

support of H.R. 1855 and would like to thank Mr. SAXTON and the members of the Resources Committee for bringing this bill to the floor. This legislation will help protect the herring and mackerel fishery and the small fishermen in Rhode Island and along the Atlantic coast.

Rhode Island has long been dependent upon the fishing industry as a major source of its economy and we must do all we can to ensure that the fishing industry remains viable. Therefore, we need to formulate a management plan to protect the long-term sustainability of our fisheries.

Already, there is a Federal management plan for several types of fish. In fact, just recently, the House passed a bill authorizing \$400,000 to continue studying the Atlantic striped bass stocks. However, there is no management strategy for herring and mackerel and the current data used for evaluating the fishery is debatable.

With demand increasing for herring and mackerel we must proceed cautiously to avoid having the fishery collapse, as we saw in the 1970's. The herring fishery has recovered and we must ensure its viability for generations to come.

Herring and mackerel are also important for ecological reasons. Herring and mackerel are forage fish, supporting whales, dolphins, tuna, cod, flounder, and haddock. Clearly, the herring and mackerel fishery is important not only to those fishing for herring but also those fishing for other stocks. Obviously, we need to conduct a study and formulate a management plan for herring and mackerel.

Of particular concern is the use of large factory trawlers to fish for herring and mackerel. These large trawlers could have a potentially enormous impact on our herring and mackerel stocks by catching a huge amount of available fish in a very short period of time. This will undoubtedly put a strain on small, local fishermen as well as the fishery.

This bill will prohibit the use of large factory trawlers when fishing for herring and mackerel until the National Marine Fisheries Service can complete a survey on the abundance of herring and mackerel and devise a management plan to preserve the long-term sustainability of the fishery.

This measure is supported by commercial and recreational fishermen from North Carolina to Maine. This bill will protect the fishery and small fishermen and I urge my colleagues to support it.

IMPROVING OPERATIONS OF FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE'S NATIONAL REPOSITORIES

HON. DAVID E. SKAGGS

OF COLORADO

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, July 29, 1997

Mr. SKAGGS. Mr. Speaker, today I'm introducing a bill to improve the efficiency of already excellent work being done by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service in Colorado and around the country.

The Service is responsible for storage and disposal of fish and wildlife and parts thereof and many other items that have come into Federal ownership under a variety of laws related to activities involving fish, wildlife, or

plants. Hundreds of thousands of these items are collected at two facilities in Commerce City, CO. Most are in the National Wildlife Property Repository, while dead eagles and eagle parts, including feathers, go to the National Eagle Repository.

From the repositories, the Service makes many items available to museums, zoos, schools and colleges, and Federal agencies for scientific, educational, and official uses. In addition, eagles and eagle parts are made available to Native Americans for religious purposes. These distributions meet a real need: last year alone, the eagle repository filled more than 1,300 requests while between July 1995 and February 1997 more than 5,706 items were shipped from the other repository to organizations around the Nation.

While the Service has to retain some of the items that aren't distributed in these ways, still others can be sold—and that's where my new bill comes in.

Under the current law, proceeds from sales of these items can be used for rewards and for some storage costs, but can't be used to defray the costs of the sales themselves. My bill would expand the list so that money the Service takes in from these sales could be used to cover the appraisals, auction expenses, and other costs of carrying out the sales themselves, as well as for processing and shipping of items. The result will be to make this program more self-supporting, cutting redtape and making it easier for the Service to carry out these very valuable activities.

I think it's just good sense as well as good government, and is a bill that should receive prompt consideration and approval.

TRIBUTE TO THE ARGENTINE AMBASSADOR RAÚL E. GRANILLO OCAMPO

HON. ESTEBAN EDWARD TORRES

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, July 29, 1997

Mr. TORRES. Mr. Speaker, I would like to call to your attention Dr. Raúl Granillo Ocampo, the Ambassador of the Argentine Republic to the United States of America. He has been appointed as Minister of Justice, one of the key positions in the Argentine Cabinet. I am sure that in his new position he will greatly contribute to the advancement of justice in Argentina. We look forward to working with him to enhance international cooperation in legal affairs.

I would like to point out that he has spent with us almost 4 years and during this period he has managed to develop an excellent relationship with the U.S. Congress. The links between Argentina and United States Congressmen have never been better.

Ambassador Granillo Ocampo has had a strong presence in Washington's daily activities. He has been one of the leaders of the Hispanic diplomatic community and a keynote speaker in many events.

His diplomatic skills have helped to build a very deep relationship between our two countries and to manage or avoid conflicts whenever they appeared in the horizon.

He and his wife, Chini, have made a lot of friends, not only among diplomats but also among members of the U.S. political and business community.

Ambassador Granillo Ocampo was born on January 18, 1948, and earned his law degree at the University of La Plata, Argentina, in 1968. Then, he earned a master in comparative international law at the Southern Methodist University, Dallas, TX, United States of America, in 1988, and he got his Ph.D. in legal and social sciences at the University of Buenos Aires, Argentina, in 1989.

