to hold the children as harmless as we can, no matter what we are asking of their parents.

After yesterday, I expect that all Senators will join in this new discussion—of what we must do to assure basic standards of health, education for children and all Americans.

MRS. GERTRUDE RAMSAY CRAIN

• Mr. ABRAHAM. Mr. President, I rise today to pay tribute to Gertrude Ramsay Crain. With her passing on July 20, America's publishing industry lost one of its most accomplished members. After 4 decades of dutifully covering the Detroit business community, Mrs. Crain's presence will certainly be missed.

In 1916, G.D. Crain, Jr., Mrs. Crain's late husband, founded Crain Communications. Eighty years later, this company employs 900 people worldwide. A leader in the area of business reporting, Crain Communications publishes 29 business newspapers and magazines. Among the most popular of these are Crain's Detroit Business, Automotive News, Auto Week, and Detroit Monthly

This company is a testament to the American Dream. Crain Communications demonstrates that those who work hard and are passionate about their product can succeed. Prior to her retirement earlier this year, Mrs. Crain held a variety of positions within Crain Communications, from secretary to assistant treasurer to chairman.

During her tenure, Mrs. Crain's commitment to her craft did not go unnoticed. In addition to being the first woman inducted into the Junior Achievement of Chicago Business Hall of Fame, Mrs. Crain received an honorary doctor of humane letters degree from the University of Detroit, and was the 1993 recipient of the Magazine Publishers of America Henry Johnson Award, the highest honor given by the advertising and communications industry.

Although Mrs. Crain made Chicago her home, Detroit can consider itself a fortunate beneficiary of her life's work. An invaluable asset to both communities, the value of Mrs. Gertrude Crain's contributions will live on for quite some time. We in Michigan are fortunate, indeed, to have had this woman and her family's company in our midst.

THE 75TH ANNIVERSARY OF THE REHOBOTH BEACH, DE, BEACH PATROL

• Mr. BIDEN. Mr. President, many Members of this House and thousands of residents of this city are familiar with Rehoboth Beach, DE, as the Summer Capital of the United States—and there's more to that expression than merely a nickname.

The Delaware seashore resort has for decades welcomed vacationing Presidents, Cabinet Secretaries, Members of

Congress, representatives of the diplomatic community, and thousands of other Washingtonians of every description. Rehoboth Beach's attractions include its sparkling Atlantic surf; broad beaches; a lively, multi-lingual boardwalk echoing languages from all over the world; a faithfully family-oriented atmosphere; and safety in the water for younger and older visitors alike.

Next Saturday, in fact, the Rehoboth Beach Patrol—the courteous and skillful young women and men who protect the resort's ocean swimmers—will hold its first lifeguards' reunion, celebrating not only 75 years of service to the community and its visitors, but a remarkable three-quarter-century record of perfect safety of the swimmers under their protection. Since 1921, when the Rehoboth Beach Patrol was established with just two lifeguards, until today. when as many as 37 guards are on duty during peak periods along the mile and a half of protected beach, the Rehoboth Beach lifeguards have never lost a swimmer.

That is a record any beach patrol would be proud of, and it was not achieved by accident. Guarding a crowded ocean beach is a constant challenge—every summer the Rehoboth Beach lifeguards pull scores of troubled swimmers from the surf, treat hundreds of injuries, and reunite more than 400 lost children with their parents. It is a task that requires ceaseless alertness, well-conditioned bodies and highly trained skills, and unflinching personal courage when the ocean attempts to claim a victim.

It is more than just a job to those who undertake it. It is a valued tradition that has sustained Rehoboth Beach as a desirable ocean resort for 7 decades and has called to its service generations of families—fathers, brothers and, since the late seventies, sisters—from Delaware, from its neighboring States of Maryland and Pennsylvania, and from this very city.

These young men and women are athletes who thrive on competition, and their competitive instincts pit them every day against the sea, and often, to heighten their morale and sharpen their skills, against other beach patrols in national and international contests. They train constantly; they dedicate their days to the safety of others, often at the risk of their own; and their service is vital to the pleasure and the security of the hundreds of thousands of vacationers who visit Rehoboth Beach every summer.

