisberg, the chief political correspondent for Slate magazine, provided a much-needed alternate point of view. It puts the IRS bashing into perspective, and it emphasizes that Federal employees are a competent and dedicated group of Americans. Mr. Speaker, I ask that his commentary be placed

in the RECORD, and I urge my colleagues to give it serious and thoughtful attention.

Robert Siegel, Host: Commentator Jacob Weisberg says IRS bashing has become a popular new game on Capitol Hill and in the news media. He says the accusations would not stand up to an audit.

Jacob Weisberg, Commentator: Republicans have an excellent new enemy—the Internal Revenue Service. With Senate hearings and a national barnstorming tour, party leaders have spent the past several weeks vilifying the one government bureaucracy they think has no friends.

Democrats and the Clinton administration, sensing a political hazard, have piled on with their own expressions of outrage and called for reform.

But is the IRS really a rogue agency? Consider what we've learned in recent weeks. The Senate Finance Committee heard testimony from four abused taxpayers. These four were culled from some 1,500 who have contacted the committee. There's no way of knowing how many of those have legitimate gripes.

But even if all were genuine victims, it would not remotely approach the kind of systematic sadism alleged by Republicans. There are more than 200 million tax returns filed each year, of which 2 million are audited. Fifteen hundred abject failures would mean an error rate of .00075 percent. And that's not even per year. It's per ever.

Even some Republicans used to think that was pretty good. A bipartisan commission on the IRS recently concluded that there was no systematic abuse of taxpayers. The commission found very few examples of IRS personnel abusing power, its report noted.

What about the IRS using revenue quotas? To the extent this happened, it was a response to pressure from Capitol Hill. In 1995, the newly elected Gingrich Congress passed a compliance initiative authorizing the hiring of 1,200 new agents. It demanded data from the agency to show that the money was being well spent.

But didn't we at least learn that the IRS persecutes the poor? There has been a sharp decline in audits of taxpayers with incomes of more than \$100,000, and an increase for those under \$25,000. But there are some innocent explanations. In 1990, the IRS began categorizing non-filers about whom it lacked information in the \$25,000 and under category. It audited more of them after Congress demanded that it prevents cheating on the Earned Income Tax Credit, which goes to the working poor.

Upper income audits dropped when shelters were closed by tax reform in 1986. Despite the weakness of these and other charges, Republicans seem to think IRS bashing makes a great theme. TRENT LOTT, the Senate Majority Leader, recently slashed the agency as intrusive, abusive, and out of control. That's not a bad soundbite. But the IRS isn't out of control. Its critics are.

#### TRIBUTE TO RUTH VOORHEES

##### HON. MICHAEL PAPPAS

OF NEW JERSEY

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, October 23, 1997

Mr. PAPPAS. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to pay tribute to a woman whose service and dedication should serve as an example to us all. For 22 years, Ruth Voorhees has volunteered at Morristown Memorial Hospital.

When Mrs. Voorhees became a widow at the age of 77, she began volunteering at this

hospital as a way to cope with her loss. As a volunteer, she became a valuable asset of the Morristown Memorial Hospital volunteer corps. Her ability to turn an adverse situation into something constructive and meaningful is heartwarming and is recognized by many.

Recently, Mrs. Voorhees turned 99. Although birthdays of volunteers aren't usually observed, the staff made an exception and tied a big birthday balloon to her chair. Moreover, each day of the week of her birthday, she was taken out for lunch and dinner. This was all part of a week-long celebration to thank Mrs. Voorhees for her years of service.

Mr. Speaker, Ruth Voorhees embodies the movement this country has made toward placing a higher value on service and voluntarism. Also, Mrs. Voorhees has reaped deep rewards since becoming a volunteer and has found new dimension of life and new friends as a result of becoming a volunteer.

Ruth Voorhees' service and commitment to her community is work deserving of thanks from Congress, members of her community, friends, and family. I join with the staff of Morristown Memorial Hospital in congratulating and thanking Ruth Voorhees for her desire to help make the world as a better place.