During his career as a lawyer, he has served his country many times, mainly as a Supreme Court Justice and as a legal and technical secretary of the Presidency of Argentina. His new appointment, Minister of Justice, constitutes a tremendous undertaking in any country.

Mr. Speaker, I would like for you to join me, and our colleagues, along with Ambassador Granillo Ocampo's family and friends, and the political, business, and diplomatic community in recognizing the outstanding and invaluable lifelong contributions Ambassador Granillo Ocampo has made to his country and to the good relations between Argentina and the United States of America.

NASA LEWIS RESEARCH CENTER

HON. DENNIS J. KUCINICH

OF OHIO

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, July 29, 1997

Mr. KUCINICH. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to commend the leadership, scientists, engineers, and other dedicated employees of the NASA Lewis Research Center, which is located in my district on the west side of Cleveland, OH. The Lewis Research Center plays an important role in many NASA-wide programs, including microgravity research and the international space station power systems. In order to keep the citizens of Cleveland informed about the status and future of the Lewis Research Center, I asked the Congressional Research Service [CRS] to prepare a special report. The report, by CRS Analyst in Aerospace Policy David Radzanowski, describes how the Lewis Research Center fits into the overall strategic direction of NASA. I request that this report be published in the CONGRESSIONAL RECORD over the next 4 days, starting with the Summary and an Appendix on the Lewis DC-9.

NASA LEWIS RESEARCH CENTER

SUMMARY

This report examines the National Aeronautics and Space Administration's (NASA's) Lewis Research Center (LeRC). Changes in the center during the 1990s are examined as well as how NASA's future plans compare with Lewis' current roles and missions.

Lewis is one of ten NASA field centers. The center is located 20 miles southwest of Cleveland, Ohio, occupying 350 acres of land adjacent to Cleveland Hopkins International Airport. Lewis comprises more than 140 buildings that include 24 major facilities and over 500 specialized research and test facilities. Additional facilities are located at Plum Brook Station, a 6,400-acre facility about 50 miles west of Cleveland and 3 miles south of Sandusky, Ohio. The center currently has approximately 2,150 civil servant employees, along with approximately 1,600 on-site contractors.

Work at Lewis is directed toward research and development of new propulsion, power,

and communications technologies for application to aeronautics and space. Microgravity research in fluids and combustion also is an area of focus. NASA has designated LeRC as its Lead Center for Aeropropulsion and its Center of Excellence in Turbomachinery.

Due to declining budgets in the 1990s, Lewis, as well as all NASA centers, has experienced significant changes in its roles and missions as well as its workforce. Several of these changes, such as workforce reductions, are ongoing. The majority of these changes were the result of recommendations made in NASA's 1995 Zero Base Review. In FY 1993, Lewis' funding peaked at \$1,002.6 million and its personnel level peaked at 2,823 full-time equivalent (FTEs). For FY 1998, the request for Lewis is \$671.5 million with an FTE level of 2,085.

Many Lewis employees assert that the center has accounted for a greater share of total NASA reductions than over NASA centers. Lewis has had the highest percentage reduction in funding of all field centers; however, Kennedy Space Center (KSC) has experienced a relatively greater FTE percentage reduction than Lewis. In addition, KSC and Marshall Space Flight Center (MSFC) both have a total planned FTE percentage reduction through FY 2000 that is higher than Lewis. Lewis has had a larger share of the reductions than many other NASA centers.

When the potential for closing NASA centers is discussed within the space community, some mention Lewis as a likely candidate. The reductions at Lewis over the past four years may further convey the impression that the center is a candidate for closure. This report finds that although Lewis has been downsized at a greater rate in the 1990s than most of NASA's centers, the center does not appear to be in danger of being closed in the near-term if currently planned budgets are funded. Current plans indicate that Lewis is expected to have a significant role in NASA's future in fulfilling the goals set forth in the agency's strategic plan through 2025 and beyond.

APPENDIX: LEWIS RESEARCH CENTER'S DC-9—
MAY 19, 1997

This Appendix discusses the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) decision not to renew the lease on a DC-9 that is used for parabolic microgravity research flights at Lewis Research Center (LeRC). You specifically asked whether this decision is an attempt by NASA Headquarters to eventually terminate microgravity research at Lewis. My analysis suggests that this is not the case. There may be a question of whether the decision is cost-effective, however, it does not appear that there is an underlying motive to terminate microgravity research at Lewis.

Microgravity investigators often need to conduct reduced gravity experiments in ground-based facilities during the experiment definition and technology development phases of their research. The NASA ground-based reduced gravity research facilities include two drop towers at LeRC, a DC-9 aircraft based at Lewis, and a KC-135 aircraft based at Johnson Space Center (JSC). The DC-9 is the newest microgravity facility. It is a leased aircraft that began operations in 1995. The decision to add the DC-9 to the microgravity program was due to a perceived need for additional flight hours for research.