Mr. President, we Delawareans are very proud of the Rehoboth Beach Patrol and its 75-year record of perfect safety. We believe these brave young women and men represent the best that Delaware and the Nation have to offer in the way of idealism, energy and a willingness to risk all in the service of others. And we invite our neighbors in the Middle Atlantic States to join us in congratulating the Rehoboth Beach Patrol and its Alumni Association for calling together for the first time life-

guards who have served the resort over the past 75 years, including a 91-yearold who last mounted a guard stand in 1926 as well as the 46 current members of the patrol.

They deserve our admiration and our thanks, and we all wish them a successful and rewarding reunion this week •

TRIBUTE TO THE MEMORY OF CAPT. JOHN "JACK" KENNEDY UPON THE RETURN OF HIS RE-MAINS TO THE UNITED STATES ON AUGUST 1, 1996

• Mr. NUNN. Mr. President, many of my colleagues may remember an Air Force lieutenant colonel named Dan Kennedy who served in an outstanding fashion a number of years ago in the Senate Liaison Office. Some of us may also recall that Dan had a brother, Jack, who was an Air Force pilot who lost his life in the war in Southeast Asia.

Jack's remains returned to the United States in June of this year ending years of uncertainty and frustration for his family and loved ones. I think it is most appropriate that we pause for a moment to remember Capt. Jack Kennedy's sacrifice.

Some 25 years ago this August, Capt. Jack Kennedy was lost while flying a reconnaissance mission over South Vietnam. Jack was a forward air controller with the 20th Tactical Air Support Squadron based in Chu Lai in support of the 23d Infantry Division.

On August 16, 1971, he failed to check in during normal radio communications checks. Unfortunately, there were no radio calls from his aircraft and there were no eye witnesses.

There were, however, reports of a North Vietnamese regiment operating in the area over which Jack was flying that day. Although there was no crash site found, Jack was listed as missing in action, a status he carried until the Air Force moved to change it to presumed killed in action in July 1978.

In 1992, after several visits and discussions with Vietnamese villagers, a joint United States/Vietnamese team identified a possible crash site. At that time, no conclusive evidence was available to specifically identify the site as the one where Jack Kennedy's plane had crashed. In 1993, several bone fragments, reportedly from the pilot of that aircraft, were provided by villagers.

Recent advances in medical science fostered the development techniques that permit the comparison of DNA extracted from bone fragments with DNA from another family member for the purposes of identification.

In May of this year, the Air Force advised Jack's family that the bone fragments recovered at the crash site in 1992 had been positively identified as being Jack's.

Capt. Jack Kennedy's remains arrived at Travis Air Force Base in California in late June, and will be flown to

Washington, DC, on August 1. A funeral is scheduled for August 2 at 11 a.m. in the Old Post Chapel on Fort Myers followed by an internment with full military honors and flyover at Arlington National Cemetery.

Throughout this long ordeal, Jack's family has persevered. Jack's father, Daniel Kennedy, Sr., died in 1986—10 years before his son's remains would be returned to the country he loved so much.

Jack's brother, Dan, whom I mentioned earlier, his wife Tamara, and their six children reside in Dumfries, VA. Jack's mother, Mrs. Sally Kennedy, lives in Lake Ridge, VA. Today, I would like to offer her our most sincere appreciation for the sacrifice her son Jack made in the service of his country, and for the steadfast faith with which she has endured the tremendous sense of loss, the unparalleled uncertainty and the incomprehensible frustration that, in some small measure, will be lessened in the very near future •

LITERACY; ONE TOOL FOR ENDING WELFARE DEPENDENCE

Mrs. MURRAY. Mr. President, the welfare bill recently passed by the Senate provides that families may be denied cash assistance after receiving benefits for a cumulative period of 5 years. States are also required to have 15 percent of welfare recipients involved in work participation. By 2002, this percentage must increase to 50 percent of the people on assistance. The bill as it entered the Senate, however, would clearly have failed to prepare these people for the jobs that they are required to obtain.

The facts are clear—you are highly unlikely to get off assistance and into work if you are unable to read.

Vocational education under the bill as it came to the floor was limited to no more than 12 months for any individual. Most education and training programs have a 2-year duration, and therefore, cannot be completed within the bill's time allowance.

In addition, States are unable to incorporate adult basic education activities into the training programs. This, at a time when we know more than ever about the link between adult education and literacy and dependence on the welfare system.