#### INTRODUCTION OF THE COMMON SENSE CONTRACTING-OUT ACT

##### HON. ELIJAH E. CUMMINGS

OF MARYLAND

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, October 23, 1997

Mr. CUMMINGS. Mr. Speaker, on March 30, 1994, President Clinton signed into law the Federal Workforce Restructuring Act [FWRA] (P.L. 103-226) to reduce the Federal employee ceiling between 1993 and 1999 by 272,900 positions to a level of 1.88 million workers.

In his January 23, 1996, State of the Union Address President Clinton proclaimed: The era of big government is over. . . . Our Federal Government today is the smallest it has been in 30 years and it's getting smaller every day.

The FWRA goal has been reached 2 years early. In fact, the administration predicts that by the end of this fiscal year that we will have achieved 110 percent of the original downsizing target.

The question we must now ask ourselves as lawmakers is did we accomplish what we set out to achieve. By getting rid of Federal employees have we made our Government work better and cost less or have we simply replaced civil servants with contractors? Most observers believe that Government downsizing is driving the increase in contracting-out for services.

According to a recent policy analysis from the Cato Institute, at the same time the Government was downsizing there has been a "rapid growth rate of contracted labor, which has become a kind of shadow government." By 1995 the Government was spending \$114 billion a year on service contracts while the total cost of the Federal payroll was only \$111 billion.

Former OMB Deputy Director for Management John Koskinen acknowledged last year that the Government does not know how many private workers it is paying for. "You can use any number you want," he said, "but

whatever it is it is a lot of people." Current OMB Deputy Director for Management Ed Deseve said recently before the House Civil Service Subcommittee that not only do we not know how many contractors work for Uncle Sam "we don't really have any need for this type of information." I disagree.

If you consider the fact that taxpayers are paying the salaries of both Federal employees and contractors, the truth is that we really don't know if the Government today is the smallest it has been in 30 years. More importantly, we really don't know over the long term if contractor performance is more cost effective than in-house performance of Government functions.

When the public sector and the private sector compete to provide Government services, both sides strive to provide the best service for the best price. In these competitions, the public sector wins half the time and the private sector wins half the time. The real winners, however, are the taxpayers who generally benefit from the competition driven 30 percent reduction in the cost of Government services.

Under current Government contracting rules (OMB Circular A-76) when the Government wins a contracting competition its workers are periodically audited to determine if they remain the most cost-effective providers of service. Ironically, no similar rule is applied to contractors that win competitions. My legislation closes the gap in current contracting rules and keeps the competitive spirit alive by providing a mechanism for automatically reviewing contracts that have exceeded their initial projected costs to determine if the work could be performed more efficiently in-house.

If you are interested in ensuring that the American taxpayers are getting the best bang for the buck, I encourage my colleagues to co-sponsor this legislation.

#### CODIFICATION OF TITLE 8, U.S. CODE, ALIENS AND NATIONALITY

##### HON. HENRY J. HYDE

OF ILLINOIS

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, October 23, 1997

Mr. HYDE. Mr. Speaker, today I am introducing a bill to codify and enact certain general and permanent laws, related to aliens and nationality, as title 8 of the U.S. Code. This bill has been prepared by the Office of the Law Revision Counsel of the House of Representatives as a part of the responsibilities of that Office to prepare and submit to the Committee on the Judiciary, for enactment into positive law, all titles of the U.S. Code. This bill makes no change in the substance of existing law.

Anyone interested in obtaining a copy of the bill and a description of the bill, containing a section-by-section summary should contact John R. Miller, Acting Law Revision Counsel, U.S. House of Representatives, H2-304 Ford House Office Building, Washington, D.C., 20515-6711. The telephone number is (202) 226-2411.

Persons wishing to comment on the bill should submit those comments to the Acting Law Revision Counsel no later than January 30, 1998.