In 1995 NASA's Zero Base Review recommended that all program aircraft be consolidated at Dryden Flight Research Center (DFRC) in California. The cost effectiveness of such a move was immediately questioned, particularly moving the DC-9. In the summer of 1996 NASA assessed three options regarding the disposition of the DC-9. These

were: transferring the DC-9 to DFRC; privatizing the operation; and utilizing instead the KC-135 based at JSC. In August 1996, NASA determined that the KC-135 could meet NASA requirements for parabolic microgravity research flights; that the DC-9 lease and options would not be continued past July 1997; and that the possibility existed that the program may need an additional KC-135 based at JSC to meet requirements. Meanwhile, legislative language inserted into the FY 1997 VA-HUD-IA Appropriations Act prohibited NASA from moving aircraft to DFRC that were east of the Mississippi River. In early December 1996, LeRC was notified of the decision to terminate the DC-9 lease.

The decision may or may not be cost-effective, but the question has been raised whether it is an attempt by NASA Headquarters to eventually terminate the microgravity program at Lewis. Such a motive appears unlikely for the following reasons.

Consolidation of aircraft at the fewest number of NASA sites is part of an overall new agency management philosophy to reduce redundancy across NASA. It is not motivated by efforts to terminate programs. NASA Headquarters asserts that the decision will actually save the agency money over the years.

Although Marshall Space Flight Center (MSFC) is the Lead Center for NASA's microgravity program, Lewis maintains program responsibility for fluid and combustion microgravity research. This research is a critical component of the research program plans for the International Space Station. Any severe disruption to the program, such as moving it to another NASA center, would be very detrimental to the space station research program.

Lewis still maintains the 2 drop towers for ground-based microgravity research. Before researchers use aircraft for their experiments they must first prove that the drop towers will not fulfill their requirements. Similar drop towers are not located at any other NASA centers.

Even though the KC-135 would be based at JSC it is likely that the aircraft will fly research campaigns at the sites where the experimenters are based. Experiments developed at Lewis will most likely still be flown from Lewis.

In March of this year, NASA created a National Center for Microgravity Research on Fluids and Combustion. This institution is a partnership of Lewis, Case Western Research, and the Universities Space Research Association and it is based at Case Western. It is unlikely that NASA Headquarters would terminate the microgravity program at Lewis having just created the National Center in Cleveland.

Based on these reasons, it appears that the decision to terminate the DC-9 lease was not motivated by a desire to terminate Lewis' microgravity research program.

100TH ANNIVERSARY OF PEAT MARWICK LLP

HON. MARGE ROUKEMA

OF NEW JERSEY

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, July 29, 1997

Mrs. ROUKEMA. Mr. Speaker, I am pleased to have the opportunity to call attention to historic American success story. On August 2, 1997, KPMG Peat Marwick LLP, the accounting and consulting firm, headquartered in Woodcliff Lake, NJ, celebrates 100 years in

business in the United States. Founded by two Scotsmen who became naturalized citizens of this country, KPMG Peat Marwick is a private enterprise that has grown from two employees to 20,000 during a century of tremendous change. The firm's expansion on U.S. soil and around the world is a testament to the pioneering spirit and vision of James Marwick and Roger Mitchell, who identified the need for independent accounting review of companies big and small, and who meet that need by conducting certified, independent audits.

These two accountants saw the extent to which participants in an open and free market rely on accurate financial information to make important business decision—decisions that affect thousands of employees, investors, and consumers. They took seriously their charge as independent auditors, acknowledging the public trust they held when rendering audit opinions for clients that include some of the corporate giants in our Nation's history. When the needs of their clients expanded or varied, so did the services and capabilities of this firm. As the United States and the world embark on the frontier of the information age, this now-worldwide firm stands as a proud reminder of past accomplishment and a beacon of future advancement.

KPMG Peat Marwick has preserved and enhanced another great tradition during its first 100 years—that of community involvement. Indeed, the centerpiece of the firm's 100th anniversary celebration is its World of Spirit Day—a full day of giving back to the communities that have helped it to prosper. On September 22, 1997, KPMG will close the doors of every U.S. office for the day as 20,000 partners and employees band together to volunteer time and talents. From Minneapolis to Miami, from New York to San Francisco, KPMG people will collectively spend 160,000 hours in service to their communities and those in need. At the end of the day, various offices will have done the following: Built at least two residential homes; refurbished and painted public schools in multiple cities; taught and interacted with children in schools and child development centers; fed the hungry and homeless; landscaped youth camps; and cleaned local parks, rivers, and zoos. What a difference this day will make.

KPMG's mammoth commitment to community service was one reason it was the only professional services firm chosen to participate in the Presidents' Summit for America's Future. It is my hope that their fine example proves to be a catalyst for other companies to make similar commitments.

Mr. Speaker, we are proud to have such a corporate good neighbor in our community. Let me congratulate the partners and employees of KPMG Peat Marwick on their firm's achievement of 100 years in business.

Over the course of a century, this company has advanced by verifying basic financial information in thick ledgers to providing complex assurance and consulting services at the dawn of a knowledge revolution. KPMG has proven it can evolve and thrive as time marches on. May its endurance and prosperity serve as positive lessons to future generations of enterprising Americans.