Analysis by the Urban Institute shows that of people who have been AFDC recipients of less than 25 months, 34.8 percent have not obtained a high school degree or a GED. But, among recipients who receive AFDC assistance for 60 months or more, this number jumps to 62.8 percent. The less educated a person is, the longer he or she is likely to remain reliant on the welfare system.

A 1995 report released by the Policy Information Center at the Education Testing Service also notes that welfare recipients with higher literacy levels worked more weeks and earned higher average weekly wages in comparison with other recipients during the previous year. All this simply reinforces the importance of education and literacy in helping people get off, and stay off, the welfare system.

This bill as it came to the Senate did not provide enough flexibility, and did not allow the necessary education and training required to produce successful employees. In order to correct the inflexibility of this welfare bill, Senators SIMON, JEFFORDS, KERRY, SPECTER, and I yesterday proposed and passed a literacy amendment that will let states do what is needed.

This amendment has three basic provisions. The length of allowable educational training will be extended from 12 to 24 months; extending training period to permit the completion of training programs. The amendment also expands the definition of vocational training to include adult basic education, such as a GED completion course.

Without basic educational and literacy levels, people cannot perform job duties nor can they expand their skills through more advanced education. The amendment also allows States to increase people in educational programs from 20 to 30 percent of their participation percentages. States with high unemployment rates might otherwise find it difficult to place workers who have virtually no skills.

This amendment provides solutions to get people learning, and building skills. I want to thank Senators SIMON and JEFFORDS for their leadership on these efforts. With the adoption of this amendment, people on public assistance will be able to gain the basic skills they need to become productive workers and remain off the welfare system.

WELFARE REFORM

• Mr. PELL. Mr. President, like so many of my colleagues, I would like to reform the Nation's welfare system. I believe that able-bodied people should work and that our Nation's safety net should be just that: a safety net. But I cannot let my desire to vote for welfare reform cloud my judgment about the bill that the Senate passed yesterday. I have several major concerns about this bill.

First, this bill eliminates welfare as an entitlement and replaces it with a block grant. To some, the term entitlement has come to mean an expectation that some people have of support from the Government with no effort on their part to achieve self-sufficiency. Defined in those terms, I agree that any sense of entitlement must end. But what the word entitlement actually means here is that this Nation will respond to anyone in great need-that we will not cut off people in need simply because there are too many people in line before them. A block grant is almost guaranteed to cut off people in need, with children suffering the greatest harm. And while I reluctantly voted last year for the then pending welfare bill, which included a block grant, I did so primarily to strengthen the Senate's position in conference against a far more damaging Housepassed bill.

Second, I believe that instead of giving people a hand up and out of the welfare system, we have limited their options and their opportunities further. For the most part, we have simply shifted this serious national problem to the States, and we have done so without providing them with adequate support to address the problem.

Third, I am concerned about the bill's harsh treatment of legal immigrants. More often than not, these individuals are hard working, taxpaying individuals who deeply appreciate and value the freedom and opportunity of the United States. I cannot agree to deny them so many of the benefits that they might legitimately need as they build a life here.

Finally, my deepest concern is for the children. No matter what the faults of the parent, we as a society must do all we can to protect and nurture the next generation. Otherwise, no matter how tough our welfare policy or how good our toughness makes us feel, we will raise a generation of children who are incapable of functioning in society, much less leading it. I simply cannot believe that eliminating an entitlement which ensures that all poor children get the food, clothing, and shelter that they need can move us individually or as a society down the path we all want to go.

Mr. President, it is with real regret, then, that I cast a "no" vote on this welfare reform legislation. I know that the will of the people demands action, and I very much want to be part of an effort to pass a quality welfare reform bill. And I have joined with many of my Senate colleagues in voting for amendments that, had they been approved, might have made the bill acceptable. But looking at the final product, I cannot say that what we have adopted is better than what we now have. The risk to our children's future is too great. I will not punish a child to teach its parent, and I believe that this is what this legislation, in the end, will

I regret that the Senate did not approve the work first proposal introduced by Senate DASCHLE. And I continue to support its emphasis on transitioning welfare recipients to work, its understanding that providing childcare is a linchpin of successful reform, and its premise that—despite very real abuses of the current system by some welfare recipients—most people want to get off welfare and work at a job that provides a living wage. In any effort to pass this kind of welfare reform legislation, I will cast a sure and solid "yea" vote.